**Selection and technological potential of *Lactobacillus plantarum* bacteria suitable for wine
malolactic fermentation and grape aroma release**

Massimo Iorizzo^a, Bruno Testa^a, Silvia Jane Lombardi^a, Almudena García-Ruiz^b, Carolina Muñoz-
González^b, Begoña Bartolomé^b, M. Victoria Moreno-Arribas^{b*}

^aDepartment of Agriculture, Environmental and Food Sciences, University of Molise, Campobasso,
Italy

^bInstituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación (CIAL), CSIC-UAM, C/ Nicolás Cabrera
9. Campus de Cantoblanco, CEI UAM+CSIC. 28049 Madrid, Spain

Running title: Selection and technological potential of wine *L. plantarum* bacteria

* Corresponding author: M. Victoria Moreno-Arribas

E-mail address: victoria.moreno@csic.es

Abstract

Lactobacillus plantarum strains have resistance mechanisms that enable them to survive and proliferate in wine, which makes them potential malolactic fermentation (MLF) starter cultures. This work focused on the technological characterization of 11 *L. plantarum* strains isolated from Southern Italian wines that undergo spontaneous MLF, and proposes a selection of new *L. plantarum* malolactic starters. These strains were characterized according to their oenological characteristics, their ability to produce biogenic amines and bacteriocins, their response to the presence of phenolic compounds, their enzymatic activities and their ability to produce wine odorant aglycones from odourless grape glycosidic aroma precursors. Finally, the malolactic activity of one selected strain was assessed in Cabernet Sauvignon wine, using two inoculation methods. *L. plantarum* strains tested were not producers of biogenic amines. In particular, the M10 strain showed a good resistance to high levels of ethanol and low pH, it has a good malolactic performance and β -glucosidase activity, this last one demonstrated both directly through the measurement of this enzymatic activity and indirectly by following the release of volatile aglycones from commercial and natural grape glycosidic odourless precursors. These results demonstrated the potential applicability of M10 as a new MLF starter culture, especially for high-ethanol wines.

Keywords: *Lactobacillus plantarum*, wine malolactic fermentation, functional starter culture, co-inoculation, grape aroma hydrolytic activity

1. Introduction

Malolactic fermentation (MLF) plays an important role in the production of wine, especially red wines, resulting in microbial stability, biological deacidification, as well as contributing to the aroma profile (Moreno-Arribas and Polo, 2005; Bartowsky *et al.*, 2008). Nowadays, the use of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) strains as malolactic starter cultures to improve wine quality is a common winemaking practice.

Spontaneous MLF is often unpredictable. It may occur during, or many months after the completion of alcoholic fermentation (Wibowo *et al.*, 1985; Henschke, 1993), and it may also fail because of very harsh environmental conditions in the wine, impeding bacterial survival and growth, such as low pH, high alcohol content, high SO₂ concentrations and low temperatures (Lafon-Lafourcade *et al.*, 1983; Wibowo *et al.*, 1988). Moreover, some LAB have also undesirable effects on wine quality, because they produce off-flavours, a reduction in colour (Liu and Pilone, 2000) and the formation of biogenic amines (Moreno-Arribas *et al.*, 2003). The overall effects of MLF are largely dependent on the strains that carry out the process and on the type of wine being manufactured. *Oenococcus oeni* is the major bacterial species found in wines during spontaneous MLF, as it is well adapted to the low pH and high ethanol concentration of wine. However, *O. oeni* can also be detected with other LAB, mainly *Lactobacillus* spp., and in particular *L. plantarum* species (Lonvaud-Funel, 2001; Lerm *et al.*, 2011; Bravo-Ferrada *et al.*, 2013). In 1988 the potential of *L. plantarum* as a malolactic starter culture was realised by Prah (1988) with the first freeze-dried culture being released. Today there are a few *L. plantarum* strains commercially available as MLF starter cultures (Fumi *et al.*, 2010; Lerm *et al.*, 2011). Some relevant characteristics of *L. plantarum*, such as the ability to function well at low pH conditions, the tolerance of ethanol up to 14%, has a similar SO₂ tolerance to *O. oeni*, and it has a more diverse array of enzymes that could lead to more aroma compounds being produced, all contribute to making *L. plantarum* as the up-to-date generation wine MLF starter cultures (Spano *et al.*, 2002; Du Toit *et al.*, 2011; Lerm *et al.*, 2011). The selection criteria for enological malolactic starters should include: (i) technological challenges

(resistance to the main wine parameters and withstanding the production processes); (ii) malolactic performance and flavour production (malic acid degradation; impact on wine aroma); (iii) production of ensured enhancement of the wholesomeness of wine (no production of biogenic amines) (Du Toit, 2012). A minor but also important aspect to be considered is the susceptibility of LAB to polyphenols, which are one of the most abundant groups of chemical compounds in wine (and in red wines in particular) and can have an extremely important impact on wine sensorial characteristics. Several studies have shown different effects of wine polyphenols on the growth and metabolism of enological LAB (García-Ruiz *et al.*, 2008; García-Ruiz *et al.*, 2013a; Campos *et al.*, 2016). Particularly *O. oeni* and *L. plantarum* may be inhibited by tannins and phenolic acids, and so they have a negative impact on the development of malolactic fermentation, while anthocyanins and gallic acid seem to have a stimulatory effect (Reguant *et al.* 2000; Alberto *et al.*, 2001; Campos *et al.*, 2009).

Recently, some authors have evidenced that the *L. plantarum* species shows a different enzymatic profile to other LAB species, which could play an important role in the wine aroma profile (Swiegers *et al.*, 2005; Lerm *et al.*, 2011). The use of malolactic starter cultures has become widespread to control the MLF process and to prevent the production of off-flavours. However, the induction of malolactic fermentation by use of commercially available strains is not always successful. Several reports have shown that the success of MLF starters depends of the strain and is influenced by several factors, including geographical origin and adaptation to the winemaking conditions of each wine (Ruiz *et al.*, 2010; Testa *et al.*, 2014; Valdés la Hens *et al.*, 2015). Because the resistance to wine conditions is strictly strain-dependent, the development of new malolactic starters is a multiphasic approach, whose identification and oenological characterization of *L. plantarum* strains naturally occurring in wines that have undergone spontaneous MLF are relevant steps.

With the final aim of proposing a selection of potential *L. plantarum* malolactic fermentation starter cultures, this study was focused on the oenological characterization of 11 *L. plantarum* strains

previously isolated from Southern Italian red wines. The first objective was to characterize the isolates by assessing their capacity to survive at low pH and high alcohol content, and their malic acid degradation performance in synthetic wine. Also, the production of bacteriocins and biogenic amines was examined, as well as the production of enzymatic activities that play a role in wine production; furthermore, the transformation of odourless glycosidic aroma precursors into odorant aglycones was investigated. The second objective was to evaluate the malolactic activity of one selected strain in a Cabernet Sauvignon wine using two inoculation methods: co-inoculation with yeast and sequential inoculum at the end of alcoholic fermentation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Microorganisms and starters preparation

L. plantarum V22 (Lallemand Inc., Montreal, Canada) and 11 strains of *L. plantarum*, selected from southern Italian wines (Testa *et al.*, 2014), were used in the characterization tests of MLF, after a first screening including 58 *L. plantarum* strains isolated from these wines. A commercial strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* AM37 (Enobiotech, Novara Italy) was used to carry out the alcoholic fermentation. The AM37 and V22 strains were rehydrated according to the manufacturer's specifications before use.

