Identifying spatial and seasonal patterns of river water quality in a semiarid irrigated agricultural Mediterranean basin

Nadia Darwiche-Criado^{a,*}, Juan José Jiménez^a, Francisco A. Comín^b, Ricardo Sorando^b, José Miguel Sánchez-Pérez^{c,d}

^a Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE-CSIC), Av. Nuestra Señora de la Victoria s/n, 22700. Jaca (Huesca). SPAIN

^b Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE-CSIC), Av. Montañana 1005. 50192 Zaragoza. SPAIN

^c Université de Toulouse, INPT, UPS, ECOLAB (Laboratoire Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement), Ecole Nationale Supérieure Agronomique de Toulouse (ENSAT), Avenue de l'Agrobiopole BP 32607 Auzeville Tolosane, 31326 CASTANET TOLOSAN Cedex, France

^d CNRS, ECOLAB (Laboratoire Ecologie Fonctionnelle), 31326 CASTANET TOLOSAN Cedex, France

***Corresponding author:** Nadia Darwiche-Criado. Phone number: +34 976369393 Ext: 881133. Fax number: +34 974363222. nadiadarcricri@hotmail.com, darwiche@ipe.csic.es

Abstract

A detailed understanding of the study area is essential to achieve key information and optimizing the monitoring, analysis and evaluation of water quality of natural ecosystems that have been highly transformed into agricultural areas. Using classification techniques like the hierarchical cluster analysis (CA) and partial triadic analysis (PTA), we assessed the sources of water pollution and the seasonal influence of human activities in water composition in a river basin from North-eastern Spain. The results suggested that a strong connection existed between water quality and the seasonality of the human activities. The CA showed the spatial relationship between water chemistry and the adjacent land uses. The PTA associated the analyzed variables to their pollutant source. Electrical conductivity (EC), Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} , Na^+ and Mg^{2+} ions were related with agricultural sources, whereas NH_4^+ -N, PT and PO_4^{3-} -P were linked with urban polluted sites. Concentration of NO_3^- -N was associated with urban land use. Differences in water composition according to the irrigation intensity were also found during the irrigation season. The statistical tools used in this work, especially the PTA, allowed us to jointly analyze the spatial and seasonal components of water pollutant trends. We obtained a more comprehensive knowledge of water quality patterns in the study area, which will be essential when taking measures to minimize the effects of water pollution.

Keywords: Water quality, Land use, Spatial analysis, Agricultural Intensification, Irrigation, Partial Triadic Analysis

1. Introduction

Human activities are a major factor that impacts the quality of surface water and groundwater through atmospheric pollution, effluent discharges, the utilization of agricultural chemicals, eroded soils and land use (Niemi et al. 1990). In recent years, research on the ecological impacts of land use changes has increased with numerous studies suggesting that surface water is affected by land cover characteristics in the basin drainage areas of streams (Limburg and Schmidt 1990; Jones et al. 1999; Bis et al. 2000; Riva-Murray et al. 2002; Woodcock et al. 2006). This result indicates that changes in land use and management practices can have considerable impacts on the quality of water (Brainwood et al. 2004). As an example, forest land use plays an important role in mitigating the degradation of water quality, while urban land use substantially modifies the chemical properties of river water by increasing the concentration of certain elements (Sliva and Williams 2001). Previous studies have shown that agricultural land uses are one of the primary contributors to the degradation of water quality (Lenat and Crawford 1994; Tong and Chen 2002) and the primary source of nitrogen in European aquatic environments (Grizzetti et al. 2005). Excessive utilization of mineral fertilizers and the disposal of manure cause irrigation agriculture to be identified as one of the primary nutrient sources worldwide (Baker, 1992). In addition, different studies have investigated the spatial and seasonal variability of water quality. These studies have reported that water quality issues, such as eutrophication, which are considerably dependent on land use patterns, are influenced by basin runoff discharge (Caccia and Boyer 2005; Zhang et al. 2007).

The interaction of a wide range of human influenced variables, such as land use, fertilizer utilization, soil type and the hydrological pathways linking the land to a certain stream is difficult to analyze (Casey and Clarke 1979; Dermine and Lamberts 1987). Moreover, the degradation of water quality results from multiple land use activities, including both point and non-point sources. While point-source pollution can be easily identified, non-point source pollution from land uses is a diffuse source of contamination and it is often difficult to attribute to a single location (Seeboonruang 2012) and depends on several factors that are easily variable (Causapé et al. 2004). Nevertheless, the temporal patterns of non-point source pollutants can help us to understand the transport characteristics associated with hydrological processes (Kang and Lin 2007). In this research, we have studied the Flumen River basin, which belongs to the Ebro River catchment, in order to identify the spatial and seasonal trends of different variables and we search for the relationship between the spatio-temporal trends and the land uses present in the basin. The application of multivariate statistical tools as Partial Triadic Analysis (PTA) allowed us to improve the evaluation of the water quality patterns, including both the spatial and seasonal factors in a single analysis. Accordingly, we can optimize the water quality monitoring and obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the pollutants tendencies.

