Title: Phage sensitivity and prophage carriage in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from foods in Spain and New Zealand

Authors: Diana Gutiérrez^a, Lorena Rodríguez-Rubio^{a,1}, Pilar García^a, Craig Billington^b, Aruni Premarante^b, Ana Rodríguez^a, Beatriz Martínez^{a*}

Addresses:

^a Instituto de Productos Lácteos de Asturias (IPLA-CSIC). Paseo Río Linares s/n 33300-Villaviciosa, Asturias, Spain.

^b Food, Water and Environmental Microbiology Group, Institute of Environmental Science and Research, Christchurch Science Centre, Christchurch 8041, New Zealand.

***Corresponding author:** Beatriz Martínez

IPLA-CSIC, Paseo Río Linares s/n 33300-Villaviciosa, Asturias, Spain.

e-mail: bmfl@ipla.csic.es

Phone: +34 985 89 21 31

Fax: +34 985 89 22 33

¹ **Present address:** Laboratory of Gene Technology, KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 21 – b2462, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium.

Abstract

Bacteriophages (phages) are a promising tool for the biocontrol of pathogenic bacteria, including those contaminating food products and causing infectious diseases. However, the success of phage preparations is limited by the host ranges of their constituent phages. The phage resistance/sensitivity profile of eighty seven *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated in Spain and New Zealand from dairy, meat and seafood sources was determined for six phages (Φ 11, K, Φ H5, Φ A72, CAPSa1 and CAPSa3). Most of the *S. aureus* strains were sensitive to phage K (*Myoviridae*) and CAPSa1 (*Siphoviridae*) regardless of their origin. There was a higher sensitivity of New Zealand *S. aureus* strains to phages isolated from both Spain (Φ H5 and Φ A72) and New Zealand (CAPSa1 and CAPSa3). Spanish phages had a higher infectivity on *S. aureus* strains of Spanish dairy origin, while Spanish strains isolated from other environments were more sensitive to New Zealand phages. Lysogeny was more prevalent in Spanish *S. aureus* compared to New Zealand strains. A multiplex PCR reaction, which detected Φ H5 and Φ A72 sequences, indicated a high prevalence of these prophages in Spanish *S. aureus* strains, but were infrequently detected in New Zealand strains. Overall, the correlation between phage resistance and lysogeny in *S. aureus* strains was found to be weak.

Keywords: Bacteriophage, *Staphylococcus aureus*, lysogeny, phage resistance, biocontrol.

1. Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is a serious threat to human health, due to its ability to cause a multitude of skin and respiratory infections and foodborne illnesses. It is part of the normal microbiota on human skin and in mucous, and is the main cause of *Staphylococcus* infections in hospitals (Figueiredo and Ferreira, 2014) and food contamination during handling (Wattinger et al., 2012). Its ability to form biofilms can lead to persistent contamination of food processing (Gutiérrez et al., 2012; Herrera et al., 2007; Spanu et al., 2013) and hospital environments (Otto, 2013). Recently, the exponential increase in livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* strains (LA-MRSA), such as clone CC398, have become a concern due to their emergence along the whole farm to fork chain (farm animals, meat product and humans) (Fluit, 2012). Moreover, the emergence of vancomycin resistant *S. aureus* strains (VRSA) narrows the antibiotic arsenal available to treat staphylococcal infections (Weigel et al., 2003). Phages and phage lytic proteins have been proposed as alternative treatments to reduce food contamination and combat infections caused by pathogenic bacteria (García et al., 2010). Some phage-based products are already available in the market to be used in the food industry. These include Listex™ P100 (www.micreosfoodsafety.com) and ListShield™ (www.intralytix.com) that have been recognized as safe by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and approved by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) as antimicrobial processing aids to combat *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods, and on food processing surfaces.

One of the key factors for the success of phage-based products is likely to be a sufficiently wide host range to ensure efficacy against the majority, if not all, strains of the pathogen. Due to their specificity for certain receptors on the cell wall, phages typically have relatively a narrow host range, and so to overcome this, the use of phage

mixtures is usually preferred (Chan et al., 2013; Hagens and Loessner, 2010). Another factor to be considered in the use of phage biocontrol in foods is lysogeny in the target bacterium. Prophages typically impart immunity to super-infection of related phages to the host cell (Berngruber et al., 2010), and so this could be a potential barrier to the successful use of phage biocontrol. Prophages are very often present in the chromosome of pathogenic bacteria, and the majority of *S. aureus* isolates harbor at least one prophage (Goerke et al., 2009).