At time of use, the strains of *L. plantarum*, were propagated overnight in Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) medium (Oxoid Ltd., UK) at 30 °C, reinoculated into a new MRS medium and incubated until the exponential phase growth was reached. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4 °C, washed twice with sterile water and resuspended in must at a concentration of 10^8 CFU/mL (colony-forming units per millilitre).

2.2 Characterization of the *L. plantarum* strains in synthetic wine medium

In the first test, 58 *L. plantarum* strains were screened in synthetic wine (SW) media [4 g/L yeast extract, 2 g/L glycerol, 6 g/L D,L-malic acid] (Carreté *et al.*, 2002). The pH was adjusted to 3.5

with 4N NaOH and the ethanol concentration to 14% (v/v). Cells grown at exponential phase on MRS (Oxoid Ltd., UK) for 48 h at 28 °C were washed with physiological solution and resuspended in SW at a final concentration of 10^8 CFU/ml. The viable cell number was measured by plating diluted SW aliquots on MRS agar at different times on days 5, 10, 15 of incubation at 30 °C under anaerobic conditions.

The second screening panel was performed on the selection of strains (11 *L. plantarum*). Their capacity to grow in SW with the following combination of pH and ethanol concentrations was evaluated: a) pH 3.5 and 11% (v/v) ethanol; b) pH 3.5 and 13% ethanol; c) pH 3.2 and 11% ethanol; d) pH 3.2 and 13% ethanol; e) pH 3.0 and 10% ethanol; each medium was incubated at 24 °C for 15 days. The cell counts were monitored at four different stages during MLF (0, 10, 15 days) by conducting plate counts on MRS agar plates incubated at 30 °C in anaerobic conditions. The L-malic acid concentration was determined with a malic acid enzymatic assay (Steroglass, San Martino in Campo, Italy) at different times (0, 5, 15 days).

2.3 Multi-enzymatic activities

The strains used in this study were assayed for their enzymatic activities using the Api-Zym galleries (BioMérieux, Montalieu-Vercieu, France) as described by the manufacturer. Rapid semi-quantitative evaluation of 19 hydrolytic enzymes was carried out. The colour that developed in each enzymatic reaction was graded from (+) positive to (-) negative and (W) weakly positive by the API-ZYM colour reaction chart.

2.4 Odourless glycosidic aroma precursor transformation by *L. plantarum* strains

As an indirect measurement of β -D-glucosidase activity in *L. plantarum*, each of the strains tested in this study was first incubated with a commercial glucoside (Octyl- β -D-glucopyranoside) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and then with a natural odourless glycosidic aroma precursor extract, which can better represent the ability of these microorganisms to release positive aromatic notes in

wines. The natural aroma precursor extract was obtained from white grapes using methodologies based on the protocol already published by Rodríguez-Bencomo *et al.* (2013). The incubation procedure was one described elsewhere (Muñoz-González *et al.*, 2015) with slight modifications. Briefly, strains were inoculated in 10 mL of MRS broth (Oxoid Ltd., UK) and incubated in the presence of each of the glycosidic aroma precursors at 30°C. In addition, a control without bacteria was prepared, confirming that the release of volatile compounds was due to the presence of *L. plantarum*. The analysis of free volatile compounds released from the glycosides was carried out by headspace solid phase microextraction coupled to gas chromatography mass spectrometry (HS-SPME-GC-MS) at 0 h, 2 h and 24 h of incubation. Preliminary tests were performed in order to establish whether the glycoside concentration employed in these experiments might inhibit the bacterial growth, concluding that none of the bacteria assayed were inhibited by the glycosidic extract at the assayed concentration (data not shown).

For this study, two wine LAB strains, *L. paracasei* CIAL-94 and the *Pediococcus pentosaceus* CIAL-85, exhibiting weak enzymatic activity (unpublished results), were used as reference strains. All the experiments were performed in duplicate.

2.5 Bacteriocins production

The production of bacteriocins by *L. plantarum* strains was investigated by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/TOF) using a Bruker Daltoniks instrument provided by a Bruker MALDI Biotyper 3.0 system. The strains were inoculated in MRS agar and incubated overnight at 30 °C in anaerobic conditions. A colony, for each strain, was spotted onto the MALDI-TOF/TOF target. The spectra obtained by the strains tested were compared with the spectral fingerprints of *Lactococcus lactis* CECT (producer of Lacticin 3147) and IFPL 105-3 (not a producer of Lacticin 3147) (Martínez-Cuesta *et al.*, 2000).

2.6 Determination of biogenic amine-forming capacity

Qualitative detection of amine formation in decarboxylase assay medium was tested by inoculating each strain in the decarboxylase medium described by Bover-Cid and Holzapfel (1999). The medium contained the corresponding precursor amino acid at 0.5% final concentration (L-histidine monohydrochloride, tyrosine di-sodium salt, L-ornithine monohydrochloride and L-arginine monohydrochloride), pyridoxal-5-phosphate, growth factors, buffer compounds and purple bromocresol as pH indicator. The pH was adjusted to 5.3 and the medium was autoclaved. The precursor amino acids were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). A bacterial suspension (10^9 CFU/mL) was made from a plate culture in decarboxylase medium without amino acids. An aliquot of the suspension (0.2 mL) was inoculated into 2 mL of the same medium with and without amino acids (as control). After 7 days incubation at 30 °C under anaerobic conditions, the medium was centrifuged and the supernatant was kept at -20 °C until biogenic amines analysis.

For quantitative determination of biogenic amine producers, cells grown at exponential phase on MRS broth overnight at 30 °C were suspended in MRS broth, containing 0.1% of the corresponding amino acid precursor (L-histidine monohydrochloride, tyrosine di-sodium salt and L-ornithine monohydrochloride), pyridoxal-5'-phosphate (Sigma) and growing factors, previously described in Moreno-Arribas *et al.*, (2003). The pH was adjusted to 5.3 and the medium was autoclaved. The precursor amino acids were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Samples were incubated at 30 °C for 7 days with stirring at 80 rpm. Two mL of culture were taken and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes at 5 °C; 1mL of supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter and placed in vials for HPLC. Biogenic amines were analysed by RP-HPLC according to the method described by Marcobal *et al.* (2005), using a liquid chromatograph consisting of a Waters 600 controller programmable solvent module (Waters, Milford, MA, USA), a WISP 710B autosampler (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and an HP 1046-A fluorescence detector (Hewlett-Packard). Chromatographic data were collected and analysed with a Millenium 32 system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The separations were performed on a Waters Nova-Pak C18 (150 x 3.9 mm i.d., 60 Å, 4 µm) column, with a matching guard cartridge of the same type. Samples were submitted to an automatic pre-

column derivatization reaction with *o*-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) prior to injection. Derivatized amines were detected using the fluorescence detector (excitation wavelength of 340 nm, and emission wavelength of 425 nm).

