1 **Postprint of Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A**
2 **Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering,**
3 **Volume 51, 2016 - Issue 12**
4 **DOI: 10.1080/10934529.2016.1198627**

5
6
7 **Screening of biomethane production potential from dominant microalgae**

8
9 FERNANDO G. FERMOSO^{1*}, CAROLINA BELTRAN^{1,3}, ANTONIA JIMENEZ⁴, MARÍA
10 JOSÉ FERNÁNDEZ^{1,4}, BÁRBARA RINCÓN¹, RAFAEL BORJA¹ and DAVID JEISON^{2,3}

11
12 ¹ *Instituto de la Grasa (C.S.I.C.), Sevilla, Spain*

13
14 ² *Chemical Engineering Department, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile*

15
16 ³ *Scientific and Technological Bioresource Nucleus, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco,*
17 *Chile*

18
19 ⁴ *Departamento de Sistemas Físicos y Naturales, Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Sevilla,*
20 *Spain*

21
22
23
24

25 *Address correspondence to Fernando G. Fermoso, Instituto de la Grasa (CSIC), Campus
26 Universitario Pablo de Olavide, Edificio 46, Carretera de Utrera, km 1, 41013-Sevilla, Spain;
27 Phone: +34 95 4611550; Fax: +34 95 4616790;
28 E-mail: fgfermoso@ig.csic.es.

Abstract

The use of microalgae for biomethane production is considerably increasing during the recent years. In this study, four dominant species belonging to the genera *Scenedesmus*, *Chlorella*, *Dunaliella* and *Nostoc* were selected. The influence of different genera with several morphological, structural and physic-chemical characteristics on methane production was assessed in biochemical methane potential (BMP) tests. The ultimate methane yield values were 332 ± 24 , 211 ± 2 , 63 ± 17 and 28 ± 10 mL CH₄/g VS_{added} for *Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Chlorella sorokiniana*, *Dunaliella salina* and *Nostoc* sp., respectively. The highest methane production was achieved by microalga species that had no complex cell wall or wall basically composed by proteins and simple sugars such as in *S. obliquus*, while lower methane yields were found for *D. salina* and *Nostoc* sp., due to the salinity effects and cell wall composition in terms of complex polysaccharide and glycolipid layers, respectively. Kinetic constant values obtained in the BMP tests ranged between 1.00 ± 0.08 days⁻¹ and 0.097 ± 0.005 days⁻¹ for *D. salina* and *S. obliquus*, respectively.

Keywords: Microalga; specific strains; biomethane; anaerobic digestion.

Introduction

The energy demand keeps rising at a worrisome speed and the accessibility of easy fossil fuel reserves rapidly decrease which leads to increasing energy prices. The availability and affordability of energy is a critical element of economic wellbeing and, in many countries, also of industrial competitiveness.^[1,2] Renewable energy sources – including biomass, geothermal, ocean, solar, and wind energy, as well as hydropower –have a huge potential to provide energy services for the world. Sustainable chemical products from second generation feedstocks can potentially provide environmental benefits as well.^[3-5] Sunlight is by far the largest source of energy received by the Earth and the biological production in the water from the phytoplankton play a primary role in regulating the quality of the water resource. Some second generation feedstocks, such as algae, can be grown with saline or wastewater rather than utilizing freshwater resources.^[6]

Anaerobic digestion can be applied to convert microalgae biomass to biogas^[7-9] either using the total produced biomass or the residual fraction remaining after extraction of valuable products.^[10] Anaerobic process not only recovers the energy stored in the biomass, but also leads to nitrogen and phosphorous release, which can in turn be source of nutrients for the microalgae culture.^[7]

Anaerobic digestion of microalgae has also shown several constrains. Firstly, some microalgae have shown low biodegradability compared to other feedstocks. This is due to the cell walls of some microalgae species which are composed of complex carbohydrates hardly biodegradable.^[11, 12] These cell walls act as a defence of the intracellular organic macromolecules from bacterial attack. Another obstacle to the anaerobic digestion of microalgae is its relatively high N content and low C/N ratio, due to its high protein fraction. Substrates of low C/N ratio are likely to produce excessive ammonia, which inhibits the growth of anaerobic microorganisms and consequently hindering or even stopping the digestion process.^[13] In addition, high salinity levels, which can be usually found in