Previously, we have characterized the temperate phages Φ A72 and Φ H5 isolated from the dairy environment in Spain, and their lytic derivatives, vB_SauS-phiIPLA35 and vB_SauS-phiIPLA88, both belonging to the *Siphoviridae* family (García et al., 2007, 2009a). These phages were able to inhibit *S. aureus* growth in milk, curd and cheese manufacturing processes (Bueno et al., 2012; García et al., 2007, 2009b). More recently, biocontrol candidate phages CAPSa1 and CAPSa3 were isolated from milk samples in New Zealand. They are virulent phages that belong to the *Siphoviridae* family (unpublished).

The present work aims to address the efficacy of biocontrol using phages and hosts from distant geographical areas such as Spain and New Zealand. To do this, we have determined phage sensitivity/resistance profiles in a representative *S. aureus* collection containing strains from both countries. In addition, we have characterized the incidence of lysogeny and the carriage of prophages Φ A72 and Φ H5 and its relationship with bacterial resistance.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Bacterial strains, phages, media and growth conditions.

Sixty four *S. aureus* strains from three food environments (dairy, meat and seafood) were isolated in Spain, and 23 strains from dairy and one strain from meat were obtained from New Zealand (Table 1). Staphylococcal cells were isolated on Baird Parker Agar (BP) supplemented with egg yolk, and routinely cultured in TSB broth (Tryptone Soy Broth, Scharlau) at 37°C with shaking or in TSB plates containing 2% (w/v) bacteriological agar (TSA).

Phages were routinely propagated as previously described (García et al., 2007). Phage K (O'Flaherty et al., 2005), Φ 11 (Iandolo et al., 2002), Φ A72 and Φ H5 were propagated in *S. aureus* Sa9, while *S. aureus* NZRM2016 was used as host strain for phages CAPSa1 and CAPSa3. Phage enumeration was performed by the double-layer technique (Gutiérrez et al., 2010) using soft TSA medium (0.7% agar plus 10 mM CaCl₂ and 10 mM MgSO₄) in the upper layer.

2.2 Lysogeny determination.

The presence of resident prophages in the *S. aureus* strain collection was determined by mitomycin C induction as previously described (Gutiérrez et al., 2010). Briefly, mid-exponential-phase cultures were treated with 0.5 µg/ml of mitomycin C (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for three hours at 37°C and shaking. Supernatants were filtered and spotted into agar overlayed lawns of all the *S. aureus* strains.

2.3 Phage host range.

The host range of each phage was obtained against a collection of *S. aureus* strains by spotting 5 µl (10⁹ pfu/ml) of the phage suspension into the lawn of each strain using the double-layer technique. Efficiency of plating (EOP) was calculated using *S. aureus* Sa9 as the reference strain (Gutiérrez et al., 2010).

2.4 Multiplex PCR.

The genomic nucleotide sequences of Φ A72 and Φ H5 (García et al., 2009a) were subjected to progressive MAUVE alignment, using the default settings (<http://gel.ahabs.wisc.edu/mauve/>). Regions with no homology were analyzed to design specific primers for each phage, and these primers were submitted to *in silico* PCR amplification (<http://insilico.ehu.es/PCR/>) to verify their specificity. For Φ A72, one pair of primers was designed surrounding the *orf2* (522 bp, from nucleotide 1350 to 1872) and another pair in the region corresponding to a methyl transferase, *orf22* (324 bp, from 11331 to 11655). For Φ H5, pairs of oligonucleotides were designed in *orf29* (704 bp, from 151-855) and in the integrase region, *orf1* (225 bp, from 13013-13238). Total DNA was extracted by GenElute™ Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain), according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR reactions were performed with PureTaq Ready-To-Go™ PCR Beads (GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany), 10 ng of DNA and 1 μ M of each primer. PCR reactions were based on phage Φ A72 (GenBank NC_011612) using four primers (gp2F: 5'GATAATTACAACCTGGGATACC3'; gp2R: 5'GTATTCAGACAATGTTTTGAAG3'; metrF 5'ATAGAATGCAACATTCACC3'; metrR 5'GATAACAACCATCTGGTAC3') and the other based on Φ H5 (GenBank NC_011614) (int88F:5' ATCATTGTGTAATAGATAAGAGC3'; int88R: 5'GTTATTACAGATAAAGCTTATGC3'; gp29F: 5'CATGATTGAAGAGACCATC3'; gp29R: 5'CTACTGCGTCATTTAAATTTC3'). As positive control, pure phage DNA from Φ A72 and Φ H5 was used. PCR was performed in a thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) under the following thermal

cycling conditions: one cycle at 95 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles at 95 °C for 1 min, 54 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final step of 10 min at 75 °C.

2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R (R Core Team, 2013). The unpaired t-test and Chi-square test was conducted to compare the sensitivity/resistance of staphylococcal strains isolated in Spain and New Zealand to six phages. The Chi-square test was used to compare the sensitivity/resistance of Spanish staphylococcal strains isolated from different food environments (dairy, meat, seafood) to the same phages. A significance level of 0.05 was chosen for these purposes. Fisher's exact two tailed test was run using R (R Core Team, 2013), where the null hypothesis was that phage sensitivity and lysogeny are independent. McNemar's Chi-squared test with continuity correction was also run on R (R Core Team, 2013), with the null hypothesis being the proportion of hosts sensitive is the same as the proportion of hosts lysogenic, i.e. concordance between the two.

