Effects of *Quercus suber* decline on woody plant regeneration: potential implications for successional dynamics in Mediterranean forests

Shortened Title: Successional dynamics in declining forests

Beatriz Ibáñez^{1}, Lorena Gómez-Aparicio¹, José M. Ávila¹, Ignacio M. Pérez-Ramos¹,
Teodoro Marañón¹*

¹Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología (IRNAS, CSIC), PO Box 1052, Sevilla
41080, Spain.

*Corresponding author: Beatriz Ibáñez

Tels. +34 954 624 711, fax. +34 954 624 002, E-mail address: bibanez@irnase.csic.es

Abstract

In the last decades widespread tree decline and mortality has been documented in forests worldwide. These mortality events usually show certain level of host-specificity, translating into rapid changes in the relative abundance of the adult community. Despite these short-term changes, it is poorly understood whether the decline and mortality of certain tree species are likely to result in long-term vegetation shifts. Trajectories of forest recovery and the probability of occurrence of permanent vegetation shifts are to a large extent determined by post-mortality regeneration dynamics. Using a spatially-explicit neighborhood approach we evaluated the spatial patterns of natural regeneration of the woody plant community in mixed Mediterranean forests affected by the decline of their dominant tree species, *Quercus suber*. We predicted the abundance, survival and richness of the seedling and sapling bank as a function of the distribution and health status of the tree and shrub community. Results indicated that *Q. suber* decline had detectable effects on seedlings and saplings of coexistent woody species from very different functional groups (trees, shrubs and lianas). The sign and magnitude of these effects varied substantially among coexistent species, which could imply shifts in the species ranking of seedling and sapling abundance, affecting successional trajectories and potentially leading to vegetation shifts. Because most of these changes pointed towards a loss of dominance of *Q. suber*, management strategies are urgently needed in order to attenuate adult mortality or promote its regeneration, counteracting the negative effects of global change drivers (exotic pathogens, climate change) on these valuable forests.

Key words: disturbance, global change, Mediterranean forests, neighborhood models, seedling and sapling bank, succession, tree dieback.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

38 **Introduction**

39 Over the last two decades widespread tree decline and mortality has been documented in
40 forests of almost every bioregion of the world (Allen et al., 2010, 2015; Breshears et al.,
41 2009; Van Mantgem et al., 2009). Several global change drivers have been identified as
42 potential causes, such as increasing drought frequency and severity, recurrent pest outbreaks
43 or the spread of exotic pathogens (e.g. Axelson et al., 2009; Carnicer et al., 2011; Loo, 2009).
44 A common feature to these mortality processes is that they usually show certain level of host-
45 specificity, some tree species being much more vulnerable to abiotic and biotic sources of
46 stress than others. As a result, they have a large potential to induce selective species removals
47 and changes in community composition (Allen and Breshears, 1998; Collins et al., 2011). For
48 example, in semi-arid *Pinus edulis-Juniperus monosperma* woodlands of southwestern USA,
49 recent severe droughts have induced a shift towards *Juniperus* dominated communities due to
50 the higher drought tolerance of this species (Koepke et al., 2010; Mueller et al., 2005). In
51 coastal California forests, the emerging pathogen *Phytophthora ramorum* has caused a rapid
52 decline of *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* and an increase in the relative dominance of
53 coexisting *Umbellularia californica* or *Sequoia sempervirens* (Brown and Allen-Diaz, 2009;
54 Ramage et al., 2012). In Australian forests, the largest susceptibility to both drought and
55 pathogens of the *Eucalyptus* genus compared to the co-dominant *Corymbia* genus has lead to
56 severe alterations in the relative dominance of coexisting tree species (Fensham and Holman,
57 1999, Cahill et al., 2003). However, despite this evidence for rapid changes in the relative
58 abundance of the adult community, we still have limited information on trajectories of forest
59 recovery after tree decline and mortality and whether they are likely to result in long-term
60 vegetation shifts.

61 The probability of occurrence of permanent vegetation shifts is to a large extent
62 determined by regeneration dynamics after tree dieback (Galiano et al., 2013; Kayes and

1
2
3 63 Tinker, 2012; Redmond and Barger, 2013; Suarez and Kitzberger, 2008). Tree defoliation
4
5 64 and mortality can induce a series of changes in local environmental conditions that alters the
6
7 65 probability of establishment of new tree seedlings, inducing shifts of species abundance
8
9
10 66 rankings in the seedling bank. For example, an increase in radiation levels and drought stress
11
12 67 in the gaps opened after tree death could preclude the establishment of late-successional
13
14 68 shade-tolerant species, indirectly favoring pioneer drought-tolerant species (Amoroso et al.,
15
16 69 2012; Diskin et al., 2011, Ibáñez et al., 2015). It has also been shown that trajectories of
17
18 70 recovery after drought- or insect-driven tree mortality might depend not only on new seedling
19
20 71 establishment, but also even more strongly on advance regeneration established prior to the
21
22 72 disturbance (Collins et al., 2011; Kayes and Tinker, 2012; Redmond and Barger, 2013). For
23
24 73 example, tree dieback in mature forests could release suppressed saplings of shade-tolerant
25
26 74 species, allowing late-successional species to keep dominating the stands and indirectly
27
28 75 limiting the establishment of light-demanding pioneer species otherwise typical of disturbed
29
30 76 sites (DeRose and Long, 2010; Veblen et al., 1991). These examples illustrate the
31
32 77 complexities inherent to post-mortality regeneration dynamics, with seedlings and saplings of
33
34 78 different ages likely responding in different ways (e.g. Galiano et al., 2013), and show the
35
36 79 need for further research that helps to elucidate long-term changes in stand composition of
37
38 80 disturbed forests.

39
40
41
42
43 81 In this study we analyzed the spatial patterns of natural regeneration of the woody
44
45 82 plant community in mixed oak forests of southwestern Spain affected by the decline of its
46
47 83 dominant tree species, *Quercus suber*. Our main objective was to elucidate whether the
48
49 84 abundance and performance of seedlings and saplings of coexistent shrub and tree species
50
51 85 varied depending on the composition and health status of neighboring trees, and whether
52
53 86 differential responses among species in the regeneration bank could eventually lead to
54
55 87 changes in species dominance at the canopy level. The decline of *Q. suber* has been reported
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 88 throughout the Mediterranean Basin since the early 1990s (Brasier, 1992; Brasier, 1996),
4
5 89 where tree death has occurred progressively along time forming stands of dead trees. Several
6
7 90 abiotic (e.g. extreme droughts) and biotic (e.g. insects and pathogens) factors are potentially
8
9 91 involved in this decline (Tuset and Sánchez, 2004). However, in the study area, oomycete
10
11 92 soil-borne pathogens (*Phytophthora cinnamomi* and *Pythium spiculum*) have been isolated
12
13 93 from symptomatic *Q. suber* trees and are suggested to be the main drivers of the species
14
15
16 94 decline (Brasier, 1996; Romero et al., 2007; Sánchez et al., 2006; Sánchez et al., 2002). For
17
18 95 the analysis of natural regeneration patterns, we used a spatially-explicit neighborhood
19
20
21 96 approach (Canham and Uriarte, 2006; Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2008a; Gómez-Aparicio et al.,
22
23 97 2008b) where the abundance, survival and richness of the seedling and sapling bank was
24
25 98 predicted as a function of the distribution and health status of the canopy tree and shrub
26
27 99 community. Previous studies in the same study area have shown *Q. suber* to suffer from
28
29 100 stronger recruitment limitation than coexistent trees or arborescent shrubs (Pérez-Ramos and
30
31 101 Marañón, 2012), mainly due to heavy post-dispersal seed predation and high seedling
32
33 102 mortality due to summer drought (Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2008b; Pérez-Ramos and Marañón,
34
35 103 2008). Moreover, in a parallel experimental study, where seeds of *Q. suber* and *Q.*
36
37 104 *canariensis* were sown and the recruits were monitored for 3 years, we found that defoliated
38
39 105 and dead *Q. suber* trees generated unsuitable microsites for survival of conspecific seedlings
40
41 106 likely due to drought stress and/or high pathogen abundance (Ibáñez et al., 2015). Following
42
43 107 this, we hypothesized that the process of *Q. suber* decline might cause the seedling/sapling
44
45 108 bank to become even less *Q. suber* dominated, hampering the potential for self-replacement
46
47 109 and favoring successional trajectories towards forests dominated by other co-existing woody
48
49 110 species.
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 112 **Material and Methods**
57
58
59
60

