Mu-tau neutrino refraction and collective three-flavor transformations in supernovae

Andreu Esteban-Pretel,¹ Sergio Pastor,¹ Ricard Tomàs,¹ Georg G. Raffelt,² and Günter Sigl^{3,4}

¹*Institut de Física Corpuscular, CSIC-Universitat de València, Ed. Instituts d'Investigació, Ap. correus 22085, 46071 València, Spain*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut, Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany*

³*II. Institut für theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany*

⁴*APC* (AstroParticules et Cosmologie), 10, rue Alice Domon et Léonie Duquet, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France*

(Received 17 December 2007; published 27 March 2008)

We study three-flavor collective neutrino transformations in the dense-neutrino region above the neutrino sphere of a supernova core. We find that two-flavor conversions driven by the atmospheric mass difference and the 13-mixing angle capture the full effect if one neglects the second-order difference between the ν_μ and ν_τ refractive index. Including this “mu-tau matter term” provides a resonance at a density of $\rho \approx 3 \times 10^7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ that typically causes significant modifications of the overall ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ survival probabilities. This effect is surprisingly sensitive to deviations from maximal 23-mixing, being different for each octant.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.77.065024

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 97.60.Bw

I. INTRODUCTION

Neutrinos of different flavor suffer different refraction in matter [1]. The energy shift between ν_e and ν_μ or ν_τ is $\Delta V = \sqrt{2}G_F Y_e n_B$ with G_F the Fermi constant, n_B the baryon density, and $Y_e = n_e/n_B$ the electron fraction. ΔV is caused by the charged-current ν_e -electron interaction that is absent for ν_μ and ν_τ . For a matter density $\rho = 1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ we have $\sqrt{2}G_F n_B = 7.6 \times 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$, yet this small energy shift is large enough to be of almost universal importance for neutrino oscillation physics.

In normal matter, μ and τ leptons appear only as virtual states in radiative corrections to neutral-current ν_μ and ν_τ scattering, causing a shift $\Delta V_{\mu\tau} = \sqrt{2}G_F Y_\tau^{\text{eff}} n_B$ between ν_μ and ν_τ . It has the same effect on neutrino dispersion as real τ leptons with an abundance [2]

$$Y_\tau^{\text{eff}} = \frac{3\sqrt{2}G_F m_\tau^2}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{m_W^2}{m_\tau^2}\right) - 1 + \frac{Y_n}{3} \right] = 2.7 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (1)$$

where $n_e = n_p$ was assumed. For the neutron abundance we have used $Y_n = n_n/n_B = 0.5$, but it provides only a 2.5% correction so that its exact value is irrelevant. A large nonstandard contribution to Y_τ^{eff} can arise from radiative corrections in supersymmetric models [3], but we will here focus on the standard model effect alone.

This “mu-tau matter effect” modifies oscillations if $\Delta V_{\mu\tau} \gtrsim \Delta m^2/2E$. For propagation through the Earth and for $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 = 2\text{--}3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, this occurs for neutrino energies $E \gtrsim 100 \text{ TeV}$. The oscillation length then far exceeds r_{Earth} so that $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ is irrelevant for the high-energy neutrinos that are searched for by neutrino telescopes.

Alternatively, the mu-tau matter effect can be important at the large densities encountered by neutrinos streaming

off a supernova (SN) core [4]. For $E = 20 \text{ MeV}$ the condition $\Delta V_{\mu\tau} = \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2/2E$ implies $\rho \approx 3 \times 10^7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. Numerical SN density profiles [5] reveal that this occurs far beyond the shock-wave radius during the accretion phase, but retracts close to the neutrino sphere after the explosion has begun. To illustrate this point we show in Fig. 1 the same matter density profiles as in Ref. [5] at 1 ms

FIG. 1 (color online). Density profiles in terms of the weak potential $\Delta V = \sqrt{2}G_F n_e$ at 1 ms and 1 s post bounce of the numerical SN models described in Ref. [5] (solid lines). The dashed lines represent the simplified matter profile of Eq. (4) for $\lambda_0 = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_0 = 5 \times 10^9 \text{ km}^{-1}$, used in our numerical calculations in Fig. 2. As horizontal bands we indicate the conditions $\Delta V_{\mu\tau} = \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2/2E$, $\Delta V = \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2/2E$, and $\Delta V = \Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2/2E$ for a typical range of SN neutrino energies. The gray shaded range of radii corresponds to the region of collective neutrino transformations. Within the radius r_{syn} the collective oscillations are of the synchronized type.