2.7 Effects of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside on the growth of *L. plantarum*

The *L. plantarum* strains were cultured at 37 °C in MRS broth (pH 3.5) to obtain overnight cultures. The effect of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside on the growth of these strains was evaluated following the protocol described by Tabasco *et al.* (2011). Growth was performed in triplicate in sterile 96-well microplates with lid (Sarstedt Inc., Newton, USA). Wells containing 300 µL of ZMB1 medium in the absence (control) and in the presence of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside (0.500, 0.250 and 0.125 mg/mL), were inoculated (1%) with an overnight culture of each strain. Bacteria growth for 48 h at 37 °C under aerobic conditions was monitored at 60 min intervals (preceded by 15 s of shaking at variable speed) by assessing optical density (OD) at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) using an automated microplate reader (Varioskan Flash, Thermo Electron Corporation, Vantaa, Finland). The effect (inhibition/stimulation) of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside on the bacteria growth was calculated from the data at 48 h as: % Inhibition = (Abs_{sample} – Abs_{control})/ Abs_{control}.

2.8 Malolactic fermentation (co-inoculation and sequential inoculum) in small-scale vinification procedures

Vinifications were conducted at the Giagnacovo winery (San Biase of Molise, Italy) using red grapes of the Cabernet Sauvignon variety. The must showed the following chemical composition: pH 3.37, titratable acidity 8.61 g/L tartaric acid, L-malic acid 5.0 g/L, L-lactic acid 0.05 g/L, D-lactic acid 0.01 g/L, acetic acid 0.01 g/L and sugar content 21.7 °Brix. The chemical–physical analyses were performed according to EC Official Methods (1999).

Fermentations were carried out in five stainless steel tanks of 10 hL each with the addition of 50 mg/L of K₂S₂O₅. The alcoholic fermentation was conducted at 22 °C in the presence of grape skins,

seeds and stalks, until the residual reducing sugar content was less than 2 g/L, with an inoculum of a commercial *S. cerevisiae* strain AM37. For each experiment, the wine samples were collected at different times and subjected to microbiological analysis.

Malolactic fermentation was performed using two different procedures, co-inoculation and sequential inoculum, using the *L. plantarum* M10 strain (Testa *et al.* 2014) and *L. plantarum* V22 commercial strain (Lallemand).

The alcoholic fermentation was carried out in 25 hL of Cabernet Sauvignon grape juice by the addition of *S. cerevisiae* AM37 and divided equally into five stainless steel tanks (A, B, C, D, E).

The tanks A and B, after 12 h, were inoculated, respectively, with *L. plantarum* M10 and *L. plantarum* V22 (co-inoculum). The tanks C and D, after the alcoholic fermentation, were inoculated, respectively, with *L. plantarum* M10 and *L. plantarum* V22 (sequential inoculum). Tank E represents a control, inoculated only with the *S. cerevisiae* AM37 strain.

The alcoholic fermentation was considered concluded when the reducing sugars level was below 2 g/L. Malolactic fermentation was monitored up to 30 days of incubation at a temperature of 22 °C.

The L-malic acid degradation and the DL-lactic acid formation in all tanks were determined using enzymatic kits (Steroglass, Italy) from 0 days to up to 30 days of incubation.

The chemical–physical analyses were performed according to EC Official Methods (1999).

2.9 Identification of *L. plantarum* strains during the malolactic fermentation

Wine samples were serially diluted in sterile saline solution (9 g/L NaCl) and then plated in triplicate on MRS agar supplemented with 0.2 g/L sodium azide, as the selective medium for LAB medium. Plates were incubated at 30 °C under anaerobic conditions (GasPak, Oxoid Ltd., UK) at 48 h, five colonies were randomly picked from plates at highest dilutions and identified by their morphology, Gram staining, catalase test, and PCR-DGGE and RAPD-PCR analysis (Testa *et al.*, 2014).

2.10 Statistical analysis

All analytical assays were carried out in three replicates by determining the mean and standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significant difference was evaluated using ANOVA LSD test at $p < 0.01$.

3. Results

3.1 Characterization of *L. plantarum* strains in synthetic wine

Fifty-eight *L. plantarum* strains were submitted at screening to evaluate the growth in synthetic wine (SW), with pH 3.5 and an ethanol content of 14% (v/v) (data not shown). Eleven *L. plantarum* strains were selected, and then submitted to a comparative assay of malolactic performance at laboratory scale, using SW medium at different pH and ethanol concentrations. Figure 1 shows the L-malic acid evolution in SW medium with different combinations of pH and ethanol content for the isolated strains tested and the commercial *L. plantarum* V22.

All 11 strains were able to consume the L-malic acid completely (respectively at pH 3.5 with 11% v/v ethanol, Fig. 1a, and pH 3.5 with 13% v/v ethanol, Fig. 1b) after five days, with the P5 strain being the exception. Three strains, P5, M26 and V22 were not able to consume L-malic acid in the SW medium at pH 3.2 with 11% v/v of ethanol (Fig. 1c). The SW medium pH 3.2 with 13% v/v of ethanol (Fig. 1d), was more selective for the majority of strains. Eight strains (A1, M17, M26, P9, P5, M22, M24, T13) and the commercial strain V22 were unable to deplete the L-malic acid during the 15 days of microvinification, as can be seen in figure 1d, whereas three strains (M10, R1 and P1) had degraded all L-malic acid in the medium within ten days. Moreover, only the A1, R1, P1, M10 and M26 strains consumed L-malic acid in SW medium at pH 3.0 with 10% v/v of ethanol, as shown in Fig. 1e.

The results demonstrate that a pH value of 3.5 provides the best conditions for survival for the tested strains, permitting total L-malic acid consumption, independently from an ethanol content of either 11% or 13% v/v.

270

271 *3.2. Production of enzymes of oenological interest*

272 Enzymatic activities correlated with carbohydrate catabolism, α -galactosidase, β -glucuronidase, α -
273 mannosidase and α -fucosidase were not observed in any of the strains tested (Table 1). However all
274 the *L. plantarum* strains exhibited strong β -glucosidase and α -glucosidase activities. For the β -
275 galactosidase and N-acetyl- β -glucosaminidase, strains showed weak activity, except the commercial
276 V22 strain in which these enzymatic activities were not expressed.