STUDY SITES AND SPECIES

The study was conducted in Los Alcornocales Natural Park, a 170 000 ha protected area in Southwestern Spain. The climate is Mediterranean type, with cold and humid winters and warm and dry summers. Mean annual temperature varies from 14.6 to 18.4 °C, with a mean monthly maximum of 36°C (July) and a mean monthly minimum of 2.8°C (January). Mean annual precipitation is 975 mm (mean 1951–1999). The bedrock is dominated by Oligo-Miocene sandstone and originates acidic, nutrient-poor, sandy soils, frequently interspersed with clayish soils derived from layers of marl sediments. Our study was carried out during three consecutive years (2010, 2011 and 2012) which exhibited contrasted climatological conditions. The year 2010 was extremely wet in terms of precipitation (1346 mm annual rainfall, 40 mm summer rainfall), 2011 had an average precipitation (1037 mm annual rainfall, 16 mm summer rainfall) and 2012 was particularly dry (474 mm annual rainfall, 0 mm summer rainfall).

The flora in the Alcornocales Natural Park is dominated by mixed sclerophyll forests of *Q. suber*, located mainly on non-carbonated soils, at altitudes between 100-700 m a.s.l.. Within the park the structure of the forests and its diversity vary depending on orography and soil type. In low altitude sites with clayish soils, *Q. suber* forms mixed open woodlands with the evergreen drought-tolerant *Olea europaea* var. *sylvestris*. The shrub layer in these woodlands is usually dense and largely dominated by the evergreen *Pistacia lentiscus* L. and the deciduous *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq. In sandier, moister and colder sites *Q. suber* coexists with the deciduous, shade-tolerant *Quercus canariensis* forming closed forests. The shrubby understory is diverse and dominated by arborescent shrubs (*Arbutus unedo* L., *Phillyrea latifolia* L.) and heath species (*Erica arborea* L., *Erica scoparia* L.) (Ojeda et al., 1996). These forests are relatively well conserved since 1989 (declared as Natural Park), and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

137 the main management activities are the extraction of cork, game hunting and recreation
138 (Anonymous, 2005).
139
140 FIELD SAMPLING
141 The field work was conducted in six study sites within the Natural Park. Three of the sites
142 were located in open woodlands of *Q. suber* and *O. europaea* var. *sylvestris* (hereafter
143 woodland sites) and three in closed forests of *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* (hereafter closed
144 forest sites). The six sites covered a gradient of climate and soil conditions (see Table A in
145 Supplementary Material). During winter 2009, we established a 70 x 70 m plot at each of the
146 six study sites. Each plot was subdivided in 49 10 x 10 m subplots. Within each of the 49
147 subplots, a smaller 1 x 1 m quadrat was permanently set up for monitoring of natural
148 regeneration (n = 147 sampling quadrats per forest type, 294 quadrats in total).
149 During early June in 2010, 2011 and 2012 we counted and marked all the seedlings
150 (i.e. individuals emerged that spring) and saplings (i.e. > 1 year-old individuals smaller than
151 50 cm height) of woody species in each of the 1 m² sampling quadrats. We chose this
152 sampling date to ensure that most seedlings had emerged (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2012).
153 Additionally, seedlings and saplings of tree species were revisited in early October 2010 and
154 October 2011 to record survival after the summer, the main mortality period in Mediterranean
155 systems (Gómez-Aparicio 2008; Pérez-Ramos et al. 2012). We also calculated species
156 richness for each quadrat as the number of different woody plant species censused at seedling
157 or sapling stage. Due to the low growth rates of oak species in Mediterranean systems and
158 their resprouting ability, saplings smaller than 50 cm height can be as old as 30 years
159 (Galiano et al., 2013). Therefore, the sapling bank could represent the regeneration
160 accumulated during the last decades and even before the first report of *Q. suber* decline in the
161 area (Brasier, 1992; Brasier, 1996).

To characterize the local neighborhood of each plot, we identified and mapped all live and standing dead trees with a diameter at breast height (dbh) > 2 cm and all shrubs in the 70 x 70 m permanent plots, as well as in a buffer zone 15-m (for trees) or 5-m (for shrubs) wide around each plot. Tree neighborhoods of similar size have been shown to capture the most important aspects of neighborhood interactions in temperate forests (Coates et al., 2009; Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2008a). Although we did not have any reference to choose the maximum shrub neighborhood, we considered a radius of 5 m to be big enough based on the small size of most shrubs in these forests (height usually < 3 m) (Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2012). We measured the dbh of each of the trees mapped (n = 1341 trees). Due to its multi-stem growth form, shrub size was characterized measuring the two diameters of the elliptical projection of its crown (n = 3005 shrubs). In addition, we visually evaluated the crown health status of *Quercus suber*, with a standardized semi-quantitative scale used routinely for monitoring purposes of oak decline (García et al., 2011): (1) healthy reference trees; (2) defoliated trees; and (3) dead trees. No other tree or shrub species in the study area showed symptoms of decline.

DATA ANALYSIS

Neighborhood models of seedling and sapling performance and richness

We used likelihood methods and model selection for the analysis of our data (Canham and Uriarte, 2006; Johnson and Omland, 2004). Following the principles of likelihood estimation, we estimated model parameters that maximized the likelihood of observing the data measured in the field. We fit separate models for each combination of dependent variables (abundance, survival, and richness), life-stage (seedling and sapling), forest type (woodland and closed forest), and woody species/functional group. The dominant tree species were analyzed separately (*Q. suber*, *O. europaea* and *Q. canariensis*), whereas the remaining woody species

1
2
3 187 were divided in three groups according to their life-form and dispersal syndrome: fleshy-
4
5 188 fruited shrubs (with endozoochorous dispersal), dry-fruited shrubs (with dispersal syndromes
6
7 189 other than endozoochory, mainly abiotic dispersal) and lianas (Table B in Supplementary
8
9 190 Material).