microalgae medium, are likely to be inhibitory as it can cause dehydration to bacteria cells due to increased osmotic pressure. Salinity includes multiple elements such as sodium, magnesium, calcium and aluminium and depends on the water source and its associated environment. Specifically, the sodium ion is the most inhibitory of these metal cations to anaerobic digestion, being the light metal ion with the largest percentage found in seawater. ^[13] Frigon et al. ^[14] found that the highest methane yield (410 mL CH₄/g VS) from a trial of 20 microalgae species was obtained with *Scenedesmus* sp.-AMDD, despite previous reports in which *Scenedesmus* was supposed to be highly recalcitrant to digestion due to a tough polysaccharide-based cell wall. ^[15] Frigon et al. ^[14] have also hypothesized that most probably the specific inoculum used in their BMP assays had a stronger cytolytic activity than inocula from other studies, allowing a higher methane production.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the methane production and the kinetics of methane generation for four dominant different microalgae species. These four species have completely different structure and characteristics. The microalgae species selected for this study are all dominant strains from natural habitats and show fast growth rates in nature and lab conditions, or have specific characteristics and abilities, certainly among extremophiles. Based on the foregoing, three eukaryotic microalgae belonging to green algae (*Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Chlorella sorokiniana* and *Dunaliella salina*) were chosen for this research.

Chlorophyta division is considered the evolutionary line leading to the land plant and, like the land plants, is able to store starch in their plastids and contains chlorophyll a and b. *D. salina* is a halophilic species and its ability to grow at very high salt concentrations has made this microalga an attractive candidate for the study. Finally, the prokaryotic cyanobacterium *Nostoc* sp. (class Cyanophyceae) was also selected. Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic prokaryotes with enormous environmental relevance, being responsible for a great percentage of global N₂ and CO₂ fixation.

Material and methods

Microalgae growth

The microalgae species selected for this study were three eukaryotic microalgae belonging to green algae (*S. obliquus*, *C. sorokiniana* and *D. salina*) from the class Chlorophyceae and one as the prokaryotic cyanobacteria *Nostoc* sp. (class Cyanophyceae).

S. obliquus, *C. sorokiniana* and *D. salina* were provided as a lyophilised by Huelva University, Huelva (Spain). *Nostoc* sp. was grown in an AGP-700-ESP incubator chamber (Radiber S.A., Barcelona, Spain) with illumination provided by 6 fluorescent tubes delivering 36 W photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, 400-700 nm), on a photoperiod of 16:8 hr (light:dark). Ambient air and CO₂ were delivered by aquarium air pumps to provide the CO₂ for each flask as well as the required agitation to keep the microalgae in suspension. The temperature was 25°C. *Nostoc* sp. was cultivated using 50% of BG-11 medium,^[16] and 50% of F/2 medium.^[17] Then, the biomass was collected by centrifugation for 3,500 rpm during 5 min (Avanti J25, Beckman).

Biochemical methane potential (BMP) tests

BMP tests were performed in a multi-batch vessel system, which provides continuous agitation by magnetic bars, set at 300 rpm for this study. The effective volume of reactors was 150 mL. A thermostatic water bath kept the tests at mesophilic temperature (35±2 °C). The inoculum used in the BMP test was taken from a full-scale anaerobic reactor treating waste activated sludge from a municipal wastewater treatment plant operating at mesophilic (35 °C) conditions. The main characteristics of the anaerobic biomass used as inoculum were: pH: 7.5; total solids (TS): 20 g/L and volatile solids (VS): 10 g/L.

The substrate to inoculum ratio was 0.5 (VS basis). For each reactor containing 128 mL of inoculum, the substrate needed to give the required substrate to inoculum ratio was added. In order to avoid micronutrient deficiency, 130 μ L of micronutrients solution was supplemented. The composition of the micronutrients solution was: $\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 2000 mg/L, $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 2000 mg/L, EDTA 1000 mg/L, $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 50 mg/L, $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 500 mg/L, $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 194 mg/L, $\text{AlCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 90 mg/L, H_3BO_3 50 mg/L, $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 50 mg/L, ZnCl_2 50 mg/L and $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 38 mg/L. Two control reactors were used with inoculum and micronutrients solution but without substrate addition. The reactors were sealed and headspace flushed with N_2 at the beginning of the test. pH around 7.5 was measured prior and after each BMP test. The produced biogas was measured by liquid displacement after going through 2N NaOH solution to capture the produced CO_2 ; the remaining gas was expected to be only methane. The BMP tests lasted until the accumulated methane production was essentially unaffected, i.e. lower than 5% of the accumulated methane produced and c.a 30 days. Each experiment was carried out in duplicate.

