Tunable crossover between one- and three-dimensional magnetic dynamics in Co$^{II}$ single-chain magnets organized by halogen bonding
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Low-temperature magnetometry, ac susceptibility, and calorimetry have been employed to study Co-based single-chain magnets (SCMs) organized through halogen bonding. Magnetic hysteresis and maxima in the dc and ac susceptibilities, respectively, confirm the SCM behavior of the system. Several characteristic magnetic relaxation regimes are observed at different temperatures, which can be associated with both intra- and interchain exchange interactions. Remarkably, tweaking the rate at which an external magnetic field is swept along the axis of the chains enables a controlled transition between the one- and three-dimensional dynamics. Experiments on an isostructural Co-based SCM system crystallized with different halogens do not show three-dimensional dynamics, illustrating the importance of halogen bonding on the control of interchain interactions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Single-chain magnets (SCMs) are potential candidates for ultrahigh-magnetic storage devices. Furthermore, one-dimensional (1D) structures have proven to be extremely good candidates for probing and understanding exchange interactions in extended systems. Uniaxial anisotropic spin centers paired with strong magnetic correlations at first neighbors within the chain result in a slow relaxation of the magnetization paired with strong magnetic correlations at first neighbors. The magnetic dynamics in SCMs within the chain result in a slow relaxation of the magnetization paired with strong magnetic correlations at first neighbors.

In these 1D systems the magnetic dynamics in SCMs within the chain result in a slow relaxation of the magnetization paired with strong magnetic correlations at first neighbors. Uniaxial anisotropic spin centers candidates for probing and understanding exchange interactions. Remarkably, tweaking the rate at which an external magnetic field is swept along the axis of the chains enables a controlled transition between the one- and three-dimensional dynamics. Experiments on an isostructural Co-based SCM system crystallized with different halogens do not show three-dimensional dynamics, illustrating the importance of halogen bonding on the control of interchain interactions.
halogen atoms act as electrophiles [19,20]) to direct the packing of linear cobalt chloride chains, causing a decrease in the antiferromagnetic interchain interactions and the appearance of features typical of SCMs. In addition, this type of SCM based on CoII can present very interesting magnetic phenomena, such as strong magnetochiral dichroism [21].

In this article, we report detailed ac and dc susceptibility and specific heat studies that confirm the SCM nature of these CoII-based systems. Magnetic measurements detect a phase transition to 3D ordering occurring at temperatures below 0.45 K in the compound with stronger halogen bonding (Br halogen), while no such ordering is observed in the derivative with Cl halogen, down to the lowest temperature achieved in the experiments (34 mK). Interestingly, a crossover between 1D and 3D magnetic dynamics can be induced by varying the sweep rate of the applied magnetic field. The exclusive “viscomagnetic” (in a parallelism to viscoelastic) behavior observed in this SCM compound may lead to novel applications in which the magnetic response of a device changes attending to the characteristic time of the input.

II. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Two single-chain magnets of formula trans-[CoCl2(3,5 - X2py)2] [18], with halogens X = Br(1) and X = Cl(2), have been studied in this work. Both compounds crystallize in the tetragonal space group $P\bar{4}b2$ and consist of linear chains that run parallel to the crystallographic c axis, as shown in Fig. 1(a). These chains are formed by edge-shared octahedra of composition trans-CoCl4(3,5 - X2py)2 with the four chlorine atoms occupying the equatorial plane and forming symmetrical $\mu_2$ - Cl bridges which connect adjacent cobalt centers, while the organic pyridine-based ligands (3,5-dihalopyridines) complete the coordination sphere of the cobalt atoms at trans positions. Two different orientations of the chains are found in the crystal structure, related by a 90º rotation as shown in Fig. 1(b). The chains are formed by CoII ions as the magnetic core, laying at the intersections of three twofold symmetry axes, bridged by chloride ligands that lead to ferromagnetic exchange interactions within the chain, as evidenced by high-temperature ($T > 2$ K) susceptibility measurements [18]. The coordination environment of each Co center is completed by two halopyridines bonded to the metal center via the nitrogen atom. The most remarkable difference between 1 and 2 is the strength of the halogen bonds formed by the halopyridines, that is, the interaction of the carbon-bound halogens (C–X) with nucleophiles [19,20].

