Food & Function

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. Espert, A. Salvador and T. Sanz, *Food Funct.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C6FO00888G.

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

In vitro digestibility of highly concentrated methylcellulose O/W emulsions. Rheological and structural changes.

María Espert, Ana Salvador and Teresa Sanz*

Instituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos (IATA-CSIC).
Agustín Escardino, 7. 46980 Paterna (Valencia), Spain

* Corresponding Author e-mail: tesanz@iata.csic.es

ABSTRACT

The changes in structure during digestion of highly concentrated methyl cellulose (MC) o/w emulsions, and of hydrated MC were investigated.

The effect of human saliva and in vitro stomach digestion was attributed to a dilution effect, rather than to pH or pepsin activity. After in vitro intestine incubation, a decrease in viscoelasticity and an increase in fat globule size were observed. Fat released after digestion the MC emulsion was 49.8% of the initial fat, indicating the existence of a big physical impediment. In comparison to an o/w whey protein emulsion with fat content equal to the fat released during the MC emulsion digestion, a 12% reduction in free fatty acid formation was found, which indicates that the decrease in fat bioaccessibility in the MC emulsion should be attributed to a physical effect against fat release but also to a further impediment related to the fat digestion process.

Fat released quantification informs about the physical retention of fat in the emulsion matrix structure. Enzymes may not act, if fat is not released and solubilized. Free fatty acid quantification is the real indicator of fat digestion, but contrary to total fat released, is affected but a wide variety of enzymatic factors, which should be considered for the correct comparison of systems of different properties, for example systems where the amount of fat release during digestion may be different or initially unknown.

Keywords: methylcellulose, emulsion, in vitro digestion, lipolysis, rheology, fat reduction

1. Introduction

The design of colloid delivery systems to control the rate and extent of lipid digestion within the gastro-intestinal tract has been the subject of extensive attention lately. Inhibiting or slowing down lipid digestion is considered to be an effective means with which to reduce appetite and promote satiety, leading to a reduction in obesity and a more balanced energy intake.^{1,2}

After ingestion, the emulsions undergo a complex series of physical and chemical changes as they pass through the mouth, stomach and small and large intestine (mechanical strength, presence of enzymes, changes in pH, etc)^{3,4} which affect their capacity to be ingested. When designing low absorption emulsions, it is vital to bear these parameters in mind so as to achieve a level of structural stability which limits the enzymatic attack.

More attention has been paid to the effect of the interfacial layer surrounding the lipid droplets on the emulsion structuring/breakdown during digestion than anything else. Depending on the physicochemical properties of the interfacial layer, the lipid droplets may break up or coalesce as the emulsion passes through the mouth into the stomach and then the intestines, while at the same time altering the surface area of lipid exposed to enzymes. Emulsions stabilised by non-ionic surfactants tend to remain stable during the transit through the stomach because of the highly stable nature of the emulsion.^{5,6} The emulsions stabilised by proteins tend to flocculate⁷ while those stabilised by ionic surfactants can undergo coalescence.⁸ The effect of HPMC, B-lactoglobuline and soy protein as emulsifiers in the control of lipid digestion was studied by Bellesi, Martinez, Pizones Ruiz-Henestrosa & Pilosof.⁹ Soy protein was found as resistant to digestion as HPMC, which is a non digestible emulsifier. Likewise, the physico-chemical composition of the fat and the size and the distribution of the fat globules noticeably regulate lipase activity.^{10,11,12}

Polysaccharides can act as emulsion stabilisers by increasing the viscosity or gel strength of the continuous phase, as well as by inducing the flocculation of emulsion droplets through bridging or depletion mechanisms, depending on the adsorbing properties of the polysaccharides.¹³ The role of emulsion stabilizers is gaining increasing importance in the area of lipid digestion control. It has been shown that the presence of certain hydrocolloids potentially influences lipid digestion control.^{11,14,15} It

69 has even been demonstrated that emulsions which remain stable in the stomach and/or
70 have a delayed digestion in the small intestine may stimulate the release of intestinal
71 hormones that induce a sensation of satiety and, therefore, reduce the quantity of
72 foodstuffs ingested¹⁶. The impact of carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) on lipid digestion
73 and the physicochemical properties of whey protein-stabilised emulsions during
74 digestion was studied by Malinauskite et al.¹³ The thickening network formed in the
75 continuous phase by CMC limits the interaction of fat droplets with gastrointestinal
76 fluids, slowing down the rate of lipid digestion.¹³ Methylcellulose, chitosan and pectin
77 were also found to be effective at reducing lipid digestibility in 2% corn oil in water
78 emulsion stabilized by Tween 80. This behaviour was attributed to the ability of the
79 polysaccharides to induce droplet flocculation due to their interaction with molecular
80 species.¹⁷ Qiu et al.¹⁵ studied the influence of xanthan gum and pectin on the lipid
81 digestibility of fish oil emulsions stabilized by wheat proteins. In this case, surprisingly,
82 the polysaccharides were found to promote the lipid digestion process. The increase in
83 the lipid digestion rate in the presence of dietary fibres was attributed to their ability to
84 alter the aggregation state of the oil droplets, thereby increasing the amount of lipid
85 phase exposed to the lipase. Other mechanisms associated with the presence of dietary
86 fibres, such as binding to calcium ions, bile salts, free fatty acids, and lipase were not
87 able to explain the observed increase in lipid digestion.¹⁵

88 Highly fat concentrated (50% fat) oil in water (O/W) cellulose ether emulsion have been
89 recently studied due to the fact that they may act as healthy replacers of conventional
90 sources of solid fat in the diet, such as butter or margarine. Biscuits, in which
91 conventional shortening was totally replaced by the cellulose ether emulsion, exhibited
92 good sensory acceptability, having 33% less fat and no trans fatty acids.^{18,19} This oil in
93 water cellulose emulsion also showed reversible thermal gelation ability^{20,21}, which
94 makes it a suitable option for applications where thermal stability is required, such as in
95 cream fillers for bakery products. In these emulsions, the cellulose ether exhibits surface
96 active properties and confers stability to the continuous phase due to the thickening
97 effect.