11 Our full regeneration model predicted mean seedling/sapling performance in each
12
13 192 quadrat as a function of three components: 1) the potential seedling/sapling performance at
14
15 193 each of the three study sites for each forest type in the absence of specific effects of
16
17 194 neighboring trees (i.e. site effects); 2) the identity, size, health status and spatial distribution
18
19 195 of the trees in the neighborhood (i.e. tree neighborhood effects); and 3) the size and spatial
20
21 196 distribution of shrubs in the neighborhood (i.e. shrub neighborhood effects). We tested and
22
23 197 compared two different model frameworks (additive vs. multiplicative) to describe neighbor
24
25 198 effects (e.g. Baribault and Kobe, 2011):
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 200 Additive model
$$Y = a_{\text{Site}} + b_{\text{Year}} * NI_{\text{Tree}} + c_{\text{Year}} * NI_{\text{Shrub}} + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

33
34 201 Multiplicative model
$$Y = a_{\text{Site}} * \exp(b_{\text{Year}} * NI_{\text{Tree}}) * \exp(c_{\text{Year}} * NI_{\text{Shrub}}) + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

35
36 202
37
38 203 The first term in the models, a_{Site} , represents the site effects. The second term accounts
39
40 204 for the tree neighborhood effects, which are assumed to vary as a function of a *neighborhood*
41
42 205 *index* (NI_{Tree}). The parameter b defines the steepness of the variation in performance due to
43
44 206 an increment in NI_{Tree} and was allowed to vary between years to account for inter-annual
45
46 207 differences in tree effects. The tree neighborhood index quantifies the net effect of $j=1, \dots, n$
47
48 208 neighboring trees of $i=1, \dots, s$ species on seedling/sapling abundance, survival or richness, and
49
50 209 was assumed to vary as a direct function of the size (dbh) and as an inverse function of the
51
52
53 210 distance to neighbors:
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

$$NI_{Tree_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{dbh_{ij}^{\alpha}}{dist_{ij}^{\beta}} \quad (3)$$

where dbh_{ij} is diameter at breast height, $dist_{ij}$ is the distance to the sampling quadrat of the j th neighboring tree of the i th species, and α and β are estimated parameters that determine the shape of the effect of the dbh (α) and the distance to neighbors (β) on the target variable. We used NI standardized ($0 < NI_i / NI_{\max} < 1$) to facilitate comparisons across different seedling/sapling species. Because we were particularly interested in exploring whether tree effects varied between individuals of different species or health status, we grouped tree neighbors in four categories: healthy *Q. suber* trees, defoliated *Q. suber* trees, dead *Q. suber* trees, and the coexisting tree species at each site (*O. europaea* or *Q. canariensis*). We initially considered two categories of defoliated trees ($< 50\%$ and $> 50\%$ defoliation, see Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2012 for a similar approach), but since they had similar effects on regeneration we decided to merge them in just one category for simplicity. We then multiplied the net effect of an individual tree by a *per-capita* coefficient (λ), one for each category (estimating therefore four different λ values), that ranged from -1 to 1 and allowed for differences between neighbors in their effects (negative or positive) on the target variable.

The third term in equations 1 and 2 accounts for shrub neighborhood effects, and were calculated as a function of a shrub neighborhood index (NI_{Shrub}). The parameter c defines the steepness of the variation in performance due to an increment in NI_{Shrub} , and was allowed to vary between years to account for inter-annual differences in shrub effects. This index is a simplified version of the tree neighborhood index, and quantifies the net effect of $j=1, \dots, n$ neighboring shrubs on seedling/sapling abundance, survival or richness following the form:

$$NI_{Shrub_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n area_j \quad (4)$$

The NI_{Shrub} was assumed to vary just as a direct function of the size (crown area) of neighbor shrubs in a 5-m radius neighborhood. We decided not to include distance in the calculation of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the index given the already restricted area over which shrubs were mapped and to keep the number of parameters in the models manageable.

Parameter estimation and model selection

Because our main interest was to compare the effect of trees of different species and health status on regeneration, we first fit a simple model where only Tree neighborhood effects were considered (“Only tree” model). We then compared this simple model with more complicated models that included Site, Year or Shrub effects, finally selecting the simplest model that was not a significantly worse fit than any more complicated model. Additive and multiplicative models with the same number of parameters were also compared among them. We used the Akaike Information Criterion corrected for small sample sizes (AIC_c) to select the best model, with lower AIC_c values indicating stronger empirical support for a model (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). We solved for the maximum likelihood parameter values with simulated annealing (Goffe et al., 1994), a global optimization procedure. The likelihood functions used in our models varied according to the data. We used a negative binomial function to model the abundance data (counts) accounting for over-dispersion. We also tested the use of a zero-inflated Poisson distribution, but found the negative binomial to be more appropriate to the error distribution of our data. The survival data were binomial in nature, so a binomial distribution was used for the likelihood function of the corresponding models. Finally, the species richness data (counts) were adequately modeled using a Poisson likelihood function. We used asymptotic 2-unit support intervals (as an approximation to the 95% confidence interval) to assess the strength of evidence for individual maximum likelihood parameter estimates (Edwards, 1992). Coefficients whose 2-unit support intervals did not include zero were considered statistically significant. The slope of the regression (with a zero intercept) of observed versus predicted was used to measure bias (with an

unbiased model having a slope of 1). We explored model residuals (i.e. lack of patterns when represented against predicted values and independent variables, and lack of spatial autocorrelation [Mantel test, Legendre & Fortin 1989]) to finally validate the model. All analyses were performed using R (R Development Core Team, 2009) and the “likelihood” package (Murphy, 2012).

Results

Seedling and sapling abundance

A total of 4514 seedlings and 1865 saplings of 21 woody species were counted during the three sampling years at the six study sites (Table B in Supplementary Material). Due to the scarcity of seedlings and saplings of dry-fruited shrubs, this group was only included in the analysis of seedling abundance in woodland sites. All models produced unbiased estimates of seedling and sapling abundance (slope ~1, Table 1), and did not show spatial autocorrelation in their residuals with two exceptions (liana seedlings in woodlands and *Q. suber* saplings in closed forests, Table C in Supplementary Material). It is important to highlight that likelihood-based inference is very robust even in cases of lack of independence, with deviations from independence mostly resulting in underestimates of variance terms but not in biased parameters values. Both the additive and multiplicative approaches were used in best models of seedling abundance, whereas most of the best models of sapling abundance involved a multiplicative approach (Table 1). Most of the best models of seedling (7/9) and sapling (7/8) abundance showed differences among the three sites in regeneration abundance. All models detected tree neighborhood effects on seedling and sapling abundance, as indicated by significant λ values for one or more neighbor categories (Tables D and E in Supplementary Material). These effects did not vary among years (Table 1). Our models did

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

284 not detect any effect of shrubs on seedling abundance, and only in one species (*Olea*
285 *europaea*) for sapling abundance (Table 1).

