Citrus phenylpropanoids and defense against pathogens. Part II: Gene expression and metabolite accumulation in the response of fruits to *Penicillium digitatum* infection

Ana-Rosa Ballester, M. Teresa Lafuente, Luis González-Candelas*

Instituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (IATA-CSIC). Av. Agustín Escardino 7. Paterna, 46980-Valencia. Spain.

*Corresponding author:

Tel: +34 963900022; fax; +34 963636301

e-mail address: lgonzalez@iata.csic.es

Abstract

The effect of infection of *Citrus sinensis* (var. Navelina) fruits with *Penicillium digitatum* was studied at gene expression and metabolite levels. In this study, expression of genes involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway was studied in the flavedo (outer colored part of the peel) and albedo (inner white part) in response to pathogen infection. Results of the time-course experiment showed that maximal expression of 10 out of 17 phenylpropanoid genes analyzed occurred at 48 h post-inoculation, when decay symptoms started to appear, and mRNA levels either kept constant or decreased after 72 h post-inoculation. To further investigate the putative involvement of the phenylpropanoid pathway in the defense of citrus fruit, changes in the metabolic profile of both tissues infected with *P. digitatum* was studied by means of HPLC-PDA-FD. Metabolite accumulation levels along the time course suggest that flavanones, flavones, polymethoxylated flavones and scoparone are induced in citrus fruit in response to *P. digitatum* infection, although with different trends depending on the tissue.

Keywords

Albedo; *Citrus sinensis*; defense; flavedo; gene expression; infection; metabolic profiling; oranges; *Penicillium digitatum*; phenylpropanoids; polymethoxylated flavones; scoparone

1. Introduction

Penicillium digitatum is one of the most important postharvest diseases in citrus fruit. Currently, control of postharvest fungi is performed by the widespread use of synthetic fungicides, because they act quickly and effectively. However, the emergence of resistant strains and the growing public concern over health and environmental risks associated with the high use of pesticides in fruits have resulted in a high interest in developing alternative methods of control.

The peel of citrus fruits is a rich source of flavanones and many polymethoxylated flavones (PMFs), some of which are considered specific of citrus fruits such as naringenin and hesperidin (glycoside flavanones) and PMFs (A. M. Ortuño, Arcas, Benavente-García, & Del Río, 1999). The most important PMFs in citrus are tangeretin, sinensetin and heptamethoxyflavone (Nogata, Sakamoto, Shiratsuchi, Ishii, Yano, & Ohta, 2006). The concentration of these compounds is abundant in the peel of citrus fruit, whereas in the edible part of the fruit the levels are lower (Goulas & Manganaris, 2012; Lafuente, Ballester, Calejero, Zacarías, & González-Candelas, 2011). The concentration of phenylpropanoids depends on the citrus variety, fruit growth stage, and ripening degree of full size fruit (A. M. Ortuño, Arcas, Benavente-García, & Del Río, 1999).

Several studies have reported that flavonoids and PMFs are naturally synthesized by the fruit and may act as phytoanticipins and be involved in the natural defense of citrus fruit against pathogen infection (Arcas, Botía, Ortuño, & Del Río, 2000; Del Río, Gómez, Báidez, Arcas, Botía, & Ortuño, 2004; A. Ortuño, Báidez, Gómez, Arcas, Porras, García-Lidón et al., 2006). Moreover, citrus fruit can accumulate compounds, such as the coumarin scoparone, in

response to a pathogen attack that act as phytoalexins in the defense response of citrus fruit (Del Río, Gómez, Báidez, Arcas, Botía, & Ortuño, 2004; H. G. Kim, Kim, Lee, Park, Jeong, Kim et al., 2011; Kuniga & Matsumoto, 2006; A. Ortuño, Díaz, Alvarez, Porras, García-Lidón, & Del Río, 2011), which are also induced in response to elicitor treatments (Arcas, Botía, Ortuño, & Del Río, 2000; Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted; J. J. Kim, Ben Yehoshua, Shapiro, Henis, & Carmeli, 1991).