277

278 *3.3. Ability of L.plantarum strains to release free volatiles from odourless glycosidic aroma*
279 *precursors*

280 The ability of *L. plantarum* strains to release odorant aglycones from Octyl- β -D-glucopyranoside is
281 shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, all the strains assayed were able to hydrolyse the glycoside and
282 to release different amounts of the aglycone 1-octanol (Figure 2). However, this ability was
283 bacteria-dependent, and therefore different depending on the type of bacteria assayed. Interestingly,
284 all the *L. plantarum* strains studied produced significantly higher amounts of the aglycone than the
285 strains *L. paracasei* CIAL-94 and *P. pentosaceus* CIAL-85, which were the lowest producers. In
286 particular the strains M17 and M10 were the major producers of 1-octanol, suggesting that these
287 strains could be potentially responsible for the generation of a greater amount of free aroma
288 compounds in wines.

289 Grape glycosides represent a natural reservoir of odorant molecules in wines that can be naturally
290 and slowly released during wine aging, or intentionally released by using oenological enzymes
291 during winemaking. In order to take a step forward in the ability of these bacteria to release aroma
292 compounds in wines, a natural precursor extract obtained from white grapes was incubated in the
293 presence of each of the strains. Table 2 shows these results. As can be seen in the table, all the
294 strains were able to generate odorant aglycones belonging to different chemical families (terpenes,
295 benzenic derivatives and C6-alcohols). But what it is more interesting is that *L. plantarum* M10

released a considerable amount of important odorant compounds with low odour thresholds and flowery-citric aroma nuances in wines, such as the terpenes limonene and linalool, among others (see Table 2). However, these results need to be validated by additional experiments carried out with real wines.

3.4. Bacteriocins production

The inhibitory activity of *L. plantarum* strains which was not detected in peptide MALDI-TOF/TOF spectra obtained from *L. plantarum* strains, and the isogenic strains of *Lactococcus lactis*, which differ in the ability to produce lacticin 3147, was compared. The appearance of two peaks of molecular mass 2850 Da and 3300 Da in the spectra allowed us to detect this bacteriocin production by *L. lactis* strains (García-Cayuela, unpublished results), but not in any of the wine *L. plantarum* strains studied, suggesting that none of them were bacteriocin producers.

3.5. Biogenic amines production

None of the strains tested produced biogenic amines, histamine, tyramine, cadaverine or putrescine when the modified decarboxylase screening media developed by Bover-Cid and Holzapfel (1999) was used. This medium was shown in a previous work to be suitable for the screening of wine lactic acid bacteria (Moreno-Arribas *et al.*, 2003). When these strains were analysed by HPLC, it was confirmed that these amines were not found to be produced by any of the bacterial strains studied under the conditions applied. Furthermore, the wines obtained after the inoculation experiments with the selected strain M10 were also analysed by RP-HPLC for the presence of the biogenic amines histamine, methylamine, ethylamine, tyramine, phenylethylamine, putrescine and cadaverine, concluding that none of these amines was detected in the final wines.

3.6. Influence of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside on the growth of *L. plantarum* strains

The *L. plantarum* strains tested showed a different response to the presence of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside in the culture medium (Table 3). The growth of the strains R1 and M24 was clearly stimulated by the presence of the anthocyanin (% growth >58, compared to the control: ZMB1 medium in the absence of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside) in a dose-dependent manner. Conversely, inhibition of bacteria growth was markedly observed for strain P5 (% growth < -40), and for the strains A1 and M17 to a lower extent (% growth < -10) for all the anthocyanin concentrations tested. The strains P9 and V22 showed certain stimulation on their growth (% growth ~ 20) only at the anthocyanin concentration of 0.5 mg/mL, but inhibitory effects (% growth ≤ -20) at the lower concentration tested (0.125 mg/mL). Finally, the presence of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside at the concentrations tested seemed not to affect the growth of the rest of the bacteria (M10, M22, M26, P1 and T13).

3.7. Malolactic fermentation in wine

The Cabernet Sauvignon must, used in the study, had a high malic acid content, and in addition, in order to help the development of MLF, a low SO₂ concentration (50 mg/L of K₂S₂O₅) was added during alcoholic fermentation. The malolactic bacteria, *L. plantarum* M10, which showed the best characteristics in the previous assays, was selected for small-scale malolactic fermentation procedures in wine. The commercial culture V22 (Lallemand) was inoculated to compare the fermentation performance of the selected strain with that of a commercial product. As reported in Figure 3, with the co-inoculation method (tanks A and B), L-malic acid was degraded completely in 12 days. Moreover, there were no substantial differences among the inoculated wines with M10 (tank A) and V22 (tank B) strains.

On the other hand, in the control tank (E), inoculated only with the yeast strain (AM37) (i.e. under spontaneous malolactic fermentation), the L-malic acid content did not change during this period, so the malolactic fermentation was never completed. In the sequential inoculation wines (tanks C and D), the complete degradation of L-malic acid had occurred after 30 days, as reported in Figure

3. The chemical characterization of the different wines is shown in Table 4. None of the inoculated wines resulted in volatile acidity concentrations exceeding the sensory threshold value of 0.7 g/L (Guth, 1997). The M10 *L. plantarum* strain shows similar final volatile acidity values to the commercial starter.

Microbiological control of the LAB population in the inoculated tanks (A, B, C and D) was performed by PCR-DGGE analysis and RAPD typing on five randomly selected colonies from plates at the highest dilution collected in the middle phase of MLF (data not shown). According to the DGGE profiles all the isolates belonged to *L. plantarum* species. Strain typing using the primer M13 showed that all the isolates had the same M13-RAPD profile as either strain M10 or V22, suggesting a good implantation of these two starters on the indigenous LAB population.

4. Discussion

Given the economic importance of MLF, the development of new starter cultures is an interesting aim in oenology. In particular, the development of alternative malolactic starter cultures using species other than *O. oeni* has become one of the main challenges for oenological research in recent years (Lerm *et al.*, 2011; Bravo-Ferrada *et al.*, 2013). In this work, we proposed a selection plan for a new *L. plantarum* malolactic starter culture, through the technological/functional characterization of different native *L. plantarum* strains and an MLF test in synthetic wine and in a winery environment (microvinification), by testing two different inoculation scenarios (co-inoculation with yeast and sequential inoculum at the end of alcoholic fermentation).

The first assay of L-malic acid degradation in synthetic wine medium showed that low pH (3.0 and 3.2) is a crucial parameter to limit *L. plantarum* growth in wine. In synthetic wine, the study of the combinations of pH and ethanol revealed that low pH values are the limiting feature of malolactic activity. Independently from the ethanol percentage, the condition of pH 3.5 allowed the accomplishment of MLF by ten out of the eleven *L. plantarum* strains analysed. However, pH values of 3.0 and 3.2 (combined with an ethanol content of 10% and 13% (v/v) respectively) were

prohibitive conditions for most strains. Previous results have proved the resilience of *L. plantarum*, especially for high-pH wines (Du Toit *et al.*, 2011; Lerm *et al.*, 2011); in our experiment it was established that wild *L. plantarum* strains can tolerate a combination of acid pH (pH 3.5) and ethanol concentration up to 13%, which are normal values in wines, and proliferate under conditions that are normally lethal for LAB.

