J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2008

**Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene catalyzed by dinuclear *gem*-
dithiolato-bridged rhodium(I) complexes and phosphorus donor
ligands**

Angel B. Rivas^b, Jesús J. Pérez-Torrente^{a,*}, Alvaro J. Pardey^{b,*}, Anna M. Masdeu-
Bultó^c, Montserrat Diéguez^c and Luis A. Oro^a

^a *Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Instituto Universitario de Catálisis
Homogénea, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza-
C.S.I.C., 50009-Zaragoza, Spain.*

^b *Centro de Equilibrios en Solución, Escuela de Química, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas, Venezuela.*

^c *Departament de Química Física i Inorgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Marcel·lí
Domingo s/n, 43007-Tarragona, Spain*

*Corresponding author. Fax: +34 976761143. E-mail: perez@unizar.es,

alvaro.pardey@ciens.ucv.ve

Abstract

The dinuclear *gem*-dithiolato bridged compounds $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Cptn})(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1**) (CptnS_2^{2-} = 1,1-cyclopentanedithiolato), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Chxn})(\text{cod})_2]$ (**2**) (ChxnS_2^{2-} = 1,1-cyclohexanedithiolato), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**) ($\text{Bn}_2\text{CS}_2^{2-}$ = 1,3-diphenyl-2,2-dithiolatopropane) and $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{C}^i\text{Pr}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**4**) ($^i\text{Pr}_2\text{CS}_2^{2-}$ = 2,4-dimethyl-2,2-dithiolatopentane) dissolved in toluene in the presence of monodentate phosphine or phosphite P-donor ligands under carbon monoxide/hydrogen (1:1) atmosphere are efficient catalysts for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene under mild conditions (6.8 atm of CO/H_2 and 80 °C). The influence of the *gem*-dithiolato ligand, the P-donor co-catalyst and the P/Rh ratio on the catalytic activity and selectivity has been explored. Aldehyde selectivities higher than 95% and turnover frequencies up to 245 h^{-1} have been obtained using $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ as modifying ligand. Similar activity figures have been obtained using $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ although the selectivities are lower. Regioselectivities toward linear aldehyde are in the range 75 to 85%. The performance of the catalytic systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]/\text{PPh}_3$ has been found to be comparable to the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ at the same P/Rh ratio. The system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ has been tested in the hydroformylation-isomerization of *trans*-oct-2-ene. Under optimized conditions up to 54% of regioselectivity in 1-nonanal was obtained. Spectroscopic studies under pressure (HPNMR and HPIR) evidenced the formation of hydrido mononuclear species under catalytic conditions that are most probably responsible for the observed catalytic activity.

Keywords: Homogeneous catalysis, Hydroformylation, Dinuclear complexes, *Gem*-dithiolato ligands, Rhodium, HPNMR, HPIR.

1. Introduction

The hydroformylation of alkenes is one of the most important transition metal homogeneously catalyzed reactions [1]. Mononuclear rhodium complexes are the most efficient catalysts for this reaction and, consequently, a great deal of work has been devoted to the improvement of rates and selectivities by ligand design [2-4]. However, bimetallic catalysis has attracted considerable interest in recent years [5-6]. The potential of both homo- and heterobimetallic compounds as hydroformylation catalyst concerns the expected cooperation between the metal atoms that should result in more active and selective catalysts [7-8]. However, fragmentation has been a major problem in polymetallic catalysts and, although a number of bimetallic hydroformylation catalysts have been reported [9], the evidences for a bimetallic mechanism are scarce [10]. The design of binucleating ligands is of major importance in the control of the structure of the complexes, as they should produce flexible structures allowing the accommodation of the metal centers in close proximity but preventing it from fragmentation [11].

Dinuclear d^8 rhodium complexes doubly bridged with thiolato ligands, $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-SR})_2\text{L}_4]$, were discovered to be effective catalysts for the hydroformylation of olefins under mild conditions in the early eighties [12]. Subsequent advances in rhodium thiolate chemistry were focused on the utilization of modified thiolato and dithiolato ligands searching for the influence of a more rigid structure on the catalytic activity [13]. However, the dinuclear structure of the active catalytic species has been questioned as kinetic studies suggested the involvement of mononuclear species [14]. In fact, high-pressure spectroscopic techniques (HPNMR and HPIR) have shown that some thiolato- and dithiolato dinuclear rhodium complexes evolve to mononuclear rhodium hydride complexes under hydroformylation conditions [15].

We have recently reported the synthesis of dinuclear rhodium complexes supported by *gem*-dithiolato ligands [16-17]. The bridging and chelating coordination mode of these ligands ($1:2k^2S$, $1:2k^2S'$) and the presence of a single bridgehead carbon atom between both sulphur atoms, introduce important geometrical constraints that result in a more rigid and compact $[Rh(\mu-S_2CR_2)Rh]$ core. Interestingly, preliminary studies have shown that the *gem*-dithiolato complex $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2Chxn)(cod)_2]$ ($ChxnS_2^{2-} = 1,1$ -cyclohexanedithiolato) is an active catalyst precursor for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene under mild conditions [16]. In addition to the singular structural features of the compact Rh_2S_2 core imparted by the *gem*-dithiolato bridging ligand, it is important to note that the R groups on the sp^3 bridgehead carbon atom are directly oriented toward the rhodium atoms, and not toward the center of the dinuclear unit as in the bis-thiolato or dithiolato dinuclear counterparts. This fact suggests that the structure of the *gem*-dithiolato ligand could have a determining steric influence in the hydroformylation reaction.

We report herein on the catalytic activity for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene of a series of *gem*-dithiolato dinuclear complexes $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CR_1R_2)(cod)_2]$ ($R^1, R^2 = -(CH_2)_4-, -(CH_2)_5-$; $R^1 = R^2 = Bn, ^iPr$) in the presence of different monodentate P-donor ligands. The aim of this study is to determine the influence of the nature of the *gem*-dithiolato and auxiliary ligands both on the selectivity, regioselectivity and catalytic activity. In addition, the robustness of the dinuclear framework under hydroformylation conditions has been investigated by high-pressure spectroscopic techniques (HPNMR and HPIR).

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and instrumentation

All manipulations were performed under a dry argon atmosphere using Schlenk-tube techniques. Solvents were dried by standard methods and distilled under argon immediately prior to use. The dinuclear *gem*-dithiolato rhodium(I) complexes $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1** - **4**) and $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**5** - **8**) were prepared by reaction of the corresponding *gem*-dithiol compounds and standard rhodium starting materials, typically $[\text{Rh}(\mu\text{-OH})(\text{cod})]_2$ and $[\text{Rh}(\text{acac})(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)]$, following the procedure recently reported. The molecular structure of compounds **1**, **3**, **4** and **6** has been determined by X-ray diffraction methods [16-17]. Oct-1-ene was purchased from Aldrich and was distilled prior to use.

Hydroformylation experiments were carried out in a stainless steel magnetically stirred autoclave (100 mL) equipped with a thermocouple and an external heating mantle. The syngas ($\text{CO}/\text{H}_2 = 1$) was supplied at constant pressure from a ballast. The drop in pressure in the ballast was monitored using a pressure transmitter. Gas samples analyses from the catalytic runs were performed on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II programmable gas chromatograph fitted with a flame ionization detector and Ultra 1-HP crosslinked Methyl Silicon Gum (25m x 0.32 mm x 0.17 μm *film thickness*) column. The column temperature was programmed from 35 to 220 °C (15 °C/min) at a flow rate of 25 mL/min using ultra pure nitrogen (N_2) as carrier gas. Also the organic products were separated by column chromatography and analyzed by ^1H , and ^{13}C $\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR on a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer operating at 300.08 and 75.46 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts were referenced to Me_4Si using the signal of the deuterated solvent.

2.2. Standard hydroformylation experiment

In a typical run, a solution containing the dinuclear rhodium catalyst precursor (0.017 mmol), the phosphite or phosphine ligand (0.34 - 13.6 mmol), oct-1-ene (10.2 mmol), and toluene (15.4 mL) was transferred under argon from a Schlenk tube to the autoclave by using a stainless steel cannula. The autoclave was purged with syngas three times at 8.2 atm and then pressurized at 3.4 atm and heated to 80 °C. When the thermal equilibrium was reached, the pressure was adjusted to 6.8 atm and the mixture stirred at constant speed. These pressure and temperature values were chosen as an average from previously reported systems [18]. After the reaction time, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was analyzed by gas chromatography. The products were quantified by the internal standard method using anisole.