A recent study has pointed out the involvement of isoprenoid, alkaloid and phenylpropanoid biosynthetic genes in the transcriptomic response of citrus fruit to *P. digitatum* infection (Gonzalez-Candelas, Alamar, Sanchez-Torres, Zacarias, & Marcos, 2010). The first enzyme in the biosynthesis of phenylpropanoids is phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) and its involvement in the defense of citrus fruit against biotic and abiotic stresses has been previously reported (Ballester, Lafuente, & González-Candelas, 2006; Sánchez-Ballesta, Zacarías, Granell, & Lafuente, 2000). Moreover, some recent studies have addressed the importance of other genes involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway, such as O-methyltransferases (*OMTs*) and peroxidases (*POX*), in the induction of resistance of citrus fruits (Ballester, Lafuente, Forment, Gadea, De Vos, Bovy et al., 2011; HersHKovitz, Ben-Dayán, Raphael, Pasmanik-Chor, Liu, Belausov et al., 2011). However, the role of flavonoid-related genes in the defense reaction against pathogens in citrus fruit is poorly understood. There is also an important lack of knowledge about the metabolic pathway of phenylpropanoids and flavonoids and of its regulatory network in citrus fruit. Therefore, the objective of this work was to examine the changes in the expression of phenylpropanoid genes and of principal flavonoids occurring in

citrus fruit in response to *P. digitatum* infection and compare these changes with those that take place in response to an elicitor treatment that triggers induced resistance (Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted; Ballester et al., 2011). The study has been performed in the outer (flavedo) and the inner white (albedo) parts of the peel since both tissues show different susceptibility to infection and distinctive phenylpropanoid metabolite profiles.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant and fungal material

Navelina orange fruits (*Citrus sinensis* L. Obseck) were harvested from adult trees grown in a commercial orchard in Liria (Valencia, Spain) under normal cultural practices and before any commercial postharvest treatment was applied. Freshly harvested fruits were surface-sterilized with a 5% commercial bleach solution for 5 min, rinsed with tap water, and dried at room temperature until next day.

Penicillium digitatum (Pers.:Fr.) isolate PHI-26 (López-García, González-Candelas, Pérez-Payá, & Marcos, 2000) was used in this study to infect the fruits. Spore suspensions were prepared from 7 days old cultures on potato dextrose agar incubated in the dark at 24 °C. Spores were scrapped off from the agar with a sterile spatula, transferred to sterile water, and the mycelia fragments were removed by filtration through a nylon mesh. The concentration of the spore suspension was determined with a haemocytometer and adjusted to 10⁶ conidia mL⁻¹ by dilution with sterile water.

2.2. Orange inoculation with *P. digitatum*

Fruit inoculation with *P. digitatum* was conducted as described previously by Ballester, Lafuente, and González-Candelas (2006) with minor modifications. Fruits were wounded with a sterilized needle (5 mm in depth) and immediately inoculated by adding 10 μL of *P. digitatum* conidia suspension adjusted to 10^6 conidia mL^{-1} in order to synchronize the infection process (Sample I). Three replicates of 5 infected fruit with 12 wounds per fruit were placed on plastic boxes and incubated at 20 °C and 90-95% relative humidity for 72 h. Wounded fruits that were mock-inoculated with 10 μL of sterile water (sample W) and also intact non-wounded fruits (sample NT) were used as controls. At either 24, 48 and 72 h post-inoculation (hpi), discs of 5 mm in diameter around the point of inoculation were sampled using a cork borer. Flavedo (F,) and albedo (A) tissues were separated with a scalpel, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground to a fine powder with a coffee mill, and stored at -80 °C until use for RNA isolation or phenolic compound extraction.

2.3. RNA extraction and Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was isolated from frozen tissues as described previously by Ballester, Lafuente, and González-Candelas (2006). RNA concentration was measured spectrophotometrically and the integrity was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium-bromide staining.

Northern blot analysis, including cDNA synthesis and labeling, hybridization, quantification and normalization using 26S rDNA *C. sinensis* probe, was performed according to Ballester, Lafuente, and González-Candelas (2006). With few exceptions, for each gene, a value of 1.0 was assigned to the

normalized signal of non-treated flavedo (FNT) and the expression level of the rest of the samples was referred to it. After stripping the blots, they were hybridized using the 28S rDNA *P. digitatum* probe. Probe design for the 17 phenylpropanoid genes analyzed has been described previously (Ballester et al., 2011).

2.4. Extraction of phenolic compounds and HPLC-PDA-FD analysis

Phenolic compounds were extracted from frozen ground flavedo and albedo tissues as described previously (Ballester, Izquierdo, Lafuente, & González-Candelas, 2010; Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted). Standards used were the same as described in Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, and González-Candelas (submitted). Each result is the mean of at least two biological replicates $\pm$ standard deviation (SD).

3. Results and discussion

Navelina oranges were inoculated with a *P. digitatum* conidia suspension at a high concentration in order to synchronize the infection in the inoculated wounds and to collect the tissue for the time-course experiment at the same stage of infection. Using 10^6 conidia mL^{-1} , 100% of the wounds were infected by the fungus by day 3 (data not showed). Both flavedo and albedo tissues around the inoculation point were separated and used for RNA isolation and phenolic compound extraction.