As shown in Fig. 1(b), each of the linear chains interacts with four neighboring chains via halogen bonds between the electrophilic region of one carbon-bound halogen (the axial region) and the nucleophilic site of another (the equatorial region), i.e., a type-II halogen-halogen interaction. Table I summarizes the structural parameters of the two compounds as extracted from x-ray diffraction.

The present study mainly focuses on the compound with the stronger halogen bond (1), which shows 3D magnetic dynamics at low temperatures. Recent electron-paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies performed on a single crystal of this compound demonstrate that the system behaves as a collection of finite SCMs at temperatures below 2 K [22].

With the use of high-sensitivity micro-Hall-effect magnetometry ($\mu$-HEM) [23] [see Fig. 1(c)], dc susceptibility measurements were carried out on submillimeter-sized single crystals of compounds 1 and 2 at temperatures down to 34 mK achieved by an Oxford Instruments dilution refrigerator, and in conjunction with a 1.2 - 1.2 - 8.0 T superconducting vector magnet. For temperatures down to 2 K, ac susceptibility measurements on powdered crystalline samples of compound
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</table>
were carried out by means of a commercial superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer equipped with an ac measurement option. A homemade susceptometer [24], installed in a dilution refrigerator, was employed to extend the ac susceptibility measurements down to 0.1 K. The heat capacity of a powdered crystalline sample of 1 was measured from 0.3 K up to 30 K with a commercial Physical Properties Measurement System that makes use of the relaxation method [25].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Magnetic susceptibility

Figure 2 shows the variable-temperature magnetic properties of 1 as a $\chi T$ vs $T$ plot, where $\chi$ is the molar magnetic susceptibility derived from dc magnetization data collected in the 2–300 K temperature range and under an applied magnetic field of 0.1 T.

The room-temperature $\chi T$ value (2.7 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$) is higher than that calculated from the spin-only value (1.875 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ for a spin $S = 3/2$), in agreement with the orbital contribution to the magnetic moment expected for octahedral high-spin Co$^{II}$. We note that $\chi T$ gradually decreases on lowering the temperature below approximately 200 K, reaching the minimum (1.9 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$) at $\approx 25$ K. This behavior points to the thermal depopulation of magnetic energy levels of isolated Co$^{II}$ ions which are split into six doublets, a consequence of splitting of the $^4T_{1g}$ ground state due to spin-orbit coupling and crystal field distortions [26]. At very low temperatures each Co$^{II}$ behaves then as an anisotropic effective spin $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ associated with the lowest-lying Kramer’s doublet of Co$^{II}$ ion. Lowering the temperature even further leads to a steep increase in $\chi T$, showing the presence of dominantly ferromagnetic interactions that we associate to the intrachain exchange interactions.

![FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of dc $\chi T$ for 1, collected for $\mu_0H = 0.1$ T. Inset: Same set of data plotted vs 1/$T$. The y axis is logarithmic and the solid black line highlights the linear dependence of ln($\chi T$) on the reciprocal temperature in the temperature range 4–20 K.](image)

An anisotropic exchange model has been developed to reproduce the low-temperature magnetic data of 1 and 2 (in the range 4 K $< T < 20$ K). The model is based on exact calculations performed on closed chains of increasing lengths (up to 12 spins) (see Supplementary Material (SM) [27]). The following exchange parameters have been obtained: $J_z = 4.9$ cm$^{-1}$ for 1 and 3.9 cm$^{-1}$ for 2 (along the chains) and $J_{xy} = 2.6$ cm$^{-1}$ for 1 and 1.6 cm$^{-1}$ for 2 (perpendicular to the chains). Notice that a fully isotropic exchange model (with $J_z = J_{xy}$) provides a much worse fit; even if anisotropic Landé factors are considered (Fig. 3). The magnetic parameters are summarized in Table II. This result supports the existence of an anisotropy in the exchange couplings, as expected for this type of Co$^{II}$ chains. Further support for this anisotropy is provided by the temperature dependence of $\chi T$. As shown by the inset of Fig. 2, between 4 and 20 K, $\chi T \approx C_{\text{eff}} \exp(\Delta_\xi/k_B T)$. From the exponential fit we obtain $C_{\text{eff}} \approx 1.9$ cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ and the exchange energy $\Delta_\xi \approx 4.1$ K. Since $\Delta_\xi = 4|J|S^2$, we obtain an effective intrachain coupling $J \approx 2.8$ cm$^{-1}$, in good agreement with the previous estimate. Below 4 K, interchain interactions and lattice defects that prevent the formation and movement of 1D domain walls are likely responsible for the deviation of ln($\chi T$) from linearity (Fig. 2).