2.3. HPNMR and HPIR spectroscopic measurements

High-pressure NMR experiments (HPNMR) were carried out in a 10 mm diameter sapphire tube with a titanium cap equipped with a Teflon/polycarbonate protection [19] and recorded on a Varian Gemini 2000 spectrometer operating at 300.08 MHz. In a typical experiment, the NMR tube was filled under N₂ with a solution of [Rh₂(μ-S₂CBN₂)(cod)₂] (**3**) (0.05 mmol), the P-donor ligand (0.40 mmol) and toluene-d₈ (2 ml). The tube was pressurised to 6.8 atm CO/H₂ (1/1), left for 1 h under agitation at 80°C, and the NMR spectra were recorded.

High-pressure IR experiments were performed in an *in situ* infrared autoclave [20] and recorded in a FT-IR Bruker Equinox-55 spectrometer. In a typical experiment, the HPIR cell was filled under N₂ with a solution of [Rh₂(μ-S₂CBN₂)(cod)₂] (**3**) (0.015 mmol), the P-donor ligand (0.120 mmol) and methyltetrahydrofuran (15

ml). The cell was pressurised to 6.8 atm CO/H₂ (1/1), stirred for 1 h at 80°C, and the IR spectra were recorded.

3. Results

3.1. General aspects

The dinuclear complexes [Rh₂(μ-S₂Cptn)(cod)₂] (**1**), [Rh₂(μ-S₂Chxn)(cod)₂] (**2**), [Rh₂(μ-S₂CBn₂)(cod)₂] (**3**) and [Rh₂(μ-S₂CⁱPr₂)(cod)₂] (**4**) (Figure 1) dissolved in toluene in the presence of P-donor ligands: P(OPh)₃ (triphenyl phosphite), P(OMe)₃ (trimethyl phosphite), PPh₃ (triphenylphosphine), PMe₃ (trimethylphosphine), PⁱPr₃ (triisopropylphosphine) or PCy₃ (tricyclohexylphosphine); under the following standard operation conditions: 6.8 atm of CO/H₂ (1:1), 80 °C, [Rh₂] = 1.0 mM, P/Rh = 1-10 and [oct-1-ene]/[Rh₂] = 600 ratios, catalyzed the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene. ¹H NMR and GC analyses of the liquid phase runs allowed the identification of 1-nonanal and 2-methyl-octanal as major products (Scheme 1), variable amounts of internal oct-n-enes (mainly *cis* and *trans*-oct-2-ene) and traces of octane. Complexes [Rh₂(μ-S₂CR₂)(cod)₂] (**1** - **4**) (CR₂ = Cptn, Chxn, CBn₂ or CⁱPr₂) under syn-gas without added P-donor ligand gave the tetracarbonyl [Rh₂(μ-S₂CR₂)(CO)₄] complexes [17] which are inactive for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene under the reaction conditions described above. Further, control experiments showed that the hydroformylation and isomerization of oct-1-ene under CO/H₂ was not observed when a mixture of toluene and oct-1-ene was tested under similar experimental conditions in the absence of any of these rhodium catalysts. The next sections show detailed results of this investigation.

<Figure 1 and Scheme 1, about here>

3.2. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CR_2)(cod)_2]/P(OPh)_3$

Table 1 summarizes the results of the catalytic hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the complexes $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CR_2)(cod)_2]$ (**1** - **4**) in toluene. Under the same reaction conditions, $P(CO/H_2) = 6.8$ atm at 80 °C for 2 h, $[Rh_2] = 1.0$ mM and $[oct-1-ene]/[Rh_2] = 600$, the observed TOF(Ald) depends both on the nature of the *gem*-dithiolato ligand and the $P(OPh)_3/Rh$ ratio. For the same $P(OPh)_3/Rh = 4$ ratio, the TOF(Ald) follows the order 230 (**3**) > 222 (**2**) > 66 (**1**) > 41 h⁻¹ (**4**) (runs 1, 5, 9 and 12). However, the sequence is 228 (**4**) > 217 (**2**) > 213 (**3**) > 122 h⁻¹ (**1**) at $P(OPh)_3/Rh = 6$ (runs 2, 6, 8 and 13) as a consequence of the unexpected increase in the activity for precursor **4**. This behavior reveals the importance of the $P(OPh)_3/Rh$ ratio in the promotion of the hydroformylation. In general, at low $P(OPh)_3/Rh$ ratios the catalytic activities are low and reach a maximum value at $P(OPh)_3/Rh = 4$ (complexes **2** and **3**) or 6 (complex **4**). Interestingly, comparable TOF(Ald) numbers were attained with precursor **1** at higher $P(OPh)_3/Rh$ ratios (Figure 2).

<Table 1, about here>

The selectivity towards aldehyde (typically > 70 %) is moderate due to the extensive oct-1-ene isomerization that competes with the hydroformylation reaction. In general, the aldehyde selectivity improves with the increase of the $P(OPh)_3/Rh$ ratio as a consequence of a slight inhibition of the isomerization reaction. This tendency is shown for precursors **3** and **4**. Noteworthy, an excellent selectivity in aldehyde was observed for the catalytic system **1**/ $P(OPh)_3$ at $P(OPh)_3/Rh = 6$ (96.9 %, run 2). However, the aldehyde selectivity for complex **2** decreases as the $P(OPh)_3/Rh$ ratio increases (Figure 3).

<Figures 2 and 3, about here>

The temperature strongly influences the extension of the isomerization process. Thus, an upsurge in the isomerization activity from 20.0 % (run 9) to 57.8 % was observed for complex **3** when the temperature was increased from 80 to 100 °C (run 10, Table 1). Interestingly, a better activity and selectivity to aldehyde at 100 °C can be reached by increasing the P(OPh)₃/Rh ratio from 4 to 10 (run 11). However, the increment of the temperature has a negative effect on the regioselectivity (% of linear aldehyde). In addition, 2-ethyl-heptanal and 2-propyl-hexanal, coming from the hydroformylation of internal olefins formed under catalytic conditions, were also detected together with 1-nonanal and 2-methyl-octanal (runs 10 and 11, Table 1).

The observed regioselectivities for these systems are in the range of 83 to 86%. In general, the regioselectivity improves with the increase of the P(OPh)₃/Rh ratio and reaches constant values for complexes **1**, **3** and **4**. However, the catalytic system involving complex **2** showed the maximum regioselectivity at P(OPh)₃/Rh = 2 (89%, run 4, Table 1) although, unfortunately, the catalytic activity was low under these conditions (45 h⁻¹).

3.3. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CR_2)(cod)_2]/P(OMe)_3$

The dinuclear complexes $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CR_2)(cod)_2]$ (**1** - **4**) also catalyzed the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene using P(OMe)₃ as co-catalyst. The influence of the P(OMe)₃/Rh ratio both on the activity and selectivity is shown in Table 2.

<Table 2, about here>

The catalytic activities in the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene at P(OMe)₃/Rh = 4 ratio under the same reaction conditions (P(CO/H₂) = 6.8 atm at 80 °C for 2 h) follow the order: 245 (**4**) > 219 (**3**) > 198 (**2**) > 157 h⁻¹ (**1**) (runs 16, 19, 22 and 25, Table 2) being complex $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2C^iPr_2)(cod)_2]$ (**4**) the most active. Interestingly, higher

conversions can be reached with the rest of the precursors at longer reaction times with the same selectivity indicating that isomerization competes with hydroformylation at the same rate.

The observed values of TOF(Ald) strongly depend on the $P(OMe)_3/Rh$ ratio (Table 2). In general, the catalytic activity improves with the increase of the $P(OMe)_3/Rh$ ratio under the same reaction conditions. However, the TOF(Ald) value reach a maximum at $P(OMe)_3/Rh = 4$ and decrease at higher $P(OMe)_3/Rh$ ratios (Figure 4). These results suggest existence of a critical concentration of $P(OMe)_3$ in order to generate a sufficient concentration of active species although higher concentrations probably result in the formation of coordinatively saturated rhodium species which are less active.

<Figures 4 about here>

The aldehyde selectivity for these catalytic systems is high (typically > 95 %). The amount of octane is < 1% and the formation of internal olefins is < 5%. In general, the aldehyde selectivity was slightly improved when the $P(OMe)_3/Rh$ ratio was increased from 2 to 4, although further increments of the $P(OMe)_3/Rh$ ratio do not inhibit the isomerization reaction and the aldehyde selectivity remains almost constant (Table 2). On the other hand, the extension of the isomerization process depends on the temperature but it is less affected by the variation of the $P(CO/H_2)$.

Finally, it is noticeable that the increase of the $P(OMe)_3/Rh$ ratio results in a steady increase of the regioselectivity. The observed regioselectivity are about 80% at the optimal $P(OMe)_3/Rh = 4$ ratio for the four catalyst precursors.