98
99 This study focuses on the structural changes during the in vitro mouth, stomach and
100 small intestine digestion of a highly concentrated methylcellulose O/W emulsion and

101 their relationship to lipid digestion. The emulsion's rheological properties,
102 microstructure and droplet size distribution are investigated. The total fat release and the
103 free fatty acids generated after the end of the digestion were calculated.
104 Likewise, in addition to the behaviour of the emulsion, the effect of the in vitro
105 digestion of an aqueous solution of methylcellulose is researched in isolation. It is
106 estimated that the behaviour of the hydrated hydrocolloid will be a determining factor in
107 the structural changes undergone by the emulsion, as it constitutes the first barrier to
108 come into contact with the digestion solutions. The research into the structural changes
109 undergone during the in vitro digestion in the mouth, stomach and intestine will allow a
110 better understanding of factors affecting fat release and fat digestibility. This will permit
111 the rational design of fat emulsions which have low digestibility and that may substitute
112 conventional fats.

114 2. Materials and methods

116 2.1. Materials

117 Methylcellulose (A4M type: 30.0% methoxyl, viscosity of 4000 mPa s at 2 %
118 aqueous 130 solution at 20 °C measured by The Dow Chemical Company following
119 reference methods ASTM D1347 and ASTM D2363) was supplied by The Dow
120 Chemical Company. Sunflower oil "Koipe Sol" was purchased from Deoleo S.A.
121 (Madrid, Spain). Whey protein was supplied by Best Protein (Barcelona, Spain).
122 Hydrochloric acid 6N, ammonia solution 25% and ethanol 96% were purchased from
123 Scharlab S.L. (Spain) and sodium hydroxide 0.1N was provided by Panreac Química
124 S.L.U. (Spain). Phenolphthalein solution, pepsin from porcine gastric mucosa (P7000),
125 bile extract porcine (B8631) and pancreatin from porcine pancreas (P1750) were
126 supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO).

127 2.2. Emulsion preparation

128 Oil-water-cellulose ether emulsions were composed of sunflower oil (51%),
129 methylcellulose (2%) and water (47%).
130 The cellulose ether was first dispersed in the oil using a Heidolph stirrer at the lowest
131 speed for five minutes. The mixture was then hydrated by gradually adding the water at
132 10°C while continuing to stir. The 200 g mixture contained in a 600 ml baker (10 cm
133 diameter) was then homogeneized with an IKA T18 basic (Ultra-Turrax) with the

dispersion tool S18N-19G (stator diameter 19 mm and rotor diameter 12.7 mm) at 6500 (1/min) during 15 s and subsequently at 24000 (1/min) during 30 s.

2.3. Methylcellulose water dilution

Two hundred grams of a solution of methylcellulose (2% w/w) was prepared according to the hot/cold technique (The Dow Chemical Company). The powder was previously dispersed by gentle mixing with 1/3 of the total water at 80°C for approximately 3 min (Heidolph stirrer at speed 3). Subsequently the beaker with the dispersed MC was quickly transferred to a refrigerated water bath at 10°C and the rest of the water was added at 1°C and stirred continuously for 10 min allowing a correct MC hydration.

2.4 In vitro digestion

An in vitro digestion model that simulated the mouth, stomach and small intestine was used.

2.4.1 Mouth phase

25g of emulsion sample were gently mixed for 5 s with 0.5ml of fresh stimulated human saliva (corresponding to a ratio saliva/emulsion of 1ml saliva/50 g emulsion), inside a water bath at 37°C.^{22,23} The ratio human saliva/emulsion was selected according to the saliva flow data provided by Humphrey & Williamson²⁴, considering a short retention time of the emulsion in the mouth. The stimulated human saliva was obtained as described in Engelen et al.²⁵ The mouth of the donor was rinsed three times with water; subsequently, saliva stimulation was performed by chewing on a 5 cm square sheet of tasteless parafilm (Parafilm American National Can, Greenwich, CT, USA). Informed consent was obtained for the donor. Saliva was always employed within 1 h and was stored at 4°C.

2.4.2 Gastric phase

The sample from the mouth phase was mixed with 1.5ml of a simulated gastric fluid (SGF), 6ml of distilled water and 100µl of HCl 6N, so a final pH of 2.0 was obtained. The ratio gastric volume/emulsion weight was 1/3. This ratio was selected so as to be able to evaluate the changes in the rheological properties associated to the digestion process. The SGF consisted of a pepsin solution containing 1.6 g of pepsin in 10 ml HCl

0.1N. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour with continuous agitation in a shaking water bath (speed 70 U/min).