286 In general, seedling abundance was higher in healthy tree communities (as indicated
287 by positive λ values) than in neighborhoods dominated by defoliated or dead trees (as
288 indicated by neutral or negative λ values) (Table D in Supplementary Material, Fig. 1a,c,e,g,
289 Fig. 2,a,c,e,g). However, the magnitude of the neighborhood effect varied among
290 species/functional groups, causing shifts in rankings of species abundance among
291 neighborhood types. In woodlands, *Olea* was the dominant seedling species in healthy tree
292 neighborhoods (both conspecific and heterospecific), whereas *Q. suber* dominated the
293 seedling bank in defoliated neighborhoods, and fleshy-fruited shrubs in dead neighborhoods
294 (Fig. 1). In closed forests, lianas and (to a lesser extent) fleshy-fruited shrubs were the
295 dominant groups in all type of neighborhoods (Fig. 2). Among tree species, *Q. suber* and *Q.*
296 *canariensis* dominated their respective conspecific healthy neighborhoods, whereas the
297 abundance of both species was virtually null in defoliated and dead *Q. suber* neighborhoods
298 (Fig. 2e,g). In most neighborhood models of seedling abundance (7/9), the exponent α of the
299 Neighborhood Index (which controls how neighbor effects scale with tree size, Eq. 3) took
300 high values (> 2), indicating that the effect of neighboring trees scaled roughly with biomass
301 (Table D in Supplementary Material). Low values of the β parameter (which controls the
302 effect of distance to neighbors, Eq. 3) in most models indicated that neighbor effects on
303 seedling abundance slightly decreased with distance (Table D in Supplementary Material).

304 Sapling abundance of tree species was also generally lower in defoliated and dead
305 neighborhoods than in healthy ones (Table E in Supplementary Material, Fig. 1b,d,f,h, Fig.
306 2b,d,f,h). However, abundance of fleshy-fruited shrub and liana saplings was not negative
307 affected by tree decline in most situations (as indicated by neutral or positive λ values; Table
308 E in Supplementary Material). Shifts in rankings of species abundance among neighborhood

types were also found in the sapling community. In woodland sites, whereas healthy neighborhoods of both *Q. suber* and *O. europaea* were dominated by conspecific saplings, the strong negative effects of defoliated and dead trees on *Q. suber* saplings (stronger than on any other species) caused these neighborhoods to be dominated by heterospecific *O. europaea* saplings (Fig. 1f,h). In closed forests, the sapling bank kept being dominated by lianas and fleshy-fruited shrubs in all type of neighborhoods (with the exception of fleshy-fruited shrubs in *Q. canariensis* neighborhoods). Among tree species, *Q. canariensis* dominated the sapling bank in conspecific neighborhoods and was also slightly more abundant than *Q. suber* in healthy *Q. suber* neighborhoods. However, *Q. suber* dominated the sapling bank in defoliated and dead neighborhoods likely as a result of stronger negative effects of decline (more negative λ values) on *Q. canariensis* than on *Q. suber* sapling abundance (Table E in Supplementary Material). In models of sapling abundance, the value of the α parameter was highly variable among species (Table E in Supplementary Material), whereas the β parameter was generally close to 0 indicating that abundance was mostly proportional to neighbor density.

Seedling and sapling survival

All models produced unbiased estimates of tree seedling and sapling survival (slope ~ 1), except for *O. europaea* and *Q. suber* seedlings in woodlands and closed forests (respectively) where best models tended to overpredict (slope > 1 , Table 1). Model residuals did not show spatial autocorrelation, except for *Q. canariensis* saplings (Table C in Supplementary Material). In all cases additive models were a better fit to the data than multiplicative models. Only 25% (2/8) of the best survival models found differences among sites in seedling and sapling survival (Table 1). All models detected tree neighborhood effects on seedling and sapling survival, as indicated by significant λ values of one or more neighbor categories

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(Table F, Figures H and I in Supplementary Material). These tree effects remained mostly constant among years, with three exceptions (*Q. suber* seedlings and *O. europaea* saplings in woodlands, *Q. suber* saplings in closed forests; Table 1). Our models did not detect any effect of shrubs on seedling survival (Table 1).

In woodlands, neighbor effects on survival were of generally weak magnitude, as indicated by the low value of most significant λ (Table F in Supplementary Material). Moreover, the sign of the effects on *Q. suber* seedlings and *Olea* saplings varied among years (see sign of parameter *b* in Table F in Supplementary Material), with survival being higher in healthy than defoliated neighborhoods in 2010 (a very wet year) but lower in 2011 (a normal year in terms of rainfall). In closed forests, *Q. canariensis* neighborhoods had the most negative effect (lowest λ value, Table F in Supplementary Material) on seedling survival of the two oak species, followed by healthy *Q. suber* neighborhoods. Although seedling survival was lower in healthy than in declining *Q. suber* neighborhoods, sapling survival was higher (more positive λ values) in healthy neighborhoods. This was particularly true for *Q. canariensis* saplings, which suffered from strong negative effects of defoliated and dead neighbors (Table F in Supplementary Material). Values of parameters α and β in the Neighborhood Index (Eq. 3) showed a very high variability among best models of seedling and sapling survival (Table F in Supplementary Material).

Seedling and sapling richness

The two richness models produced unbiased estimates of species richness (slope ~ 1), but showed some spatial autocorrelation in their residuals (Table C in Supplementary Material). In both cases, an additive approach offered a better fit to the data than a multiplicative approach (Table 1). In woodlands, the best model detected an effect of the tree community on richness values, but did not find any site, year or shrub effects (Table 1). In this forest type,

species richness was higher in healthy tree communities of *Quercus* and *Olea* (large positive λ values) than in neighborhoods dominated by defoliated and dead *Q. suber* trees (Table G, Figure J in Supplementary Material). In closed forests, the best model was the full model that considered the effect of all the factors tested. Thus, in this forest type richness varied among sites, was lower in *Q. canariensis* neighborhoods than in any *Q. suber* neighborhood (in all years but the dry 2012), and were positively affected by shrubs in the three study years (Table G, Figure J in Supplementary Material). Values of the α and β parameters in the Neighborhood Index of the two best richness models were roughly around 1, indicating that neighbor effects scaled with tree size (α) and declined as a simple inverse function of distance (β) (Table G in Supplementary Material).

Discussion

Our results indicated that the decline of *Q. suber* in Mediterranean forests had detectable effects on both new seedlings and older saplings of coexistent woody species belonging to very different functional groups (trees, shrubs and lianas). Thus, we found total and relative regeneration abundance (both within and among functional groups) to vary depending on the health status of the canopy cover. Our neighborhood approach provided a useful framework that integrates the myriad ways that canopy and seedling species interact under natural conditions helping in this way to improve our understanding of the consequences of tree decline and mortality for regeneration dynamics.

Patterns of natural regeneration in healthy tree neighborhoods

Healthy neighborhoods of the three dominant tree species in the study forests (*Q. suber*, *O. europaea*, and *Q. canariensis*) showed a tree seedling and sapling bank composed largely by conspecifics. It is interesting to note that the positive relationship found between abundance

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

of conspecifics in the canopy and the seedling/sapling bank was stronger for new seedlings than for saplings (Fig. 1a,b for *O. europaea*, Fig. 2a,b for *Q. canariensis*, Fig. 2c,d for *Q. suber*). Stronger positive conspecific effects for seedlings than for saplings could indicate the existence of negative density-dependent processes from conspecifics acting at the seedling stage due to host-specialized antagonists (e.g. Janzen-Connell effects; Connell, 1971; Janzen, 1970), intense competition for resources, or to the generation of unfavorable abiotic conditions (e.g. Bonanomi et al., 2008). Accordingly, we found negative effects of conspecific neighborhoods on seedling survival of the three tree species (negative λ values), in agreement with previous studies (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2012, Ibáñez et al., 2015). Our results however suggest that the magnitude of these negative density-dependent processes on survival were not strong enough to counteract the high number of seedlings emerged in conspecific neighborhoods (Fig. 1a,b,c,d, Fig. 2a,b,c,d). High emergence values under conspecific trees are likely the result of dispersal patterns, with most seeds not being biotically dispersed and arriving under mother trees in both *Olea* (Rey and Alcántara, 2000) and *Quercus* species (Pulido and Díaz, 2005). For tree species in mixed forests, the existence of a conspecific sapling bank under adult canopies might be considered as a guarantee of self-replacement that could favor species coexistence (Catovsky and Bazzaz, 2002; Frelich and Reich, 1995; van Breemen and Finzi, 1998; Wilson and Agnew, 1992). Our results therefore suggest that, in the absence of disturbances, positive canopy-sapling feedbacks could lead to a rather stable stand structure. The process of *Q. suber* decline could however act as a destabilizing force of this structure through its impacts on the regeneration bank.