3. Results and Discussion

The recent interest in the use of phages as biocontrol agents of pathogenic bacteria in food (García et al., 2010; Sillankorva et al., 2012) raises the question of their efficacy in a globalized market. The use of next generation sequencing technology has profoundly improved our understanding of the dynamic evolutionary processes, transmission and prevalence of staphylococcal clonal lineages in different geographical locations (Castillo-Ramírez et al., 2012; Parkhill and Wren, 2011). However, data on phage susceptibility of this species, and others, is scarce (Argudín et al., 2012).

A collection of *S. aureus* strains composed by isolates from distant locations, Spain (SP) and New Zealand (NZ), was gathered. The Spanish collection encompassed sixty three strains isolated from food-contact surfaces from dairy, meat and seafood factories (Gutiérrez et al., 2012) and also from milk and dairy products (García et al., 2007) (Table 1). These strains had been previously characterized as described in the above references and were chosen on the basis of their distinct phenotypic properties (antibiotic resistance, biofilm as well as genetic diversity based on their RAPD-PCR profiles and presence/absence of endotoxin and biofilm genes. The New Zealand collection was composed by twenty three *S. aureus* strains from dairy samples and one from meat. The genetic relatedness within these strains is not known but they have been gathered from different sources and locations. These collections were used to test the susceptibility to the well characterized lytic phage K, temperate phage Φ 11, phages Φ A72 and Φ H5 isolated in Spain, and phages CAPSa1 and CAPSa3 isolated in New Zealand. The spot-on-the-lawn technique was chosen as a quick and easy screening tool to test all the phages on the same host plates together. Furthermore, to avoid overestimating of the host range due to lysis-from-without, appropriate dilutions were also spotted to confirm the presence of lytic plaques when deemed necessary and the efficiency of plating was calculated using as reference strain *S. aureus* Sa9, susceptible to all tested phages (Supplementary table 1).

3.1 Infectivity of *S. aureus* with respect to geographic origin of the phage and host

As expected from previous reports, phage K was the phage with the broadest host range showing lysis on all but 4 SP and 1 NZ strains. Phage K is a lytic phage representative of the *Myoviridae* family, infecting staphylococcal strains from human and bovine

origin (O'Flaherty et al., 2005), and our results further confirm the polyvalent nature of this phage.

Φ11 was chosen as a representative of temperate phages belonging to *Siphoviridae* family (Iandolo et al., 2002). This phage displayed a narrow host range and lysed 29% of NZ strains compared to 48% of the SP *S. aureus*, respectively (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, this difference was not statistically significant ($P>0.05$).

On the contrary, the geographical origin of the *S. aureus* host significantly ($P<0.01$) determined the infectivity of the Spanish phages ΦA72 and ΦH5. Less than one third of the SP strains were infected, whereas these phages infected more than 90 % of *S. aureus* strains isolated in New Zealand (Fig. 1).

For the phages isolated in New Zealand, CAPSa1 had a broad host range, infecting 62% of SP strains and 71% of NZ strains. By contrast, CAPSa3 preferentially infected NZ over SP strains ($P<0.01$) (Fig. 1). Overall, NZ *S. aureus* strains were more susceptible to all the phages than SP strains (Fig. 1).

Taken together these results indicate no clear association of infectivity of phages against *S. aureus* strains based on the geographic region of host or phage isolation. These data contrast with those obtained from studies in ecosystems like soil, where phages appear to adapt to become more infective against bacteria living closely (Vos et al., 2009). A possible explanation for the observed differences may be the globalization of the food industry and the increased frequency of international travel for animals and humans, which are key vectors of *S. aureus*. Therefore, the future design of phage preparations for international markets should consider the potential for both local micro- and global macro-scale interactions of phages and hosts.

3.2 Infectivity of *S. aureus* with respect to environmental origin of the phage and host

Phages Φ A72 and Φ H5 are derivatives of phages isolated from milk, and were previously determined to preferentially infect *S. aureus* strains from dairy compared to clinical origin (García et al., 2007). This observation suggested that the environmental source of hosts, and potentially of phages, may be relevant in the preparation of a phage biocontrol for foods. So we wished to test this further by expanding the panel of strains and phages, including the NZ phages CAPSa1 and CAPSa3 which were also isolated from milk, Φ 11, a temperate phage from a clinical *S. aureus* isolate (Novick, 1963), and phage K, the origin of which is unclear (Burnet and Lush, 1935).