2.4.3 Small intestine phase

After the gastric phase, 2 ml of simulated intestinal fluid (SIF) was added and the pH was adjusted to 7 with NH₃ (25% w/w). The ratio intestine volume/emulsion weight was 1/2.5. This ratio was selected so as to be able to evaluate the changes in the rheological properties associated to the digestion process, as a higher dilution in the system would have reduced measurement sensitivity. The SIF consisted of 0.1 g of pancreatin, 0.625 g of bile extract and 0.21 g of Ammonium hydrogen Carbonate in 25 ml milli-Q water. The pH of the SIF was adjusted to 7 with NH₃ (25% w/w). The final oil concentration in the small intestine phase was 35.2%. However, it should be considered that not all the initial oil in the emulsion sample will be bioaccessible or available for digestion. In this article the amount of oil available for digestion is referred as “released fat” and it is considered as the oil isolated in the supernatant after centrifugation of the small intestine digesta (see section 2.9). The oil that remains in the pellet after centrifugation is considered not to be available for digestion.

The mixture was incubated for two additional hours in the shaking water bath under the same conditions as described in the gastric phase.

2.4.4 Effect of water dilution

Samples were also incubated in the stomach and in the small intestine model but only with the addition of distilled water at the dilution level of the stomach and small intestine. The incubation process (time, temperature and shaking conditions) was the same as in the samples with enzymes.

2.5. Rheological behaviour

The rheological behavior was evaluated by small amplitude oscillatory shear in a controlled stress rheometer (AR-G2, TA Instruments (Crawley, England)) with a Peltier heating system. A 40 mm diameter plate–plate sensor geometry with a serrated surface and a 1 mm gap was employed. In every case, the sample was protected with vaseline oil (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) in order to prevent the sample from drying, as a result of either the time or temperature used.

200 Stress sweeps were carried out at a frequency of 1 Hz to measure the extent of the linear
201 viscoelastic response. Frequency sweeps from 10 to 0.01 Hz at a stress wave amplitude
202 inside the linear region were performed. In some samples data value at the lower
203 frequencies (from 0.1 to 0.01) are not shown due to a lack of sensitivity during
204 measurements. Storage modulus (G'), loss modulus (G'') and loss tangent ($\tan \delta =$
205 G''/G') values were recorded. Test temperature was always 37°C.

206 The tests were carried out in the fresh systems (emulsion and hydrated cellulose) and
207 after incubation in each of the in vitro digestion steps (oral, gastric and intestinal), with
208 and without enzymes.

209

210 *2.6. Extrusion properties*

211 The extrusion properties of the samples were determined by using a TA-XT plus
212 Texture Analyzer equipped with the Texture Exponent software (Stable Microsystems,
213 Godalming, UK). A back extrusion assay was carried out using a bucket of 5 cm in
214 diameter and 7.5 cm in height and a compression probe of 4.9 cm in diameter. The
215 distance force was 15mm, the compression rate 1mm/s, and the trigger force 10g. From
216 the force time profiles obtained, the area under the curve and the maximum force
217 achieved were recorded.

218

219 *2.7. Particle Size measurements*

220 The measurements were taken with a particle size analyzer by laser diffraction
221 (Mastersizer 2000, Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK). The particle size
222 calculations are based on Mie theory or Mie scattering theory and were performed with
223 the software provided with the equipment (Mastersizer 2000 V5.40). A refractive index
224 of 1.330 and 1.472 was used for the aqueous phase and the fat phase respectively. The
225 volume-weighted mean particle diameter $D[4,3]$ was calculated.

226

227 *2.8. Microstructure*

228 The microstructure of the emulsions was evaluated using optical microscopy (Nikon
229 Eclipse 90i, Kanagawa, Japan). A small aliquot of each sample was placed on a
230 microscope slide and observed using a magnification of 20x. The emulsions were
231 observed after 24 h of preparation and after digestion in the in vitro models of the
232 mouth, stomach and intestine.

233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264

2.9. Amount of fat released after in vitro digestion

Before fat can be digested, one necessary step is the release from the initial matrix and solubilisation. In order to determine the real amount of fat that will be available for digestion, the amount of fat release from the emulsion after centrifugation was calculated. It is necessary to consider that fat release from the hydrocolloid/emulsion structure is a first necessary requirement for a correct action of digestive enzymes. After small intestine in vitro digestion the sample was mixed with 15 ml Ethanol and centrifugated (10 minutes, 10,000rpm) (Sorvall® RC-5B Refrigerated Superspeed centrifuge). The total supernatant was quantified and the mixture of water and ethanol evaporated in a boiling water bath. After evaporation, the container was dried in an oven at 100°C for 30 minutes to completely eliminate residual water or ethanol. The remained liquid is considered the amount of fat released. The amount of fat that remains in the pellet after centrifugation will not be bioaccessible fat.