This study aimed to obtain a general overview of the spatial and temporal variability of water quality and its relationship with the human activities and land uses in the Flumen River basin, in order to acquire the essential information to perform an appropriate management of the water pollution issue. For this, (i) we have obtained the characterization of the catchment in terms of water quality and based on the land uses impacts and (ii) we have identified the sources (both point and non-point inputs) of pollution, determining its spatial and seasonal patterns.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study Area

The Flumen River is located in the province of Huesca (Aragón, Spain) in the north-central part of the Ebro River basin (NE Spain) (Fig. 1). This river is 120 km long and together with its tributary, the Isuela River, drains a basin area of 1,430 km². The river originates in Guara Sierra, which is a calcareous pre-Pyrenean mountain chain (1,250 m.a.s.l.). After exiting the mountainous part of the basin, the Flumen River flows through flat plains that have an increasingly agricultural landscape to its confluence with the Alcanadre River (240 m.a.s.l.). In this final route, the river crosses quaternary glacia and alluvial fans that overlay a tertiary structure composed of conglomerates, sandstones and clays (Quirantes 1978). Saline mudstones and gypsum deposits observed in the lower part of the basin influence the water quality of the river at lower reaches (Martín-Queller et al. 2010). The Isuela River, which runs parallel to the Flumen River for one third of its length, is the only perennial tributary and joins the Flumen River in the flat area of the basin. Other affluents are intermittent discharging water only during big rain storm events and during the irrigation period.

A Mediterranean climate with irregular seasonal and interannual rainfall is a common feature to the entire basin (Comín and Williams 1993), although a decreasing rainfall gradient of 77.6 mm for every 100 m of altitude change exists from the north mountain region to the flat southern region of the basin. The average annual rainfall in the basin is 581 mm (Pedrocchi 1998; AEMET 2010).

The most important urban areas within the basin include Huesca (52,354 inhabitants), which is crossed by the Isuela River and discharges effluents from its wastewater treatment plant into it, Sariñena (4,428 inhabitants) and Grañén (2,038 inhabitants), which both contain wastewater treatment plants, and Lalueza (1,149 inhabitants), which discharges its wastewater effluents into the Flumen River.

The upper part of the study zone is dominated by oak woods and shrublands. The city of Huesca is located in the middle part but this area also has substantial animal farming and some agricultural activity. In the lower region, the so-called Northern Monegros County, the principal land use is irrigated agriculture, in which rice (*Oryza sativa*), corn (*Zea mays*) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) are the most representative crops. Cereals such as *Triticum spp.* and *Hordeum vulgare* are also cultivated (Fig. 1). In the study area, the irrigation season occurs from April to October.

The Flumen River flows are managed by three reservoirs (Santa Maria de Belsué, Cienfuens and Montearagón (Fig. 1), which have water storage capacities of 13, 1 and 51 hm³, respectively) that are located in the upper third part of the river. The Isuela River is regulated by the Arguis reservoir (2.7 hm³), which is also located in the upper part of the river. Furthermore, in the lower half of the basin, the so-called Northern Monegros County, there is a complex system of small irrigation canals that distribute the water transported by a large irrigation canal: the Monegros canal. The Monegros canal is created by joining the waters supplied by another two large canals that transport water from two rivers (Cinca and Gállego) outside the Flumen basin. In this lower part of the basin, there are many small artificial ponds that store rain and canal water to be used later for irrigation purposes.

2.2. Water sampling and analytical methods

A two-step sampling was employed.