Only SP hosts were tested in this series of experiments as there were only two non-dairy host isolates available from NZ (Table 1). For phage K, Φ 11 and Φ H5, the source of the host was not a significant factor for phage infectivity (Fig. 2). Whereas, for the other three phages Φ A72 ($P < 0.05$), CAPSa1 ($P < 0.01$) and CAPSa3 ($P < 0.05$) there were significant differences in infectivity with hosts from dairy meat and seafood (Fig. 2). Φ A72 infected mostly dairy strains (40%), with no infection of seafood strains. CAPSa1 infected all meat and seafood strains, but fewer (31%) dairy strains. CAPSa3 was most active on strains from meat (60%), than either dairy (17%) or seafood (11%) (Fig. 2). These results highlight the need of developing specific phage preparations tailored to the food, and food-specific strains, in which they are to be applied.

3.3 Inducible prophages in *S. aureus* strains

The presence of prophages integrated in the bacterial chromosome confers immunity to superinfection and thus resistance to phage attack by closely related phages (Berngruber et al., 2010). Microarray studies have shown that prophages integrated in the bacterial

chromosomes are the most widespread mobile genetic elements in *S. aureus* strains, with most of them carrying between one and four prophages (Goerke et al., 2009; Pantucek et al., 2004). However, there is scarce data about the prevalence and diversity of phage populations in specific geographical areas (Rahimi et al., 2012). Some prophages have been determined to be specific for MRSA lineages and are linked to geographical variants, such as the ϕ SP β -like prophage which is characteristic of the ST239 ‘Asian clade’ (Wang et al., 2014).

Mitomycin C induction of our *S. aureus* collection was performed and the presence of phages tested in the culture supernatants. Results showed that only four (16.7%) of the NZ strains were lysogenic for at least one phage, as the supernatants from the induced cultures produced plaques on several strains (Table 2). By contrast, the presence of prophages was detected in 78% of Spanish strains, (note data for some SP strains previously described by Gutiérrez et al. (2012) and García et al. (2007). It is noteworthy, that lysogeny seems to be more widespread in dairy environment, with 97% of dairy strains positive for lysogeny, compared to the meat (30% strains) and seafood (67% strains) environments.

Fisher’s Test’s suggested the association between *S. aureus* lysogeny and phage sensitivity was not significant, with the exception of CAPSa1 with SP hosts ($p < 0.001$). Similarly, McNemar’s Test for dependence between phage sensitivity and lysogeny was rejected for all the phages ($\alpha = 0.05$), with the exception of $\Phi 11$ in both SP and NZ strains, and Φ CAPSa1 in SP strains. Overall, the evidence for an association between inducible prophages in the host and phage resistance is weak.

3.4 Prevalence of prophages Φ H5 and Φ A72 in geographically distant *S. aureus* strains

The prevalence of prophages Φ H5 and Φ A72 in SP and NZ *S. aureus* strains was determined by multiplex PCR. Two primer pairs were designed for each phage as described in the methods and the PCR results for each strain are compiled in supplementary table 1. Within all strains, at least one Φ A72-like sequence was detected in 41% of strains, and 40% of the strains harbored a Φ H5-like sequence (Table 2). Both Φ A72-like sequences were detected in 23% of the strains; while only eight strains had both Φ H5-like sequences (Table 2). These results likely reflect the mosaic nature of *S. aureus* phage genomes (Kahankova et al., 2010).

Interestingly, phages Φ A72 and Φ H5 seem to be widely spread among SP strains (32% had both Φ A72-like sequences, and 11% both Φ H5-like sequences), but they were rare in NZ strains (Table 2). No Φ H5-like sequences were detected in the NZ strains, and only three strains were positive for Φ A72 sequences. The absence of Φ A72 and Φ H5 related prophages on NZ strains could explain their higher sensitivity to these phages. Similarly, the prevalence of Φ A72-like prophages among the SP seafood strains might also contribute to the high frequency of strains resistant to this phage (100%).

4. Conclusion

The sensitivity of wild *S. aureus* strains to phage infection was not determined by the geographical origin of the strains. However, there appeared to be an association between phage infectivity and the environment in which the strains were isolated for some phages, but not all. The implications for biocontrol are that it may be more important to isolate and use phages with a naturally broad host range, than to isolate phages from the same region or environment as the target microorganism. Whilst the prevalence of lysogeny appeared to differ between two distant geographic locations, there was no

strong correlation between resistance of these strains to phage infection and the presence of prophages.

5. Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants AGL2012-40194-C02-01, PRI-AIBNZ-2011-1043 (Ministry of Science and Innovation, Spain), SPN12-01 (Royal Society of New Zealand), GRUPIN14-139 (FEDER funds and program of Science, Technology and Innovation 2013-2017, Principado de Asturias, Spain). DG is a fellow of the Ministry of Science and Innovation, Spain. PG, BM and AR are members of the FWO Vlaanderen funded “Phagebiotics” research community (WO.016.14). We thank David Wood (ESR) for assistance with statistical analyses.