3.1. *Involvement of the phenylpropanoid pathway in the response of citrus fruit to P. digitatum infection.*

We have examined changes in the expression of 17 genes specifically related to the phenylpropanoid pathway during infection of citrus fruit by *P. digitatum* using Northern blot hybridization (Fig. 1). Results of the time-course experiment showed that the expression of 10 genes encoding PAL (*PAL1*), cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (*C4H1*), isoflavone reductase (*IRL1*), different O-methyl transferases (*COMT1*, *CCoAOMT1*, *CCoAOMT2*), cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenases (*CAD2*, *CAD3*), sinapyl alcohol dehydrogenase (*SAD*) and peroxidase (*POX1*) were induced in the flavedo in response to *P. digitatum* infection when compared to control or mock-inoculated fruits. Maximum expression of most of them was observed by 48 hpi, when the first symptoms of decay started to appear. Thereafter, their expression levels either increased (*IRL1*), remained nearly constant (*C4H1*, *COMT1*, *CAD3* and *SAD*) or decreased (*PAL1*, *CCoAOMT1*, *CCoAOMT2*, *CAD2* and *POX1*). The highest inductions with respect to control fruits were detected in *COMT1* and *PAL1*, with 92- and 20- fold inductions, respectively. These 10 genes were also induced in the albedo, with the exception of *IRL1*. Furthermore, 3 more genes encoding a second cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (*C4H2*) a flavanone 3-hydroxylase (*F3H*) and another O-methyl transferase (*COMT2*) were induced in the inner white peel tissue. Interestingly, maximum expression levels in the albedo were found by 72 hpi, 24 hr later than in the flavedo.

In a previous report we have shown that the expression of these genes also increased in oranges exposed to an elicitor treatment that reduced disease development when fruits were exposed to a subsequent pathogen infection

(Ballester et al., 2011). It is important to note that for the majority of the genes induction levels in response to *P. digitatum* infection were higher than those induced by the elicitor treatment. As an example, *PAL1* expression was induced 2- and 5-fold in the flavedo and albedo of elicited fruits, respectively, whereas inductions reached 18- and 13-fold in the flavedo and albedo of *P. digitatum* infected fruits.

The highest gene expression values were detected in the infected flavedo, although the highest relative inductions in response to pathogen invasion were observed in general in the albedo, with the exception of *PAL1* and *IRL1*. These results reinforce previous findings indicating that the flavedo is more resistant than the albedo to *P. digitatum* infection (Afek, Orenstein, Carmeli, Rodov, & Joseph, 1999; Ballester, Izquierdo, Lafuente, & González-Candelas, 2010; Ballester et al., 2011; Ballester, Lafuente, & González-Candelas, 2006).

The expression of the phenylpropanoid genes was also analyzed in wounded and mock-inoculated (W) and in non-treated (NT) control fruits (Fig. 1). Overall expression levels in NT fruits were low with minor changes along the storage period. However, in wounded fruits there was a transient induction in the expression of *PAL1*, *C4H1*, *CCoAOMT2* and *CAD3* in the flavedo and/or albedo after 24 h of wounding, but such wound-induced responses were clearly lower than in the infected fruit. These results are in agreement with previous reports that indicate that *PAL1* and *C4H1* are also wound-inducible (Betz, McCollum, & Mayer, 2001; Marcos, González-Candelas, & Zacarías, 2005)

3.2. Quantification of phenolic compounds in healthy Navelina fruits

Flavonoids were isolated from the flavedo and albedo of healthy Navelina fruits and analyzed by HPLC coupled to a PDA and a FD (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The flavanone hesperidin was the most abundant flavonoid in the flavedo of non-treated Navelina oranges, followed by other flavanones such as narirutin and didymin, and the flavones isorhoifolin and diosmin. The external tissue also contained high amounts of the PMFs sinensetin, tetramethyl-O-scutellarein, heptamethoxyflavone, tangeretin, and nobiletin, as well as lower amounts of other PMFs. The albedo of healthy oranges contained similar levels of hesperidin and higher levels of narirutin and didymin, whereas levels of all PMFs were always lower than in the external tissue. Among the PMFs, the amount of sinensetin was the highest and that of hexamethyl-O-gossypetin the lowest in this tissue. These results are in concordance with the abundance of flavonoids reported in the peel of other citrus fruit (Nogata, Sakamoto, Shiratsuchi, Ishii, Yano, & Ohta, 2006), being the levels observed in Navelina higher than those found in Navelate oranges (Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted). The amounts of almost all the identified phenylpropanoid and flavonoid compounds decreased slightly or were constant during fruit storage at 20 °C in both flavedo and albedo tissues (Fig. 3). In general, the major decline in the levels of these metabolites was detected after 48 h of storage.