1. Sampling A: water samples were collected in different places throughout the entire basin (November 2009, January and February 2010) in order to obtain an overall perspective of the land uses influence on water quality during the non-irrigation season. A total of 15 samples were collected on each date, including 9 from the Flumen River (F1-F9) and 6 from the Isuela River (I1-I6) (Fig. 1). F1, I1 and I2 are located in the northern part of the basin area, upstream from the principal urban and agricultural inputs. F2 and F3 are situated at the surrounding areas of villages near Huesca. I3 is located at the entry of Huesca city, and I4 and I5 are placed before and after the sewage treatment plant respectively. Finally, the last group of sampling stations, from F4 to F9 and I6, were located in the agricultural part of the basin.
2. Sampling B: After describing the whole basing in terms of water quality, several sites were selected according to their potential pollution vulnerability during the warm and hot periods of the year in which agriculture is intensively irrigated. Six sampling times were established: April, June, July, August, September and October 2010. A total of 11 samples were collected during each sampling date, including 6 samples from the Flumen River (F4-F9) and 5 samples from the Isuela River (I2-I6). Two new sampling stations located in irrigation canals (IC1 and IC2) were included to determine the influence of irrigation return flows on the water quality of the river (Fig. 1). Samples were also collected from another sampling station, named IW, which corresponds to the discharge from the sewage treatment plant on the Isuela River.

The sampling stations were chosen regarding to the spatial distribution of major land uses in the basin, including forest, urban and agricultural land uses (Fig. 1). The sampling dates were selected according to the agricultural activities of the study area, which were based on the dates for irrigation and the application of fertilizers for each crop (table 1).

Direct measurements of water temperature (T), pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and dissolved oxygen (DO) were determined in the river sampling sites using a calibrated multi-parameter probe. Water samples from the same sites were collected in polyethylene bottles that were previously rinsed three times with distilled water. After collection, the samples were transported to the lab and filtered with a Whatman

GF/F 0.7 μm fiberglass filter. The samples were stored at 4°C within 12 hours for later (within one month) analysis of suspended solids (SS), ammonium ($\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$), nitrite ($\text{NO}_2^-\text{-N}$), nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$), phosphate ($\text{PO}_4^{3-}\text{-P}$), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), chloride (Cl^-), sulphate ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}\text{-S}$), sodium (Na^+), potassium (K^+), calcium (Ca^{2+}), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), fluoride (F^-) and bromide (Br^-) using standard methods (APHA, 2012). The analysis of suspended solids (SS) was performed by means the weight difference of the filter before and after filtering. The total phosphorous (TP) and the alkalinity (Alk) were determined using an unfiltered water sample.

2.3. Data Analysis

2.3.1. Hierarchical cluster analysis

Hierarchical cluster analysis (CA) was used to obtain the spatial grouping of all sampling sites in the entire basin during non-irrigation season (Sampling A). This analysis was conducted using the R software (R Development Core Team, 2011) and the HCLUST package and the DIST function were used to perform the hierarchical classification and to compute the matrix of distances respectively. The Ward method was applied in order to achieve the optimal classification (Kuiper and Fisher 1975). The Euclidean distance was used because is the recommended measure when Ward's method of clustering is applied (Hair et al. 2006). It was represented by the difference between the analytical values from the samples and aimed to reveal the similarity between samples. Data were previously standardized through a z-scale transformation to eliminate the influence of different units of measurements.

The CA was conducted with data obtained from “Sampling A” in order to obtain a land use classification within the basin based on water quality of each sampling station.

2.3.2. Partial Triadic Analysis (PTA)

Multivariate statistical approaches rely mainly on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for assessment of hydrological patterns in basins (Valder et al. 2012). In this study we used Partial Triadic Analysis (PTA) (Thioulouse and Chessel 1987; Kroonenberg 1989), that allows analyses of data matrices with a cubic structure, i.e. variables $\times$ sites $\times$ time (Thioulouse and Chessel 1987; Kroonenberg 1989; Rossi 2003). This analysis aims at extracting the common multivariate structure from the sampling dates and describes dominant patterns in its first axes that are retained for interpretation (Rossi 2003).

The first step is the Inter-structure analysis which determines the information that is common to all the samples dates using a PCA, i.e. provides a global description of sampling points as a function of the typology of the sampling dates (Jiménez et al. 2006). The comparison of the sampling dates is performed with calculation of a vectorial correlation coefficient matrix (R_V) between samples dates and the representation of the proximity between dates that depend on the analyzed variables.

The second step is the Compromise analysis where a mean matrix of maximum inertia (referred to as the compromise matrix) is constructed. This matrix is derived from the initial temporal sub-matrices in proportion to their weight. A dimensionless value is obtained, the $\cos^2$, indicates how much the compromise expresses the information contained in each sub-matrix. This new matrix that represents the vectorial correlations between the different sampling dates and sub-matrices (R_V coefficients) reveals the intensity of the linkages among the different temporal sub-matrices (Rolland et al. 2009). This step allows a description of sampling sites as functions of the typology of variables and the identification of the variables responsible for similar patterns at different dates (Jiménez et al. 2006).