6. References

- Argudín, M.A., Mendoza, M.C., González-Hevia, M.A., Bances, M., Guerra, B., Rodicio, M.R., 2012. Genotypes, exotoxin gene content, and antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains recovered from foods and food handlers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 78, 2930-2935.
- Berngruber, T.W., Weissing, F.J., Gandon, S., 2010. Inhibition of superinfection and the evolution of viral latency. *J Virol* 84, 10200-10208.
- Bueno, E., García, P., Martínez, B., Rodríguez, A., 2012. Phage inactivation of *Staphylococcus aureus* in fresh and hard-type cheeses. *Int J Food Microbiol* 158, 23-27.
- Burnet, F.M., Lush, D., 1935. The staphylococcal bacteriophages. *The Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology* 40, 455-469.
- Castillo-Ramírez, S., Corander, J., Marttinen, P., Aldeljawy, M., Hanage, W.P., Westh, H., Boye, K., Gulay, Z., Bentley, S.D., Parkhill, J., Holden, M.T., Feil, E.J., 2012.

313 Phylogeographic variation in recombination rates within a global clone of methicillin-
 314 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Genome Biol* 13, R126.

315 Chan, B.K., Abedon, S.T., Loc-Carrillo, C., 2013. Phage cocktails and the future of
 316 phage therapy. *Future Microbiol* 8, 769-783.

317 Figueiredo, A.M., Ferreira, F.A., 2014. The multifaceted resources and microevolution
 318 of the successful human and animal pathogen methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*
 319 *aureus*. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 109, 265-278.

320 Fluit, A.C., 2012. Livestock-associated *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Clin Microbiol Infect*
 321 18, 735-744.

322 García, P., Madera, C., Martínez, B., Rodríguez, A., 2007. Biocontrol of
 323 *Staphylococcus aureus* in curd manufacturing processes using bacteriophages.
 324 *International Dairy Journal* 17, 7.

325 García, P., Martínez, B., Obeso, J.M., Lavigne, R., Lurz, R., Rodríguez, A., 2009a.
 326 Functional genomic analysis of two *Staphylococcus aureus* phages isolated from the
 327 dairy environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75, 7663-7673.

328 García, P., Madera, C., Martínez, B., Rodríguez, A., Suarez, J.E., 2009b. Prevalence of
 329 bacteriophages infecting *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy samples and their potential as
 330 biocontrol agents. *J Dairy Sci* 92, 3019-3026.

331 García, P., Rodríguez, L., Rodríguez, A., Martínez, B., 2010. Food biopreservation:
 332 promising strategies using bacteriocins, bacteriophages and endolysins. *Trends in Food*
 333 *Science and Technology* 21, 373-382.

334 Goerke, C., Pantucek, R., Holtfreter, S., Schulte, B., Zink, M., Grumann, D., Broker,
 335 B.M., Doskar, J., Wolz, C., 2009. Diversity of prophages in dominant *Staphylococcus*
 336 *aureus* clonal lineages. *J Bacteriol* 191, 3462-3468.

337 Gutiérrez, D., Delgado, S., Vázquez-Sánchez, D., Martínez, B., Cabo, M.L., Rodríguez,
338 A., Herrera, J.J., García, P., 2012. Incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* and analysis of
339 associated bacterial communities on food industry surfaces. Appl Environ Microbiol 78,
340 8547-8554.

341 Gutiérrez, D., Martínez, B., Rodríguez, A., García, P., 2010. Isolation and
342 characterization of bacteriophages infecting *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Curr
343 Microbiol 61, 601-608.

344 Hagens, S., Loessner, M.J., 2010. Bacteriophage for biocontrol of foodborne pathogens:
345 calculations and considerations. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 11, 58-68.

346 Herrera, J.J., Cabo, M.L., González, A., Pazos, I., Pastoriza, L., 2007. Adhesion and
347 detachment kinetics of several strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* subsp. aureus under
348 three different experimental conditions. Food Microbiol 24, 585-591.

349 Iandolo, J.J., Worrell, V., Groicher, K.H., Qian, Y., Tian, R., Kenton, S., Dorman, A.,
350 Ji, H., Lin, S., Loh, P., Qi, S., Zhu, H., Roe, B.A., 2002. Comparative analysis of the
351 genomes of the temperate bacteriophages phi 11, phi 12 and phi 13 of *Staphylococcus*
352 *aureus* 8325. Gene 289, 109-118.

353 Kahankova, J., Pantucek, R., Goerke, C., Ruzickova, V., Holochova, P., Doskar, J.,
354 2010. Multilocus PCR typing strategy for differentiation of *Staphylococcus aureus*
355 siphoviruses reflecting their modular genome structure. Environ Microbiol 12, 2527-
356 2538.