Analyses

Standard methods 2540B and 2540E were followed in order to determined TS and VS, respectively; ^[18] COD was determined as described by Raposo et al. ^[19] pH was measured with a pH-meter model Crison 20 Basic. C and N were determined through an Elemental Analyser LECO CHNS-932.

Results and discussion

Microalgae structure and composition

The green alga *Scenedesmus* contains a multi-layered cell wall that forms 4-celled colonies.

The sugar constituents of the rigid cell wall are glucose (major), galactose and mannose. ^[20]

The trilaminar structure of the outer wall layers is resistant to enzymes like cellulases, hemicellulases, lysozyme and other hydrolases. Furthermore, the inner wall layers contribute to the cell walls rigidity due to the glucosamine-containing biopolymers and glycoproteins content. ^[20] *Scenedesmus* contains high proportion of proteins and lipids in its inner composition. ^[21]

Chlorella is a genus of single-cell green algae with a diameter of 4-10 µm, belonging to the phylum Chlorophyta. *Chlorella* appears to have a stable cell wall with a high hemicellulose content. ^[22] *Chlorella* is morphologically very simple but is diverse in physic-chemical characteristics. Its hemicellulotic cell wall accounts for the rigidity of the cells. ^[23] The cell wall of *Chlorella* species could be divided into two groups: a glucose-mannose type and a glucosamine type. *C. sorokiniana* can be classified into the second group. ^[6]

Dunaliella is a green unicellular microalga highly adaptable to a wide range of salt concentrations, from 0.02% to almost salt saturation. ^[24] *Dunaliella* is a single cell organism without a protective cell wall which has extremely effective mechanisms for tolerating osmotic stress such as changes in phospholipid metabolism. ^[25] The chloroplast pigments in *Dunaliella* have been reported to include xanthophylls, zeaxanthin, cryptoxanthins, β-carotene and other carotenoids. ^[24]

Nostoc, a genus of cyanobacteria, is a group of photosynthetic prokaryotes that exists in extensive diversity and distribution in the world. *Nostoc* is a genus of blue-green cyanobacteria with cells organised in beadlike chains that are congregated in a gelatinous mass. Their photosynthetic system is similar to eukaryotes because both have chlorophyll a and photosystem II, and carry out oxygenic photosynthesis. ^[26] *Nostoc* sp. forms filamentous

colonies and is especially relevant their capacity of N₂ fixation. Nitrogen-fixation happens in the heterocyst where the enzyme nitrogenase transforms N₂ to NH₄⁺ which is protected by a thick cell wall from oxygen-inactivation. This thick cell wall consists of distinct glycolipid and polysaccharide layers that limit gas diffusion into the cell.^[27, 28] Heterocystous cyanobacteria are commonly observed in both aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Species of the genus *Nostoc* are among the most widespread of all nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria and contain two pigments, phycocyanin and phycoerythrin.

In order to give an overview on the major constituents in microalga species, data of various micro-algal species are shown in Table 1. Proteins are usually in the range of 40-60%, lipids around 2-20% and carbohydrates around 10-35% (Table 1). This distribution shows that microalgae is usually a protein base substrate for anaerobic digestion.

Methane production

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the methane yield obtained (mL CH₄/g VS_{added}) against time (days) for the BMP assays carried out with the tested algal biomasses. As can be seen, the ultimate methane yield values were found to be 332±24, 211±2, 63±17 and 28±10 mL CH₄/g VS_{added} for *S. obliquus*, *C. sorokiniana*, *D. salina* and *Nostoc* sp., respectively. The highest values were found for *Scenedesmus* and *Chlorella*, whose percentages of VS in relation to the TS were much higher (93% and 95%, respectively) than those observed for *Dunaliella* and *Nostoc* (48% and 78%, respectively) (Table 2). It is worth to notice that the highest C/N ratio, found in *D. salina* (Table 2), resulted in a low methane yield. Apparently, no correlation between C/N ratio (Table 2) and methane yield was observed.