The PTA was carried out with data obtained from “Sampling B”. This analysis was focused on the agricultural part of the basin defined by CA in order to obtain the water quality patterns during the irrigation season. Six temporal matrices were used: April, June, July, August, September and October 2010.

The PTA was conducted with the ADE4 package in the software R 2.13.0 (R Development Core Team 2011).

3. Results

The mean values of all variables analyzed in each sampling station are listed in table 2.

3.1. Spatial distribution of river water characteristics

The CA resulted in a dendrogram that exhibited a first division with two big clusters (Fig. 2).

Cluster 1 included the sampling stations F1 and I2. These sites are located in the upper part of the basin are primarily covered by forest and thus, are less affected by pollution from urban and agricultural uses.

Cluster 2 revealed a change in land use from the mountain area to urban or agricultural zones and also denoted a change in water quality. Cluster 2 was composed of two minor groups. The first one included the sampling stations I3, I4 and I5, which are located in the surrounding of urban environments. Sampling stations located in agricultural areas were congregated in the other cluster. This last group was also composed of two minor clusters of sampling stations differentiated by the type of agricultural practices. The first one was composed of I1, F2 and F3, which are located in areas with small farms, rainfed crops and small towns. The other cluster was formed of sampling stations F4, F5, F6, F7, I6, F8 and F9, which are located in the southern part of the basin in which intensive irrigated agriculture occurs. Additionally, there was a distinction between the group of sampling stations F4, F5 and F6, which are located in the initial part of the irrigated agricultural area, and the group formed by the sampling stations F7, F8 and F9, which are located in the final part of the basin in which the most intensive irrigation and water drainage associated with rice fields occur.

3.2. Spatiotemporal pattern in the agricultural zone of the basin during irrigation season

3.2.1. Inter-structure Analysis

The Inter-structure analysis revealed that the first two axes of the PCA retained 69.3 and 9.7 % of total variance, respectively. The structure extracted in axis I indicated a common spatial pattern across the different dates during the study period. The representation of the sampling dates onto the factorial plane revealed a strong common temporal structure, i.e., no inversion of the temporal structure of the analyzed variables was observed. In axis II, the inter-structure analysis emphasized the segregation of the information corresponding to every date in three groups. This result indicated differences in the dynamics of the variables measured in each group of dates. The first group included only October 2010, the second group included April, June and September 2010 and the third group included July and August 2010 (Fig. 3A). The remaining eigenvectors explained only a small part of the inertia, but such distribution in the Euclidean space suggested the existence of three main alternatives in the identified temporal pattern. Matrix of vectorial correlation coefficients between the tables showed the strongest correlation ($R_V = 0.737$) between June and April, whereas the weakest correlation ($R_V = 0.307$) occurred between August and October (table 3). Based on the information revealed by $\cos^2$, it was observed that the matrix corresponding to September-2010 contributed most to the temporal dynamics of the analyzed variables (Fig. 3D).

3.2.2. Compromise Analysis

In the compromise analysis, the screen plot of eigenvalues showed that the two first axes accounted for 70.9 % of total inertia. Axis I of PCA (44.5 % of total inertia) revealed a clear organization of variables related to salinity (Mg^{2+} , SO_4^{2-} -S, Alk, EC, Cl^- , Na^+ , Br^- and F^-) and nitrogen compounds (TDN and NO_3^- -N). Axis II accounted for 26.4 % of the total inertia and was related with nutrients like NH_4^+ -N, PO_4^{3-} -P and TP. T, NO_2^- -N, DOC and DO were close to the origin of both axes. No particular pattern was observed for SS and pH (Fig. 3B).

Map of factorial coordinates of the 10 sampling stations (Fig. 3C) also revealed a clear spatial variation. Sampling stations located in intensive agricultural zone (IC1, F8, F9, IC2) were linked to variables related to salinity (Na^+ , Cl^- , EC, Br^- , F^-), whereas sampling station IW, which represents the discharge from the wastewater treatment plant, and I6, which is in the Isuela river downstream from the wastewater treatment plan, were associated with TDN and NO_3^- -N and NH_4^+ -N, TP and K^+ respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1. General ordination of sampling sites

A few studies have shown that land use near rivers is a better indicator of water quality than land use of the entire basin (Osborne and Wiley 1988; Hunsaker and Levine 1995). These results agree with those of our study because the dendrogram obtained with “Sampling A” data revealed the grouping of sampling stations based on their adjacent land use (Fig. 3B). Two major areas of the Flumen River basin could be distinguished after the CA (Fig. 2): the northern part, which had a better water quality in the upper mountainous basin, and the mid-south area, which had a higher level of water pollution in the lower agricultural area of the basin.