3.3. Metabolic profiling in *P. digitatum*-infected oranges

The accumulation of PMFs along the development of *P. digitatum* infection was different in the flavedo and albedo tissues (Fig. 3A). They slightly decreased, in general, at early stages of infection in the flavedo but increased thereafter.

235 Thus, by 72 hpi the level of PMFs were higher in the flavedo of infected fruits
236 than in either control or wounded fruits. This response of Navelina flavedo
237 tissue to *P. digitatum* infection agrees with that observed in *Citrus unshiu* Marc.
238 fruit peel infected with the same pathogen (H. G. Kim et al., 2011). Levels of
239 PMFs also decreased in this cultivar at the early stages of infection and showed
240 a transient increase thereafter. The late increase in PMFs indicates that their
241 synthesis mainly occurs after major increases in the expression of flavonoid
242 genes were detected (48 hpi) (Fig. 1).

243 A different pattern of PMFs accumulation was observed in the albedo of
244 infected fruits (Fig. 3A). In general, the levels of the PFMs decreased in infected
245 fruits in the internal tissue. However, the levels of isosinensetin and
246 hexamethyl-O-gossypetin rose drastically at the latest stages of *P. digitatum*
247 infection. Only the pattern of tangeretin accumulation, which increased
248 transiently by 48 hpi, was similar in both tissues. Thus, the overall pattern of
249 flavonoids accumulation in the albedo did not parallel the induction of
250 phenylpropanoid genes. Although wounding also led to an increase in the levels
251 of PMFs in the flavedo by 48 h, the major metabolite content in the flavedo was
252 observed at 72 hpi in infected fruits. Moreover, *in vitro* studies have revealed
253 that some PMFs, such as nobiletin and tangeretin, are able to reduce the radial
254 growth of *P. digitatum* (Arcas, Botía, Ortuño, & Del Río, 2000; A. Ortuño et al.,
255 2006), being even more active against other citrus pathogens such as
256 *Phytophthora citrophthora* (Del Río, Gómez, Báidez, Arcas, Botía, & Ortuño,
257 2004). Therefore, results of the present work reinforce the idea that these
258 compounds may act as fungitoxins to control pathogen infection.

It is well known that O-methylation is an important step in the synthesis of PMFs (Ibrahim, Bruneau, & Bantignies, 1998), however the key genes involved in their synthesis are still unknown. Results of the present work show that genes encoding the O-methyl transferases *COMT1*, *CCoAOMT1* and *CCoAOMT2* may be relevant in this process since their induction preceded the most important increases in the synthesis of PMFs in the flavedo of infected fruits. Nevertheless, global results indicate that the induction of other genes may be important since some PMFs increased in the flavedo but not in the albedo though the expression of these genes increased in both tissues.

HPLC analysis also revealed the presence of other phenylpropanoid and flavonoid metabolites in infected orange fruits with a similar pattern of accumulation in both tissues (Fig. 3B). The levels of 3 metabolites, chlorogenic acid, didymin and scoparone, increased during the development of the pathogen. The results obtained for chlorogenic acid are in agreement with previous results obtained in tomato fruit, in which the levels of this compound increased in response to *Alternaria alternata* infection acting as a phytoanticipin that was able to inhibit spore germination of the pathogen in *in vitro* assays (Ruelas, Tiznado-Hernández, Sánchez-Estrada, Robles-Burgueño, & Troncoso-Rojas, 2006). These findings suggest that cinnamic acid derivatives might participate in the defense response of citrus fruit against pathogen infection.

Among the other flavonoids, only the concentration of diosmin in the flavedo, narirutin in the albedo, and didymin in both tissues increased by 72 hpi. Levels of these compounds were, in general, higher than the observed for the PMFs. However, whether any of these compounds has antimicrobial activity is not known. On the other hand, *in vitro* analyses have shown that PMFs are able to

reduce the *in vitro* growth of *P. digitatum* (Arcas, Botía, Ortuño, & Del Río, 2000; A. Ortuño et al., 2006). This inverse relation between flavanones, flavones and PMFs levels present in the fruits and their susceptibility to the fungus *P. digitatum* has been previously described by A. Ortuño, Díaz, Alvarez, Porras, García-Lidón, and Del Río (2011). Flavones and PMFs are mainly accumulated in the flavedo, whereas flavanones are mainly located in the albedo. Taking into account the present results and the fact that the flavedo is more resistant to *P. digitatum* infection, we may speculate that flavones and PMFs confer the condition to the flavedo to be more resistant, whereas the albedo, which contains lower levels of these compounds, is more prone to infection.