3.4. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CR_2)(cod)_2]/PPh_3$

The experimental results on the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the systems $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CR_2)(cod)_2]/PPh_3$ are summarized in Table 3. In general, these systems are less active than the systems based on phosphite ligands. The catalytic activities in the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene at $PPh_3/Rh = 4$ ratio under the same reaction conditions ($P(CO/H_2) = 6.8$ atm at $80\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h) follows the order: 219 (**4**) > 126 (**3**) > 107 h^{-1} (**2**) (runs 30, 34 and 39, Table 3). The catalytic activity for the complex **1** under similar conditions is too low.

<Table 3, about here>

The behavior of this system is similar to the observed for the previously described systems based on phosphite ligands, in fact the maximum TOF(Ald) was attained at a $PPh_3/Rh = 4$ ratio. In addition, the aldehyde selectivity increases gradually with the PPh_3/Rh ratio, while regioselectivity increases very slowly, reaching values up to 76% for the linear aldehyde.

The evolution of the TOF(Ald) and conversion with the reaction time has been studied for precursors $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2Chxn)(cod)_2]$ (**2**) and $[Rh_2(\mu-S_2CBn_2)(cod)_2]$ (**3**) (Figure 5). In the case of complex **3** at $PPh_3/Rh = 4$ ratio (runs 34 - 36, Table 3), it can be observed a gradually increase of the conversion values from 46.4 to 96.1% when the reaction time increase from 2 to 6 h (Figure 5b). As expected, the TOF(Ald) values decrease from 126 to 89 h^{-1} with the reaction time but the aldehyde selectivity (91 %) and the regioselectivity (76 %) are practically constant along the hydroformylation reaction. In addition, an increase of the PPh_3/Rh molar ratio from 4 to 6 gave similar TOF(Ald), conversion or aldehyde selectivity numbers (reaction time

6 h), although the regioselectivity was increased from 75% to 80% (runs 36 and 37, Table 3).

The behavior of the catalyst precursor $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Chxn})(\text{cod})_2]$ (**2**) in using PPh_3 as co-catalyst is unusual. The evolution of the observed $\text{TOF}(\text{Ald})$ and conversion values at $\text{PPh}_3/\text{Rh} = 4$ follows an unexpected trend all the way through the time (runs 30 - 33, Table 3). The reaction reaches about a 38.4 % of conversion at the first two hours. However, there is not a significant increment in the conversion in the following four hours: 40.8 % and 41.8 % at reaction times of 4 and 6 h, respectively. From this point, there is a steady progress of the reaction, which reaches a conversion up to 65 % in 12 h (Figure 5a). Accordingly, the observed trend in conversion, which is reflected in the sharp decrease of the catalytic activity, $\text{TOF}(\text{Ald})$, suggest the presence of different active catalytic species through the reaction time.

<Figures 5 about here>

The catalyst precursor $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Cptn})(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1**) behaves similarly to precursor **2**. The catalytic activity (15 h^{-1}) and the conversion (16.7 %) are low in the first 6 h at $\text{PPh}_3/\text{Rh} = 4$ (run 27, Table 3). However, there is a significant increment both on the catalytic activity (44 h^{-1}) and the conversion (93.8%) (run 28, Table 3) at longer reaction times (12 h). In addition, the regioselectivity increases from 68% at 6 h to 75% at 12 h. An increment of the PPh_3/Rh ratio from 4 to 6 decreases both the catalytic activity, from 44 to 32 h^{-1} , and the conversion, from 93.8 to 68.5%. However, the regioselectivity was increased up to 81% (runs 28 and 29, Table 3).

3.5. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{PCy}_3$, P^iPr_3 , and PMe_3

A comparative study of the catalytic activity of complexes $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1** - **4**) with diverse phosphine ligands has been carried out. Table 4 summarizes the results observed in the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Chxn})(\text{cod})_2]$ (**2**) using different PR_3 ligands as co-catalysts ($\text{PR}_3 = \text{PCy}_3$, P^iPr_3 or PMe_3).

It can be observed that the TOF(Ald) values for the systems **2**/ PR_3 follows the order P^iPr_3 (44) > PPh_3 (38) > PMe_3 (27) > PCy_3 (20 h^{-1}) under the same conditions ($\text{PR}_3/\text{Rh} = 4$, $\text{P}(\text{CO}/\text{H}_2) = 6.8 \text{ atm}$ at 80°C for 6 h) (run 32, Table 3 and runs 41 - 43, Table 4). Interestingly, the systems **2**/ PCy_3 , **2**/ P^iPr_3 and **2**/ PMe_3 are highly selective towards the aldehyde formation (100 %). The regioselectivity follows the order PPh_3 (75) > PMe_3 (72) > PCy_3 (57) > P^iPr_3 (51 %) (Figure 6).

<Table 4 and Figure 6, about here>

Table 5 summarizes the experimental data for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene catalyzed by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{PCy}_3$ under the same reaction conditions for a longer reaction time (12 hours) as a way to obtain higher conversions. In fact, this goal was achieved for the catalytic systems **1**/ PCy_3 and **4**/ PCy_3 which shown conversions up to 90% (runs 44 and 47), whereas that **2**/ PCy_3 and **3**/ PCy_3 showed moderate conversions, 43.9 and 71.2 %, respectively (runs 45 and 46). The TOF(Ald) values for the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{PCy}_3$ follows the order **4** (140) > **1** (134) > **3** (103) > **2** (61 h^{-1}) (runs 44 - 47, Table 5) under the same catalytic conditions: $\text{P}/\text{Rh} = 4$, $\text{P}(\text{CO}/\text{H}_2) = 6.8 \text{ atm}$ at 80°C for 12 h.

<Table 5 about here>

In general, the four systems are less active than the related systems based on PPh_3 . However, the selectivities to aldehyde are comparable ($> 90\%$) although the observed regioselectivity are in significantly lower ($\approx 55\%$).

The above described results show that catalytic activity of the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1** - **4**)/ PR_3 depend on the electronic properties of the phosphine co-catalysts. The PCy_3 , P^iPr_3 and PMe_3 ligands are more basic than PPh_3 , which in general is the most active co-catalyst. However, there is not a clear correlation between the regioselectivity and the steric influence of the ligands as the cone angle decrease as follows: PCy_3 (170°) $>$ P^iPr_3 (160°) $>$ PPh_3 (145°) $>$ PMe_3 (118°) [21].

3.6. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]/\text{PPh}_3$

It is predicable that under the hydroformylation conditions (moderate temperature and CO/H_2 pressure, and an excess of PR_3), the olefin precursors $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ should be converted into the mixed ligand complexes $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PR}_3)_2]$. For that reason, we have studied the catalytic activity of the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]/\text{PPh}_3$ in order to compare with the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{PPh}_3$ previously described.

Table 6 summarizes the experimental data for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene catalyzed by complexes $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Cptn})(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**5**), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Chxn})(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**6**), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**7**) and $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{C}^i\text{Pr}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**8**) in the presence of PPh_3 .

The TOF(Ald) values for these systems follows the order **8** (131) $>$ **7** (128) $>$ **6** (24) $>$ **5** (21 h^{-1}) (runs 48, 50, 52 and 53, Table 6) under the same conditions: $\text{PPh}_3/\text{Rh} = 4$, $\text{P}(\text{CO}/\text{H}_2) = 6.8\text{ atm}$ at 80°C for 4 h. Interestingly, only the catalytic

activity and the conversion are affected by the nature of the *gem*-dithiolato ligand, as the observed aldehyde selectivity and regioselectivity values are very similar.

< Table 6 about here >

It has been found that catalytic systems based on diolefin dinuclear complexes having azolate bridging ligands in the presence of PR_3 , are far more active than the preformed mixed carbonyl-phosphine species under the same experimental conditions [22]. In the same way, dinuclear complexes with zwitterionic amino-thiolato ligands represent an extreme example of this behavior as the diolefin complex is active for hydroformylation of 1-hexene but the *trans*- mixed carbonyl-phosphine complex is inactive [23]. The above described results indicate that there is not an additional benefit on the catalytic activity by using preformed mixed carbonyl-phosphine dinuclear *gem*-dithiolato complexes over the diolefin complexes as comparable chemio-, regioselectivities and activities were obtained when using the same P/Rh ratio.

3.7. Hydroformylation of *trans*-oct-2-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$

The transformation of internal olefins to linear aldehydes is of considerable industrial interest since internal olefins are cheaper and more readily available feedstock than terminal olefins [24, 25]. Recently, several highly selective and active isomerization-hydroformylation catalysts that contain phosphonite [26], diphosphine [27] or diphosphite [28] ligands have been developed. On the light of the significant isomerization activity exhibited by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$, we have studied the catalytic activity of the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ for the hydroformylation of *trans*-oct-2-ene.