Bacteriocins are antimicrobial peptides produced by certain bacteria with inhibitory activity against related species, including organisms involved in food-borne disease and food spoilage. Since bacteriocin-producing bacteria have a high technological potential, we tested if this characteristic was present in the strains studied as potential starter cultures. Taxonomic bacterial identification based on the peptide profile obtained by MALDI-TOF/TOF is an extremely fast, simple and reliable approach compared to other microbiological methods used (Ferreira *et al.*, 2010). Also, this technique can differentiate beyond the species level, characterizing strains in terms of functionality. Thus, MALDI-TOF/TOF has been used to detect the feature of bacteriocin production. As an example, production of bacteriocins in the *Lactococcus lactis* strain producer of Lacticin 3147 was confirmed by MALDI-TOF/TOF (García-Cayuela, unpublished results), demonstrating the utility of this technique not only for taxonomic identification but to facilitate the evaluation of the potential technological/functional application of LAB. Although previous studies showed that wine isolates from *L. paracasei*, *L. higaridii* and *L. plantarum* produced bacteriocins (Rojo-Bezares *et al.*, 2007; Knoll *et al.*, 2008), MALDI-TOF/TOF data obtained in our study suggested that none of the wine *L. plantarum* strains selected was associated to bacteriocin production, probably because, as demonstrated, this property is strain-dependent, and the encoding-bacteriocin structural and transporter genes are expressed to varying degrees, depending on the wine media and fermentation conditions (Knoll *et al.*, 2008).

Another important characteristic for the oenological strain, used as starter culture is the inability to produce biogenic amines. These not only have an impact on wine wholesomeness, since they have

several health and commercial implications in wine, but some biogenic amines (i.e. putrescine) also impact on wine aroma (Shalaby, 1996; Álvarez and Moreno-Arribas, 2014). The major amines found in wine are histamine, tyramine, putrescine and cadaverine, and it is well known that the capability to produce amines might be strain-dependent rather than being related to specific species (Lonvaud-Funel, 2001; Moreno-Arribas *et al.*, 2000, 2003; Landete *et al.*, 2007). In our screening, none of the *L. plantarum* strains was identified as producing biogenic amines, either in an easy decarboxylase synthetic broth or in a quantitative method such as reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography, used to ensure this. It is important to select strains that do not have this characteristic to minimize the risk of spoilage of wine. According to our results, the selected bacteria *L. plantarum* M10 did not produce biogenic amines, as demonstrated by the absence of these compounds in the malolactic fermentation wines obtained after inoculation with this strain.

Wine polyphenols are known to influence the growth of LAB and MLF performance. The study of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside, as representative of anthocyanins (considering the main phenolic compounds in red wines), was of interest because a potential limitation of the synthetic wine media is the lack of these wine components as well as other wine nutrients (aminoacids, vitamins, etc). Although malvidin 3,5-diglucoside was able to interact with the growth of the *L. plantarum* strains tested, the effect was variable, depending on the strain and the concentration, suggesting a high microbial diversity to wine phenolics, in agreement with previous studies (García-Ruiz *et al.*, 2008; Campos *et al.*, 2016).

In the selection of the strains of *L. plantarum* able to perform malolactic fermentation, the characteristic of the strain to supply β -glucosidase enzymes capable of influencing the flavours and of operating under the physicochemical conditions of wine is very important. All strains tested showed β -glucosidase activity, important because having the potential to release glycosidically bound flavour compounds influences the wine aroma profile (Boido *et al.*, 2002; D'Incecco *et al.*, 2004; Matthews *et al.*, 2004; Spano *et al.*, 2005). Furthermore, the ability of the strains to hydrolyse

grape glycosides, releasing different types of aglycones belonging to different chemical families (terpenes, benzenic derivatives and C6-alcohols), was evaluated by using a commercial glycoside, and then confirmed using a precursor glycoside extract obtained from white grapes. On the basis of their aroma characteristics, some of the compounds generated by the strains studied might be relevant to aroma perception. For instance, terpenes are important odorant compounds that exhibit a very low odour threshold and flowery-citric aroma nuances in wines (Baumes, 2009). Linalool is one of the most common odorant aglycones released from some floral grape varieties, and it was found in all the strain cultures assayed. In addition, two benzenoid compounds (benzyl alcohol and β -phenylethyl alcohol) were also identified. Among them, β -phenylethanol has been related to a rose-like odour (Botelho *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, some lipid derivatives, such as C6-alcohols (1-hexanol), were identified in the strains cultures. Nonetheless, other typical wine aroma compounds from grape glycosidic aroma precursors, such as C-13 norisoprenoides, vanillins or volatile phenols (Baumes, 2009), were not detected in the cultures.

As can be seen in Table 2, the ability to hydrolyse and release the corresponding odorant aglycones was different depending on the type of bacteria assayed (bacteria-dependent). For example, the *L. plantarum* strain M10 was one of the major producers of limonene, linalool and its corresponding oxides, suggesting that this strain could be responsible for the generation of floral and flowery notes from grape glycosides.

Finally, the malolactic performance of a selected strain *L. plantarum* M10, which demonstrated the best activities, was then determined in small-scale winery conditions. Our work highlights that degradation of L-malic acid was successfully completed in wines inoculated with *L. plantarum* M10 (in both the co-inoculation and the sequential inoculum fermentation procedures) but not in the non-inoculated wine. The evaluation of the LAB population inoculated in the two MLF inoculation experiments (tanks A, B, C and D), confirmed the dominance of the population of the *L. plantarum* species, ensuring the dominance of the selected strain M10 in tanks A and C, and of the commercial starter V22 in tanks B and D. In both MLF inoculation scenarios, the *L. plantarum* strain M10

selected and employed during the course of the industrial-scale fermentation seems to perform best and when wine was inoculated with the yeast strain *S. cerevisiae* AM37 was able to successfully complete MLF; in fact, no decrease in cell counts was observed after inoculation. Regarding the capacity of a selected strain to take over spontaneous LAB population, several works have evidenced that the dominance of the starter is not always guaranteed (Maicas *et al.*, 2000; Arnink and Henick-Kling, 2005). The growth of indigenous LAB and many technological factors can significantly affect the implantation capacity of the starter (Wibowo *et al.*, 1985). In our study, however, there was a good implantation of the selected strain and therefore a quick malolactic fermentation, confirmed by genetic analysis using PCR-DGGE and RAPD typing, in agreement with previous studies on the potential selection of *O. oeni* and *L. plantarum* South African wine isolates as malolactic starters (Lerm *et al.*, 2011).