Scoparone (6,7-dimethoxycoumarin) is the main phytoalexin associated with resistance of citrus fruits against pathogens such as *P. digitatum* or *Botrytis cinerea* (J. J. Kim, Ben Yehoshua, Shapiro, Henis, & Carmeli, 1991; Kuniga & Matsumoto, 2006; Venditti, Molinu, Dore, Agabbio, & D'Hallewin, 2005). High levels of scoparone were observed in infected Navelina oranges, whereas this compound was not detected in healthy fruit (Fig. 3B). The levels of scoparone detected in the flavedo ($14.8 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) were higher than those detected in the albedo ($5.3 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$). However, these values are distant from the median effective dose for the inhibition of germ tube elongation of *P. digitatum* spores (J. J. Kim, Ben Yehoshua, Shapiro, Henis, & Carmeli, 1991).

Interestingly, this compound was induced to a much higher level by elicitor treatments that increased citrus fruit resistance against *P. digitatum* than by fungal infection (Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted; J. J. Kim, Ben Yehoshua, Shapiro, Henis, & Carmeli, 1991). Thus,

scoparone reached $90.5 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in the flavedo of elicited Navelate oranges (Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted), a level higher than the median effective dose that can explain the higher resistance of the elicited tissue to *P. digitatum* infection. This coumarin has been considered a good marker of induced resistance in citrus fruits due to its accumulation after different elicitor treatments such as UV light (Rodov, Ben Yehoshua, Kim, Shapiro, & Ittah, 1992) or antagonistic yeasts (Arras, 1996; Droby, Vinokur, Weiss, Cohen, Daus, Goldschmidt et al., 2002). As mentioned before in elicited fruits (Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted), there is a good correlation between *COMT1* expression and scoparone induction, although a direct link between both is still lacking. We have shown that the levels of 2 other fluorescent compounds, citrusnin A and drupanin aldehyde, increased in response to the elicitor treatment (Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted). However, none of these compounds have been detected in response to *P. digitatum* infection (data not showed).

It is well known that the effectiveness of the defense response depends on the timing and amplitude of the activation (Pozo, van Loon, & Pieterse, 2004). The results of the present work indicate that the fruit is able to activate the defensive barriers when the pathogen is recognized. However, the fruit is susceptible to infection and the outcome of the interaction is the disease known as green mold. So, such responses are not either quick or strong enough to deter the development of *P. digitatum*. An active suppression of defense responses by *P. digitatum* could also explain the failure of the fruit to contain the pathogen. This suppression capability of *P. digitatum* has already been demonstrated for the

induction of PAL (Lisker, Cohen, Chalutz, & Fuchs, 1983) and reactive oxygen species (Macarisin, Cohen, Eick, Rafael, Belausov, Wisniewski et al., 2007) in response to *P. digitatum* infection. In this context it is interesting to note that although the expression of phenylpropanoid-related genes is induced to a higher level in response to *P. digitatum* infection than in elicited fruits (Ballester et al., 2011), the accumulation of most of the flavonoids analyzed is higher in elicited fruits (Ballester, Lafuente, De Vos, Bovy, & González-Candelas, submitted), a fact that suggests that *P. digitatum* is able to downregulate the defense responses of the fruit. However, the mechanisms by which *P. digitatum* subverts citrus fruit defenses and whether this suppression is mediated by effectors is currently unknown.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ana Izquierdo for her technical assistance and Drs. Sendra and Dr. Sentandreu for their assistance with the HPLC analysis. This work was supported by Research Grants AGL2008-04828-C03-02, AGL2009-11969 and CONSOLIDER FUNC-FOOD from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology and PROMETEO/2010/010 from the Generalitat Valenciana.