The hydroformylation of *trans*-oct-2-ene has been carried out in toluene (CO/H₂, 1/1; **[3]** = 1.0 mM, [*trans*-oct-2-ene]/[Rh₂] = 600). GC analyses of the liquid phase runs showed internal oct-*n*-ene olefins, nonanal (linear aldehyde), 2-methyl-octanal, 2-ethyl-heptanal and 2-propyl-hexanal (internal aldehydes), and traces of oct-1-ene and octane. The results of the catalytic hydroformylation of *trans*-oct-2-ene by complex [Rh₂(μ-S₂CBn₂)(cod)₂] (**3**) are summarized in Table 7.

< Table 7, about here >

The hydroformylation of *trans*-oct-2-ene (P(CO/H₂) = 6.8 atm at 80 °C) with a P(OPh)₃/Rh = 4 ratio gave a 22 % of linear aldehyde after 18 h (run 54). An increase of the temperature to 100 °C resulted in an improvement of the regioselectivity up to 44% at the same level of conversion in 8 h, along with a decrease in the aldehyde selectivity (21.8 %, run 55). Interestingly, the aldehyde selectivity can be improved by increasing the P(OPh)₃/Rh ratio (runs 56 and 57). The optimal value has been determined to be P(OPh)₃/Rh = 10 giving TOF(Ald) values of 28 h⁻¹. The positive influence of the temperature on the regioselectivity can be explained by the faster isomerization of the internal oct-*n*-ene olefins. Actually, a regioselectivity of 49 % was attained when the reaction was conducted at a 120 °C (run 61) although a drastic drop in the hydroformylation activity was observed probably due to the instability of the catalytic intermediates at high temperatures. In fact, the dark colour of the resulting catalytic solution contrasts with the light bright colour obtained under milder experimental conditions.

The effect of the gradual increase of the pressure at 100 °C using a P(OPh)₃/Rh = 10 ratio can be observed in runs 56, 58-59, and 60. The pressure strongly affects the hydroformylation activity and the better aldehyde selectivity of 64.1% and TOF(Ald) of 42 h⁻¹ was obtained at the highest pressure (13.6 atm, run

60). However, the regioselectivity under these conditions is low (29%) suggesting a significant hydroformylation of the internal oct-*n*-enes. As expected, the improvement of the regioselectivity requires low pressures. Up to 54% of linear aldehyde was attained at 2 atm (run 58) although the activity was certainly low under these conditions (3 h^{-1}).

3.8 High-Pressure NMR and IR studies

In order to ascertain the nuclearity of the active species when using dinuclear *gem*-dithiolato rhodium(I) complexes as catalyst precursors for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene, the catalytic systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ and $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ PPh_3 have been investigated *in situ* using high-pressure spectroscopic techniques (HPNMR and HPIR).

A solution of complex $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**) (25 mM) and an excess of $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ (200 mM, $\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio) in toluene- d_8 was pressurized under 6.2 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2/CO and shaken for 1 h at 80°C . The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR recorded at room temperature (Figure 7, a) showed the presence of three main species. The doublet at δ 163.19 ppm ($J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 212 \text{ Hz}$) corresponds to the mononuclear hydride compound $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_3]$ (**9**) which exhibited a characteristic quartet of doublets resonance at δ -10.9 ppm ($^2J_{\text{P-H}} = 10.0 \text{ Hz}$ and $J_{\text{Rh-H}} = 6.8 \text{ Hz}$) in the high field region of the ^1H NMR spectrum. Both, the multiplicity and the observed coupling constants are in good agreement with those reported for the related complex $[\text{RhH}(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_3]$ ($^2J_{\text{P-H}} = 16 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{\text{Rh-H}} = 8 \text{ Hz}$) [29]. The second species has been identified as the mononuclear phosphonate complex $[\text{Rh}\{\text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2\}(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_3]$ (**10**) which exhibits a A_3MX ($\text{X} = \text{Rh}$) type spin system. The A part of the spectrum consists of a doublet of doublets at δ 145.24 ppm

($J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 187.9$ Hz) and correspond to the equivalent phosphite ligands, whereas the M part correspond to the phosphonate group and consists of a quartet of doublets at δ 81.86 ppm ($J_{\text{Rh-P}'} = 105.0$ Hz, $J_{\text{P-P}'} = 161.1$ Hz, $\text{P}' = \text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2$). Finally, the set of resonances at δ 144.40 ppm (dd) and 71.71 ppm (td) ($J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 166.4$ Hz, $J_{\text{Rh-P}'} = 91.6$ Hz, $J_{\text{P-P}'} = 271.3$ Hz, $\text{P} = \text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ and $\text{P}' = \text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2$) is characteristic of a A_2MX ($X = \text{Rh}$) type spin system and has been assigned to the bis-carbonyl phosphonate complex $[\text{Rh}\{\text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2\}(\text{CO})_2\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_2]$ (**11**). Interestingly, the spectrum did not showed any resonance attributable to dinuclear complexes $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{CO})_{4-n}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_n]$ ($n = 1 - 4$) which are the expected species to be formed under hydroformylation conditions by carbonylation of the precursor **3** and CO replacement by $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ [16].

<Figure 7 about here>

The formulation of **10** and **11** as rhodium(I) pentacoordinated mononuclear phosphonate complexes relies on several experimental observations. First, the observed chemical shift of the dimethyl phosphonate ligand in the complexes compares well with the observed for the square-planar $[\text{Rh}\{\text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OPh})_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3\}_3]$ (δ 82.30 ppm) [30] and pentacoordinated $[\text{Rh}\{\text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4]$ (δ 81.86 ppm) [31] related complexes. More importantly, compound **10** has been independently obtained, together with trace amounts of **11**, by heating a C_6D_6 solution of compound $[\text{Rh}\{\text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4]$ under a $\text{CO}(\text{g})$ (≈ 2 atm) at 80°C for 4 h.

When the same experiment was carried out in the presence of oct-1-ene (250 mM) under the same experimental conditions, the formation of aldehydes was observed in the ^1H NMR spectrum at room temperature and the recorded $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR was identical to the obtained before. This fact suggest that the Wilkinson type complex $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_3]$ (**9**) is the active species under this conditions. As far

as the fate of the dithiolato ligand is concerned, some clues were obtained from the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum obtained after depressurization (Figure 7, b) that showed the disappearance of the resonances due to complex **11**, that probably evolves to **10** under low carbon monoxide concentration, and also a significant reduction of the intensity of the resonance of $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_3]$ (**9**) that became now a residual species along with $[\text{HRh}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4]$ (**12**) (δ 161.95 ppm, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 210.5$ Hz) [32]. In fact, the high field region of the ^1H NMR spectrum showed the presence of **9** and **12** (δ -11.76 ppm, quintet of doublets, $J_{\text{P-H}} = 35.6$ Hz, $J_{\text{Rh-H}} = 9.6$ Hz) approximately in the same ratio (Figure 8). This observation points out to the probable involvement of the free *gem*-dithiol compound, $\text{Bn}_2\text{C}(\text{SH})_2$, in the disappearance of compound **9**.

<Figure 8 about here>

This possibility was investigated by using the complex $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$. Thus, we have found that the reaction of $\text{Bn}_2\text{C}(\text{SH})_2$ with two mol-equiv. of $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ in C_6D_6 at room temperature resulted mainly in the formation of the dinuclear compound $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**7**) and 1,3-diphenyl-propane-2-thiol in roughly 1:2 ratio, together with other unidentified species. The thiol compound, $\text{Bn}_2\text{CH}(\text{SH})$, has been identified by NMR (^1H and ^1H - ^1H COSY) [33] and detected by GC/MS. The chemical shifts and coupling constants observed in the ^1H NMR spectrum compare well with those reported for other 1-arylpropan-2-thiol derivatives or its compounds [34]. It is known that some *gem*-dithiol compounds are unstable against the loss of $\text{SH}_2(\text{g})$ to give the corresponding thione [35]. In the present case, the rhodium-mediated decomposition of $\text{Bn}_2\text{C}(\text{SH})_2$ and the hydrogenation of the resulting thione $\text{Bn}_2\text{C}=\text{S}$ by two equivalents of $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ could account for the formation of $\text{Bn}_2\text{CH}(\text{SH})$. Evidently, this is a competitive process with the formation of **7** and $\text{H}_2(\text{g})$ elimination.