Table 3 shows values of biochemical methane potential of different species of microalgae belonging to different genera reported in the literature. All reported values corresponded to BMP experiments carried out without pretreatments and without lipid extraction.

In the present work, the highest methane yield (332 mL CH₄/g VS) was obtained for *S. obliquus*, value higher than that obtained by Mussnug et al.,^[15] who reported a value of 278 mL CH₄/g VS. Mussnug et al.^[15] highlighted the role of the cell wall in the digestion process. Their results indicated that high gas production is connected to microalgae species that had either cell wall made from proteins and other simple compounds or no cell wall at all. *Scenedesmus* contain high proportions of proteins and simple sugars such as glucose, galactose and manose.^[20] The composition of the biomass of *Scenedesmus* could explain the high methane production achieved in the present study. High methane yield (410 mL CH₄/g VS) was also reported by Frigon et al.^[14] in the batch anaerobic digestion of *Scenedesmus* sp.-AMDD. Frigon et al.^[14] pointed out that the strain of *Scenedesmus* sp.-AMDD is a promising model strain for continuous anaerobic digesters.

Gas production decreased for microalgal species that presented a carbohydrate-based cell wall containing hemicellulose. This is the case of *C. sorokiniana*, which has a stable cell wall with a high hemicellulose content providing a high rigidity to the cells,^[22] for which a lower ultimate methane yield (211 ± 2 mL CH₄/g VS) was obtained. This methane yield is similar to that reported by Polakovicová et al.^[32] (212 mL CH₄/g V_{added}) and somewhat lower than that reported by Frigon et al.^[14] (283 mL CH₄/g VS_{added}).

It has been reported that some species of green microalgae such as *D. salina* are able to accumulate high quantities of lipids.^[41] Lipids are attractive for anaerobic digestion due to a higher theoretical methane potential compared to proteins and carbohydrates. However, low methane production (63 ± 17 mL CH₄/g VS) was obtained for *D. salina* in this study, which can be attributed to the effects of salinity.^[14] Salinity and more specifically sodium monovalent cations do pose a problem to bacteria associated with anaerobic digestion.^[13] Rinzema et al.^[42] demonstrated that acetoclastic methanogens were inhibited by 10%, 50% and 100% with sodium concentration of 5, 10 and 14 g/L, respectively. In the present work,

mineral fraction of *D. salina* slightly higher than 13 g/L were measured at the beginning of the BMP test, which, in addition to the low ratio g COD/g VS found for this microalgae (0.68±0.01 g COD/g VS) could have caused its low methane yield. Finally, *Nostoc* sp. gave the lowest methane yield, which can be attributed to its rigid and complex cell wall. This cell wall has been reported to include several polysaccharide and glycolipid layers.^[43] *Nostoc* contains two pigments, red phycoerythrin and blue phycocyanin. These compounds usually combined to form clusters that stick to the cell membrane,^[44] which may be an additional cause of the low methane yield obtained for *Nostoc* sp.

Kinetics of methane production

First-order exponential model (equation (1)) is commonly used to correlate methane production from biodegradable substrates in batch anaerobic digestion processes with time:

^[45]

$$B = B_{max} \cdot [1 - \exp (-k \cdot t)] \quad (1)$$

where: B (mL CH₄/g VS_{added}) is the cumulative specific methane production, B_{max} (mL CH₄/g VS_{added}) is the ultimate methane production, k is the specific rate constant (days⁻¹) and t (days) is the time.

This first-order model was applied for all the microalgae tested. Sigmaplot software (version 11.0) was used to calculate parameters k and B_{max} for these BMP assays (Table 4) by non-linear regression adjustment of the pairs of experimental data (B , t). The low values of the standard error of estimate (S.E.E.) and high values of the R² demonstrate the goodness of the fit of experimental data to the first-order exponential model. Table 4 shows the specific rate constants (k) and ultimate methane yield obtained for the four microalgae tested.