But dendrogram also revealed a differentiation based on the source of pollution, either urban or agricultural. Our results were consistent with those reported by Martín-Queller et al. (2010), who indicated that the primary sources of contamination in the Flumen River were the discharges from the city of Huesca and those from the agricultural area. Group 2 contained sampling stations located in urban areas (I3, I4 and I5). And group 3 was formed by F2, F3 and I1 that are situated near small towns with no industrial activity. In this case, water quality was found to be only moderately polluted by agricultural practices (Jain 2002). Nevertheless, grouping of F2, F3 and I1 could be due to the regulation of water flow by the nearby reservoirs (Fig. 1).

It was also possible to note a new division in two subgroups related to the level of water pollution and to the increasing intensity of agricultural practices. F6, F4, and F5 are placed at the upper part of the agricultural area and still reflected non-intensive agricultural practices. Moreover, F7, F8 and F9 are found in the southernmost part of the basin and corresponded to typical river locations in areas with intensive irrigated agriculture. I6 is the final sampling station belonging to the Isuela River after crossing the urban area and before it flows into the Flumen River. This sampling station could be grouped with this cluster because it had similar high NO_3^- -N concentrations and EC (table 2), probably caused by inputs of Huesca and wastewater treatment plant.

The clustering distribution of the sampling stations followed a spatial pattern from the upper mountain to the lower agricultural parts of the river. This distribution is not simply a change of the river water characteristics as water progresses through the basin contributing to dissolve ions and particulate compounds. This fact reflects the impacts from land uses as significantly increased concentrations of variables associated to agricultural land use practices (e.g., NO_3^- -N).

4.2. Spatiotemporal variability of water quality during irrigation season

Human activities on land use changes could influence the types and degree of pollution (Bu et al. 2014). In this regard, the analysis of inter-structure and distribution of variables in the Euclidean space revealed the relationship between the physico-chemical parameters and the agricultural practices performed at each sampling date. The analysis of inter-structure showed the clustering of sampling dates based on agricultural periods (Fig. 3A). This grouping was related to the different agricultural seasons in the study area (Causapé et al. 2004): non-irrigation (Oct-10, since this sampling was performed at the end of the irrigation season), low-irrigation (Jun-10, Apr-10 and Sep-10) and high irrigation or summer (Jul-10 and Aug-10). Causapé et al. (2004) reported high irrigation volumes, low EC and moderate NO_3^- -N concentrations during high irrigation period. The low irrigation period had similar EC and NO_3^- -N values but much lower volumes of irrigation and finally, the non-irrigation period was characterized by lack of irrigation and the highest EC and NO_3^- -N values, indicating the inefficient flood-irrigation management that generates high return flows with relatively low salt and nitrate contents. This fact reported that irrigation is a key factor in water composition and its seasonality. Our results agreed with those of Causapé et al. (2004), but our study also indicated a relationship between water quality and other agricultural practices conducted in the study area. The distribution of variables in the Euclidean space was dependent on sampling date (Fig. 3B). The R_v coefficient matrix allowed the comparison of the sampling dates and the representation of proximity between dates depending on the analyzed variables. This matrix exhibited the strongest correlation between Apr-10 and Jun-10 ($R_v = 0.737$, table 3). It is during these months when base dressing for rice and corn is performed (April and June respectively, table 1). As shown in similar research of the study area (Darwiche-Criado et al. 2015), these results indicated that the analyzed variables followed a spatiotemporal pattern influenced by the seasonality of adjacent land uses.

In the analysis of compromise, axis I explained the influence of agriculture on water quality in the southern part of the catchment. Sampling stations with highest values on axis I were those located in the agricultural zone of the basin (F8, F9, IC1 and IC2), and were related to Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} -S, Na^+ , Mg^{2+} and EC (Fig. 3B and C). This fact exposed the influence of agricultural activities on water pollution and is also related with soil sanitization of agricultural areas in the southern part of the basin (Pedrocchi 1998). Nevertheless, other saline compounds were not associated with this axis and this could be due to the period in which "Sampling B" was carried out (irrigation season) and thus, to the influence of irrigation return flows. In Monegros County, high-irrigation season occurs in the summer month's causing a dilution of salts concentrations (Causapé et al. 2004). Similar studies performed at the lower part of the basin (Martín-Queller et al. 2010) have reported results of $\text{EC} = 450 \mu\text{S}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}$ during irrigation season and $\text{EC} = 1550 \mu\text{S}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}$ during non-irrigation season. This fact could explain the different position in the Euclidean space of these saline compounds.