References

- Afek, U., Orenstein, J., Carmeli, S., Rodov, V., & Joseph, M. B. (1999). Umbelliferone, a phytoalexin associated with resistance of immature Marsh grapefruit to *Penicillium digitatum*. *Phytochemistry*, 50(7), 1129-1132.
- Arcas, M. C., Botía, J. M., Ortuño, A., & Del Río, J. A. (2000). UV irradiation alters the levels of flavonoids involved in the defence mechanism of *Citrus aurantium* fruits against *Penicillium digitatum*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 106(7), 617-622.
- Arras, G. (1996). Mode of action of an isolate of *Candida famata* in biological control of *Penicillium digitatum* in orange fruits. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 8(3), 191-198.
- Ballester, A. R., Izquierdo, A., Lafuente, M. T., & González-Candelas, L. (2010). Biochemical and molecular characterization of induced resistance against *Penicillium digitatum* in citrus fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 56, 31-38.
- Ballester, A. R., Lafuente, M. T., De Vos, C. H. R., Bovy, A., & González-Candelas, L. (submitted). Citrus phenylpropanoids and defense against pathogens. Part I: Metabolic profiling in elicited fruits. *Accompanying manuscript submitted to Food Chemistry*.
- Ballester, A. R., Lafuente, M. T., Forment, J., Gadea, J., De Vos, C. H. R., Bovy, A. G., & González-Candelas, L. (2011). Transcriptomic profiling of citrus fruit peel tissues reveals fundamental effects of phenylpropanoids and ethylene on induced resistance. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 12(9), 879-897.
- Ballester, A. R., Lafuente, M. T., & González-Candelas, L. (2006). Spatial study of antioxidant enzymes, peroxidase and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase in the citrus fruit-*Penicillium digitatum* interaction. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 39(2), 115-124.
- Betz, C., McCollum, T. G., & Mayer, R. T. (2001). Differential expression of two cinnamate 4-hydroxylase genes in 'Valencia' orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck). *Plant Molecular Biology*, 46(6), 741-748.
- Del Río, J. A., Gómez, P., Báidez, A., Arcas, M. C., Botía, J. M., & Ortuño, A. (2004). Changes in the levels of polymethoxyflavones and flavanones as part of the defense mechanism of *Citrus sinensis* (cv. Valencia Late) fruits against

- 387 *Phytophthora citrophthora*. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52(7),
388 1913-1917.
- 389 Droby, S., Vinokur, V., Weiss, B., Cohen, L., Daus, A., Goldschmidt, E. E., & Porat, R.
390 (2002). Induction of resistance to *Penicillium digitatum* in grapefruit by the
391 yeast biocontrol agent *Candida oleophila*. *Phytopathology*, 92(4), 393-399.
- 392 Gonzalez-Candelas, L., Alamar, S., Sanchez-Torres, P., Zacarias, L., & Marcos, J.
393 (2010). A transcriptomic approach highlights induction of secondary metabolism
394 in citrus fruit in response to *Penicillium digitatum* infection. *BMC Plant Biology*,
395 10(1), 194-211.
- 396 Goulas, V., & Manganaris, G. A. (2012). Exploring the phytochemical content and the
397 antioxidant potential of *Citrus* fruits grown in Cyprus. *Food Chemistry*, 131(1),
398 39-47.
- 399 Hershkovitz, V., Ben-Dayana, C., Raphael, G., Pasmanik-Chor, M., Liu, J. I. A.,
400 Belausov, E., Aly, R., Wisniewski, M., & Droby, S. (2011). Global changes in
401 gene expression of grapefruit peel tissue in response to the yeast biocontrol
402 agent *Metschnikowia fructicola*. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 13(4), 338-349.
- 403 Ibrahim, R. K., Bruneau, A., & Bantignies, B. (1998). Plant O-methyltransferases:
404 Molecular analysis, common signature and classification. *Plant Molecular*
405 *Biology*, 36(1), 1-10.
- 406 Kim, H. G., Kim, G. S., Lee, J. H., Park, S., Jeong, W. Y., Kim, Y. H., Kim, J. H., Kim,
407 S. T., Cho, Y. A., Lee, W. S., Lee, S. J., Jin, J. S., & Shin, S. C. (2011).
408 Determination of the change of flavonoid components as the defence materials
409 of *Citrus unshiu* Marc. fruit peel against *Penicillium digitatum* by liquid
410 chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*,
411 128(1), 49-54.
- 412 Kim, J. J., Ben Yehoshua, S., Shapiro, B., Henis, Y., & Carmeli, S. (1991).
413 Accumulation of scoparone in heat-treated lemon fruit inoculated with
414 *Penicillium digitatum* Sacc. *Plant Physiology*, 97, 880-885.
- 415 Kuniga, T., & Matsumoto, R. (2006). Comparative study of scoparone accumulation in
416 various citrus species after inoculation with gray mold. *Journal of the Japanese*
417 *Society for Horticultural Science*, 75(5), 379-384.
- 418 Lafuente, M. T., Ballester, A. R., Calejero, J., Zacarías, L., & González-Candelas, L.
419 (2011). Effect of heat-conditioning treatments on quality and phenolic
420 composition of cold stored 'Fortune' mandarins. *Food Chemistry*, 128(4), 1080-
421 1086.
- 422 Lisker, N., Cohen, L., Chalutz, E., & Fuchs, Y. (1983). Fungal infections suppress
423 ethylene-induced phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity in grapefruits.
424 *Physiological Plant Pathology*, 22, 331-338.
- 425 López-García, B., González-Candelas, L., Pérez-Payá, E., & Marcos, J. F. (2000).
426 Identification and characterization of a hexapeptide with activity against
427 phytopathogenic fungi that cause postharvest decay in fruits. *Molecular Plant-*
428 *Microbe Interactions*, 13(8), 837-846.
- 429 Macarasin, D., Cohen, L., Eick, A., Rafael, G., Belausov, E., Wisniewski, M., & Droby,
430 S. (2007). *Penicillium digitatum* suppresses production of hydrogen peroxide in
431 host tissue during infection of citrus fruit. *Phytopathology*, 97(11), 1491-1500.
- 432 Marcos, J. F., González-Candelas, L., & Zacarías, L. (2005). Involvement of ethylene
433 biosynthesis and perception in the susceptibility of citrus fruit to *Penicillium*
434 *digitatum* infection and the accumulation of defense-related mRNAs. *Journal of*
435 *Experimental Botany*, 56(148), 2183-2193.