2.9. Free fatty acid (FFA) content

Fat digestion was determined by measuring the amount of FFA before and at the end of the in vitro digestion. FFA were determined in the MC emulsion and in an o/w whey protein (2% w/w) emulsion, considered as control. The percentage of oil in the control emulsion (25% w/w) was selected according to the total fat released from the MC emulsion (section 2.9), so the total amount of fat available for the digestive enzymes is kept constant. After incubating in the intestine model, 15 ml of ethanol were added to the digestion mixture (6.25g) in order to stop the enzyme action of pancreatic lipase. The sample mixed with ethanol was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 10,000 rpm in a centrifuge (Sorvall® RC-5B Refrigerated Superspeed centrifuge). The total supernatant was quantified and the free fatty acids were determined in 10 ml of supernatant by titration with 0.05M NaOH and phenolphthalein as an indicator to end point (pink color). A ph-stat automatic titration unit was not employed due to the inhomogeneous consistency of the emulsion digested sample, as many of the FFAs released will not be soluble enough to be detected.²⁶

265 A standard curve was prepared using oleic acid (0, 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 mM), and
266 this was used to calculate the free fatty acid concentration of the samples. The results
267 are expressed as "g oleic acid/g fat" and in the MC emulsion also as "g oleic acid/g fat
268 released". The determination of free fatty acids was performed on the emulsion sample
269 and in a control consisting of a 2% whey protein o/w emulsion taken as example of
270 digestible emulsifier, without hydrocolloid barrier.

271

272 2.10. Statistical analysis

273 For each test, three replicates were performed with samples prepared on different days.
274 An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to study the differences between the
275 samples. The least significant differences were calculated by the Tukey test and the
276 significance at $p < 0.05$ was determined. These analyses were performed using
277 XLSTAT 2009.4.03 statistical software (Addinsoft, Barcelona, Spain).

278

279 3. Results and discussion

280 3.1. Hydrated cellulose ether

281 3.1.1. Linear viscoelastic properties

282 Before the evaluation of the changes in the viscoelastic properties of the cellulose ether
283 emulsion during digestion, the behaviour of the solely hydrated methylcellulose ether
284 was investigated. In the emulsion the hydrated cellulose constitutes the continuous
285 phase of the emulsion, being the oil the dispersed phase. Therefore the hydrated
286 cellulose is the first barrier that will come into contact with the digestion fluids during
287 the digestion of emulsions. For this reason, it is expected that the changes in the solely
288 hydrated cellulose ether would be closely related to the emulsion stability during
289 digestion and may help to understand the general behaviour of the emulsion.

290 The study of the linear viscoelastic properties of the fresh and the digested samples was
291 carried out by applying small amplitude oscillatory shear. These small forces do not
292 simulate the peristaltic movement along the digestive tract but are considered an
293 effective tool for the purposes of evaluating the resulting inner structure after incubation
294 in the shaking water bath.

295 The effect of mouth, stomach and small intestine in vitro digestion on the linear
296 viscoelastic properties of the hydrated cellulose at 37°C is shown in Figure 1. The
297 spectra reveal the very low elasticity of the hydrated methylcellulose at this

298 temperature. In the fresh sample, the terminal zone of the mechanical spectra was
299 observed, with values of G'' higher than G' in the available frequency window,
300 implying the predominance of the viscous properties of the sample (Figure 1A). It is
301 interesting to compare this mechanical spectra obtained at 37°C with a previously
302 reported one measured at 15°C.²⁷ In comparison with 15°C, at 37°C the distance
303 between G' and G'' is shorter and the slope of the increase in G' and G'' with
304 frequency is also gentler. These differences between the shape of the mechanical spectra
305 at 15°C and 37°C are explained by the thermal changes associated with the
306 methylcellulose ether. As previously reported²⁷, the increase in temperature reduced the
307 differences in G'' and G' until the gelation temperature is reached (around 49°C) and a
308 crossover of G'' and G' occurs. This explains the higher viscoelasticity at 37°C in
309 comparison with the previous results at 15°C.

310 Mixing the hydrated hydrocolloid with human saliva did not affect the characteristic
311 shape of the mechanical spectra or the values of the viscoelastic functions, implying that
312 saliva did not affect the fresh methylcellulose structure. After digestion in the stomach,
313 only a mild increase in $\tan \delta$ (lower viscoelasticity) was observed (Figure 1B), although
314 a significant decrease in the values of G' and G'' was observed. Finally, the intestine
315 digestion induced a greater decrease in the viscoelastic functions with a significant
316 increase in $\tan \delta$. In order to establish whether the observed changes in the viscoelastic
317 properties are associated merely with a dilution effect or more specifically with the
318 enzymatic effect and the changes in pH, the hydrated methylcellulose dispersion were
319 also incubated only in the presence of water, at the corresponding dilution level. The
320 effect of water dilution is shown in Table 1, where values of G' , G'' , and $\tan \delta$ at 1 Hz
321 of the digestion systems and water diluted systems are shown. The dilution of water at
322 the level of the stomach showed a similar profile to the stomach incubation with no
323 significant differences in $\tan \delta$, indicating that the observed changes after stomach
324 incubation could be mainly associated with the dilution effect. The slight effect of the
325 acidic pH on the methylcellulose structure was expected do to its non-ionic nature. On
326 the contrary, dilution at the level of the intestine did not affect $\tan \delta$ values while
327 intestine digestion did, indicating that, in this case, the observed changes in the structure
328 can be associated with the effect of the bile salts and the pancreatic enzymes.

329

330 *3.1.2. Extrusion properties*

331 The effect of greater forces on the structure was studied by applying a back extrusion
332 test. The extrusion forces versus time were recorded in the fresh sample and after
333 digestion in the mouth and stomach models, also analysing the isolated effect of water
334 dilution. The area under the curve and mean force values are shown (Table 2). After
335 stomach incubation, the values of force and area were significantly lower than in both
336 the fresh sample and the saliva sample. No significant differences were found between
337 the stomach sample and the diluted sample, which, similarly to the viscoelastic results,
338 indicates that the decrease in the force values should be attributed to water dilution more
339 than to the structural change associated with stomach conditions (acid pH and pepsin).
340 Although saliva incubation only produced a small decrease in force values, the
341 differences found were significant.