The lowest kinetic constant was found for the species *S. obliquus*, 0.097±0.005 days⁻¹, which is practically coincident with that obtained by Ramos-Suarez and Carreras^[11] (0.0902 ±

0.0025 days⁻¹) in BMP tests of *Scenedesmus* biomass. Although the kinetic constant of the BMP of *S. obliquus* was very low, it gave the highest methane yield in the present study. After the intracellular material become available to the anaerobic microorganisms the methane production resulted in high values, even with the low degradation kinetics measured in the BMP test. It has been reported that the kinetics of anaerobic degradation of *Scenedesmus* can be improved after extraction of amino acids and lipids.^[11] Kinetic enhancements were attributed to the disruption of microalgae cell walls and increase in organic matter solubilisation.^[11] Specifically, the amino acid extraction process improved the digestion in a higher extent compared to the lipid extraction because of its higher hydrolytic effect.^[11]

The ultimate methane yield of *C. sorokiniana* was achieved after 10 days of digestion with a kinetic constant of 0.48 ± 0.03 days⁻¹. Some authors have pointed out the slow degradation of *Chlorella* genre which has cell wall composed of some complex carbohydrates that partially impede the action of the microorganisms responsible for the anaerobic degradation.^[15] In any case, the kinetic constant obtained in the present work was higher than those reported by Mendez et al.^[46] in batch anaerobic digestion tests of thermochemically pretreated *Chlorella vulgaris* (0.08-0.14 days⁻¹).

Although *D. salina* showed a low ultimate methane yield, the kinetic constant obtained was quite high (1.00 ± 0.08 days⁻¹). As it is well known the use of salt-containing organic residues entails the presence of salts which inhibits methanogenesis^[13,47] as shown by the low methane yield obtained. However, it has not severely affected the degradation rate.

Although the lowest value of the methane yield was obtained for *Nostoc*, the quick degradation of the most biodegradable compounds present in this cyanobacteria is the main responsible for the high kinetic constant (0.94 ± 0.23 days⁻¹) obtained in its BMP test.

Conclusions

A screening of the biomethane production potential from different microalgae genera was performed in this study in order to compare the most promising strains with different characteristics to produce maximum methane yields. Maximum methane yields were obtained with the strains *S. obliquus* and *C. sorokiniana*, which do not contain a very complex cell wall. In contrast, *D. salina* and *Nostoc* sp. gave the lowest methane yield values, although the degradation kinetics were faster in these cases due to the fast degradation of the most biodegradable compounds present in this microalgae and cyanobacteria, respectively.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Marie Curie's International Research Staff Exchange Scheme (PIRSSES-GA-2011-295165) and Junta de Andalucía government (RNM-1970) for providing financial support. The authors also would like to thank Dr. Carlos Vilchez from University of Huelva (Huelva, Spain) for kindly providing microalgae.

References

- [1] DESA. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. E/2013/50/Rev. 1, ST/ESA/344. World Economic and Social Survey, Sustainable Development Challenges, 2013.
- [2] Chapman, D. Water Quality Assessment. In: Chapman D on behalf of UNESCO, WHO and UNEP, London: Chapman & Hall; 1992; 585p.
- [3] Naik, S.N.; Goud, V.V.; Rout, P.K.; Dalai, A.K. Production of first and second generation biofuels: A comprehensive review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2010**, *14*, 578-597.

- [4] Ortigueira, J.; Alves, L.; Gouveia, L.; Moura, P. Third generation biohydrogen production by *Clostridium butyricum* and adapted mixed cultures from *Scenedesmus obliquus* microalga biomass. *Fuel* **2015**, *153*, 128-134.
- [5] Wang, M.; Park, C. Investigation of anaerobic digestion of *Chlorella* sp. and *Micractinium* sp. grown in high-nitrogen wastewater and their co-digestion with waste activated sludge. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2015**, *80*, 30-37.
- [6] ABARE. Australian Energy Resource Assessment. Chapter 12 Bioenergy. Department of Resources, Energy and Tourism; Geoscience Australia; Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics (ABARE), 2010.
- [7] Mairet, F.; Bernard, O.; Ras, M.; Lardon, L.; Steyer, J.P. Modeling anaerobic digestion of microalgae using ADM1. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2011**, *102*, 6823-6829.
- [8] Cohen, M.F.; Hare, C.; Kozlowski, J.; Nelson, T.A.; Grewell, B.J. Wastewater polishing by a channelized macrophyte-dominated wetland and anaerobic digestion of the harvested phytomass. *J. Environ. Sci. Health A* **2013**, *48*(3), 319-330.
- [9] Markou, G.; Angelidaki, I.; Georgakakis, D. Carbohydrate-enriched cyanobacterial biomass as feedstock for bio-methane production through anaerobic digestion. *Fuel* **2013**, *111*, 872-879.
- [10] Torres, A.; Fermoso, F.G.; Neumann, P.; Azocar, L.; Jeison, D. Anaerobic digestion as a tool for resource recovery from a biodiesel production process from microalgae. *J. Biobased Mater. Bioenergy* **2015**, *9*, 342-349.
- [11] Ramos-Suárez, J.L.; Carreras, N. Use of microalgae residues for biogas production. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, *242*, 86-95.
- [12] Pacheco, M.M.; Hoeltz, M.; Moraes, M.S.A.; Schneider, R.C.S. Microalgae: Cultivation techniques and wastewater phycoremediation. *J. Environ. Sci. Health A* **2015**, *50*(6), 585-601.