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, a good understanding of MLF offers great potential in the manufacture of wine quality. In this study a new *L. plantarum* M10 strain was selected, able to degrade L-malic acid in synthetic media with a low pH and high alcohol content, and furthermore was also able to complete the MLF for co-inoculation in must in a short time without producing biogenic amines. *L. plantarum* M10 strain could be used as a starter for MLF co-inoculation in the must, at pH 3.5 and alcohol content of 12% v/v, enhancing the wine flavour by releasing different types of wine odorants. Further studies will be carried out to assess the influence of *L. plantarum* M10 strain on the aroma and sensorial characteristics of wines.

Acknowledgement

This work was funded by the MINECO (Spanish National Projects AGL2015-64522-C2-1-R and PRI-PIBAR-2011-1358) and by Molise Region (Rural Development Programme 2007–2013, Measure 1.2.4. Cooperation for development of new products, processes and technologies in the agriculture and food sector and in forestry).

References

- Alberto MR, Farias ME, De Nadra MC (2001). Effect of gallic acid and catechin on *Lactobacillus hilgardii* 5w growth and metabolism of organic compounds. *J Agric Food Chem*, 49:4359–4363.
- Álvarez, M.A.; Moreno-Arribas, M.V. (2014). The problem of biogenic amines in fermented foods and the use of potential biogenic amine-degrading microorganisms as a solution. *Trends Food Sci Technol*. 39, 146-155.
- Arnink K and Henick-Kling T (2005). Influence of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Oenococcus oeni* strains on successful malolactic conversion in wine. *Am J Enol Vitic*, 56:228–237.
- Bartowsky, E., Costello, P.; McCarthy, J. (2008) MLF - adding an 'extra dimension' to wine flavour and quality. *Australian New Zealand Grapegrower Winemaker* 2011; 533a: 60-5.
- Baumes, R. (2009). Wine aroma precursors. In M. V. Moreno-Arribas and M. C. Polo (Eds.), *Wine chemistry and biochemistry*, Springer: New York.
- Boido E, Lloret A, Medina K, Carrau F, Dellacassa E (2002). Effect of β -glucosidase activity of *Oenococcus oeni* on the glycosylated flavor precursors of Tannat wine during malolactic fermentation. *J Agric Food Chem*, 50:2344-2349.
- Botelho G., Mendes-Faia A., Clímaco M. C., (2008). Differences in odor-active compounds of Trincadeira wines obtained from five different clones. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 56 (16): 7393-7398.
- Bover-Cid S, Holzapfel WH. Improve screening procedure for biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria (1999). *Int J Food Microbiol*, 53: 33–41.
- Bravo-Ferrada B, Hollmann A, Delfederico L, Valdes La hens D, Cabaleiro A, Semorile L (2013). Patagonial red wines: selection of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolates as potential starter cultures for malolactic fermentation. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*, 19:1537-1549.
- Campos FM, Figueiredo AR, Hogg TH, Couto JA (2009). Effect of phenolic acids on glucose and organic acid metabolism by lactic acid bacteria from wine. *Food Microbiol*, 26:409-414.
- Campos FM.; Couto, JA., Hogg, T.(2016), Utilization of natural and by-products to improve wine safety. *En: 'Wine: Safety, Consumer preferences, and Health'. Moreno-Arribas M.V.; Bartolomé, B. Springer Life Sciences Publisher Eds., New York, USA. ISBN 978-3-319-24512-6, 2016, pp. 27-19.*
- Carreté R, Vidal MT, Bordons A, Constant M (2002). Inhibitory effect of sulfur dioxide and other stress compounds in wine on the ATPase activity of *Oenococcus oeni*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*, 211:155-159.
- D'Incecco N, Bartowsky E, Kassara S, Lante A, Spettoli P ; Henschke P (2004). Release of glycosidically bound flavour compounds of Chardonnay by *Oenococcus oeni* during malolactic fermentation. *Food Microbiol*, 21:257-265.
- Du Toit, M., Engelbrecht, L., Lerm, E. ; Krieger-Weber, S., (2011). *Lactobacillus*: The Next Generation of Malolactic Fermentation Starter Cultures – An Overview. *Food Bioprocess Technol*.4, 876-906.
- Du Toit, M. (2012). Novel lactic acid bacteria for use as MLF starter cultures. *Acenología Enoreports*, 30.04.12.
- European Community (1999) Commission Regulation No 761/1999 of 12 April 1999 amending Regulation (EEC) No 2676/90 determining Community methods for the analysis of wines. *Off J Eur Commun. Regulation 761/1999*, 4–14.
- Ferreira L, Vega S, Sánchez-Juanes F, González M, Herrero A, Muñoz MC, González-Buitrago JM, Muñoz JL (2010). Identificación bacteriana mediante espectrometría de masas *matrix*-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight. Comparación con la metodología habitual en los laboratorios de Microbiología Clínica. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*, 28:492-497.
- Fumi, M.D., Krieger-Weber, S., Deléris-Bou, M., Silva, A.; Du Toit, M., (2010). A new generation of malolactic starter cultures for high pH wines. *Proceedings International IVIF Congress 2010, WB3 Microorganisms-Malolactic-Fermentation*
- García-Ruiz, A., Bartolomé, B., Martínez-Rodríguez, A. J., Pueyo, E., Martín-Álvarez, P. J., Moreno-Arribas, M. V. (2008). Potential of phenolic compounds for controlling lactic acid bacteria growth in wine. *Food Control*, 19, 835-841.
- García-Ruiz, A.; Tabasco, R.; Requena, T.; Claisse, O.; Lonvaud-Funel, A.; Bartolomé, B.; Moreno-Arribas, M.V. (2013a). Genetic diversity of *Oenococcus oeni* isolated from wines treated with phenolic extracts as antimicrobial agents. *Food Microbiol.*, 36, 267-274
- García-Ruiz A, Requena T, Peláez C, Bartolomé B, Moreno-Arribas MV, Martínez-Cuesta MC (2013b). Antimicrobial activity of lacticin 3147 against oenological lactic acid bacteria. Combined effect with other antimicrobial agents. *Food Control*, 32:477-483.
- Guth H. (1997) Quantitation and sensory studies of character impact odorants of different white wine varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem*. 45, 3027-3032.
- Henschke PA. (1993). An overview of malolactic fermentation research. *Wine Ind J*, 2:69–79.
- Knoll C, Divol B, du Toit M. (2008) Genetic screening of lactic acid bacteria of oenological origin for bacteriocin-encoding genes. *Food Microbiol*. 5(8):983-91.