The product distribution observed in the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ under hydroformylation conditions (Scheme 2) can be also obtained sequentially starting from $[\text{Rh}(\text{acac})(\text{cod})]$. Thus, a solution of $[\text{Rh}(\text{acac})(\text{cod})]$ in the presence of $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ (1:4) under $\text{H}_2(\text{g})$ (≈ 2 bar) in C_6D_6 at 80°C for 3 h gave the complex $[\text{HRh}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4]$. Further heating of the solution under $\text{CO}(\text{g})$ (≈ 2 bar) at 80°C for 1 h gave a mixture of the complexes $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_3]$ (**9**) (63%), $[\text{HRh}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4]$ (**12**) (30%) and $[\text{Rh}\{\text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2\}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_4]$ (**10**) (27%). Further reaction with $\text{Bn}_2\text{C}(\text{SH})_2$ resulted in the disappearance **9** and **12** and the formation of 1,3-diphenyl-propane-2-thiol.

<Scheme 2, about here>

The hydroformylation of oct-1-ene with the catalytic system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ PPh_3 has also been studied in situ by HPNMR. Thus, a solution of complex $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**) (12 mM) and an excess of PPh_3 (96 mM, $\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio) in toluene- d_8 was pressurized under 6.2 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2/CO and heated for 1 h at 80°C . The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR recorded at room temperature showed exclusively the presence of $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**7**) (δ 40.88 ppm, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 162$ Hz, *trans* isomer) and PPh_3 (δ -5.0 ppm). As expected, compound **7** was formed by carbonylation of **3** and CO replacement by PPh_3 , a process that also take place at 1 atm of $\text{CO}(\text{g})$. After heating for 2.5 h the high field region of the ^1H NMR spectrum showed a tiny resonance at δ -9.2 ppm although no new species different from **7** were observed in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR. The addition of oct-1-ene (120 mM) and heating for an additional period of 2 h resulted in the formation of aldehydes and in the disappearance of the hydride resonance. When the reaction was conducted in the presence of oct-1-ene, under the same conditions, aldehydes were formed in 1 h, although prolonged heating was necessary in order to detect the species

$[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**13**) (δ 37.3 ppm, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 138.7$ Hz) [36] in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (13 h, < 1%).

The outstanding stability of $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**7**) under hydroformylation conditions contrast with the complete degradation of complexes $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{CO})_{4-n}\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_n]$ ($n = 1 - 4$) into several mononuclear species. In order to determine if the compound $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**13**) is the responsible for the catalytic activity of the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ PPh_3 , we carried out the characterization of this system *in situ* by IR spectroscopy under the reaction conditions used in the catalytic hydroformylation experiments (HPIR).

A solution of complex $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**) (1 mM) and PPh_3 (8 mM, $\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio) in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran was pressurized under 6.2 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2/CO and stirred for 1 h at 80 °C. The IR spectrum (Figure 9) features a strong absorption at $\nu(\text{CO})$ 1965 cm^{-1} that correspond to the dinuclear complex **7**. However, the spectrum also shows other absorptions of smaller intensity at $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2053, 2043, 1992, 1981, 1973 and 1948 cm^{-1} . The absorptions at 2043, 1992, 1981 and 1948 cm^{-1} are attributed to the compound $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**13**) which exists as two trigonal-bipyramidal isomers in equilibrium. The bands at 1992 and 1948 cm^{-1} are assigned to the isomer having hydride and triphenylphosphine ligands in the apical positions (**13a**) whereas that the bands at 2043 and 1981 cm^{-1} are attributed to isomer having hydride and carbonyl ligands in the apical positions (**13b**) [15c, 37]. Finally, the pentacoordinated dinuclear compound $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{CO})_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (**14**) (Scheme 3) probably accounts for the rest of absorptions at 2053 and 1973 cm^{-1} [15c, 38].

<Figure 9 and Scheme 3, about here>

3.9 Kinetic study of oct-1-ene hydroformylation

The progress of the oct-1-ene hydroformylation by the catalytic systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ and $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ PPh_3 was monitored by pressure drop measurements. The consumption of $\text{H}_2/\text{CO}(\text{g})$ was registered as a pressure decrease in the ballast that maintains a constant pressure in the autoclave by means of a pressure transmitter at intervals of 3 min. Figure 10 shows the pressure vs time plot for the course of the oct-1-ene hydroformylation for both systems under the same experimental conditions: $[\mathbf{3}] = 1.35 \text{ mM}$, $[\text{PR}_3] = 10.8 \text{ mM}$ ($\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio), $[\text{oct-1-ene}] = 600 \text{ mM}$, $\text{P}(\text{CO}/\text{H}_2) = 6.8 \text{ atm}$ and 80°C . The plot evidenced the existence of an induction period for both catalytic systems: 18 min for **3**/ $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ and 39 min for **3**/ PPh_3 . However, the activity of both systems, estimated as the rate of pressure drop from the linear part of the plot pressure vs time after the induction period, is roughly the same ($3.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ bar/min}$). This fact suggests that the mononuclear species $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ is far more active than $[\text{HRh}(\text{CO})\{\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3\}_3]$ because the concentration of the former is much smaller under the same hydroformylation conditions (HPMNR evidence). It is interesting to note that the observed induction period in the system **3**/ PPh_3 is very much dependent on the concentration of the catalyst precursor. Thus, the induction period was increased from 39 to 81 min by a decrease in the precursor concentration from 1.35 mM to 1.00 mM.

<Figure 10 about here>

In order to confirm the involvement of mononuclear compounds as the active catalytic species in the system **3**/ PPh_3 , the dependence of the hydroformylation rate on the concentration of $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**) was investigated. The pressure

decrease was converted into moles of aldehyde by using the pre-calibrated volume of the system and considering ideal gas behavior [39]. Thus, a series of catalytic runs with concentrations of **3** in the range 0.18-2.00 mM, PPh₃ (P/Rh = 4 molar ratio), [oct-1-ene] = 600 mM, P(CO/H₂) = 6.8 atm and 80 °C have been carried out. The initial reaction rates (mol s⁻¹) were determined from the mol of aldehydes vs time plots at 10% of conversion. Figure 11 shows the logarithmic representation of these initial rates as a function of the initial precursor concentration (mol L⁻¹). The least-square fit indicate half-order dependence of the reaction rate on the rhodium dimer concentration ($R^2 = 0.95$), which confirm the participation of active mononuclear species (Scheme 3).

<Figure 11 about here>

4. Discussion

The results described in the precedent sections show marked tendencies in the activity, selectivity and regioselectivity that are very much dependent both on the *gem*-dithiolato bridging ligand and the P-donor ligand used as co-catalyst. However, high-pressure spectroscopic techniques (HPNMR and HPIR) have revealed that mononuclear species formed under catalytic conditions are most probably responsible for the observed catalytic activity.

The activity of the different catalytic systems is strongly influenced by the *gem*-dithiolato ligand although the effect both on the selectivity and regioselectivity is rather small. In general, the precursors containing acyclic *gem*-dithiolato ligands, [Rh₂(μ-S₂CBn₂)(cod)₂] (**3**) and [Rh₂(μ-S₂CⁱPr₂)(cod)₂] (**4**), are more active than the precursors with cyclic ligands [Rh₂(μ-S₂Cptn)(cod)₂] (**1**) and [Rh₂(μ-S₂Chxn)(cod)₂]

(2). The maximum activity for the four catalytic systems based on P(OMe)_3 was found at the ratio $\text{P/Rh} = 4$ (reaction time 2 h) and the observed activity order is $4 > 3 > 2 > 1$. Interestingly, the same activity order was found in the systems based on PPh_3 at the ratio $\text{P/Rh} = 4$ (reaction time 6 h) although the activity gap between **2** and **1** is now considerable. However, the activity of the systems based on P(OPh)_3 decreases in the series $4 > 2 > 3 > 1$ at $\text{P/Rh} = 6$ (reaction time 2 h).

The lower activity of the systems based on dinuclear precursors having cyclic *gem*-dithiolato ligands could be related to the higher stability of the dinuclear framework toward cleavage into active mononuclear hydrido species under the hydroformylation conditions. However, the activity is also influenced by the P/Rh ratio and the type of P-donor ligand used as cocatalyst. In general, the systems involving phosphite ligands, P(OR)_3 , are much more active than the based on PPh_3 irrespective of the catalytic precursor. In fact, reaction times of at least 6 hours were necessary in order to get acceptable conversions when PPh_3 was used as co-catalyst, although excellent conversions were attained in only two hours in the case of P(OR)_3 ligands. These results contrast with the behaviour observed for neutral and cationic dinuclear complexes with standard thiolato ligands since the catalytic systems involving PPh_3 as co-catalyst are far more active than the based on P(OR)_3 ligands [23, 40, 41]. However, the performance of the precursor $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S(CH}_2)_n\text{S})(\text{cod})_2]$ is very similar when using PPh_3 or P(OR)_3 as co-catalyst [42].