![FIG. 3. Thermal dependence of the dc $\chi T$ product of 1 (down) and 2 (upper) in the 2–20 K interval; the solid lines represent the best fit to an anisotropic model and the dashed lines represent the best fit to an isotropic model (see Table II).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$J_z$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$J_{xy}$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$J$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$g_z$</th>
<th>$g_{xy}$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anisotropic</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.3$\times10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Isotropic</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>6.4$\times10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anisotropic</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>8.7$\times10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Isotropic</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.1$\times10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Magnetic hysteresis

Figure 4 shows Hall-effect magnetometry results obtained on a single crystal of compound 1 at low temperatures ($T = 0.034–1$ K), sweeping the magnetic field at a constant rate of 0.15 T/min. Data in Fig. 4 are collected with the magnetic field applied along the crystallographic $c$ axis. Clear hysteresis loops, shrinking as the temperature increases, confirm the behavior of this compound as a molecular magnet. Similar measurements carried out on compound 2 show weak hysteretic behavior with much narrower loops and negligible coercive fields at the lowest temperature (shown in SM [27]).

The hysteretic behavior in this sample is quite complex and interesting, as can be clearly observed from the non-monotonous shape of the hysteresis loops. Further insight into this not-so-simple behavior is achieved by plotting the field derivative of the magnetization at $T = 300$ mK (inset of Fig. 4) as a function of the magnetic field. For this temperature and sweep rate the hysteresis shows three distinct peaks which are associated with three different relaxation mechanisms in this sample. It will be argued below that peaks 2 and 3 are associated with 1D and 3D magnetic dynamics, respectively, while peak 1 corresponds to the zero-field susceptibility, which decreases with increasing temperature. Moreover, the analysis of peak 1 as a function of the angle of application of the external magnetic field is used to determine the orientation of the magnetic symmetry axes. Figure 5(a) depicts a polar plot showing the modulation of the zero-field susceptibility (i.e., height of peak 1) at 230 mK as a function of the angle of application of the magnetic field with respect to the crystallographic $c$ axis. An angle increment of 10 degrees was used. Within the errors associated with the crystal orientation ($\pm 5$ degrees), the observed twofold modulation, with minima along $90^\circ–270^\circ$ and maxima along $0^\circ–180^\circ$, evidences a magnetic symmetry axis directed along the crystallographic $c$ axis, i.e. the magnetic easy axis lies parallel to the chains (long crystal axis).

The uniaxial anisotropy observed in this sample is difficult to reconcile with the symmetry of the local coordination of the Co$^2\textsuperscript{+}$ atoms, which consists of four neighboring Cl ions forming a plane parallel to the chain’s axis and two N ions forming an axis perpendicular to the Cl plane, and consequently, to the axis of the chain. Thus we instead propose an easy-plane-type local anisotropy for the Co, with the easy magnetic plane composed of four Cl ions and containing a chain axis. Since adjacent chains are rotated by 90 degrees with respect to the $c$ axis, the corresponding easy magnetic planes of the Co ions in different chains are orthogonal to each other. As a result of the weak interchain exchange interaction, the two orthogonal easy planes will combine to develop an overall easy magnetic axis along the chain axis, which is the only “easy” direction for the Co ions in all chains, as illustrated in Fig. 5(b).