*UMR 7164 (CNRS, Université Paris 7, CEA, Observatoire de Paris)

post bounce (lighter, red line) and at 1 s post bounce (darker, blue line). As a green (upper) horizontal band we indicate the condition $\Delta V_{\mu\tau} = \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2/2E$ for a typical range of SN neutrino energies, whereas the yellow (middle) and light-blue (lower) bands indicate the densities corresponding to the H-resonance (driven by Δm_{atm}^2) and the L-resonance (driven by Δm_{sol}^2). The ν_μ , ν_τ , $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ fluxes from a SN are virtually identical, leaving the $\mu\tau$ -resonance moot, whereas the H- and L-resonances cause well-understood consequences that are completely described by the energy-dependent swapping probabilities for ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ with some combination ν_x of the μ and τ flavor [6]. Therefore, the traditional view has been that genuine three-flavor effects play no role for SN neutrino oscillations unless mu and tau neutrinos are produced with different fluxes [4].

In a recent series of papers [7–22] it was recognized, however, that the traditional picture was not complete: neutrino-neutrino interactions cause large collective flavor transformations in the SN region out to a few 100 km (gray shaded region in Fig. 1). With the exception of Refs. [21,22], only two-flavor conversions driven by Δm_{atm}^2 and the small Θ_{13} have thus far been studied.

We here extend our previous numerical solutions [17] to the case of three neutrino flavors. Our main results can be summarized as follows: (i) A two-flavor treatment indeed captures the full effect if one ignores $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ and if the ordinary Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein (MSW) resonances occur outside of the collective neutrino region. (ii) Including $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ strongly modifies the ν_e or $\bar{\nu}_e$ survival probabilities, influencing the neutrino signal from the next galactic SN. (iii) The effect depends sensitively on a possible deviation from maximal Θ_{23} . The purpose of our paper is to provide a first illustration of these findings that no doubt need to be refined in the future.

Our work is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the equations of motion which are solved for the three-flavor neutrino fluxes in a simplified scenario for the SN environment. In Sec. III we consider the limit of a vanishing $\mu\tau$ matter effect, while our results when it is significant are described in Sec. IV. We conclude in Sec. V.

II. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Mixed neutrinos are described by matrices of density ρ_p and $\bar{\rho}_p$ for each (anti)neutrino mode. The diagonal entries are the usual occupation numbers whereas the off-diagonal terms encode phase information. The equations of motion (EOMs) are

$$i \partial_t \varrho_p = [H_p, \varrho_p], \quad (2)$$

where the Hamiltonian is [23]

$$H_p = \Omega_p + V + \sqrt{2}G_F \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} (\varrho_q - \bar{\varrho}_q)(1 - \mathbf{v}_q \cdot \mathbf{v}_p), \quad (3)$$

$\mathbf{v}_p$ being the velocity. The matrix of vacuum oscillation frequencies is $\Omega_p = \text{diag}(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2)/2|\mathbf{p}|$ in the mass basis. The matter effect is represented, in the weak-interaction basis, by $V = \sqrt{2}G_F n_B \text{diag}(Y_e, 0, Y_\tau^{\text{eff}})$. For antineutrinos the only difference is $\Omega_p \rightarrow -\Omega_p$.

In spherical symmetry the EOMs can be expressed as a closed set of differential equations along the radial direction [17,20]. We solve them numerically as previously described [17], now using 3×3 matrices instead of polarization vectors. The factor $(1 - \mathbf{v}_q \cdot \mathbf{v}_p)$ in the Hamiltonian implies “multiangle effects” for neutrinos moving on different trajectories [8,9,11]. However, for realistic SN conditions the modifications are small, allowing for a single-angle approximation. We implement this approximation by launching all neutrinos with 45° relative to the radial direction [17].