These results indicate that the activity of the catalytic systems is not directly correlated with the electronic properties of the P-donor ligands as the basicity of P(OMe)_3 and PPh_3 are comparable (pK_a of 2.6 and 2.73, respectively) being P(OPh)_3 the less basic ligand in this series (pK_a of -2.0) [43]. The excellent activity of the systems based on P(OR)_3 ligands is probably associated to the higher concentration

of the active mononuclear hydrido species which is strongly supported by the HPNMR and HPIR spectroscopic studies carried out on the systems **3**/P(OMe)₃ and **3**/PPh₃. On the other hand, the formation of the active species from dinuclear precursors requires the presence of a significant concentration of P-donor ligand. Thus, the influence of the P/Rh ratio in the activity is most likely related with the concentration of the active species under hydroformylation conditions.

The main difference between these modifying ligands concerns the aldehyde selectivity. In general, aldehyde selectivities higher than 95% and 90% were obtained with the catalytic systems involving P(OMe)₃ and PPh₃, respectively. However, lower selectivities were observed with the catalytic systems based on P(OPh)₃ as a consequence of the high isomerization activity that is about 20% in most cases. It is well known that the complex [HRh(CO){P(OPh)₃}]₃ is the active species for hydroformylation of rhodium catalytic systems involving P(OPh)₃ [44] which exhibited high isomerization activity that has been attributed both to the participation of the species [HRh{P(OPh)₃}]₄ [45] and rhodium-carbonyl clusters [46]. These results suggest the participation of mononuclear hydrido species as the active species for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene also in these systems.

Further support for the involvement of active mononuclear hydrido species comes from the observed regioselectivities that seem to be mainly determined by the nature of the P-donor ligand suggesting the participation of the same active species. Typical regioselectivities for P(OPh)₃ and P(OMe)₃, using a P/Rh = 6 ratio, are 84% and 82%, respectively. Under the same conditions, the catalytic systems based on PPh₃ gave lower regioselectivities: 80% (precursor **1** and **3**) and 75 % (precursors **2** and **4**).

5. Conclusions

Dinuclear *gem*-dithiolato-bridged $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ complexes in the presence of P-donor ligands are active catalyst precursors for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene under mild conditions. The catalytic studies carried out with several P-donor modifying ligands and four different catalytic precursors have shown the systems involving phosphite ligands, $\text{P}(\text{OR})_3$, are much more active than the based on PR_3 . However, the activity is strongly dependent on the catalyst precursor, and consequently on the structure of the dinuclear complexes, although it is also influenced by the P/Rh ratio. In general, catalytic precursors containing acyclic *gem*-dithiolato ligands are more active than the precursors with cyclic ligands. The selectivity and regioselectivity are mainly determined by the P-donor ligands, although there is not a clear correlation between both parameters and the electronic and steric properties of the co-catalyst. Spectroscopic studies under pressure (HPNMR and HPIR) showed that hydrido mononuclear species formed under catalytic conditions are presumably responsible for the observed catalytic activity.

Acknowledgments

The financial support from Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (MEC/FEDER) Project CTQ2006-03973/BQU is gratefully acknowledged. A. B. R. thanks the Programa Iberoamericano de Ciencia y Tecnología para el Desarrollo (CYTED) for a fellowship. A. B. R. and A. J. P. thank to Fonacit-Venezuela (S1-2002000260) for financial support.

References

- [1] B. Cornils, W.A. Herrmann (Eds.), *Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds*, 2nd ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2002.
- [2] J.L. Leighton, in: *Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions*, P.A. Evans (Ed.), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005, Chap. 5, pp. 93-110.
- [3] P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, C. Claver (Eds.), *Rhodium Catalyzed Hydroformylation*, in: B.R. James, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen (Eds.), *Catalysis by Metal Complexes Series*, vol. 22, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [4] (a) J.M. Praetorius, M.W. Kotyk, J.D. Webb, R. Wang, C.M. Crudden, *Organometallics* 26 (2007) 1057; (b) R.A. Baber, M.F. Haddow, A.J. Middleton, A.G. Orpen, P.G. Pringle, A. Haynes, G.L. Williams, R. Papp, *Organometallics* 26 (2007) 713; (c) D. Rivillo, H. Gulyás, J. Benet-Buchholz, E. C. Escudero-Adán, Z. Freixa, P.W.N M. van Leeuwen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 46 (2007) 7247; (d) E. Fuchs, M. Keller, B. Breit, *Chem. Eur. J.* 12 (2006) 6930; (e) A. Moores, N. Mezailles, L. Ricard, P. Le Floch, *Organometallics* 24 (2005) 508; (f) M. Beller, B. Cornils, C.D. Frohning, C.W. Kohlpaintner, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 104 (2002) 17.
- [5] M. Shibasaki, Y. Yamamoto, (Eds.), *Multimetallic Catalysts in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004.
- [6] P. Braunstein, J. Rosé, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, E.W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone, G. Wilkinson, Eds. Elsevier Science Ltd.: Oxford, 1995, Vol. 10, Chap. 7, pp. 351-385.

- [7] R.D. Adams, F.A. Cotton (Eds.), *Catalysis by Di- and Polynuclear Metal Cluster Complexes*, Wiley-VCH, New York, 1998.
- [8] N. Wheatly, P. Kalck, *Chem. Rev.* 99 (1999) 3379.
- [9] (a) M. Yamane, N. Yukimura, H. Ishiai, K. Narasaka, *Chemistry Letters* 35 (2006) 540; (b) P.J. Baricelli, F. López-Linares, A. Bruss, R. Santos, E. Lujano, R. A. Sánchez-Delgado, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 239 (2005) 130; (c) M.A. Rida, A.K. Smith, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 202 (2003) 87; (d) M. Poyatos, P. Uriz, J.A. Mata, C. Claver, E. Fernandez, E. Peris, *Organometallics* 22 (2003) 440; (e) R.S. Dickson, J. Bowen, E.M. Campi, W.R. Jackson, C.A.M. Jonasson, F.J. McGrath, D.J. Paslow, A. Polas, P. Renton, S. Gladiali, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 150 (1999) 133; (f) L. Geimini, D.W. Stephan, *Organometallics* 7 (1988) 849.
- [10] (a) G.G. Stanley, in: *Multimetallic Catalysts in Organic Synthesis*; M. Shibasaki, Y. Yamamoto (Eds.), Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004, Chap. 10, pp. 225-248; (b) M.E. Brousand, B. Juma, S.G. Train, W. -J. Peng, S.A. Laneman, G.G. Stanley, *Science* 260 (1993) 1784; (c) R.C. Matthews, D.K. Howell, W.-J. Peng, S.G. Train, W. Dale-Treleaven, G.G. Stanley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 35 (1996) 2253.
- [11] E.K. Van der Beuken, B.L. Feringa, *Tetrahedron* 54 (1998) 12985.
- [12] (a) P. Kalck, J.M. Frances, P.M. Pfister, T.G. Southern, A. Thorez, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* (1983) 510; (b) P. Kalck in: *Organometallics in Organic Syntheses*; A. de Meijere, H. Tom Dick, (Eds.), Springer Verlag, Weinheim, 1987, p. 297; (c) P. Kalck, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 61 (1989) 967; (d) P. Kalck, *Polyhedron* 7 (1988) 2441; (e) F. Monteil, R. Queau, P. Kalck, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 480 (1994) 177.

- [13] J.C. Bayón, C. Claver, A.M. Masdeu-Bultó, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 193-195 (1999) 73.
- [14] R. Davis, J.W. Epton, T.G. Southern, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 77 (1992) 159.
- [15] (a) A. Castellanos-Páez, S. Castellón, C. Claver, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, W.G.J. Lange, *Organometallics* 17 (1998) 2543; (b) H. Gao, R.J. Angelici, *Organometallics* 17 (1998) 3063; (c) M. Diéguez, C. Claver, A.M. Masdeu-Bultó, A. Ruiz, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, G. Schoemaker, *Organometallics* 18 (1999) 2107; (d) J. Forniés-Cámer, A.M. Masdeu-Bultó, C. Claver, C. Tejel, M.A. Ciriano, C.J. Cardin, *Organometallics* 21 (2002) 2609.
- [16] M.A.F. Hernandez-Gruel, G. Gracia-Arruego, A.B. Rivas, I.T. Dobrinovich, F.J. Lahoz, A.J. Pardey, L.A. Oro, J.J. Pérez-Torrente, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* (2007) 5677.
- [17] A.B. Rivas, J.M. Gascón, F.J. Lahoz, A.I. Balana, A.J. Pardey, L.A. Oro, J.J. Pérez-Torrente, *Inorg. Chem.* 47 (2008) 6090.
- [18] M.A.F. Hernandez-Gruel, J.J. Pérez-Torrente, M.A. Ciriano, A.B. Rivas, F.J. Lahoz, I.T. Dobrinovitch, L.A. Oro, *Organometallics* 22 (2003) 1237.
- [19] A. Cusanelli, U. Frey, D.T. Richens, A. Merbach, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 118 (1996) 5265.
- [20] P. C. J. Kamer, A. Van Rooy, G. C. Schoemaker, P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 248 (2004) 2409.
- [21] C.A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.* 77 (1977) 313.
- [22] P. Kalck, A. Thorez, M.T. Pinillos, L.A. Oro, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 31 (1985) 311.