- Nogata, Y., Sakamoto, K., Shiratsuchi, H., Ishii, T., Yano, M., & Ohta, H. (2006). Flavonoid composition of fruit tissues of Citrus species. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 70(1), 178-192.
- Ortuño, A., Báidez, A., Gómez, P., Arcas, M. C., Porras, I., García-Lidón, A., & Del Río, J. A. (2006). *Citrus paradisi* and *Citrus sinensis* flavonoids: Their influence in the defence mechanism against *Penicillium digitatum*. *Food Chemistry*, 98, 351-358.
- Ortuño, A., Díaz, L., Alvarez, N., Porras, I., García-Lidón, A., & Del Río, J. A. (2011). Comparative study of flavonoid and scoparone accumulation in different Citrus species and their susceptibility to *Penicillium digitatum*. *Food Chemistry*, 125(1), 232-239.
- Ortuño, A. M., Arcas, M. C., Benavente-García, O., & Del Río, J. A. (1999). Evolution of polymethoxy flavones during development of tangelo Nova fruits. *Food Chemistry*, 66(2), 217-220.
- Pozo, M. J., van Loon, L. C., & Pieterse, C. M. J. (2004). Jasmonates - Signals in plant-microbe interactions. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 23(3), 211-222.
- Rodov, V., Ben Yehoshua, S., Kim, J. J., Shapiro, B., & Ittah, Y. (1992). Ultraviolet illumination induces scoparone production in kumquat and orange fruit and improves decay resistance. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 117(5), 788-792.
- Ruelas, C., Tiznado-Hernández, M. E., Sánchez-Estrada, A., Robles-Burgueño, M. R., & Troncoso-Rojas, R. (2006). Changes in phenolic acid content during *Alternaria alternata* infection in tomato fruit. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 154(4), 236-244.
- Sánchez-Ballesta, M. T., Zacarías, L., Granell, A., & Lafuente, M. T. (2000). Accumulation of Pal transcript and Pal activity as affected by heat-conditioning and low-temperature storage and its relation to chilling sensitivity in Mandarin fruits. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48(7), 2726-2731.
- Venditti, T., Molinu, M. G., Dore, A., Agabbio, M., & D'Hallewin, G. (2005). Sodium carbonate treatment induces scoparone accumulation, structural changes, and alkalization in the albedo of wounded *Citrus* fruits. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53(9), 3510-3518.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Accumulation of mRNAs from phenylpropanoid and flavonoid genes in the flavedo and albedo of Navelina oranges during the time course experiment. Non-treated fruits ($\square$), mock-inoculated wounded fruits ($\triangle$) and infected with *P. digitatum* ($\bullet$) were stored at 20 °C for 0, 24, 48 and 72 h. All transcripts values for individual genes were normalized with respect to the corresponding value of the 26s rDNA *C. sinensis* signal. Normalized values of mRNAs accumulation in arbitrary units are represented using the non-treated flavedo as a reference. Gene codes: (*FPa1*) phenylalanine-ammonia lyase, (*C4H1*) cinnamate 4-hydroxylase, (*C4H2*) cinnamate 4-hydroxylase, (*4CL*) 4-coumarate-CoA ligase, (*CitF3H*) flavanone 3-hydroxylase, (*IRL1*) isoflavone reductase, (*COMT1*) caffeic acid 3-O-methyltransferase 1, (*COMT2*) caffeic acid O-methyltransferase, (*COMT3*) catechol O-methyltransferase, (*CCoAOMT1*) caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase, (*CCoAOMT2*) caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase, (*CAD1*) cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase, (*CAD2*) cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase, (*SAD*) sinapyl alcohol dehydrogenase, (*POX1*) peroxidase, (*POX2*) peroxidase, *Citrus sinensis* 26S rDNA, and *Penicillium digitatum* 26S rDNA.