Effects of Quercus suber decline on natural regeneration

A clear consequence of *Q. suber* decline was a reduction in the absolute abundance of regeneration (both seedlings and saplings) in all tree species. The negative impact of *Q. suber*

1
2
3 409 decline on tree species regeneration might explain the lower species richness found in
4
5 410 declining neighborhoods at some sites (e.g. in woodlands). However, the magnitude of this
6
7 411 effect varied substantially among co-dominant tree species. In woodland sites, the decline of
8
9 412 *Q. suber* had a stronger negative effect on conspecific regeneration than on *O. europaea*
10
11 413 regeneration, causing a shift in sapling relative abundance towards the dominance of *O.*
12
13 414 *europaea*. Such among-species difference in the magnitude of the negative effects of decline
14
15 415 could be due to the high abundance of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* (a soil-borne pathogen with
16
17 416 high preference for *Q. suber*) under declining trees in woodlands (Gómez-Aparicio et al.,
18
19 417 2012), or to the better capacity of drought-tolerant *O. europaea* seedlings to tolerate stressful
20
21 418 abiotic conditions in gaps opened after tree death (e.g. high light levels and evaporation
22
23 419 demand, Fetcher et al., 1985; Royer et al., 2011). Abiotic conditions in gaps might be
24
25 420 considered to be particularly stressful in woodlands compared to closed forests, since their
26
27 421 open structure and smaller tree size determined the formation of larger gaps after the death of
28
29 422 individual trees. In closed forests, on the contrary, the somewhat weaker negative effect that
30
31 423 *Q. suber* decline had on conspecific regeneration compared to *Q. canariensis* regeneration
32
33 424 caused a shift in sapling relative abundance towards a slight advantage in dominance for *Q.*
34
35 425 *suber*. This result was quite unexpected since, in a parallel experimental study where seeds of
36
37 426 *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* were sown and the recruits monitored, we did not detect clear
38
39 427 differences in the response of new seedlings and young saplings (2-3 years old) of the two
40
41 428 oak species to neighborhoods of contrasting health (Ibáñez et al., 2015). Because the sapling
42
43 429 bank in this study potentially included saplings much older than three years, it is possible that
44
45 430 this result reflect the development of among-species differences with ontogeny, with older
46
47 431 saplings of the shade-tolerant *Q. canariensis* being more impaired than those of *Q. suber* by
48
49 432 the high light levels of the gaps open after tree death.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Whereas the decline of *Q. suber* had important negative effects on abundance and performance of tree seedlings and saplings, other functional groups such as fleshy-fruited shrubs and lianas were more independent of the decline process and even benefited from it in some situations. These findings could be related to the fact that seedling survival of both lianas and fleshy-fruited shrubs has been shown to benefit from high-light microsites in the forest understory (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2012), they are not reported to be affected by *P. cinnamomi*, and they are the dominant woody species recruiting in degraded forests (e.g. post-fire shrublands and reforested stands, Mendoza et al., 2009). Our results therefore suggest that the process of *Q. suber* decline could induce an increase in the relative abundance of functional forms other than trees in the woody community. This finding is in agreement with previous studies that have proposed a potential development of Mediterranean forests towards more open systems with lower tree cover and higher shrub dominance due to global environmental change (Acácio et al., 2007; Matías et al., 2012; Pérez-Ramos and Marañón, 2012).

Implications for successional dynamics in declining forests

The effects of tree dieback on regeneration dynamics is probably one of the less studied aspects of forest die-off, particularly when compared with the abundance of studies exploring the physiological mechanisms underlying tree dieback or its impacts on ecosystem processes (McDowell et al., 2013). Among the scarce regeneration studies available, a large majority has focused on regeneration patterns after insect-decline mortality in mountain forests (Battles and Fahey, 2000; Collins et al., 2011; DeRose and Long, 2010; Kayes and Tinker, 2012; Nigh et al., 2008; Veblen et al., 1991; Vyse et al., 2009), and to a lesser extent after temperature- or drought-driven mortality in water-limited systems (Galiano et al., 2013; Redmond and Barger, 2013; Van Mantgem and Stephenson, 2007). Here we show, for a

1
2
3 458 complex system involving water-limited forests affected by aggressive exotic pathogens, that
4
5 459 the decline of the dominant species (*Q. suber*) implies shifts in the ranking of seedling and
6
7 460 sapling abundance among tree species, which could affect successional trajectories
8
9
10 461 potentially leading to vegetation shifts (Kayes and Tinker, 2012; but see Redmond and
11
12 462 Barger, 2013; Suarez and Kitzberger, 2008).

13
14 463 Yet, predicting trajectories of forest recovery after tree dieback is not an easy task. For
15
16 464 tree dieback to induce compositional shifts, it is necessary that the die-off species has a
17
18 465 disadvantage in terms of propagule input or that the new conditions created are relatively
19
20 466 more favorable for regeneration of coexisting species (Suarez and Kitzberger, 2008). Here we
21
22 467 found that in some forest types, like open woodlands, the die-off species *Q. suber* met both
23
24 468 requisites, showing much lower seedling and sapling abundance than the co-dominant *O.*
25
26
27 469 *europaea* in all sites (Table B in Supplementary Material) and a stronger negative response to
28
29 470 declining neighborhoods (Fig. 1f,h). Everything being equal, we could therefore expect these
30
31 471 oak woodlands to change towards *O. europaea*-dominated systems, in agreement with our
32
33 472 initial hypothesis of study. In closed forests, on the contrary, we found *Q. suber* to have much
34
35 473 lower regeneration abundance than the co-dominant *Q. canariensis* at the site-level (Table B
36
37 474 in Supplementary Material), but to be somewhat less negatively affected by the process of adult
38
39 475 decline. Therefore, the future dominance patterns in this forest type will likely depend on the
40
41 476 extent to which the relative advantage of *Q. suber* over *Q. canariensis* saplings under
42
43 477 defoliated and dead trees can compensate for the intrinsic lower regeneration capacity of the
44
45 478 species and its increasing seed-limitation as the process of adult decline advances.

46
47
48
49 479 Understanding recruitment dynamics in *Q. suber* forests is of major ecological and
50
51 480 social importance given the prominent role that this tree species plays in the configuration of
52
53 481 the landscape and the economy of the Mediterranean basin, and the problems of regeneration
54
55 482 and decline that *Q. suber* suffers throughout its distribution area (Aronson et al., 2009;
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Urbieto et al., 2008). Here we have shown that, based on current patterns of woody plant regeneration in declining mixed *Q. suber* forests, we could expect these systems to suffer from important changes in relative abundance among tree species as well as among functional groups (trees, shrubs, lianas) in the near future. Because most of these changes point towards a loss of cover and dominance of *Q. suber*, management strategies are urgently needed in order to either attenuate adult mortality (e.g. adequate phytosanitary measures) or promote its regeneration (e.g. enrichment plantings). Only by looking for stabilizing mechanisms that improve the balance between mortality and recruitment (Lloret et al., 2012) we will be able to counteract the negative effects of global change drivers (exotic pathogens, climate change) on *Q. suber*, and preserve the many ecosystem services provided by these valuable forests.