- Lafon-Lafourcade S, Carre E, Ribéreau-Gayon P (1983). Occurrence of lactic acid bacteria during the different stages of vinification and conservation of wines. *Appl Environ Microb*, 46:874-880.
- Landete, JM; Ferrer, S.; Pardo, I (2007). Biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria, acetic acid bacteria and yeast isolated from wine. *Food Control*, 18, 1569-1574.
- Lerm E, Engelbrecht L, Du Toit M (2011). Selection and characterization of *Oenococcus oeni* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* South African Wine Isolates for use as malolactic fermentation starter cultures. *S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic*, 32:280-295.
- Liu SQ and Pilone GJ (2000). An overview of formation and roles of acetaldehyde in winemaking with emphasis on microbiological implications. *Int J Food Sci Tech*, 35:49-61.
- Lonvaud-Funel A, (2001). Biogenic amines in wine: role of lactic acid bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*, 199: 9-13.
- López, R., López-Alfaro, I., Gutiérrez, A.R., Tenorio, C, Garijo, P., González-Arenzana, L.; Santamaría, P. (2011). Malolactic fermentation of Tempranillo wine: contribution of the lactic acid bacteria inoculation to sensory quality and chemical composition. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 46, 2373-2381.
- Maicas S, Pardo I and Ferrer S (2000). The effects of freezing and freeze-drying of *Oenococcus oeni* upon induction of malolactic fermentation in red wine. *Int J Food Sci Technol*, 35:75-79.
- Marcobal A, Polo MC, Martín-Alvarez, PJ, Moreno-Arribas MV (2005). Biogenic amine content of red Spanish wines: comparison of a direct ELISA and an HPLC method for the determination of histamine in wines. *Food Res Int*, 38:387-394.
- Martínez-Cuesta MC, Buist G, Kok J, Hauge HH, Nissen-Meyer J, Peláez C, Requena T (2000). Biological and molecular characterization of a two-peptide lantibiotic produced by *Lactococcus lactis* IFPL105. *J Appl Microbiol*, 89:249-260.
- Matthews A, Grimaldi A, Walker M, Bartowsky E, Grbin P.; Jiranek V (2004). Lactic acid bacteria as a potential source of enzymes for use in vinification. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 70:5715-5731.
- Moreno-Arribas, V.; Torlois, S.; Joyeux, A.; Bertrand, A.; Lonvaud-Funel, A. (2000). Isolation, properties and behaviour of tyramine-producing lactic acid bacteria from wine. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 88, 584-593
- Moreno-Arribas MV, Polo MC, Jorganes F, Munoz R (2003). Screening of biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria isolated from grape must and wine. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 84:117-123.
- Moreno-Arribas, M.V.; Polo, M.C. (2005). Winemaking microbiology and biochemistry: current knowledge and future trends. *Cr. Rev. Food Sc. Nutr.* 45, 265-286
- Muñoz-González, C, Cueva, C.; Pozo-Bayón, MA, Moreno-Arribas MV (2015). Ability of human oral microbiota to produce wine odorant aglycones from odourless grape glycosidic aroma precursors. *Food Chem*, 15:112-119.
- Prahl, C., 1988. Method of inducing the decarboxylation of malic acid in must or fruit juice. European patent filed 24.01.1989, priority 25.01.1988, International application number PCT/DK89/00009.
- Reguant C, Bordons A, Arola L, Rozes N (2000). Influence of phenolic compounds on the physiology of *Oenococcus oeni* from wine. *J Appl Microbiol*, 88:1065-1071.
- Rodríguez-Bencomo JJ, Selli S, Muñoz-González C, Martín-Álvarez PJ, Pozo-Bayón MA (2013). Application of glycosidic aroma precursors to enhance the aroma and sensory profile of dealcoholised wines. *Food Res Int*, 51:450-457.
- Rojo-Bezares, B., Sáez, Y., Zarazaga, M., Torres, C., Ruiz-Larrea, F., (2007). Antimicrobial activity of nisin against *Oenococcus oeni* and other wine bacteria. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 116, 32-36
- Ruiz, P., Izquierdo, P.M., Seseña, S., Palop, M.L. (2010). Selection of autochthonous *Oenococcus oeni* strains according to their oenological properties and vinification results. *Int. J. Food Microbiol*. 137, 230-235.
- Shalaby AR (1996). Significance of biogenic amines to food safety and human health. *Food Res Int*, 29 (7):675-690.
- Spano G, Beneduce L, Tarantino D, Zapparoli G, Massa S (2002). Characterization of *Lactobacillus plantarum* from wine must by PCR species-specific and RAPD-PCR. *Lett Appl Microbio.*, 35:370-374.
- Spano G, Rinaldi A, Ugliano M, Moio L, Beneduce L.; Massa S (2005). A β -glucosidase gene isolated from wine *Lactobacillus plantarum* is regulated by abiotic stresses. *J Appl Microbiol*, 98:855-861.
- Swiegers JH, Bartowsky EJ, Henschke PA, Pretorius LS (2005). Yeast and bacteria modulation of wine aroma and flavour. *Aus J Grape Wine Res*, 11:139-173.
- Tabasco R, García-Cayuela T, Peláez C, Requena T (2009). *Lactobacillus acidophilus* La-5 increases lactacin B production when it senses live target bacteria. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 132:109-116.
- Tabasco R, Sánchez-Patán F, Monagas M, Bartolomé B, Moreno-Arribas MV, Peláez C, Requena T (2011). Effect of grape polyphenols on lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria growth: Resistance and metabolism. *Food Microbiol*, 28:1345-1352.
- Testa B, Lombardi SJ, Tremonte P, Succi M, Tipaldi L, Pannella G, Sorrentino E, Iorizzo M.; Coppola R (2014). Biodiversity of *Lactobacillus plantarum* from traditional Italian wines. *W J Microbiol Biotech*, 30(8), 2299-2305.
- Valdés La Hens, D.; Bravo-Ferrada¹ BM.; Delfederico, L.; Caballero, AC.; Semorile, L.C. (2015) Prevalence of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Oenococcus oeni* during spontaneous malolactic fermentation in Patagonian red wines revealed by polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis with two targeted genes. *Austr. J. Grape Wine Res*. 21, 49-56

- 595 Wibowo D, Eschenbruch R, Davis CR, Fleet GH, Lee TH (1985). Occurrence and growth of lactic acid bacteria in
596 wine: a review. *Am J Enol Vitic*, 36:302–313.
- 597 Wibowo D, Fleet GH, Lee TH, Eschenbruch RE (1988). Factors affecting the induction of malolactic fermentation in
598 red wines with *Leuconostoc oenos*. *J Appl Bacteriol*, 64: 421–428.
- 599

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Evolution of L-malic acid concentration in synthetic wine inoculated with *L. plantarum* isolated strains (M10, A1, M17, M26, P9, P5, M24, M10, R1, P1, M22, T13) and commercial V22 strain at: **a)** pH 3.5 and 11% (v/v) ethanol content; **b)** pH 3.5 and 13% (v/v) ethanol content; **c)** pH 3.2 and 11% (v/v) ethanol content; **d)** pH 3.2 and 13% (v/v) ethanol content; **e)** pH 3.0 and 10% (v/v) ethanol content.

Figure 2. 1-octanol production (absolute peak areas: apa) from Octyl- β -D-glucopyranoside by the strains assayed in this study.