C. Three-dimensional magnetic correlations

The evolution of the $dM/dH$ maxima (peaks 1–3 in Fig. 4 inset) with temperature is shown in Fig. 6. Obviously, peak 1 (the zero-field susceptibility) does not shift with temperature, whereas peak 2 decreases slightly as the temperature increases. As mentioned above, this peak relates to 1D hysteretic dynamics intrinsic to the SCMs and survives at high temperatures ($T > 1$ K), and it will be discussed in the following section. We focus here on the distinct temperature behavior of peak 3, which appears only below 0.45 K and whose field position quickly grows with decreasing temperature until saturating at $H_{\text{max}} = 0.142$ T. Within the mean-field theory one can calculate the temperature dependence of the reduced magnetization parameter $m = M/M_s$ from the following expression: $F(\frac{2\pi m}{k_B T}) = m$, with $F(x) = 2S + \frac{1}{2}\coth[2S + \frac{1}{2}] - \frac{1}{2}\coth[\frac{x}{2}]$. Since the magnetization value at a given field is directly related to the coercive field (represented by peak 3...
chains formed by $S$ field estimation of the reduced magnetization parameter, assuming hysteresis loops of Fig. 4. The solid red line is the theoretical mean-position of the peaks observed in the field derivative of the magnetic vanishing at the transition temperature of a magnetic phase transition, with the ordering parameter ordering response of the system. This behavior is characteristic is basically used here to qualitatively show the characteristic constant has no physical meaning in this context, and the model or not in the same chain, so the extracted value of the exchange Co ions are coupled by the same exchange parameter, whether (continuous red line). However, this model assumes that all in this case), data in Fig. 6 are well reproduced by the same model, multiplying $m$ by the saturation coercive field $H_{\text{max}}$ (continuous red line). However, this model assumes that all Co ions are coupled by the same exchange parameter, whether or not in the same chain, so the extracted value of the exchange constant has no physical meaning in this context, and the model is basically used here to qualitatively show the characteristic ordering response of the system. This behavior is characteristic of a magnetic phase transition, with the ordering parameter vanishing at the transition temperature $T_c \sim 0.45$ K, which we associate to 3D magnetic ordering as a result of weak interchain exchange interactions. We exclude dipolar coupling as the possible origin since 3D ordering is not observed in 2, which displays almost identical structural characteristics.

A quick estimation of the magnitude of the interchain exchange interactions giving rise to the 3D ordering observed in this material at low temperatures can be obtained using mean-field theory and assuming chains formed by $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ spins. In this case, using $J = 2.8$ cm$^{-1}$ for the intrachain coupling and the critical temperature $T_c = 0.45$ K, we estimate the intrachain coupling $J'$ using the Oguchi’s model [28], where $J' = 3J(\eta)k_BT_c/45(S+1)$, with $\eta = |J'|/|J| \approx 0.008$, $I(\eta) \sim 7$, and therefore $|J'| \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^{-1}$. Although weak, the interchain interactions have a profound influence on the establishment of 3D magnetic order. The mechanism leading to full order could be inhibited by the (slow) dynamics of the 1D excitations. Besides, the ordering process should also be readily disturbed by the presence of lattice defects that prevent the formation and movement of domain walls along the chains. The experimental evidence for the onset of 3D magnetic ordering should be very dependent on the initial conditions of temperature and applied field, which could concur with freezing-in some amount of disorder during the ordering process.

The slow relaxation of the magnetization is further explored with zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetic susceptibility experiments performed at a fixed magnetic field applied along the easy-axis direction (parallel to the chains). In our ZFC measurement, the sample is cooled down to 34 mK in the absence of a magnetic field. Then at a given field $H_{\text{ZFC-FC}}$, the temperature is increased up to 1.4 K while the sample magnetization is monitored. Subsequently, the sample is cooled again without eliminating the measuring field, and the FC measurement is performed. Figure 7(a) shows the ZFC-FC magnetization obtained in the presence of a measuring field $H_{\text{ZFC-FC}} = 50$ G. A clear departure between the ZFC (solid black circles) and FC (open red circles) curves occurs below $T_c \sim 0.45$ K, coinciding with the 3D phase transition temperature extracted from the magnetic hysteresis. Moreover, ZFC-FC measurements were carried out for different values of the $H_{\text{ZFC-FC}}$. Figure 7(b) shows the dependence of the transition temperature on the measuring field, decreasing slowly as the field increases until abruptly vanishing (not observable at $T > 34$ mK) for fields over $\sim 0.14$ T. This field value coincides with the saturation coercive field observed in the magnetic hysteresis (solid circles in Fig. 6). However, as we show in the following section by means of ac susceptibility, the 1D magnetic dynamics within the chains are well described with an Arrhenius law [see Fig. 8(d)], from which a dc blocking temperature of $T_B \sim 0.56$ K would be expected, qualitatively coinciding with the temperature of the transition between the ZFC and FC curves in Fig. 7(a). However, it is important to note