357 Novick, R.P., 1963. Analysis by transduction of mutations affecting penicillinase
358 formation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Gen Microbiol 33, 121-136.

359 O'Flaherty, S., Ross, R.P., Flynn, J., Meaney, W.J., Fitzgerald, G.F., Coffey, A., 2005.
360 Isolation and characterization of two anti-staphylococcal bacteriophages specific for

361 pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus* associated with bovine infections. Lett Appl
 362 Microbiol 41, 482-486.

363 Otto, M., 2013. Staphylococcal infections: mechanisms of biofilm maturation and
 364 detachment as critical determinants of pathogenicity. Annu Rev Med 64, 175-188.

365 Pantucek, R., Doskar, J., Ruzickova, V., Kasperek, P., Oracova, E., Kvardova, V.,
 366 Rosypal, S., 2004. Identification of bacteriophage types and their carriage in
 367 *Staphylococcus aureus*. Arch Virol 149, 1689-1703.

368 Parkhill, J., Wren, B.W., 2011. Bacterial epidemiology and biology--lessons from
 369 genome sequencing. Genome Biol 12, 230.

370 R Core Team, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing
 371 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

372 Rahimi, F., Bouzari, M., Katouli, M., Pourshafie, M.R., 2012. Prophage and antibiotic
 373 resistance profiles of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in Iran. Arch
 374 Virol 157, 1807-1811.

375 Sillankorva, S.M., Oliveira, H., Azeredo, J., 2012. Bacteriophages and their role in food
 376 safety. Int J Microbiol 2012, 863945.

377 Spanu, V., Scarano, C., Viridis, S., Melito, S., Spanu, C., De Santis, E.P., 2013.
 378 Population structure of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bulk tank goat's milk.
 379 Foodborne Pathog Dis 10, 310-315.

380 Vos, M., Birkett, P.J., Birch, E., Griffiths, R.I., Buckling, A., 2009. Local adaptation of
 381 bacteriophages to their bacterial hosts in soil. Science 325, 833.

382 Wang, Z., Zhou, H., Wang, H., Chen, H., Leung, K.K., Tsui, S., Ip, M., 2014.
 383 Comparative genomics of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ST239: distinct
 384 geographical variants in Beijing and Hong Kong. BMC Genomics 15, 529.

385 Wattinger, L., Stephan, R., Layer, F., Johler, S., 2012. Comparison of *Staphylococcus*
386 *aureus* isolates associated with food intoxication with isolates from human nasal
387 carriers and human infections. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 31, 455-464.

388 Weigel, L.M., Clewell, D.B., Gill, S.R., Clark, N.C., McDougal, L.K., Flannagan, S.E.,
389 Kolonay, J.F., Shetty, J., Killgore, G.E., Tenover, F.C., 2003. Genetic analysis of a
390 high-level vancomycin-resistant isolate of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Science 302, 1569-
391 1571.

392

393

394

Table 1. Strains used in this study, geographical isolation and origin.

Country	Food Industry	Origin	<i>S. aureus</i> strain	Reference
Spain	Dairy 1	Milk	Sa1, Sa2, Sa3, Sa4, Sa5, Sa6, Sa8, Sa9, Sa10, Sa11, Sa12, Sa13, Sa14, Sa15, Sa16	Garcia et al., 2009b
	Dairy 2	Milk	AAAC9, AAAC10, AAAC11, AFG1, AFG2, GDC3, GDC6, GDC9, GRA16, GRA17, GRA20, JFL2, JFL4, JFL6, JFL8	
	Dairy 3	Milk	IPLA19, IPLA20, IPLA24	Unpublished
	Dairy 4	Food-contact surfaces	IPLA1, IPLA3	
	Meat 1	Food-contact surfaces	IPLA5, IPLA6, IPLA7, IPLA8, IPLA13, IPLA14, IPLA15, IPLA16, IPLA17, IPLA18	Gutiérrez et al., 2012
	Sea food	Food-contact surfaces	IIM201, IIM208, IIM214, IIM222, IIM228, IIM229, IIM233, IIM234, IIM235, IIM237, IIM238, IIM239, IIM240, IIM241, IIM242, IIM245, IIM246 IIM249	
New Zealand	Dairy 5	Raw milk	PHCFAP1, PHCFAP2, PHCFAP3, S34, S36, S38, S39, S41, S43, S45, S46, S47	Unpublished
	Dairy 6	Cheese	S52, S51, FM31, FM34	
	Dairy 7	Milk powder	S100, S12	
		Skimmed milk powder	S27, S28	
		Dairy product	S14	
		Cream pie	NZRM3372	
	Meat 2	Ham	NZRM3374A	
	Bovine	-	NZRM2016	

395
396

397

Table 2. Lysogeny and detection of Φ H5- and Φ A72-like sequences by multiplex PCR in *S. aureus* isolated from distantly located regions and environments.