Acknowledgments

We thank the director and technicians of Los Alcornocales Natural Park for facilities and support to carry out the field work. We are also indebted to Eduardo Gutiérrez, Ana Pozuelos, Luis V. García and several students for invaluable laboratory and field assistance. This research was supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICIIN) projects INTERBOS (CGL2008-04503-C03-03), DIVERBOS (CGL2011-30285-C02-01), RETROBOS (CGL2011-26877) and RESTECO (CGL2014-52858-R), and the Junta de Andalucía project ANASINQUE (PGC2010-RNM-5782). BI was supported by a Formación de Personal Investigador (FPI)-MICINN grant, J.M.A. by a Formación de Personal Universitario (FPU)-MEC grant and I.M.P.R. by a JAEdoc-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) contract.

References

- 508 Acácio V, Holmgren M, Jansen PA, Schrotter O. 2007. Multiple recruitment limitation
509 causes arrested succession in Mediterranean cork oak systems. *Ecosystems* 10: 1220-1230.
- 510 Allen CD, Breshears DD. 1998. Drought-induced shift of a forest–woodland ecotone: rapid
511 landscape response to climate variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
512 95: 14839-14842.
- 513 Allen CD, Breshears DD, McDowell NG. 2015. On underestimation of global vulnerability to
514 tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere* 6:
515 article 129.
- 516 Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell N, Vennetier M, Kitzberger
517 T, Rigling A, Breshears DD, Hogg EH, Gonzalez P, Fensham R, Zhang Z, Castro J,
518 Demidova N, Lim JH, Allard G, Running SW, Semerci A, Cobb N. 2010. A global
519 overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change
520 risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259: 660-684.
- 521 Amoroso MM, Suarez ML, Daniels LD. 2012. *Nothofagus dombeyi* regeneration in declining
522 *Austrocedrus chilensis* forests: Effects of overstory mortality and climatic events.
523 *Dendrochronologia* 30: 105-112.
- 524 Anonymous. 2005. PORN/PRUG/PDS Parque Natural de los Alcornocales. Sevilla, Spain:
525 Junta de Andalucía, Consejería de Medio Ambiente.
- 526 Aronson J, Pereira JS, Pausas JG. 2009. Cork Oak Woodlands on the Edge: Ecology,
527 Adaptive Management, and Restoration. Island Press, Washington DC, USA.
- 528 Axelson JN, Alfaro RI, Hawkes BC. 2009. Influence of fire and mountain pine beetle on the
529 dynamics of lodgepole pine stands in British Columbia, Canada. *Forest Ecology and*
530 *Management* 257: 1874-1882.
- 531 Baribault TW, Kobe RK. 2011. Neighbour interactions strengthen with increased soil
532 resources in a northern hardwood forest. *Journal of Ecology* 99: 1358-1372.

1
2
3 533 Battles JJ, Fahey TJ. 2000. Gap Dynamics Following Forest Decline: A Case Study of Red
4
5 534 Spruce Forests. *Ecological Applications* 10: 760-774.
6
7 535 Bonanomi G, Rietkerk M, Dekker SC, Mazzoleni S. 2008. Islands of fertility induce co-
8
9 536 occurring negative and positive plant-soil feedbacks promoting coexistence. *Plant Ecology*
10
11 537 197: 207-218.
12
13 538 Brasier C. 1992. Oak tree mortality in Iberia. *Nature* 360: 539.
14
15 539 Brasier CM. 1996. *Phytophthora cinnamomi* and oak decline in southern Europe.
16
17 540 Environmental constraints including climate change. *Annales Des Sciences Forestieres*,
18
19 541 53: 347-358.
20
21 542 Breshears DD, Myers OB, Meyer CW, Barnes FJ, Zou CB, Allen CD, McDowell NG,
22
23 543 Pockman WT. 2009. Tree die-off in response to global change-type drought: mortality
24
25 544 insights from a decade of plant water potential measurements. *Frontiers in Ecology and*
26
27 545 the Environment 7: 185-189.
28
29 546 Brown LB, Allen-Diaz B. 2009. Forest stand dynamics and sudden oak death: Mortality in
30
31 547 mixed-evergreen forests dominated by coast live oak. *Forest Ecology and Management*
32
33 548 257: 1271-1280.
34
35 549 Burnham KP, Anderson DR, editors. 2002. Model selection and Multimodel Inference: a
36
37 550 Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. Springer, New York.
38
39 551 Cahill DM, Rookes JE, Wilson BA, Gibson L, McDougall KL. 2003. *Phytophthora*
40
41 552 *cinnamomi* and Australia's biodiversity: impacts, predictions and progress towards
42
43 553 control. *Australian Journal of Botany* 56: 279-310.
44
45 554 Canham CD, Uriarte M. 2006. Analysis of neighborhood dynamics of forest ecosystems
46
47 555 using likelihood methods and modeling. *Ecological Applications* 16: 62-73.
48
49 556 Carnicer J, Coll M, Ninyerola M, Pons X, Sanchez G, Peñuelas J. 2011. Widespread crown
50
51 557 condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 558 change-type drought. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
4
5 559 States of America 108: 1474-1478.
6
7 560 Catovsky S, Bazzaz FA. 2002. Feedbacks between canopy composition and seedling
8
9 561 regeneration in mixed conifer broad-leaved forests. Oikos 98: 403-420.
10
11 562 Coates KD, Canham CD, LePage PT. 2009. Above- versus below-ground competitive effects
12
13 563 and responses of a guild of temperate tree species. Journal of Ecology 97: 118-130.
14
15
16 564 Collins BJ, Rhoades CC, Hubbard RM, Battaglia MA. 2011. Tree regeneration and future
17
18 565 stand development after bark beetle infestation and harvesting in Colorado lodgepole pine
19
20 566 stands. Forest Ecology and Management 261: 2168-2175.
21
22
23 567 Connell JH. 1971. On the role of natural enemies in preventing competitive exclusion in
24
25 568 some marine animals and in rain forest trees. Den Boer PJ, Gradwell G, editors. Dynamics
26
27 569 of populations. PUDOC, p298-312.
28
29
30 570 DeRose RJ, Long JN. 2010. Regeneration response and seedling bank dynamics on a
31
32 571 *Dendroctonus rufipennis*-killed *Picea engelmannii* landscape. Journal of Vegetation
33
34 572 Science 21: 377-387.
35
36 573 Diskin M, Rocca ME, Nelson KN, Aoki CF, Romme W. 2011. Forest developmental
37
38 574 trajectories in mountain pine beetle disturbed forests of Rocky Mountain National Park,
39
40 575 Colorado. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 41: 782-792.
41
42
43 576 Edwards AWF, editor. 1992. Likelihood-Expanded Edition. Johns Hopkins University Press,
44
45 577 Baltimore, Maryland.
46
47 578 Fensham RJ, Holman JE. 1999. Temporal and spatial patterns in drought-related tree dieback
48
49 579 in Australian savanna. Journal of Applied Ecology 36: 1035-1050.
50
51
52 580 Fetcher N, Oberbauer S, Strain B. 1985. Vegetation effects on microclimate in lowland
53
54 581 tropical forest in Costa Rica. International Journal of Biometeorology 29: 145-155.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

582 Frelich LE, Reich PB. 1995. Neighborhood effects, disturbance, and succession in forests of
583 the western Great Lakes region. *Ecoscience*. Sainte-Foy 2: 148-158.