342

343 3.2. Methylcellulose O/W emulsion

344 The visual appearance of the emulsions after incubation in the different in vitro models
345 is shown in Figure 2. No phase separation can be distinguished in the emulsion and,
346 after the different phases of digestion, the structure adopted by the emulsion is
347 homogeneous.

348

349 3.2.1. Linear viscoelastic properties

350 The effect of saliva, stomach and intestine digestion on the emulsion viscoelastic
351 properties are shown in Figure 3. The fresh emulsion was highly dependent on
352 frequency. In comparison with the hydrated hydrocolloid at the same temperature, the
353 emulsion is characterized by a higher elasticity. The values of the viscoelastic function
354 in the emulsions were more than one order of magnitude higher than in the hydrated
355 hydrocolloid (Figure 3A). In the fresh emulsion, the end of the plateau zone was
356 observed in the available frequency window with the crossover between G' and G'' , the
357 values of G'' being higher than those of G' at lower frequencies. Similarly to the
358 behaviour found in the hydrated methylcellulose, the behaviour of the undigested
359 emulsion at 37°C differs slightly from the behaviour of the emulsion at 20°C, as
360 previously described.²¹ At both temperatures, the crossover of G' and G'' was observed
361 in the available frequency window, but the distance between G' and G'' was shorter in
362 the emulsion at 37°C, which is associated with the decrease in viscoelasticity occurring
363 in this temperature range.²¹ Mixing with saliva did not exert any effect on the emulsion

viscoelastic properties, and the values of $\tan \delta$ (Figure 3B) and G' and G'' (Figure 3A) were unaltered. After incubation in the stomach, a change in viscoelasticity occurred: the values of G' and G'' fell and the cross over point between G' and G'' moved towards higher frequencies, implying a decrease in viscoelasticity. After digestion in the intestine, the system's viscoelasticity continues to decrease: the G' and G'' values fell, $\tan \delta$ rose and the crossover point shifted towards higher frequencies. The effect of water dilution was also studied. The increase in water dilution led to a progressive decrease in the values of G' and G'' and moved the cross over towards higher frequencies. The comparison of the mechanical spectra of the water dilution and the stomach digestion reveals very similar spectra, indicating that the observed effect could simply be associated with dilution. Values of G' , G'' and $\tan \delta$ are shown in Table 3. After digestion in the intestine, the values of G' and G'' were slightly lower than those corresponding to the dilution, although the differences were not significant.

3.2.2. *Extrusion properties*

The area under the curve and medium force values are shown in Table 4. Similarly to the viscoelastic results, the extrusion profile (data not shown) revealed the greater consistency of the methylcellulose emulsions in comparison with the hydrated methylcellulose (mean extrusion force around 0.5 N for the hydrated cellulose and around 8 N for the emulsions). After digestion in the stomach, the extrusion force decreased significantly and no differences were found between the stomach digestion samples and the water diluted samples; similarly to the linear viscoelastic results, this implies that the effect of stomach digestion should be mainly associated with the dilution effect rather than with the specific effect of the stomach conditions.

3.2.3. *Emulsion microstructure*

The microstructure of both the fresh emulsion and also that of the emulsion after digestion in the in vitro model of the mouth, stomach and intestine is shown in Figure 4. The initial microstructure corresponds to the existence of a dense matrix composed of the fat globules that are immersed in the continuous phase of the emulsion, made up of water and hydrated cellulose. No significant changes in the microstructure can be appreciated after it is mixed with saliva. After incubation in the stomach, it can be seen that the cellulose still retains its

emulsifying effect on the acidity of the stomach and no flocculation or coalescence phenomena can be observed. As a result of the existing dilution, the fat droplets in the emulsion can be seen to be farther apart after incubation in the stomach.

Finally, the microstructure reveals there has been a significant increase in the size of the fat globules after incubation in the intestine. These results indicate that the bile salts and pancreatic lipase have been to some extent capable of accessing the interface of the fat globules and displacing the methylcellulose of the interfacial surface. Li, Hu & Mc Clements²⁸ found an increase in the mean particle diameter when bile was added to the emulsions. Mc Clements²⁹ and Mun et al.¹⁰ associated the phenomenon of coalescence with fat digestion in the following manner: the formation of free fatty acids and monoacylglycerides on the surface of the droplets during lipase digestion boosts coalescence as the surfactant effect of these substances is not strong enough to stabilise the oil emulsions in water when coalescence occurs. The degree to which this happens will depend both on the ability of the lipase to come into contact with the emulsified lipids and on the composition and properties of the interfacial films that surround the water droplets.

413

3.2.4. Particle size distribution

The changes in the oil droplet size distribution during mouth, stomach and intestine digestion are shown in Figure 5. Prior to digestion, the emulsion exhibited a bimodal distribution with a minority population of a smaller size, around 1 μm , and a majority, larger-sized population (around 10 μm).

The digestion with saliva leads to the appearance of a small tail on the right-hand side and a slight reduction in the size of the majority population. This means that the presence of saliva does have an effect on the emulsion's structure that could be associated with a gentle displacement of the interface cellulose caused by the saliva's glycoproteins (mucines), which would lead to a slight coagulation or coalescence. Digestion in the stomach produced no noticeable changes compared with in-mouth digestion. Lastly, after digestion in the intestine there was a widening of the curve and a clear displacement to the right, which coincides with a growth in the size of the fat globules as observed in the microstructural analysis.