- [23] J.C. Bayon, P. Esteban, J. Real, C. Claver, A. Ruiz, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1989) 1579.
- [24] D.E. Fogg, E.N. dos Santos, Coord. Chem. Rev. 248 (2004) 2365.
- [25] Y. Yan, X. Zhang, X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128 (2006) 16058.
- [26] D. Selent, D. Hess, K.-D. Wiese, D. Rottger, C. Kunze, A. Borner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40 (2001) 1696.
- [27] (a) L.A. van der Veen, P.C.J. Kamer, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 336; (b) H. Klein, R. Jackstell, K.-D. Wiese, C. Borgmann, M. Beller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40 (2001) 3408.
- [28] (a) A. Behr, D. Obst, B. Turkowski, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 226 (2005) 215; (b) C. Vogl, E. Paetzold, C. Fischer, U. Kragl, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 232 (2005) 41.
- [29] A.M. Trzeciak, J.J. Ziolkowski, S. Aygen, R. Van Eldik, J. Mol. Catal. 34 (1986) 337.
- [30] S. Aygen, R. Van Eldik, Organometallics 6 (1987) 1080.
- [31] (a) S.I. Klein, J.F. Nixon, J. Organomet. Chem. 302 (1986) 87; (b) S.I. Klein, J.F. Nixon, J. Organomet. Chem. 304 (1986) 371.
- [32] A.J. Sivak, E.L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101 (1979) 4878.
- [33] 1,3-diphenyl-propan-2-thiol: ^1H NMR (C_6D_6), δ : 7.35-7.08 (m, 10 H, Ph), 3.28 (m, 1H, CH), 2.88 (dd, 2H, A part of ABX, $J_{\text{AB}} = 13.6$ Hz, $J_{\text{AX}} = 5.6$ Hz), 2.67 (dd, 2H, B part of ABX, $J_{\text{AB}} = 13.6$ Hz, $J_{\text{AX}} = 8.5$ Hz), 1.45 (d, 1H, $J = 4.8$ Hz, SH).

- [34] (a) M. Matsugi, Y. Hagimoto, K. Itoh, M. Nojima, Y. Kita, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 51 (2003) 460; (b) P.C. Cagle, O. Meyer, D. Vichard, K. Weickhardt, A.M. Arif, J.A. Gladysz, *Organometallics* 15 (2006) 194.
- [35] (a) E. Campaigne, B.E. Edwards, *J. Org. Chem.* 27 (1962) 3760. (b) R. Mayer, G. Hiller, M. Nitzschke, J. Jentzsch, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 75 (1963) 1011.
- [36] C. Bianchini, H.M. Lee, A. Meli, F. Vizza, *Organometallics*. 19 (2000) 849.
- [37] L. A. van der Veen, M.D. Bolee, F.R. Bregman, P.C.J. Kamer, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, K. Goubitz, J. Fraanje, H. Schenk, C. Bo, *J. Am Chem. Soc.* 120 (1998) 11616.
- [38] Ph. Kalck, R. Poliblanco, *Inorg. Chem.* 11 (1975) 2779.
- [39] The hydrogenation activity (< 5%) has not been taken into account in the determination of the mol of produced aldehydes from the consumption of H₂/CO(g). The reaction progress was checked by GC at the end of the reaction.
- [40] J.C. Bayón, J. Real, C. Claver, A. Polo, A. Ruiz, *J. Chem. Soc. Commun.* (1989) 1056.
- [41] J.C. Bayón, P. Esteban, J. Real, C. Claver, A. Polo, A. Ruiz, S. Castellón, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 403 (1991) 393.
- [42] A. Aaliti, A. M. Masdeu, A. Ruiz, C. Claver, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 489 (1995) 101.
- [43] M.M. Rahman, H.Y. Liu, K. Eriks, A. Prock, W.P. Giering, *Organometallics* 8 (1989) 1.
- [44] A.M. Trzeciak, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 390 (1990) 105.
- [45] A.M. Trzeciak, J.J. Ziolkowski, *J. Mol. Catal.* 34 (1985) 213.

- [46] A.M. Trzeciak, J.J. Ziolkowski, T. Lis, R. Choukroun, J. Organomet. Chem. 575 (1999) 87.

Table 1

Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$ ^a

Run	Precursor	P/Rh	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)		<i>n</i> (%)	TOF(Ald) ^b
				Ald.	Isom.		
1	1	4	29.6	74.1	25.8	78	66
2	1	6	42.0	96.9	2.6	84	122
3	1	8	82.7	80.6	18.9	86	200
4	2	2	16.2	92.6	6.6	89	45
5	2	4	96.5	76.7	22.7	83	222
6	2	6	98.2	73.8	25.5	84	217
7	3	2	25.1	70.1	28.8	77	53
8	3	6	86.5	81.9	17.4	83	213
9	3	4	97.3	78.9	20.0	80	230
10	3^c	4	97.3	42.2	57.8	77 ^d	123
11	3^c	10	95.6	59.0	41.0	74 ^e	169
12	4	4	22.1	62.2	37.1	77	41
13	4	6	95.9	79.4	20.0	85	228
14	4	8	92.6	80.9	18.8	84	225

^a Reaction conditions: oct-1-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol, 0.6 M), toluene (15.4 mL), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (0.017 mmol, 1.0 mM), $[\text{oct-1-ene}]/[\text{Rh}_2] = 600$, $P = 6.8$ atm (CO/H₂, 1/1) at 80° C for 2 h.

^b TOF(Ald) = mol of aldehyde $[\text{mol of catalyst}]^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ corresponds to the reaction time (t).

^c T = 100 °C.

^d 2-methyl-octanal 21%, 2-ethyl-heptanal 1.4%, 2-propyl-hexanal <1%.

^e 2-methyl-octanal 21%, 2-ethyl-heptanal 3%, 2-propyl-hexanal 2%.

Table 2

Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ ^a

Run	Precursor	P/Rh	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)		<i>n</i> (%)	TOF(Ald) ^b
				Ald.	Isom.		
15	1	2	41.0	95.1	4.2	80	117
16	1	4	53.9	97.3	2.1	81	157
17	1	6	42.0	96.9	2.6	84	122
18	2	2	52.1	94.7	4.8	77	148
19	2	4	67.9	97.2	2.3	81	198
20	2	6	61.2	97.6	1.9	83	179
21	3	2	71.0	96.2	3.1	78	205
22	3	4	75.6	96.5	2.7	80	219
23	3	6	44.5	96.3	3.2	82	129
24	4	2	50.7	95.7	3.8	80	146
25	4	4	84.5	96.7	2.9	80	245
26	4	6	49.3	97.8	1.9	82	145

^a Reaction conditions: oct-1-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol, 0.6 M), toluene (15.4 mL), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (0.017 mmol, 1.0 mM), $[\text{oct-1-ene}]/[\text{Rh}_2] = 600$, $P = 6.8$ atm (CO/H₂, 1/1) at 80° C for 2 h.

^b TOF(Ald) = mol of aldehyde $[\text{mol of catalyst}]^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ corresponds to the reaction time (t).

Table 3

Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{PPh}_3$ ^a

Run	Precursor	P/Rh	t (h)	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)		n (%)	TOF(Ald) ^b
					Ald.	Isom.		
27	1	4	6	16.7	88.8	9.2	68	15
28	1	4	12	93.8	93.5	6.4	75	44
29	1	6	12	68.5	94.0	5.8	81	32
30	2	4	2	38.4	93.2	6.5	83	107
31	2	4	4	40.8	90.2	9.6	75	55
32	2	4	6	41.8	90.7	9.0	76	38
33	2	4	12	65.2	93.2	6.3	76	30
34	3	4	2	46.4	90.7	8.7	74	126
35	3	4	4	78.0	92.2	7.1	75	108
36	3	4	6	96.1	92.3	7.2	75	89
37	3	6	6	96.3	92.9	5.8	80	89
38	4	2	2	22.3	79.2	20.0	73	53
39	4	4	2	87.4	83.7	16.2	76	219
40	4	6	2	70.0	91.9	7.6	76	193

^a Reaction conditions: oct-1-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol, 0.6 M), toluene (15.4 mL), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (0.017 mmol, 1.0 mM), $[\text{oct-1-ene}]/[\text{Rh}_2] = 600$, P = 6.8 atm (CO/H₂, 1/1) at 80° C.