584 Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Eugenio M, Granzow-de la Cerda Í, Lloret F. 2013. Seedling
585 emergence and growth of *Quercus* spp. following severe drought effects on a *Pinus*
586 *sylvestris* canopy. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 24: 580-588.

587 García LV, Ramo C, Aponte C, Moreno A, Domínguez MT, Gómez-Aparicio L, Redondo R,
588 Marañón T. 2011. Protected wading bird species threaten relict centenarian cork oaks in a
589 Mediterranean Biosphere Reserve: A conservation management conflict. *Biological*
590 *Conservation* 144: 764-771.

591 Goffe WL, Ferrier GD, Rogers J. 1994. Global optimization of statistical functions with
592 simulated annealing. *Journal of Econometrics* 60: 65-99.

593 Gómez-Aparicio L. 2008. Spatial patterns of recruitment in Mediterranean plant species:
594 linking the fate of seeds, seedlings and saplings in heterogeneous landscapes at different
595 scales. *Journal of Ecology* 96: 1128-1140.

596 Gómez-Aparicio L, Canham CD, Martin PH. 2008a. Neighbourhood models of the effects of
597 the invasive *Acer platanoides* on tree seedling dynamics: linking impacts on communities
598 and ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology* 96: 78-90.

599 Gómez-Aparicio L, Ibáñez B, Serrano MS, De Vita P, Ávila JM, Pérez-Ramos IM, García
600 LV, Esperanza Sánchez M, Marañón T. 2012. Spatial patterns of soil pathogens in
601 declining Mediterranean forests: implications for tree species regeneration. *New*
602 *Phytologist* 194: 1014-1024.

603 Gómez-Aparicio L, Pérez-Ramos IM, Mendoza I, Matias L, Quero JL, Castro J, Zamora R,
604 Marañón T. 2008b. Oak seedling survival and growth along resource gradients in
605 Mediterranean forests: implications for regeneration in current and future environmental
606 scenarios. *Oikos* 117: 1683-1699.

- 1
2
3 607 Ibáñez B, Gómez-Aparicio L, Stoll P, Ávila JM, Pérez-Ramos IM, Marañón T. 2015. A
4
5 608 neighborhood analysis of the consequences of *Quercus suber* decline for regeneration
6
7 609 dynamics in Mediterranean forests. PLoS ONE 10: e0117827.
8
9
10 610 Janzen DH. 1970. Herbivores and the number of tree species in tropical forests. American
11
12 611 Naturalist 104: 501-528.
13
14 612 Johnson JB, Omland KS. 2004. Model selection in ecology and evolution. Trends in Ecology
15
16 613 & Evolution 19: 101-108.
17
18 614 Kayes LJ, Tinker DB. 2012. Forest structure and regeneration following a mountain pine
19
20 615 beetle epidemic in southeastern Wyoming. Forest Ecology and Management 263: 57-66.
21
22
23 616 Koepke DF, Kolb TE, Adams HD. 2010. Variation in woody plant mortality and dieback
24
25 617 from severe drought among soils, plant groups, and species within a northern Arizona
26
27 618 ecotone. Oecologia 163: 1079-1090.
28
29 619 Legendre P, Fortin M J. 1989. Spatial pattern and ecological analysis. Vegetatio 80(2): 107-
30
31 620 138.
32
33
34 621 Lloret F, Escudero A, Iriondo JM, Martínez-Vilalta J, Valladares F. 2012. Extreme climatic
35
36 622 events and vegetation: the role of stabilizing processes. Global Change Biology 18: 797-
37
38 623 805.
39
40 624 Loo J. 2009. Ecological impacts of non-indigenous invasive fungi as forest pathogens.
41
42 625 Biological Invasions 11: 81-96.
43
44
45 626 Matías L, Zamora R, Castro J. 2012. Sporadic rainy events are more critical than increasing
46
47 627 of drought intensity for woody species recruitment in a Mediterranean community.
48
49 628 Oecologia 169: 833-844.
50
51
52 629 McDowell NG, Ryan MG, Zeppel MJB, Tissue DT. 2013. Feature: Improving our knowledge
53
54 630 of drought-induced forest mortality through experiments, observations, and modeling.
55
56 631 New Phytologist 200: 289-293.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

632 Mendoza I, Gómez-Aparicio L, Zamora R, Matías L. 2009. Recruitment limitation of forest
633 communities in a degraded Mediterranean landscape. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20:
634 367-376.

635 Mueller RC, Scudder CM, Porter ME, Talbot Trotter R, Gehring CA, Whitham TG. 2005.
636 Differential tree mortality in response to severe drought: evidence for long-term vegetation
637 shifts. *Journal of Ecology* 93: 1085-1093.

638 Murphy L. 2012. Likelihood: Methods for maximum likelihood estimation. R package
639 version 1.6.

640 Nigh GD, Antos JA, Parish R. 2008. Density and distribution of advance regeneration in
641 mountain pine beetle killed lodgepole pine stands of the Montane Spruce zone of southern
642 British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 38: 2826-2836.

643 Ojeda F, Marañón T, Arroyo J. 1996. Patterns of ecological, chorological and taxonomic
644 diversity at both sides of the Strait of Gibraltar. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 7: 63-72.

645 Pérez-Ramos IM, Marañón T. 2008. Factors affecting post-dispersal seed predation in two
646 coexisting oak species: microhabitat, burial and exclusion of large herbivores. *Forest
647 Ecology and Management* 255: 3506-3514.

648 Pérez-Ramos IM, Marañón T. 2012. Community-level seedling dynamics in Mediterranean
649 forests: uncoupling between the canopy and the seedling layers. *Journal of Vegetation
650 Science* 23: 526-540.

651 Pérez-Ramos IM, Urbieta IR, Zavala MA, Marañón T. 2012. Ontogenetic conflicts and rank
652 reversals in two Mediterranean oak species: implications for coexistence. *Journal of
653 Ecology* 100: 467-477.