Figure 3. Evolution of L-malic acid concentration in Cabernet Sauvignon. Co-inoculum: A (*L. plantarum* M10 + *S. cerevisiae* AM37) and B (*L. plantarum* V22 + *S. cerevisiae* AM37). Sequential inoculum: C (*L. plantarum* M10) and D (*L. plantarum* V22). Control: E (*S. cerevisiae* AM37)

Figure 1:

Figure 2:

Each value represents the mean $\pm$ standard deviation of two independent replicates. Different letters within columns indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 3:

668 **Table 1.** API-ZYM galleries of mean enzymatic activities corresponding to 12 *L. plantarum* strains

Enzymatic activities	Strains											
	M10	M17	M26	M22	V22	M24	T13	P1	P9	P5	A1	R1
Alkaline phosphatase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Esterase (C4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Esterase lipase (C8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lipase (C14)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Leucine arylamidase	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Valine arylamidase	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
Cystine arylamidase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trypsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
α -hymotrypsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Acid phosphatase	W	W	W	+	W	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase	+	+	+	W	+	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
α -galactosidase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
β -galactosidase	W	W	W	W	-	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
β -glucuronidase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
α -glucosidase	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
β -glucosidase	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
N-acetyl- β -glucosaminidase	W	W	W	W	-	W	W	W	W	W	W	W
α -mannosidase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
α -fucosidase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

669 Biochemical tests were performed using API-ZYM systems (BioMérieux). + Positive ; W weakly positive ; - negative.

670

671

Table 2. Ability of *L. plantarum* strains to release aromatic aglycones from odourless grape glycosidic precursors. Data represent the comparison of the same aroma compound determined in different microbial cultures and are expressed in percentage (considering the highest value of a specific compound as 100% and comparing this value with the amounts of the same compound determined in the other microbial cultures).

	control	A1	R1	M17	M22	M24	M10	M26	P1	P5	P9	T13	V22
Terpenes													
Limonene	0	46.46	84.43	42.32	74.36	40.91	100	59.37	44.28	37.08	39.95	40.90	60.77
Linalool	0	98.94	81.06	86.22	88.09	91.54	99.10	92.15	94.11	70.26	83.05	83.78	100
Linalool oxide 1	0	88.91	74.25	76.22	84.53	71.51	100	84.02	78.33	69.27	62.12	90.07	98.48
beta-myrcene	0	85.02	85.44	88.15	100	86.65	87.32	85.02	90.40	66.22	80.31	84.13	99.02
Benzenic derivatives													
Benzyl alcohol	0	73.03	81.24	77.41	66.84	65.39	93.88	61.61	58.21	58.56	47.77	70.50	100
beta-phenylethyl alcohol	0	55.99	81.44	87.07	51.69	49.21	98.96	45.81	45.38	34.92	34.12	48.96	100
C6 Alcohols													
1-Hexanol	0	100	81.89	70.68	63.40	63.13	96.61	64.14	68.10	51.02	52.41	59.29	90.15
trans-2-hexen-1-ol	0	67.63	46.23	100	47.68	49.12	58.42	53.82	45.91	45.70	32.91	44.10	49.04

676 **Table 3.** Turbidity difference at 600 nm between cultures with or without malvidin 3,5-diglucoside

Strain	mg/mL malvidin 3,5-diglucoside		
	0.500	0.250	0.125
A1	-18.4 ± 6.0	-18.2 ± 8.4	-10.8 ± 8.4
R1	94.5a ± 11.2	75.6b ± 6.1	69.9b ± 1.1
M17	-25.3c ± 2.88	-21.4b ± 2.7	-17.3a ± 2.5
M10	-5.91 ± 1.36	-5.85 ± 1.59	-6.73 ± 1.13
M22	-6.48 ± 0.17	-7.78 ± 1.57	-6.94 ± 3.21
M24	95.1 ± 21.2	72.6 ± 11.7	58.2 ± 14.4
M26	-14.0b ± 1.6	-9.16ab ± 1.90	-4.13a ± 3.84
P1	-3.98 ± 2.49	-1.49 ± 0.96	-1.61 ± 0.79
P5	-55.5 ± 2.02	-50.2 ± 0.5	-42.5 ± 8.6
P9	19.9a ± 14.2	6.01a ± 10.90	-19.5b ± 4.0
T13	-9.52 ± 3.79	-15.6 ± 0.6	-10.9 ± 2.4
V22	20.0a ± 1.9	-10.7b ± 5.8	-28.9c ± 4.6

677 Each value represents the mean ± standard deviation standards of three independent replicates.

678 Different letters within columns indicate significant differences ($P < 0.01$).

679 The effect of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside on the bacteria growth was expressed as % inhibition/stimulation.

680 Positive value: increased growth; Negative value: decreased growth.

681 Control: ZMB1 medium in the absence of malvidin 3,5-diglucoside.

682

Table 4. Physical-chemical analysis of wines obtained with co-inoculation (A, B) and sequential inoculum (C, D) at the end of the malolactic fermentation. Tank E represents a control, wine inoculated with the *S. cerevisiae* AM37 strain

	A	B	C	D	E
pH	3.54 ± 0.01	3.48 ± 0.04	3.38 ± 0.05	3.37 ± 0.06	3.38 ± 0.05
titrable acidity (g/L)	8.52 ± 0.05	8.31 ± 0.06	8.60 ± 0.04	8.62 ± 0.03	8.67 ± 0.04
SO ₂ total (mg/L)	22.5 ± 0.01	12.5 ± 0.50	22.5 ± 0.01	19.5 ± 0.02	17.5 ± 0.05
L-malic acid (g/L)	0.02 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.06	0.06 ± 0.08	4.99 ± 0.20
L-lactic acid (g/L)	3.11 ± 0.01	2.72 ± 0.05	3.11 ± 0.06	3.12 ± 0.08	0.09 ± 0.34
D-lactic acid (g/L)	3.17 ± 0.01	2.68 ± 0.03	2.23 ± 0.01	2.18 ± 0.04	0.41 ± 0.05
acetic acid (g/L)	0.11 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01
residual sugar (g/L)	0.66 ± 0.01	0.63 ± 0.01	0.65 ± 0.01	0.80 ± 0.04	1.66 ± 0.30
alcohol (% v/v)	12.4 ± 0.01	12.2 ± 0.01	12.2 ± 0.01	12.1 ± 0.01	12.0 ± 0.01

Manuscript title: '**Selection and technological/functional potential of *Lactobacillus plantarum* bacteria suitable for wine malolactic fermentation and grape aroma release**'

Authors: Massimo Iorizzo, Bruno Testa, Silvia Jane Lombardi, Almudena García-Ruiz, Carolina Muñoz-González, Begoña Bartolomé, M. Victoria Moreno-Arribas

Highlights

- Selection of new *L. plantarum* malolactic starters
- None of the strains tested produced biogenic amines
- First application of MALDI-TOF/TOF in the study of bacteriocin-producing wine lactic acid bacteria
- First evidence about the ability of wine *L. plantarum* to hydrolyse grape glycosides, releasing different types of odorant aglycones
- *L. plantarum* M10 strain was proposed for co-inoculation in must to complete the MLF