![FIG. 6. Magnetic phase diagram of 1. The symbols indicate the position of the peaks observed in the field derivative of the magnetic hysteresis loops of Fig. 4. The solid red line is the theoretical mean-position of the peaks observed in the field derivative of the magnetic vanishing at the transition temperature of a magnetic phase transition, with the ordering parameter ordering response of the system. This behavior is characteristic is basically used here to qualitatively show the characteristic constant has no physical meaning in this context, and the model or not in the same chain, so the extracted value of the exchange Co ions are coupled by the same exchange parameter, whether (continuous red line). However, this model assumes that all in this case), data in Fig. 6 are well reproduced by the same model, multiplying $m$ by the saturation coercive field $H_{\text{max}}$ (continuous red line). However, this model assumes that all Co ions are coupled by the same exchange parameter, whether or not in the same chain, so the extracted value of the exchange constant has no physical meaning in this context, and the model is basically used here to qualitatively show the characteristic ordering response of the system. This behavior is characteristic of a magnetic phase transition, with the ordering parameter vanishing at the transition temperature $T_c \sim 0.45$ K, which we associate to 3D magnetic ordering as a result of weak interchain exchange interactions. We exclude dipolar coupling as the possible origin since 3D ordering is not observed in 2, which displays almost identical structural characteristics.

A quick estimation of the magnitude of the interchain exchange interactions giving rise to the 3D ordering observed in this material at low temperatures can be obtained using mean-field theory and assuming chains formed by $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ spins. In this case, using $J = 2.8$ cm$^{-1}$ for the intrachain coupling and the critical temperature $T_c = 0.45$ K, we estimate the intrachain coupling $J'$ using the Oguchi’s model [28], where $J' = 3J(\eta)k_BT_c/45(S+1)$, with $\eta = |J'|/|J| \approx 0.008$, $I(\eta) \sim 7$, and therefore $|J'| \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^{-1}$. Although weak, the interchain interactions have a profound influence on the establishment of 3D magnetic order. The mechanism leading to full order could be inhibited by the (slow) dynamics of the 1D excitations. Besides, the ordering process should also be readily disturbed by the presence of lattice defects that prevent the formation and movement of domain walls along the chains. The experimental evidence for the onset of 3D magnetic ordering should be very dependent on the initial conditions of temperature and applied field, which could concur with freezing-in some amount of disorder during the ordering process.

The slow relaxation of the magnetization is further explored with zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetic susceptibility experiments performed at a fixed magnetic field applied along the easy-axis direction (parallel to the chains). In our ZFC measurement, the sample is cooled down to 34 mK in the absence of a magnetic field. Then at a given field $H_{\text{ZFC-FC}}$, the temperature is increased up to 1.4 K while the sample magnetization is monitored. Subsequently, the sample is cooled again without eliminating the measuring field, and the FC measurement is performed. Figure 7(a) shows the ZFC-FC magnetization obtained in the presence of a measuring field $H_{\text{ZFC-FC}} = 50$ G. A clear departure between the ZFC (solid black circles) and FC (open red circles) curves occurs below $T_c \sim 0.45$ K, coinciding with the 3D phase transition temperature extracted from the magnetic hysteresis. Moreover, ZFC-FC measurements were carried out for different values of the $H_{\text{ZFC-FC}}$. Figure 7(b) shows the dependence of the transition temperature on the measuring field, decreasing slowly as the field increases until abruptly vanishing (not observable at $T > 34$ mK) for fields over $\sim 0.14$ T. This field value coincides with the saturation coercive field observed in the magnetic hysteresis (solid circles in Fig. 6). However, as we show in the following section by means of ac susceptibility, the 1D magnetic dynamics within the chains are well described with an Arrhenius law [see Fig. 8(d)], from which a dc blocking temperature of $T_B \sim 0.56$ K would be expected, qualitatively coinciding with the temperature of the transition between the ZFC and FC curves in Fig. 7(a). However, it is important to note
with the slow relaxation of the magnetization, as encountered not only in SCMs but also, more generally, in magnetic systems exhibiting spin-glass-like dynamics. Indeed, these specific heat features and the linear temperature dependence for the lowest temperatures are also found in spin glasses [29]. Finally, and most importantly, the fact that spins are off equilibrium explains why the experimental \( c_p \) fails to detect any sharp anomaly at the 3D ordering temperature. This phenomenon has already been studied, both theoretically [30] and experimentally [31], in single-molecule magnets, whose underlying physics so closely relate to that of single-chain magnets.