654 Pulido FJ, Díaz M. 2005. Regeneration of a Mediterranean oak: A whole-cycle approach.
655 *Ecoscience* 12: 92-102.

- R Development Core Team, 2009. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. In. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Ramage BS, Forrester AB, Moritz MA, O'Hara KL. 2012. Sudden oak death disease progression across two forest types and spatial scales. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 23: 151-163.
- Redmond MD, Barger NN. 2013. Tree regeneration following drought-and insect-induced mortality in piñon-juniper woodlands. *New Phytologist*. doi: 10.1111/nph.12366.
- Rey PJ, Alcántara JM. 2000. Recruitment dynamics of a fleshy-fruited plant (*Olea europaea*): connecting patterns of seed dispersal to seedling establishment. *Journal of Ecology* 88: 622-633.
- Romero MA, Sanchez JE, Jimenez JJ, Belbahri L, Trapero A, Lefort F, Sanchez ME. 2007. New *Pythium* taxa causing root rot on Mediterranean *Quercus* species in South-West Spain and Portugal. *Journal of Phytopathology* 155: 289-295.
- Royer PD, Cobb NS, Clifford MJ, Huang C-Y, Breshears DD, Adams HD, Villegas JC. 2011. Extreme climatic event-triggered overstorey vegetation loss increases understorey solar input regionally: primary and secondary ecological implications. *Journal of Ecology* 99: 714-723.
- Sánchez M, Caetano P, Romero M, Navarro R, Trapero A. 2006. *Phytophthora* root rot as the main factor of oak decline in southern Spain. In, *Progress in research on Phytophthora diseases of forest trees*. Farnham, UK: Forest Research, p149-154.
- Sánchez ME, Caetano P, Ferraz J, Trapero A. 2002. *Phytophthora* disease of *Quercus ilex* in south-western Spain. *Forest Pathology* 32: 5-18.
- Suarez ML, Kitzberger T. 2008. Recruitment patterns following a severe drought: long-term compositional shifts in Patagonian forests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 38: 3002-3010.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

681 Tuset JJ, Sánchez G. 2004. La Seca: El decaimiento de encinas, alcornoques y otros *Quercus*
682 en España. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Organismo Autónomo de Parques Nacionales,
683 Madrid.

684 Urbiet a IR, Zavala MA, Marañón T. 2008. Human and non-human determinants of forest
685 composition in southern Spain: evidence of shifts towards cork oak dominance as a result
686 of management over the past century. *Journal of Biogeography* 35: 1688-1700.

687 Van Breemen N, Finzi A. 1998. Plant-soil Interactions: Ecological Aspects and Evolutionary
688 Implications. *Biogeochemistry* 42: 1-19.

689 Van Mantgem PJ, Stephenson NL. 2007. Apparent climatically induced increase of tree
690 mortality rates in a temperate forest. *Ecology Letters* 10: 909-916.

691 Van Mantgem PJ, Stephenson NL, Byrne JC, Daniels LD, Franklin JF, Fulé PZ, Harmon ME,
692 Larson AJ, Smith JM, Taylor AH. 2009. Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the
693 western United States. *Science* 323: 521-524.

694 Veblen TT, Hadley KS, Reid MS, Rebertus AJ. 1991. The response of subalpine forests to
695 spruce beetle outbreak in Colorado. *Ecology* 72: 213-231.

696 Vyse A, Ferguson C, Huggard DJ, Roach J, Zimonick B. 2009. Regeneration beneath
697 lodgepole pine dominated stands attacked or threatened by the mountain pine beetle in the
698 south central Interior, British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258: S36-S43.

699 Wilson JB, Agnew AD. 1992. Positive-feedback switches in plant communities. Academic
700 Press London

701

Table 1. Comparison of the alternate models for the analysis of seedling and sapling abundance, survival and richness. AIC_c values for trivariate and full models are only given when several bivariate models were a better fit than the “Only Tree” model. The best model is shown in bold. Model type (additive vs. multiplicative), number of parameters (NP) and slope (SL) are also given.

Variable	Life stage	Forest type	Species	AIC _c						Full	Model type	NP	SL
				Only Tree	Tree + Site	Tree + Year	Tree + Shrub	Tree + Site + Year	Tree + Site + Shrub				
Abundance	Seedling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	448.4	448.8	448.8	450.0				AD	9	1.05
			<i>O. europaea</i>	1001.5	927.5	1000.4	1000.1				AD	11	0.91
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	249.8	245.0	249.0	253.7				MT	11	1.02
			Dry-fruited shrubs	137.3	116.3	142.1	141.1				MT	11	0.93
			Lianas	480.3	411.7	481.1	483.2				MT	11	0.97
		Closed forest	<i>Q. suber</i>	342.6	317.1	341.0	345.9				AD	11	1.05
			<i>Q. canariensis</i>	905.5	904.8	906.6	907.3				AD	9	1.06
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	809.1	795.6	808.7	807.6				AD	11	0.92
			Lianas	1712.3	1450.3	1710.9	1716.9				MT	11	0.96
	Sapling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	630.9	625.7	633.1	631.6				MT	11	0.98
			<i>O. europaea</i>	994.7	977.8	993.3	988.1		971.2		MT	12	1.00
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	217.4	203.0	218.7	219.0				MT	11	1.09
			Lianas	109.4	95.6	108.3	115.2				MT	11	1.00
		Closed forest	<i>Q. suber</i>	655.1	590.2	666.0	658.5				MT	11	1.07
			<i>Q. canariensis</i>	973.2	968.9	975.7	973.2				MT	11	1.02
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	893.4	892.3	895.7	894.0				MT	9	1.07
			Lianas	1155.1	1125.7	1156.3	1153.4				AD	11	1.08
Survival	Seedling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	98.8	100.8	91.0	102.1				AD	9	0.90
			<i>O. europaea</i>	226.6	230.8	228.7	228.3				AD	8	2.07
		Closed forest	<i>Q. suber</i>	69.7	74.4	71.98	73.8				AD	8	1.48
			<i>Q. canariensis</i>	177.9	182.1	178.0	180.7				AD	8	1.02
	Sapling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	151.4	153.0	153.3	155.4				AD	8	0.96
			<i>O. europaea</i>	221.3	213.0	210.6	227.9		206.8		AD	11	0.99
		Closed forest	<i>Q. suber</i>	91.9	92.2	89.6	91.2				AD	9	0.97
			<i>Q. canariensis</i>	287.2	237.0	283.4	290.0				AD	10	0.98
Richness	Seedling + sapling	Woodland	All woody species	898.8	903.2	903.3	901.5				AD	8	0.97
		Closed forest	All woody species	1301.1	1163.9	1278.6	1287.1			1122.4	AD	15	0.97

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure legends

Figure 1 Predicted variation in the abundance of seedlings and saplings of different woody species (*Quercus suber*, *Olea europaea*, fleshy-fruited shrubs, dry-fruited shrubs, and lianas) as a function of the neighborhood index (NI) calculated for four different tree neighbor types (*O. europaea* [a,b], healthy *Q. suber* [c, d], defoliated *Q. suber* [e, f] and dead *Q. suber* [g,h]) in woodland sites. NI values of 0 represent neighborhoods without trees, whereas NI values of 1 represent, for each neighbor category, the neighborhoods with the highest observed value of NI (i.e. the highest influence of that neighbor type). Predicted abundance of seedlings and saplings was calculated using the values of the parameters a , b and λ in Eq. 1 or 2, given in Supporting Information (Tables D and E in Supplementary Material).

Figure 2 Predicted variation in the abundance of seedlings and saplings of different woody species (*Quercus suber*, *Quercus canariensis*, fleshy-fruited shrubs, and lianas) as a function of the neighborhood index (NI) calculated for four different neighbor types (*Q. canariensis* [a, b], healthy *Q. suber* [c,d], defoliated *Q. suber* [e, f] and dead *Q. suber* [g,h]) in closed forest sites. NI values of 0 represent neighborhoods without trees, whereas NI values of 1 represent, for each neighbor category, the neighborhoods with the highest observed value of NI (i.e. the highest influence of that neighbor type). Predicted abundance of seedlings and saplings was calculated using the values of the parameters a , b and λ in Eq. 1 or 2, given in Supporting Information (Tables D and E in Supplementary Material).

726 Figure 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

728 Figure 2