The values of the average droplet diameter $D[4,3]$ are shown in Table 5. A slight, but significant, change can be observed during digestion. This change in the size

distribution of the particles reflects the fact that, although only to a limited extent, the bile salts and pancreatic lipase do gain access to the dispersed phase of the emulsion, leading to coagulation or coalescence phenomena.

3.2.5. *Total fat released after emulsion digestion*

Two main requirements are needed for the fat in the cellulose emulsion to be digested. Firstly, the fat should be released from the hydrocolloid matrix and solubilized; secondly, the lipase must be in close contact with the fat surface. Undoubtedly, if the digestive fluids have limited access with the oil phase, fat digestion will be reduced.

The amount of fat which becomes released from the initial emulsion structure was calculated quantifying the amount of fat present in the total supernatant after emulsion centrifugation. It is considered that the fat remaining in the pellet after emulsion centrifugation will not be available for digestion. A photo of the appearance of the digested emulsion is shown in Figure 6, the pellet and the supernatant are clearly observed. In a fresh, no digested MC emulsion, the amount of fat quantified in the supernatant was very low (3,76%). After being digested in the intestine model 49.8 (± 6.3)% of the fat was quantified in the supernatant (Figure 6), implying that 50.2% of the initial fat present in the emulsion will not be released from the semisolid structure. This result indicates that independently of a possible additional inhibition of methylcellulose during the fat digestion process, there exists a first effective physical barrier that limits fat release to the aqueous phase. Therefore the employ of highly concentrated o/w methylcellulose emulsions is an effective physical strategy to reduce fat bioaccessibility.

It should be keep in mind that the total fat released will include non-digested fat and digested fat products, so it is not an index of fat digestion. Fat released is an index of the available fat to be solubilized and subsequently digested.

3.2.6 *Free fatty acids generated after emulsion digestion*

In addition to fat released quantification, the content of free fatty acids at the end of the small intestine digestion was quantified, measured as oleic acid. Free fatty acids are the product of fat digestion, so they are a real indicator of the amount of fat which is digested. However, contrary to total fat released, free fatty acids generation is the result of an enzymatic reaction, which is influenced by a wide variety of factors. It is well

known that lipid digestibility is influenced by a great variety of factors, which makes the quantitative comparison of systems of a different nature not appropriate. Li et al.⁽²⁸⁾ studied some of the factors that affect emulsion lipid digestion. These authors found that the rate and extent of lipid digestion increase as the lipase concentration rises, decreasing the bile extract, droplet size and droplet concentration.

Special attention has to be taken to employ free fatty acids as an indicator of fat digestion or fat release. In systems where the amount of fat available for digestion may be different (amount, particle size), or initially unknown, it is necessary to guarantee that the enzyme concentration, and other reaction factors, such as bile content are suitable. This is of extreme importance especially in highly concentrated oil systems, where if a high release of fat occurs, the amount of lipase in the in vitro system may not be enough to hydrolyse all the fat in the system, or an inactivation of the enzyme may occur due to an increase in the reaction products.

In this work the free fatty acids generated after digestion the cellulose ether emulsion were compared with a whey protein stabilized emulsion (without hydrocolloid), taking as example of a digestible emulsion with no hydrocolloid barrier. The oil content in the control emulsion was selected considering the total fat released after digestion of the cellulose ether emulsion (section 3.2.5). In this way the amount of fat available for digestion will be the same in both systems, making simpler a comparison of the results. The amount of free fatty acid (g oleic acid/g fat) was very low in every system before digestion: 0.0018 (cellulose ether emulsion), and 0.0031 (whey protein emulsion), corresponding to the very small amount of free fatty acids present in the fresh oil before digestion. After digestion in the intestine, values of the free fatty acids expressed as g oleic acid/g total fat were 0.105 (± 0.033) g/g in the MC emulsion and 0.236 (± 0.017) g/g in the control emulsion, which implies a total reduction of 55.51%. If the results in the MC emulsion are expressed relative to fat released (instead of relative to total fat), the origin of the differences (physical or associated to digestion) can be isolated. The value of g oleic acid/g fat released was 0.207 (0.073) g/g, which implies a 12.28% reduction associated solely to the oil digestion process.

Undoubtedly, a more realistic approach will be obtained performing in vivo analysis. Also, the evaluation of the effect of specific conditions in the in vitro digestion process

496 and the employ of more realistic in vitro models, such as the one proposed by Minekus
497 et al.³⁰ will be a future line of research. Somehow, with the results obtained in this paper
498 we can affirm that the cellulose emulsion tested in this study is a promising structure
499 with which to protect fat from being digested and deserves further investigation.

500

501 **4. Conclusions**

502 This study represents an important contribution regarding the role of methylcellulose as
503 physical barrier in the control of the digestion of highly concentrated oil/water
504 emulsions. The results reveal that the methylcellulose emulsion structure is highly
505 resistant during digestion, reducing in 49.8% the release of the initial fat due to a
506 physical effect, therefore preventing an effective action of the enzymes.

507 In comparison to a whey protein control emulsion with equal amount of fat available for
508 digestion, a 12% reduction in the free fatty acid content was also found, which supposes
509 55% reduction in free fatty acids per gram of initial fat.