- [13] Ward, A.J.; Lewis, D.M.; Green, F.B. Anaerobic digestion of algae biomass: A review. *Algal Res.* **2014**, *5*, 204-214.
- [14] Frigon, J.C.; Matteau-Lebrun, F.; Hamani Abdou, R.; McGinn, P.J.; O'Leary, S.J.B.; Guiot, S.R. Screening microalgae strains for their productivity in methane following anaerobic digestion. *Appl. Energy* **2013**, *108*, 100-107.
- [15] Mussgnug, J.H.; Klassen, V.; Schlüter, A.; Kruse, O. Microalgae as substrates for fermentative biogas production in a combined biorefinery concept. *J. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *150*, 51-56.
- [16] Rippka, R.; Herdman, H. Pasteur culture collection of cyanobacterial strains in axenic culture, in: *Catalogue & Taxonomic Handbook. Vol. 1. Catalogue of Strains*, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, 1992; pp. 103.
- [17] Guillard, R.R.; Ryther, J.H. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I. *Cyclotella nana* Hustedt, and *Detonula confervacea* (Cleve) Grun. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **1962**, *8*, 229-239.
- [18] APHA, AWWA, WEF, *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 21th ed., American Public Health Association, Washington DC, USA, **2005**.
- [19] Raposo, F.; Borja, R.; Rincon, B.; Jimenez, A.M. Assessment of process control parameters in the biochemical methane potential of sunflower oil cake. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2008**, *32*, 1235-1244.
- [20] Voigt, J.; Stolarczyk, A.; Zych, M.; Malec, P.; Burczyk, J. The cell-wall glycoproteins of the green alga *Scenedesmus obliquus*. *Plant Sci.* **2014**, *215-216*, 39-47.
- [21] Chacón-Lee, T.L.; González-Mariño, G.E. Microalgae for "Healthy" Foods-Possibilities and Challenges. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2010**, *9*, 655-675.
- [22] Domozych, D.S.; Stewart, K.D.; Mattox, K.R. The comparative aspects of cell wall chemistry in the green algae (Chlorophyta). *J. Mol. Evol.* **1980**, *15*, 1-12.

- 355 [23] Takeda, H. Chemical composition of cell walls as a taxonomical marker. *J. Plant Res.*
 356 **1993**, *106*, 195-200.
- 357 [24] Deli, J.; Gonda, S.; Nagy, L.Z.S.; Szabó, I.; Gulyás-Fekete, G.; Agócs, A.; Marton, K.;
 358 Vasas, G. Carotenoid composition of three bloom-forming algae species. *Food Res. Int.*
 359 **2014**, *65*, 215-223.
- 360 [25] Einspahr, K.J.; Peeler, T.C.; Thompson, Jr.GA. Rapid changes in polyphosphoinositide
 361 metabolism associated with the response of *Dunaliella salina* to hypoosmotic shock. *J.*
 362 *Biol. Chem.* **1988**, *263*, 5775-5779.
- 363 [26] Paerl, H.W.; Otten, T.G. Blooms bite the hand that feeds them. *Science* **2013**, *342*, 433-
 364 434.
- 365 [27] Walsby, A.E. The permeability of heterocysts to the gases nitrogen and oxygen.
 366 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London - Biological Sciences* **1985**, *226*, 345-366.
- 367 [28] Murry, M.A.; Wolk, C.P. Evidence that the barrier to the penetration of oxygen into
 368 heterocysts depends upon two layers of the cell envelope. *Arch. Microbiol.* **1989**, *151*, 469-
 369 474.
- 370 [29] Vargas, M.A.; Rodríguez, H.; Moreno, J.; Olivares, H.; Del Campo, J.A.; Rivas, J.;
 371 Guerrero, M.G. Biochemical composition and fatty acid content of filamentous nitrogen-
 372 fixing cyanobacteria. *J. Phycol.* **1998**, *34*, 812-817.
- 373 [30] Sialve, B.; Bernet, N.; Bernard, O. Anaerobic digestion of microalgae as a necessary step
 374 to make microalgal biodiesel sustainable. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2009**, *27*, 409-416.
- 375 [31] Becker, E.W. Microalgae in human and animal nutrition. In: Richmond A., Ed.,
 376 *Handbook of microalgal culture*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. 2004; 312-351.
- 377 [32] Polakovičová, G.; Kušnir, P.; Nagyová, S.; Mikulec, J. Process integration of algae
 378 production and anaerobic digestion. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* **2012**, *29*, 1129-1134.