		No. strains positive					
<i>S. aureus</i> (no. strains tested)	Lysogeny ^a	Prophage carriage					
		ΦA72			ΦH5		
		<i>orf2</i>	<i>orf22</i>	both	<i>orf1</i>	<i>orf29</i>	both
Spain							
Dairy 1 (15)	15	0	6	0	1	8	0
Dairy 2 (15)	15	1	6	1	11	0	1
Dairy 3 (3)	3	0	0	2	0	1	1
Dairy 4 (2)	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Meat 1 (10)	3	0	1	4	0	7	2
Seafood (18)	12	1	1	13	0	11	3
Presence (%)		3	24	32	19	43	11
New Zealand							
Dairy 5 (12)	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
Dairy 6 (4)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Dairy 7 (6)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Meat 2 (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bovine (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Presence (%)		8	4	0	0	0	0
TOTAL (%)		5	18	23	14	31	9

^a Lysogeny determined by induction with Mitomycin C

Figure 1. Phage sensitivity of *S. aureus* isolated from Spain (white bars, n=63) and New Zealand (black bars, n=23) and. **, $P<0.01$.

Figure 2. Phage sensitivity of *S. aureus* isolated from different food environments. Dairy (white columns, n=35), meat (grey columns, n=10) and seafood (black columns, n=18). *, $P<0.05$; ** $P<0.01$.

Supplementary table 1. (A) Efficiency of plating (EOP) of phage K, Φ11, ΦA72, ΦH5, CAPSa1 and CAPSa3. *Staphylococcus aureus* Sa9 was used as a reference host. Empty cells show strains resistant to phage infection that gave neither lysis halo by spot-on-the-lawn nor single lysis plaques. With the exception of EOPs on strains isolated in New Zealand (see Table 1), data provided are means ± standard deviation of two independent EOP determination. *: positive by spot-on-the-lawn but EOP not determined. Shaded cells are results taken from García et al. (2009b). (B) Detection of ΦA72- and ΦH5-like sequences by PCR shown by +. Empty cells show absence of the PCR product.