510 The effect of human saliva and in vitro stomach digestion on the emulsion's rheological
511 properties and microstructure was attributed to a dilution effect, rather than to pH or
512 pepsin activity. After in vitro intestine incubation, a decrease in viscoelasticity and an
513 increase in fat globule size were observed, indicating that the intestine digestive fluids
514 were able to come into contact, at least partially, with the oil phase of the emulsion.

515 Special attention should be taken in the correct interpretation of free fatty acid
516 generation as indicator of digestion in highly concentrated emulsions and in the
517 establishment of relationships among free fatty acid generation and total fat release from
518 a specific matrix structure. If the amount of fat released is very high, fat digestion could
519 not be completed.

520 A definitive answer about the real effectivity of methylcellulose emulsions to reduce fat
521 bioaccessibility will be obtained performing in vivo studies.

522 In this study it is concluded that the highly concentrated methylcellulose emulsion
523 studied is a good candidate to perform in vivo studies.

524 A further point of interest of this study is the application of these emulsions in the
525 development of food with low fat bioaccessibility, which will help in obesity control
526 and fat related illnesses.

527

528 **Acknowledgements**

529 Authors wish to thank Ministerio Economía y Competitividad of the Spanish
530 Government for financial support (Project AGL2015-68923-C2-1-R).

531

532 References

533

534 1 P. J. Wilde and B. S. Chu, *Adv. Colloid Interfac.*, 2011, 165, 14–22.

535 2 P. W. J. Maljaars, H. P. F. Peters, D. J. Mela and A. A. M. Masclee, *Physiol. Behav.*,
536 2008, 95, 271–281.

537 3 D. J. Mc Clements and Y. Li, *Food Funct.*, 2010, 1, 32–59.

538

539 4 G. A. Van Aken, M. H. Vingerhoeds and E. H. A. de Hoog, 2005. *Colloidal behavior*
540 *of food emulsions under oral conditions*. In: *Food Hydrocolloids; Interactions,*
541 *Microstructure and Processing*. E. Dickinson (ed.). The Royal Society of
542 Chemistry, Cambridge, UK. pp. 356–366.

543

544 5 L. Marciani, M. Wickham, D. Bush, R. Faulks, J. Wright, A. Fillery-Travis, R. C.
545 Spiller and P. A. Gowland, *Brit. J. Nutr.*, 2006, 95, 331–339.

546 6 L. Marciani, M. Wickham, G. Singh, D. Bush, B. Pick and E. Cox, *Am. J. Physiol.-*
547 *Gastr. L.*, 2007, 292, G1607–G1613.

548 7 A. Sarkar, K. K. T. Goh, R. P. Singh and H. Singh, *Food Hydrocolloid*, 2009, 23(6),
549 1563–1569.

550 8 M. Golding, T. J. Wooster, L. Day, M. Xu, L. Lundin, J. Keogh and P. Clifton, *Soft*
551 *Matter*, 2011, 7, 3513–3523.

552 9 F. A. Bellesi, M. J. Martinez, V. M. Pizones Ruiz-Henestrosa and A. M. R. Pilosof,
553 *Food Hydrocolloid*, 2016, 52, 47–56.

554 10 S. Mun, E. A. Decker and D. J. Mc Clements, *Food Res. Int.*, 2007, 40, 770–781.

555 11 L. Bonnaire, S. Sandra, T. Helgason, E. A. Decker, J. Weiss, and D. J. Mc
556 Clements, *J. Agr. Food Chem.*, 2008, 56, 3791–7.

557 12 R. Zhang, Z. Zhang, H. Xiang, E. A. Decker and D. J. Mc Clements, *Food*
558 *Hydrocolloid*, 2015, 45, 175–185.

559

560 13 E. Malinauskytė, J. Ramanauskaitė, D. Leskauskaitė, T. G. Devold, R. B. Schüller
561 and G. E. Vegarud, *Food Chem.*, 2014, 165, 104–112.