NO_3^- -N also contributed to the definition of axis I. Monteagudo et al. (2012) reported the greater influence of irrigation practices in NO_3^- -N export than non-irrigated agriculture. However and due to its location in the Euclidean space, our results showed this sampling site was linked with an urban origin: Huesca wastewater contribution (IW) (Fig.4B and C). In this regard, other studies (Osborne and Wiley 1988; Sliva and Williams 2001; Ngoye and Machiwa 2004) also noted elevated NO_3^- -N concentrations in the streams of urbanized areas.

The variables NH_4^+ -N, TP and PO_4^{3-} -P positively contributed to the definition of axis II and could represent the influence of urban inputs on water quality too. These variables were related to sampling stations I6 and F6, which received inputs from the city of Huesca. Previous studies have reported that the primary source of P and NH_4^+ -N are the urban inputs (Mendiguchía et al. 2007; Neal et al. 2000; Sánchez-Pérez et al. 2009) and similarly for case of NO_2^- -N (Martín-Queller et al. 2010). Braimwood et al. (2004) also observed that urban basins were highly correlated with NH_4^+ ions and reactive PO_4^{3-} -P. Perona et al. (1999) considered that in spring and summer, presence of holiday-makers leads to a high population density in the residential buildings and recreation areas influencing the nutrient content of the river. But besides the influence of seasonal component, different distribution of variables with an urban origin could also indicate dissimilar sources of urban pollution. For instance, while NO_3^- -N could be originated from a point source of pollution (IW, discharge from the wastewater treatment plant of Huesca city), NH_4^+ -N, PT and PO_4^{3-} -P may arise from different urban runoffs and thus from a non-point source (Sliva and Williams 2001).

In the case of SS, Rovira and Batalla (2006) reported that at the annual scale, the SS concentration exhibits a high inter-annual variability according to the number of floods recorded yearly because almost all the suspended load is transported during these events. This result could be the reason for why the SS location in the Euclidean space is separate from the other variables. This parameter is more closely linked to punctual flood events than to the seasonal variations. Oeurng et al. (2011) also reported similar effects.

5. Conclusions

The results of this study revealed the influence of human activities on water quality. The analyses carried out in this study allowed characterizing spatial and seasonal impacts of land uses in Flumen River basin. In urban and agricultural areas, the analyzed variables followed spatio-temporal patterns caused by seasonal variability of the adjacent land uses. In addition to the type of land use, its seasonality was an essential component that influenced the behavior and the pollutants concentration. During the irrigation season, differences in water composition according to the irrigation intensity were found. We also identified the pollutant sources and we distinguished its point or non-point character.

The proper management of this environmental problem must come from the overall knowledge of the river basin and its processes, as well as factors and underlying causes. The information acquired throughout this work will be crucial when taking measures to minimize the effects of water pollution.

Acknowledgements

This work is part of the AGUAFLASH project funded by the Program of Territorial Cooperation “Interreg IVB-SUDOE” (SOE1/P2/F146) which is funded by EU FEDER. Thanks are given to Comarca de Los Monegros for its consistent cooperation in the development of this project. We thank C. Pedrocchi, J. Cervantes, S. G. Eisman, M. García, S. Pérez and A. Barcos for their comments and their crucial assistance in the field and laboratory work. A. Calvo at CHE contributed with key formal cooperation to this work. We also wish to thank the AEMET (Spanish Meteorological Agency) for access to meteorological data.