- [33] Lakaniemi, A.M.; Hulatt, C.J.; Thomas, D.N.; Tuovinen, O.H.; Puhakka, J.A. Biogenic hydrogen and methane production from *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Dunaliella tertiolecta* biomass. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **2011**, *4*, 34.
- [34] Ras, M.; Lardon, L.; Bruno, S.; Bernet, N.; Steyer, J.P. Experimental study on a coupled process of production and anaerobic digestion of *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2011**, *102*, 200-206.
- [35] Ciudad, G.; Rubilar, O.; Azócar, L.; Toro, C.; Cea, M.; Torres, Á.; Ribera, A.; Navia, R. Performance of an enzymatic extract in *Botryococcus braunii* cell wall disruption. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **2014**, *117*, 75-80.
- [36] Fernández-Rodríguez, M.J.; Rincón, B.; Feroso, F.G.; Jiménez, A.M.; Borja, R. Assessment of two-phase olive mill solid waste and microalgae co-digestion to improve methane production and process kinetics. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2014**, *157*, 263-269.
- [37] Buxy, S.; Diltz, R.; Pullammanappallil, P. Biogasification of marine algae *nannochloropsis oculata*, in: *Ceramic Transactions*, 2013; 59-67.
- [38] Samson, R.; LeDuy, A. Detailed study of anaerobic digestion of *Spirulina Maxima* algal biomass. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **1986**, *28*, 1014-1023.
- [39] Varel, V.H.; Chen, T.H.; Hashimoto, A.G. Thermophilic and mesophilic methane production from anaerobic degradation of the cyanobacterium *Spirulina maxima*. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **1988**, *1*, 19-26.
- [40] Inglesby, A.E.; Fisher, A.C. Enhanced methane yields from anaerobic digestion of *Arthrospira maxima* biomass in an advanced flow-through reactor with an integrated recirculation loop microbial fuel cell. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 7996-8006.
- [41] Stephenson, P.G.; Moore, C.M.; Terry, M.J.; Zubkov, M.V.; Bibby, T.S. Improving photosynthesis for algal biofuels: Toward a green revolution. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2011**, *29*, 615-623.

- [42] Rinzema, A.; Van Lier, J.; Lettinga, G. Sodium inhibition of acetoclastic methanogens in granular sludge from a UASB reactor. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **1988**, *10*, 24-32.
- [43] Schouten, S.; Villareal, T.A.; Hopmans, E.C.; Mets, A.; Swanson, K.M.; Sinninghe Damsté, J.S. Endosymbiotic heterocystous cyanobacteria synthesize different heterocyst glycolipids than free-living heterocystous cyanobacteria. *Phytochemistry* **2013**, *85*, 115-121.
- [44] Glazer, A.N. Light guides. Directional energy transfer in a photosynthetic antenna. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1989**, *264*, 1-4.
- [45] Rincón, B.; Bujalance, L.; Feroso, F.G.; Martín, A.; Borja, R. Biochemical methane potential of two-phase olive mill solid waste: Influence of thermal pretreatment on the process kinetics. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2013**, *140*, 249-255.
- [46] Mendez, L.; Mahdy, A.; Timmers, R.A.; Ballesteros, M.; González-Fernández, C. Enhancing methane production of *Chlorella vulgaris* via thermochemical pretreatments. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2013**, *149*, 136-141.
- [47] Mottet, A.; Habouzit, F.; Steyer, J.P. Anaerobic digestion of marine microalgae in different salinity levels. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2014**, *158*, 300-306.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Biochemical methane potential (BMP) (mL CH₄/g VS_{added}) of biomasses of *Scenedesmus obliquus* (●), *Chlorella sorokiniana* (□), *Dunaliella salina* (▲) and *Nostoc sp.* (■). Vertical bars represent standard deviations.