D. One-dimensional dynamics

Above the 3D ordering temperature, \( T > 0.45 \) K, and temperatures up to 2 K, \( I \) shows simpler hysteresis loops, where only peaks 1 (zero field) and 2 are observed (see Fig. 4). The slow dynamics for fields below the coercive field represented by peak 2 and above the phase transition temperature must be associated to intrachain relaxation processes (1D dynamics). To probe this dynamics ac magnetic susceptibility studies were performed. Figure 9 shows the in-phase \( \chi' \) and out-of-phase \( \chi'' \) susceptibility components are recorded at different frequencies as a function of temperature. A maximum in the out-of-phase susceptibility is expected when the angular frequency \( 2\pi \nu \) equals the rate of a given relaxation process.

For zero applied field both in-phase and out-of-phase components of the susceptibility show a relatively broad anomaly which extends from 1 K to 3 K [Figs. 9(a) and 9(b)]. A closer inspection reveals that this anomaly is the sum of two contributions, likely centered at \( T \approx 1.5 \) K for \( \nu = 0.316 \) Hz and rapidly shifting towards higher temperatures with increasing \( \nu \), and a second one centered near \( \approx 2.1 \) K, respectively. Unfortunately, the relatively small temperature separation between these two contributions does not permit us to study their experimental behavior individually. On applying a static magnetic field of 0.1 T, the two contributions separate mutually [Figs. 9(c) and 9(d)]. On the one hand, the applied field almost completely suppresses the anomaly initially centered at \( \approx 2.1 \) K. We are not in a position to provide an explanation for the origin of this peak. On the other hand, the anomaly initially centered at \( \approx 1.5 \) K remains in the 1–2 K range, while showing clearly its dependence on the ac excitation frequency.

The relaxation time of a thermal activation process is usually described with the Arrhenius law, i.e.,
\[
\tau = \tau_0 \exp(\Delta/k_B T),
\]
where \( \tau = 2\pi \nu \), \( \tau_0 \) is the preexponential factor, and \( \Delta \) is the activation energy. A fit of the cusp in the out-of-phase susceptibility \( \chi'' \) observed in field at 1–2 K to the above equation provides \( \Delta = 13.5 \) K and \( \tau_0 = 4 \times 10^{-9} \) s (see inset of Fig. 9). We tentatively associate this feature to spin excitations within the chains, in spite of the fact that \( \Delta \) is significantly larger than the correlation energy \( /\Delta_1^4 \approx 4.1 \) K, estimated from the dc susceptibility data. The difference can be due to the fact that the anisotropy of Co\(^{III} \) is not purely Ising-like; thus the activation energy does not necessarily equal \( /\Delta_1^4 \), and to contributions associated with single-ion excitations \( /\Delta_1^4 \), as expected for 1D segments, e.g., limited by crystalline defects. Also, we cannot rule out that interchain interactions,
FIG. 9. In-phase (a,c) and out-of-phase (b,d) susceptibilities of Co\textsuperscript{II} SCMs 1 obtained at different frequencies as a function of temperature and collected for dc field $H = 0$ (a, b) and $\mu_0 H = 0.1$ T (c, d). Inset to (d): semilogarithmic plot of the predominant relaxation time vs reciprocal temperature. The straight line is the Arrhenius fit, which provides $\Delta = 13.5$ K for the activation energy and $\tau_0 = 4 \times 10^{-9}$ s for the preexponential factor.

despite their weakness, might play a small influence on the intrachain magnetic dynamics.

The magnetic relaxation in this compound is particularly complicated and it can also be deduced by inspecting the very-low-temperature ac susceptibility, since additional features can be identified besides the aforementioned ones. Note that the maximum absorption of a faster relaxation mechanism takes place at temperatures lower than 0.1 K (Fig. 9), which are not experimentally accessible for our measurements. This feature results in relatively large values of the in-phase and out-of-phase susceptibilities that nearly hinder a smaller anomaly centered at $=0.4$ K. As revealed by the in-field out-of-phase susceptibility measurements, the temperature at which this anomaly takes place does not depend on the ac frequency. This is a clear signature of the onset of 3D correlations which we have already observed by $\mu$-HEM.

The extracted values for 2 are similar, indicating that both compounds are composed of comparable single-chain magnets. However, 2 does not show 3D ordering down to the lowest temperature available in the magnetization experiments (34 mK), which we attribute to different halogen-halogen contacts between the chains as a result of the different nature of the halogens (Br and Cl) employed in the synthesis.