References

- AEMET (2010). <http://www.aemet.es>. Accessed 26 October 2010
- APHA (2012) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 22nd ed. American Public Health Association, Washington D.C.
- Baker LA (1992) Introduction to nonpoint source pollution in the United States and prospects for wetland use. *Ecolo Eng* 1:1-26
- Bis B, Zdanowicz A, Zalewski M (2000) Effects of catchment properties on hydrochemistry, habitat complexity and invertebrate community structure in a lowland river. *Hydrobiologia* 422-423: 369-387
- Brainwood MA, Burgin S, Maheshwari B (2004) Temporal variations in water quality of farm dams: impacts of land use and water sources. *Agr Water Manage* 70: 151-175
- Bu H, Meng W, Zhang Y, Wan J (2014) Relationships between land use patterns and water quality in the Taizi River basin, China, *Ecol Indic* 41: 187-197
- Caccia VG, Boyer JN (2005) Spatial patterning of water quality in Biscayne Bay, Florida as a function of land use and water management. *Mar Pollut Bull* 50: 1416-1429
- Casey H, Clarke RT (1979) Statistical analysis of nitrate concentrations from the River Frome (Dorset) for the period 1965–76. *Freshwater Biol* 9: 91-97
- Causapé J, Quílez D, Aragüés R (2004) Salt and nitrate concentrations in the surface waters of the CR-V irrigation district (Bardenas I, Spain): diagnosis and prescriptions for reducing off-site contamination. *J Hydrol* 295: 87-100
- Comín FA, Williams WD (1983): Parched continents: Our common future? A paradigm of planetary problems. In: Margalef R (ed.). Elsevier, Dordrecht, pp 473-527
- Darwiche-Criado N, Comín FA, Sorando R, Sánchez-Pérez JM (2015) Seasonal variability of NO_3^- mobilization during flood events in a Mediterranean catchment: The influence of intensive agricultural irrigation. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* 200:208-218. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.11.002>.
- Dermine B, Lamberts L (1987) Nitrate nitrogen in the Belgian course of the Meuse River — Fate of the concentrations and origin of the inputs. *J Hydrol* 93: 91-99
- Grizzetti B, Bouraoui F, De Marsily G (2005) Modelling nitrogen pressure in river basins: A comparison between a statistical approach and the physically-based SWAT model. *Phys Chem Earth Pt A/B/C* 30(8-10): 508-517
- Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson R, Tatham R (2006) Multivariate data analysis, 6th ed. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, International, Inc, NJ
- Hunsaker CT, Levine DA (1995) Hierarchical Approaches to the Study of Water Quality in Rivers. *BioScience* 45: 193-203

- 1 Jain CK (2002) A hydro-chemical study of a mountainous watershed: the Ganga, India. *Water Res* 36:
2 1262–1274.
- 3 Jiménez J-J, Decaëns T, Rossi J-P (2006) Stability of the spatio-temporal distribution and niche overlap
4 in neotropical earthworm assemblages. *Acta Oecol* 30: 299-311
- 5 Jones EBD, Helfman GS, Harper JO, Bolstad PV (1999) Effects of Riparian Forest Removal on Fish
6 Assemblages in Southern Appalachian Streams. *Conserv Biol* 13: 1454-1465
- 7 Kang S, Lin H (2007): Wavelet analysis of hydrological and water quality signals in an agricultural
8 watershed. *J Hydrol* 338: 1-14
- 9 Kuiper, FK, Fisher, L (1975) A Monte Carlo comparison of six clustering procedures. *Biometrics* 31:
10 777-783
- 11 Kroonenberg PM (1989) The analysis of multiple tables in factorial ecology. III: three-mode principle
12 component analyse: "Analyse triadique complète ". *Acta Oecol-Oec Gen* 10: 245-256.
- 13 Lenat D, Crawford JK (1994) Effects of land use on water quality and aquatic biota of three North
14 Carolina Piedmont streams. *Hydrobiologia* 294: 185-199
- 15 Limburg KE, Schmidt, RE (1990) Patterns of Fish Spawning in Hudson River Tributaries: Response to an
16 Urban Gradient? *Ecology* 71: 1238-1245.
- 17 Martín-Queller E, Moreno-Mateos D, Pedrocchi C, Cervantes J, Martínez G (2010) Impacts of intensive
18 agricultural irrigation and livestock farming on a semi-arid Mediterranean catchment. *Environmental*
19 *Monitoring Assessment* 167: 423-435.
- 20 Mendiguchía C, Moreno C, García-Vargas M (2007) Evaluation of natural and anthropogenic influences
21 on the Guadalquivir River (Spain) by dissolved heavy metals and nutrients. *Chemosphere* 69: 1509-1517
- 22 Monteagudo L, Moreno JL, Picazo F (2012) River eutrophication: Irrigated vs. non-irrigated agriculture
23 through different spatial scales. *Water Res* 46: 2759-2771
- 24 Neal C, Jarvie HP, Howarth SM, Whitehead PG, Williams RJ, Neal M, Harrow M, Wickham H (2000)
25 The water quality of the River Kennet: initial observations on a lowland chalk stream impacted by sewage
26 inputs and phosphorus remediation. *Sci Total Environ* 251–252, 477-495
- 27 Ngoye E, Machiwa JF (2004) The influence of land-use patterns in the Ruvu river watershed on water
28 quality in the river system. *Phys Chem Earth PT ABC* 29 (15–18): 1161-1166
- 29 Niemi G, DeVore P, Detenbeck N, Taylor D, Lima A, Pastor J, Yount JD, Naiman R (1990) Overview of
30 case studies on recovery of aquatic systems from disturbance. *Environ Manage* 14: 571-587
- 31 Oeurng C, Sauvage S, Coynel A, Maneux E, Etcheber H, Sánchez-Pérez J-M (2011) Fluvial transport of
32 suspended sediment and organic carbon during flood events in a large agricultural catchment in southwest
33 France. *Hydrol Process* 25: 2365-2378
- 34 Pedrocchi, C (1998) Ecology of Monegros County, patience as survival strategy. IEA and Centro de
35 Desarrollo de los Monegros, Huesca (in Spanish)
- 36 Perona E, Bonilla I, Mateo P (1999) Spatial and temporal changes in water quality in a Spanish river. *Sci*
37 *Total Environ* 241: 75-90
- 38 Quirantes J (1978) Sedimentological and stratigraphic study of the continental Tertiary of Monegros
39 County. *Institución Fernando el Católico, Zaragoza* (in Spanish)