A							B	Presence of prophage			
S. aureus	Bacteriophage						ΦA72		ΦH5		
	K	Φ11	ΦA72	ΦH5	CAPSA1	CAPSA3	orf2	orf22	orf1	orf29	
Sa1	0.58 ± 0.18		1.01 ± 0.07	0.72 ± 0.03						+	
Sa2	0.81 ± 0.05		0.39 ± 0.02		0.74 ± 0.03						
Sa3	0.26 ± 0.04		1.02 ± 0.18							+	
Sa4	0.38 ± 0.03		1.32 ± 0.06							+	
Sa5	1.04 ± 0.31	0.0018 ± 0.0003								+	
Sa6	0.22 ± 0.03									+	
Sa8	0.45 ± 0.11				0.62 ± 0.05					+	
Sa9	1.00 ± 0.21	1.00 ± 0.03	1.00 ± 0.07	1.00 ± 0.03	1.00 ± 0.12	1.00 ± 0.07				+	
Sa10	0.42 ± 0.05	0.26 ± 0.01			0.77 ± 0.01					+	
Sa11	0.32 ± 0.02							+			
Sa12	0.16 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.02	0.26 ± 0.05			0.73 ± 0.03		+			
Sa13	0.11 ± 0.01					0.35 ± 0.08		+			
Sa14	0.41 ± 0.05	0.0017 ± 0.0002						+			
Sa15	0.34 ± 0.01							+	+		
Sa16	0.76 ± 0.03	0.0189 ± 0.0041						+			
AAAC9	0.33 ± 0.01		0.82 ± 0.02	0.60 ± 0.10				+	+		
AAAC10	0.29 ± 0.01							+	+		
AAAC11	0.25 ± 0.02		0.75 ± 0.01	0.82 ± 0.08	0.56 ± 0.03			+			
AFG1	0.25 ± 0.29	0.0276 ± 0.0007	4.59 ± 5.19	0.12 ± 0.00	0.53 ± 0.01			+	+		
AFG2	0.41 ± 0.00	0.0300 ± 0.0007						+	+		
GDC3	0.41 ± 0.05		0.50 ± 0.05					+			
GDC6	0.35 ± 0.00		0.51 ± 0.01	0.76 ± 0.01					+		
GDC9	0.23 ± 0.02								+	+	
GRA16	0.43 ± 0.03		0.94 ± 0.02	0.75 ± 0.03		0.74 ± 0.07	+		+		
GRA17	0.39 ± 0.02						+	+	+		
GRA20	0.47 ± 0.03										
JFL2		0.18 ± 0.04	0.60 ± 0.02	0.85 ± 0.01					+		
JFL4		0.0027 ± 0.0001							+		
JFL6		0.189 ± 0.007	0.54 ± 0.01						+		
JFL8		0.42 ± 0.09							+		
IPLA1	0.47 ± 0.09	1.07 ± 0.0685			0.47 ± 0.53	1.19 ± 0.08		+	+	+	
IPLA3	0.39 ± 0.04	0.1646 ± 0.01			0.57 ± 0.08	1.12 ± 0.10					
IPLA5	0.81 ± 0.05	0.037 ± 0.004	0.66 ± 0.05	0.79 ± 0.02	0.70 ± 0.03	0.98 ± 0.05		+		+	
IPLA6	0.33 ± 0.02				0.85 ± 0.01		+	+	+	+	
IPLA7	0.50 ± 0.06				0.53 ± 0.07	0.83 ± 0.02					
IPLA8	0.76 ± 0.04	0.00024 ± 0.00001			0.37 ± 0.12		+	+		+	
IPLA13	0.31 ± 0.01	0.026 ± 0.001			0.59 ± 0.03		+	+	+	+	
IPLA14	0.58 ± 0.06	0.027 ± 0.001			0.34 ± 0.01	0.59 ± 0.08				+	
IPLA15	0.38 ± 0.03				0.51 ± 0.03	1.10 ± 0.06				+	
IPLA16	0.49 ± 0.06				0.93 ± 0.02					+	
IPLA17	0.51 ± 0.06	0.00013 ± 0.00002			0.57 ± 0.01	0.83 ± 0.02	+	+		+	
IPLA18	0.47 ± 0.03				0.69 ± 0.03	0.84 ± 0.06				+	
IPLA19	0.34 ± 0.01	0.62 ± 0.03			0.61 ± 0.05		+	+	+	+	
IPLA20	0.43 ± 0.08	0.484 ± 0.007		0.93 ± 0.03	0.76 ± 0.03		+	+			
IPLA24	0.31 ± 0.01	0.35 ± 0.03			0.32 ± 0.02					+	
IIM201	0.47 ± 0.02	0.0047 ± 0.0003			0.82 ± 0.03				+	+	
IIM208	0.82 ± 0.06	0.007 ± 0.001			0.52 ± 0.01		+	+			
IIM214	0.40 ± 0.03	0.055 ± 0.007			0.09 ± 0.00				+	+	
IIM222	0.25 ± 0.02				0.46 ± 0.01	0.46 ± 0.05			+	+	
IIM228	0.98 ± 0.13				0.57 ± 0.02		+	+			
IIM229	0.33 ± 0.01	0.0006 ± 0.0001			0.78 ± 0.05		+	+		+	
IIM233	0.31 ± 0.00				0.88 ± 0.04		+	+		+	
IIM234	0.41 ± 0.06				0.81 ± 0.01		+	+		+	
IIM235	0.26 ± 0.01				0.80 ± 0.01		+	+		+	
IIM237	0.41 ± 0.01				0.50 ± 0.03		+	+		+	
IIM238	0.48 ± 0.03	0.00002 ± 0.000004			0.60 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.00	+	+			
IIM239	0.74 ± 0.01	0.008 ± 0.003			0.65 ± 0.03		+	+		+	
IIM240	0.50 ± 0.01	0.063 ± 0.004			0.79 ± 0.03		+	+		+	
IIM241	0.83 ± 0.08				0.52 ± 0.02		+	+		+	
IIM242	0.64 ± 0.09				0.57 ± 0.01		+				
IIM245	0.34 ± 0.03				0.67 ± 0.01		+	+		+	
IIM246	0.52 ± 0.09				0.57 ± 0.01		+	+		+	
IIM249	0.29 ± 0.02	0.038 ± 0.003			0.75 ± 0.01		+	+		+	
PHCFAP1	1.08				*	*					
PHCFAP2	0.78		0.32	*	*	*					
PHCFAP3	0.04	*	*	*	*	*					
S34	*		*	*	*	*					
S36	*		*	*	*	*					
S38	0.05		*	2.51	*	*					
S39	*		*	*	*	*					
S41	*		*	*	*	*					
S43	0.02	*	*	*	*	*		+			
S45	0.06	*	*	*	*	*					
S46	*		*	*	*	*					
S47	0.03	*	0.53	0.01	*	*					
S52	*		*	*	*	*					
FM31	*		*	*	*	*					
S100	*	*	2.16	*	*	*					
S12											
S27	*		*	*	*	*					
S28	*		*	*	*	*	+				
S14	*		*	*	*	*					
S51	*		*	*	*	*	+				
FM34	*		*	*	*	*					
NZRM3372	*		*	*	*	*					
NZRM3374A	*	*	*	*	*	*					
NZRM2016	*	*	*	*	*	*					