Fig. 2. HPLC-PDA chromatogram of flavonoids isolated from the healthy non-treated flavedo (A; FNT0) and albedo (B; ANT0). The character above the individual peaks indicates the compound number: (1) chlorogenic acid, (2) caffeic acid, (3) eriocitrin, (4) narirutin, (5) eriocitrin, (6) diosmin, (7) hesperidin, (8) scoparone, (9) didymin, (10) isosinensetin, (11) hexamethyl-O-scutellarein, (12) sinensetin, (13) hexamethyl-O-quercetagenin, (14) nobiletin, (15)

tetramethyl-O-scutellarein, (16) heptamethoxyflavone, and (17) tangeretin. The star * indicates that the scoparone was only detected in infected tissue.

Fig. 3. Concentrations ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ fresh weight) of the main flavonoids identified in the flavedo and albedo of Navelina orange fruits during the time course experiment. *P. digitatum* infected fruits (●), mock-inoculated fruits (△) and non-treated fruits (□) were stored immediately at 20 °C for 0, 24, 48 and 72 h. (A) Concentration of the polymethoxylated flavones: isorhoifolin (ISO), hexamethyl-O-gossypetin (HMG), sinensetin (SNT), hexamethyl-O-quercetagenin (HMQ), nobiletin (NBT), tetramethyl-O-scutellarein (TMO), heptamethoxyflavone (HPM), tangeretin (TNG) in Navelina oranges. Values of TMO represent the area of the peak in the chromatogram in mAU s. (B) Concentration of chlorogenic acid (CHA), narirutin (NRT), hesperidin (HSP), didymin (DID), scoparone (SCO), cinnamic acid (CA), isorhoifolin (IRF), diosmin (DSM), eriocitrin (ERI) in orange fruits. Note the different scale for each compound. The results represent the mean of at least two biological replicates of 10 fruits each. Asterisc in HMQ indicates that values represent the area (mAU s) of the peak in the chromatogram.

Table 1. Contents ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ fresh weight) of the main flavonoids identified in the healthy flavedo and albedo tissues of *C. sinensis* var. Navelina orange fruits.

Compound	Concentration ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ fresh weight)	
	Flavedo	Albedo
CINNAMIC ACID DERIVATIVES		
1 Chlorogenic acid	83.6 $\pm$ 22.1	31.2 $\pm$ 1.6
2 Caffeic acid	165.6 $\pm$ 28.8	nd
FLAVANONES		
3 Eriocitrin	nd	40.7 $\pm$ 18.0
4 Narirutin	125.1 $\pm$ 12.0	921.1 $\pm$ 42.9
7 Hesperidin	3856.6 $\pm$ 398.3	3201.1 $\pm$ 232.5
9 Didymnin	108.0 $\pm$ 1.6	481.3 $\pm$ 23.3
FLAVONES		
5 Isorhoifolin	587.6 $\pm$ 5.3	nd
6 Diosmin	nd	142.5 $\pm$ 68.4
PMFs:		
10 Isosinensetin	26.2 $\pm$ 0.9	3.8 $\pm$ 0.0
11 Hexamethyl-O-gossypetin	3.7 $\pm$ 0.5	0.7 $\pm$ 0.1
12 Sinensetin	659.1 $\pm$ 1.1	102.3 $\pm$ 8.2
13 Hexamethyl-O- quercetagenin*	1370.8 $\pm$ 275.7	305.5 $\pm$ 15.7
14 Nobiletin	136.8 $\pm$ 3.4	22.6 $\pm$ 2.8
15 Tetramethyl-O-scutellarein	474.5 $\pm$ 13.0	92.4 $\pm$ 9.4
16 Heptamethoxyflavone	178.9 $\pm$ 6.3	54.5 $\pm$ 13.3
17 Tangeretin	136.4 $\pm$ 7.5	25.1 $\pm$ 2.6

* Values represent the area (mAU s) of the peak in the chromatogram.

nd: non-detected

Results represent the mean of at least two biological replicates $\pm$ SD.

Compound no. 8 is scoparone, not detected in healthy fruits.

PMFs: polymethoxylated flavones

Figure1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure2

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)