E. Crossover between 1D and 3D dynamics

Once the two main relaxation dynamics of 1 have been identified, i.e., 3D ordering below 0.45 K as a result of weak interchain exchange interactions and 1D dynamics associated with propagation of domain walls within the chains, now we focus the attention on the remarkable possibility to transit in between the two mentioned regimes by tuning the sweep rate of the applied magnetic field. Figure 10 shows magnetic hysteresis loops obtained at 34 mK (well below the phase transition temperature) obtained for field sweep rates ($\alpha = dH/dt$) ranging from 0.05 T/min to 0.45 T/min.

FIG. 10. Magnetic hysteresis loops obtained at 34 mK by sweeping the magnetic field along the crystallographic c axis at different rates, $\alpha$. 
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The hysteresis is strongly field sweep rate dependent. For convenience, the three interesting phenomena discussed above are highlighted as 1, 2, and 3 in Fig. 10 (in relation to the corresponding peaks in the $dM/dH$ in Fig. 4). At the lowest sweep rate (black curve in Fig. 10), the magnetization shows signs of only peaks 1 and 3, the zero-field susceptibility, and the 3D ordering process. Peak 2, related to intrachain 1D dynamics, is completely absent. As the sweep rate is increased, signs of 1D dynamics (peak 2) start to emerge, while the slow 3D dynamics (large hysteresis delimited by peak 3) faints, eventually vanishing for rates over 0.25 T/min, for which peak 2 dominates the slow magnetic relaxation without further change at higher rates.

The crossover between the two regimes is more clearly observed in Fig. 11, where the magnitude of the three $dM/dH$ peaks is plotted as a function of the field sweep rate at 34 mK. Note that the fading of peak 3 as peak 2 emerges does not imply that the source of 3D ordering is not present, since the interchain interactions remain intact and the temperature of the experiment is well below the phase transition temperature. Indeed, it is a direct consequence of the 1D dynamics taking over the relaxation at high sweep rates and making the magnetization to reverse overcoming the 3D interactions. In other words, below the transition temperature and provided enough time is given to the system to accommodate during relaxation (slow sweep rates), the interchain interactions will fully develop and keep the system ordered until the corresponding reversal field ($H_c = 0.14$ K at 34 mK) when the Zeeman energy overcomes the interchain coupling. However, if the field is swept at a fast rate, faster than the characteristic rate of the 3D ordering, the intrachain dynamics prevents the system from ordering.

The critical sweep rate above which the 1D dynamics start governing the relaxation (appearance of peak 2 in Fig. 11), is $\alpha_c \sim 0.1$ T/min. Attending to the parameters used to fit the high-temperature susceptibility data, this rate corresponds to a critical energy rate $\frac{dE_c}{dt} = g\mu BS\alpha \approx 0.24$ K/min. Note that at this rate the reversal due to the 1D dynamics (peak 2, $H_{c2} = 0.018$ T) is reached in 0.18 min (i.e., $\sim 11$ s), which illustrates a lower bound for the characteristic time associated to the 3D magnetic ordering process. If the system is allowed to organize for a longer period (by sweeping slower), interchain exchange interactions will cause 3D magnetic ordering. Note that for the typical time needed to sweep through the observed hysteresis loops at the critical sweep rate, the characteristic critical energy, $\frac{dE_c}{dt_c} \sim 0.5$ K, is comparable with the ordering temperature, $T \sim 0.45$ K.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Two Co-based single-chain magnets with formula $\text{trans-}[\text{CoCl}_2(3,5-X_2py)_2]$, with subtle structural differences, have been studied. The use of different halogens, $X = \text{Br}(1)$ and $X = \text{Cl}(2)$, allowed tuning of the interchain interactions within the crystal. Detailed magnetic study revealed the system to be of easy-axis type, with the easy axis lying along the chains. Additionally, the hysteresis revealed multiple relaxation phenomena, further investigated and proved using ac susceptibility and specific heat measurements. Remarkably, a crossover between 1D and 3D magnetic dynamics was obtained by varying the sweep rate and magnitude of the applied magnetic field. This change in behavior may allow designing new molecular materials with a magnetic response, which depends on the characteristic time of the input stimulus.
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