Fig. 1

Table 1. Composition of several microalgae genera. ^[29-31]

Genus	Proteins (%)	Lipids (%)	Carbohydrates (%)
<i>Chlorella</i>	51-58	2-22	12-26
<i>Dunaliella</i>	57	6	32
<i>Scenedesmus</i>	50-56	12-14	10-17
<i>Nostoc</i>	37-47	8-13	15-37

Table 2. VS, VS/TN and C/N ratios of the tested algal biomasses.

Species	g VS/kg	% VS/TS	C/N
<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i>	252±1	93	4.78±0.03
<i>Chlorella sorokiniana</i>	898±2	95	5.2 ±0.4
<i>Dunaliella salina</i>	435±4	48	12.6 ± 0.3
<i>Nostoc</i> sp.	5.4±0.8	78	4.79 ± 0.09

Table 3. Values of biochemical methane potential of different strains of microalgae belonging to different genera reported in the literature. All reported values corresponded to BMP experiments carried out without pretreatments and without lipid extraction.

Phylum	Genus	Species	BMP (ml CH ₄ /g VS)	Ref.
Chlorophyta	<i>Chlorella</i>	<i>Chlorella kessleri</i>	218	[15]
		<i>Chlorella sorokiniana</i>	212	[32]
		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	361	[14]
		<i>Chlorella sorokiniana</i>	283	[14]
		<i>Chlorella</i> sp. Island-R	302	[14]
		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> -FGP1	263	[14]
		<i>Chlorella sorokiniana</i> -RBD8	331	[14]
		<i>Chlorella</i> sp.-RB1a	309	[14]
		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	286	[33]
		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	240	[34]
	<i>Chlamydomonas</i>	<i>Chlamydomonas debaryana</i> -AMB1	302	[14]
		<i>Chlamydomonas</i> sp.-AMLS1b	333	[14]
		<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	387	[15]
	<i>Scenedesmus</i>	<i>Scenedesmus</i> sp.-PN2	258	[14]
		<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i>	178	[15]
		<i>Scenedesmus</i> sp.-AMDD Nov-2010	306	[14]
		<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i>	397	[14]
	<i>Botryococcus</i>	<i>Botryococcus braunii</i>	343	[14]
		<i>Botryococcus braunii</i>	326	[35]
	<i>Dunaliella</i>	<i>Dunaliella salina</i>	323	[15]
		<i>Dunaliella salina</i>	63	[36]
		<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	24	[33]
Ochrophyta	<i>Nannochloropsis</i>	<i>Nannochloropsis oculata</i>	204	[37]
		<i>Nannochloropsis gaditana</i>	228	[14]
Cyanobacteria	<i>Spirulina</i>	<i>Spirulina maxima</i>	353	[38]
		<i>Spirulina maxima</i>	330	[39]
	<i>Arthrospira</i>	<i>Arthrospira maxima</i>	173	[40]
		<i>Arthrospira platensis</i>	293	[15]

512

513 **Table 4.** Kinetic parameters obtained from the exponential model in the BMP tests of the different
514 microalgae assessed.

Substrate	B_{max} (mL CH ₄ /g VS _{added})	k (days ⁻¹)	R ²	Standard Error of Estimate
<i>Chlorella sorokiniana</i>	215 ± 5	0.48 ± 0.03	0.977	10.597
<i>Dunaliella salina</i>	67 ± 1	1.00 ± 0.08	0.959	3.999
<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i>	356 ± 8	0.097 ± 0.005	0.989	10.596
<i>Nostoc sp.</i>	33 ± 1	0.94 ± 0.23	0.705	5.960

515

516

517

518

519

520