- 14 A. Torcello-Gómez and T. J. Foster, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, 113, 53–61.
- 15 C. Qiu, M. Zhao, E. A. Decker and D. E. Mc Clements, *Food Res. Int.*, 2015, 74, 131–139.
- 16 R. V. Seimon, T. Wooster, B. Otto, M. Golding, L. Day, T. J. Little, M. Horowitz, P. M. Clifton and C. Feinle-Bisset, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 2009, 89, 1729–36.
- 17 M. Espinal-Ruiz, F. Parada-Alfonso, L. P. Restrepo-Sánchez, C. E. Narváez-Cuenca and D. J. Mc Clements, *Food Funct.*, 2014, 5, 3083–3095.
- 18 P. Tarancón, A. Salvador and T. Sanz, *Food Bioprocess Tech.*, 2013, 6, 2389–2398.
- 19 P. Tarancón, A. Salvador, T. Sanz, S. M. Fiszman and A. Tárrega, *Food Res. Int.*, 2015, 69, 91–96.
- 20 T. Sanz, A. Salvador, S. M. Fiszman and L. Laguna, 2011, *Fabricación y aplicación de emulsión sustituta de grasa*. Patente Española ES240869B1.
- 21 T. Sanz, M. Falomir and A. Salvador, *Food Hydrocolloid*, 2015, 46, 19–27.
- 22 T. Sanz and H. Luyten, *Food Hydrocolloid*, 2006, 20(5), 703–711.
- 23 T. Sanz, S. Handschin, J. Nuessli and B. Conde-Petit, *Food Sci. Technol. Int.*, 2007, 13(5), 381–388.
- 24 S. P. Humphrey and R. T. Williamson, *J. Prosthet. Dent.*, 2001, 85, 162–169.
- 25 L. Engelen, R. A. de Wijk, J.F. Prinz, A.M. Janssen, A. van der Bilt, H. Weenen and F. Bosman, *Physiol. Behav.*, 2003, 78, 805–811.
- 26 M. V. Tzoumaki, T. Moschakis, E. Scholten and C. G. Biliaderis, *Food Funct.*, 2013, 4, 121–129.
- 27 T. Sanz, M. A. Fernández, A. Salvador, J. Muñoz and S. M. Fiszman, *Food Hydrocolloid*, 2005, 19, 141–147.
- 28 Y. Li, M. Hu and D. J. Mc Clements, *Food Chem.*, 2011, 126, 498–505.
- 29 D. J. McClements, (2005). *Food emulsions: Principles, practice, and techniques*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- 30 M. Minekus, M. Alminger, P. Alvito, S. Ballance, T. Bohn, C. Bourlieu, F. Carrière, R. Boutrou, M. Corredig, D. Dupont, C. Dufour, L. Egger, M. Golding, S. Karakaya, B. Kirkhus, S. Le Feunteun, U. Lesmes, A. Macierzanka, A. Mackie, S. Marze, D. J. McClements, O. Ménard, I. Recio, C. N. Santos, R. P. Singh,

594 G. E. Vegarud, M. S. J. Wickham, W. Weitschies and A. Brodkorb, *Food Funct*,
595 2014,5, 1113-1124.

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. A (G' and G'') and B ($\tan \delta$) as a function of frequency of the fresh hydrated methylcellulose ether and after in vitro digestion at 37°C.

Figure 2. Visual appearance of the fresh methylcellulose O/W emulsion and after in vitro digestion at 37°C.

Figure 3. A (G' and G'') and B ($\tan \delta$) as a function of frequency of the fresh methylcellulose O/W emulsion and after in vitro digestion at 37°C.

Figure 4. Microstructure of the fresh methylcellulose O/W emulsion and after in vitro digestion at 37°C.

Figure 5. Particle size distribution of the fresh methylcellulose O/W emulsion (red) and after in vitro digestion at 37°C (saliva: green; stomach: blue; intestine: black).

Figure 6. Appearance of the digested intestine sample after centrifugation.

Table 1. Viscoelastic rheological parameters of the fresh hydrated methylcellulose ether and after in vitro digestion.

Sample	G' (Pa)	G'' (Pa)	Tan δ
Fresh	5.6a	12.4a	2.2c
Saliva	5.6a	11.9a	2.1c
Stomach	1.0b	3.7b	3.9bc
Stomach dilution	1.1b	3.5b	3.3bc
Intestine	0.3b	2.1b	6.5a
Intestine dilution	0.5b	2.6b	5.3ab

^{abcd}Means in the same column without a common letter differ ($P < 0.05$) according to the Tukey test.

Table 2. Extrusion parameters of the fresh hydrated methylcellulose ether and after in vitro digestion.

Sample	Area under the curve (N x mm)	Maximum Force (N)
Fresh	7.4a	0.5a
Saliva	5.5b	0.4b
Stomach	3.0c	0.2c
Stomach dilution	2.4c	0.2c

^{abcd} Means in the same column without a common letter differ (P < 0.05) according to the Tukey test.

686 **Table 3. Viscoelastic rheological parameters of the fresh methylcellulose O/W**
 687 **emulsion and after in vitro digestion.**

Sample	G' (Pa)	G'' (Pa)	Tan δ
Fresh	418.1a	319.0a	0.8c
Saliva	389.1a	322.7a	0.8bc
Stomach	82.7b	126.9b	1.5a
Stomach dilution	89.9b	133.5b	1.5ab
Intestine	10.6c	20.1c	1.9a
Intestine dilution	31.2c	55.1c	1.8a

688 ^{abcd} Means in the same column without a common letter differ ($P < 0.05$) according to the Tukey test.

689

690

Table 4. Extrusion parameters of the fresh methylcellulose O/W emulsion and after in vitro digestion.

Sample	Area under the curve (N x mm)	Maximum Force (N)
Fresh	107.4a	8.0a
Saliva	83.2b	6.3b
Stomach	18.3c	1.4c
Stomach dilution	14.9c	1.1d

^{abcd}Means in the same column without a common letter differ (P < 0.05) according to the Tukey test.

697 **Table 5. D[4,3] values of the fresh methylcellulose O/W emulsion and after in vitro**
698 **digestion.**

699

Sample	D4,3 (µm)
Fresh	10.5d
Saliva	20.1b
Stomach	17.4c
Intestine	23.9a

700

701 ^{abcd} Means in the same column without a common letter differ (P < 0.05) according to the Tukey test.

702

Figure 1. A (G' and G'') and B ($\tan \delta$) as a function of frequency of the fresh hydrated methylcellulose ether and after in vitro digestion at 37°C.

Figure 2.

Figure 3. A (G' and G'') and B ($\tan \delta$) as a function of frequency of the fresh methylcellulose O/W emulsion and after in vitro digestion at 37°C.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

B

Figure 6.