^b TOF(Ald) = mol of aldehyde [mol of catalyst]⁻¹h⁻¹ corresponds to the reaction time (t).

Table 4

Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{PR}_3$ ^a

Run	Precursor	PR ₃	t (h)	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)		n (%)	TOF(Ald) ^b
					Ald.	Isom.		
41	2	PCy ₃	6	20.0	100	-	57	20
42	2	P ^{<i>i</i>} Pr ₃	6	44.0	100	-	51	44
43	2	PMe ₃	6	27.0	100	-	72	27

^a Reaction conditions: oct-1-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol, 0.6 M), toluene (15.4 mL), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (0.017 mmol, 1.0 mM), $[\text{oct-1-ene}]/[\text{Rh}_2] = 600$, P/Rh = 4, P = 6.8 atm (CO/H₂, 1/1) at 80° C.

^b TOF(Ald) = mol of aldehyde [mol of catalyst]⁻¹h⁻¹ corresponds to the reaction time (t).

Table 5

Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]/\text{PCy}_3$ ^a

Run	Precursor	t (h)	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)		n (%)	TOF(Ald) ^b
				Ald.	Isom.		
44	1	12	93.1	95.9	3.0	55	134
45	2	12	43.9	93.0	5.2	54	61
46	3	12	71.2	96.0	3.3	55	103
47	4	12	96.9	96.4	2.5	54	140

^a Reaction conditions: oct-1-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol, 0.6 M), toluene (15.4 mL), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (0.017 mmol, 1.0 mM), $[\text{oct-1-ene}]/[\text{Rh}_2] = 600$, $\text{P/Rh} = 4$, $\text{P} = 6.8$ atm (CO/H_2 , 1/1) at 80° C.

^b $\text{TOF(Ald)} = \text{mol of aldehyde} [\text{mol of catalyst}]^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ corresponds to the reaction time (t).

Table 6

Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]/\text{PPh}_3$ ^a

Run	Precursor	t (h)	Conversion (%) ^b	Selectivity (%)			TOF(Ald) ^b
				Ald.	Isom.	n (%)	
48	5	4	15.7	89.9	9.2	75	21
49	5	12	88.2	89.9	8.0	80	40
50	6	4	18.1	89.6	9.6	75	24
51	6	12	68.7	92.3	6.8	74	32
52	7	4	92.7	91.8	7.7	75	128
53	8	4	98.2	88.9	10.7	76	131

^a Reaction conditions: oct-1-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol, 0.6 M), toluene (15.4 mL), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (0.017 mmol, 1.0 mM), $[\text{oct-1-ene}]/[\text{Rh}_2] = 600$, $\text{P/Rh} = 4$, $\text{P} = 6.8 \text{ atm}$ (CO/H_2 , 1/1) at 80°C .

^b $\text{TOF(Ald)} = \text{mol of aldehyde} [\text{mol of catalyst}]^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ corresponds to the reaction time (t).

Table 7

Hydroformylation of *trans*-oct-2-ene by the system $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ P(OPh)_3 ^a

Run	T (° C)	P (atm)	P/Rh	t (h)	Conv. (%)	Ald. Selec. (%)	n (%)	TOF(Ald) ^b
54	80	6.8	4	18	56.0	35.0	22	7
55	100	6.8	4	8	58.5	21.8	44	10
56	100	6.8	10	8	79.7	46.8	41	28
57	100	6.8	20	8	73.3	41.2	44	23
58	100	2.0	10	16	59.2	14.2	54	3
59	100	3.4	10	16	75.0	31.0	51	9
60	100	13.6	10	8	88.1	64.1	29	42
61	120	6.8	10	8	61.5	10.5	49	5

^a Reaction conditions: *trans*-oct-2-ene (1.6 mL, 10.2 mmol, 0.6 M), toluene (15.4 mL), $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (0.017 mmol, 1.0 mM), $[\text{oct-1-ene}]/[\text{Rh}_2] = 600$, CO/H_2 , 1/1.

^b $\text{TOF(Ald)} = \text{mol of aldehyde} [\text{mol of catalyst}]^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ corresponds to the reaction time (t).

Figure 1. Dinuclear *gem*-dithiolato bridged compounds $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1** - **4**) used as catalyst precursors for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene.

Figure 2. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1** - **4**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$: catalytic activity at different $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3/\text{Rh}$ ratios in toluene, at $P = 6.8$ atm (CO/H_2 , 1/1) and $T = 80^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h.

Figure 3. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1** - **4**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3$: aldehyde selectivity at different $\text{P}(\text{OPh})_3/\text{Rh}$ ratios in toluene, at $P = 6.8$ atm (CO/H_2 , 1/1) and $T = 80^\circ \text{C}$ for 2 h.

Figure 4. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**1** - **4**)/ $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$: catalytic activity at different $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3/\text{Rh}$ ratios, in toluene at $P = 6.8$ atm (CO/H_2 , 1/1) and $T = 80^\circ \text{C}$ for 2 h.

Figure 5. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Chxn})(\text{cod})_2]$ (**2**)/ PPh_3 (a) and $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ PPh_3 (b): activity and conversion vs. reaction time at $\text{PPh}_3/\text{Rh} = 4$, in toluene at $P = 6.8$ atm (CO/H_2 , 1/1) and $T = 80^\circ \text{C}$.

Figure 6. Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene by the systems $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{Chxn})(\text{cod})_2]$ (**2**)/ PR_3 : activity, aldehyde selectivity and regioselectivity vs. PR_3 ($R = \text{Cy}$, $i\text{Pr}$, Me and Ph) at $\text{PR}_3/\text{Rh} = 4$, in toluene at $P = 6.8$ atm (CO/H_2 , 1/1) and $T = 80^\circ \text{C}$ for 6 h.

Figure 7. (a) $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ HPNMR ($\text{toluene-}d_8$) spectrum at RT for the reaction of $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**) with $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ ($\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio) under 6.2 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2/CO after heating for 1 h at 80°C , (*) unidentified species. (b) $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR ($\text{toluene-}d_8$) spectrum at RT after depressurization.

Figure 8. High-field ^1H NMR (toluene- d_8) spectrum at RT for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene catalyzed by $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ (**3**)/ P(OMe)_3 ($\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio) at 80°C for 1 h under 6.2 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2/CO after depressurization.

Figure 9. HPIR spectrum for the reaction of $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CBn}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ and PPh_3 ($\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio) in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran under 6.2 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2/CO after 1 h at 80°C .

Figure 10. Consumption of $\text{H}_2/\text{CO}(\text{g})$ vs time plot for the oct-1-ene hydroformylation by the catalytic systems **3**/ $\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3$ and **3**/ PPh_3 : $[\mathbf{3}] = 1.35 \text{ mM}$, $[\text{PR}_3] = 10.8 \text{ mM}$ ($\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio), $[\text{oct-1-ene}] = 600 \text{ mM}$, $\text{P}(\text{CO}/\text{H}_2) = 6.8 \text{ atm}$ and 80°C .

Figure 11. Logarithmic plot for the dependence of initial hydroformylation reaction rate on concentration of precursor **3** in the catalytic system **3**/ PPh_3 . $[\mathbf{3}]$: 0.18-2.00 mM, PPh_3 ($\text{P/Rh} = 4$ molar ratio), $[\text{oct-1-ene}] = 600 \text{ mM}$, $\text{P}(\text{CO}/\text{H}_2) = 6.8 \text{ atm}$ and 80°C

Graphic abstract

Hydroformylation of oct-1-ene catalyzed by dinuclear *gem*-dithiolato-bridged rhodium(I) complexes and phosphorus donor ligands

Angel B. Rivas^b, Jesús J. Pérez-Torrente^{a, *}, Alvaro J. Pardey^{b, *}, Anna M. Masdeu-Bultó^c, Montserrat Diéguez^c and Luis A. Oro^a

A series of dinuclear compounds $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu\text{-S}_2\text{CR}_1\text{R}_2)(\text{cod})_2]$ having bridging *gem*-dithiolato ligands ($\text{R}^1, \text{R}^2 = -(\text{CH}_2)_4-, -(\text{CH}_2)_5-$; $\text{R}^1 = \text{R}^2 = \text{Bn}, ^i\text{Pr}$) in the presence of monodentate phosphine or phosphite ligands are efficient catalyst precursors for the hydroformylation of oct-1-ene under mild conditions. The catalytic activity is strongly influenced both by the structure of catalyst precursor and the P/Rh ratio being the precursors containing acyclic *gem*-dithiolato ligands considerably more active. Spectroscopic studies under pressure showed that mononuclear species formed under catalytic conditions are most likely responsible for the observed catalytic activity.