- 1 R Development Core Team (2011) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
2 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL [http://www.R-](http://www.R-project.org/)
3 [project.org/](http://www.R-project.org/).
- 4 Riva-Murray K, Bode RW, Phillips PJ, Wall, GL (2002) Impact source determination with biomonitoring
5 data in New York State: Concordance with enviromental data. *Northeast Nat* 9: 127-162
- 6 Rolland A, Bertrand F, Maumy M, Jacquet S (2009) Assessing phytoplankton structure and spatio-
7 temporal dynamics in a freshwater ecosystem using a powerful multiway statistical analysis. *Water Res*
8 43: 3155-3168
- 9 Rossi J-P (2003) The spatiotemporal pattern of a tropical earthworm species assemblage and its
10 relationship with soil structure. *Pedobiologia* 47: 497-503
- 11 Rovira A, Batalla RJ (2006) Temporal distribution of suspended sediment transport in a Mediterranean
12 basin: The Lower Tordera (NE Spain). *Geomorphology* 79: 58-71
- 13 Sánchez-Pérez JM, Gerino M, Sauvage S, Dumas P, Maneux E, Julien F, Winterton P, Vervier Ph (2009)
14 Effects of nutrient pollution on in-stream nutrient retention in an agricultural watershed. *Ann Limnol – Int*
15 *J Lim* 45: 79-92.
- 16 Seeboonruang U (2012) A statistical assessment of the impact of land uses on surface water quality
17 indexes. *J Environ Manage* 101: 134-142
- 18 Sliva L, Dudley Williams D (2001) Buffer Zone versus Whole Catchment Approaches to Studying Land
19 Use Impact on River Water Quality. *Water Res* 35: 3462-3472
- 20 Thioulouse J, Chessel D (1987) Les analyses multitableaux en écologie factorielle. *Acta Oecol-Oec Gen*
21 84: 463-480.
- 22 Tong STY, Chen W (2002) Modeling the relationship between land use and surface water quality. *J*
23 *Environ Manage* 66: 377-393
- 24 Valder JF, Long AJ, Davis AD, Kenner SJ (2012) Multivariate statistical approach to estimate mixing
25 proportions for unknown end members. *J Hydrol* 460–461, 65-76
- 26 Woodcock T, Mihuc T, Romanowicz E, Allen E (2006) Land-use effects on catchment- and patch-scale
27 habitat and macroinvertebrate responses in the adirondack uplands. *Am Fish Soc Symp* 48: 395-411
- 28 Zhang M-k, Wang L-p, He Z-l (2007) Spatial and temporal variation of nitrogen exported by runoff from
29 sandy agricultural soils. *J Environ Sci* 19: 1086-1092

Table captions

Table 1. Times of agricultural activities in the Flumen River basin.

Table 2. Mean values of analyzed variables in each sampling station.

Table 3. Matrix of vectorial correlation coefficients between the tables (RV).

1 **Figure captions**

2 **Fig. 1** Location of the Flumen River catchment in the Ebro basin, land use distribution and sampling
3 stations within the study area.

4 **Fig. 2** Dendrogram of Cluster Analysis classifying sampling stations corresponding to “Sampling A”.

5 **Fig. 3** Ordination of sampling dates on the factorial plane defined by the first two axes of the PCA on the
6 inter-structure matrix in PTA-1(A). The compromise analysis. Coordinates of analyzed variables in the
7 first plane (axes I–II) of the compromise and histogram of eigenvalues identifying the prominence of the
8 two first axes that define the average spatial structure (B). Projections of the sampling stations in the first
9 plane (axes I–II) of the compromise (C). Weight of each table (α_k) in the construction of the compromise,
10 and quality index of the compromise’s structure ($\cos^2$) for each sampling date (D).