As a further simplification we use a monochromatic spectrum ($E = 20$ MeV), ignoring the “spectral splits” caused by collective oscillation effects [11,15,16,18,20]. Oscillation effects require flavor-dependent flux differences. One expects $F_{\nu_e} > F_{\bar{\nu}_e} > F_{\nu_\mu} = F_{\bar{\nu}_\mu} = F_{\nu_\tau} = F_{\bar{\nu}_\tau}$. The equal parts of the fluxes drop out of the EOMs, so as the initial condition we use $F_{\nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu, \nu_\tau, \bar{\nu}_\tau} = 0$ and $F_{\nu_e} = (1 + \epsilon)F_{\bar{\nu}_e}$ with $\epsilon = 0.25$.

For the neutrino parameters we use $\Delta m_{12}^2 = \Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2 = 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{13}^2 = \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\sin^2 \Theta_{12} = 0.32$, $\sin^2 \Theta_{13} = 0.01$, and a vanishing Dirac phase $\delta = 0$, all consistent with measurements [24–26]. We consider the entire allowed range $0.35 \leq \sin^2 \Theta_{23} \leq 0.65$ because our results depend sensitively on Θ_{23} .

We use a fixed matter profile of the form $\rho \propto r^{-3}$, implying a radial variation of the weak potential of

$$\Delta V = Y_e \lambda_0 \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^3, \quad (4)$$

where $R = 10$ km is our nominal neutrino-sphere radius and $Y_e = 0.5$. In Fig. 1 we show this profile (dashed lines) for two different values of $\lambda_0 = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_0 = 5 \times 10^9 \text{ km}^{-1}$. For the former case, the H-resonance is at $r_H = 1.9 \times 10^3$ km, the L-resonance at $r_L = 8.3 \times 10^3$ km, and the $\mu\tau$ -resonance at $r_{\mu\tau} = 71$ km. For the latter they are at $r_H = 2.0 \times 10^4$ km, $r_L = 9.0 \times 10^4$ km, and $r_{\mu\tau} = 760$ km.¹

The strength of the neutrino-neutrino interaction can be parametrized by

¹We loosely refer to the radius where $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2/2E = \Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ as the $\mu\tau$ resonance, although this would be correct only for a small vacuum mixing angle in the 23-subsystem.

$$\mu_0 = \sqrt{2}G_F(F_{\bar{\nu}_e}^R - F_{\bar{\nu}_x}^R), \quad (5)$$

where the fluxes are taken at the neutrino-sphere radius R . As in our previous work [17] we shall assume $\mu_0 = 7 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^{-1}$. In the single-angle approximation where all neutrinos are launched with 45° relative to the radial direction [17], the radial dependence of the neutrino-neutrino interaction strength can be explicitly written as

$$\mu(r) = \mu_0 \frac{R^4}{r^4} \frac{1}{2 - R^2/r^2}. \quad (6)$$

While the r^{-4} scaling of $\mu(r)$ for $r \gg R$ is generic, the overall strength μ_0 depends on the neutrino fluxes and on their angular divergence, i.e., on the true radius of the neutrino sphere. Our $R = 10 \text{ km}$ is not meant to represent the physical neutrino sphere, it is only a nominal radius where we fix the inner boundary condition for our calculation.

The collective neutrino oscillations are of the synchronized type within the ‘‘synchronization radius.’’ For our chosen μ_0 and for the assumed excess ν_e flux of 25% we find $r_{\text{syn}} \simeq 100 \text{ km}$ as indicated in Fig. 1. Collective flavor transformations occur at $r > r_{\text{syn}}$. Therefore, the $\mu\tau$ matter effect can be important only if it is sufficiently large for $r > r_{\text{syn}}$.

Figure 1 illustrates that the region where the $\mu\tau$ -resonance takes place depends on the time after bounce. For realistic values of the matter density profile and neutrino-neutrino interaction, one expects $r_{\mu\tau}$ to lie far beyond the collective region at early times. This can be inferred from the relative position of r_{syn} and the intersection of the 1 ms profile and the green (upper) band. At later times though the proto neutron star contracts and $r_{\mu\tau}$ moves to smaller radii. Eventually $r_{\mu\tau}$ becomes smaller than r_{syn} , at which point $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ becomes irrelevant.

In order to mimic these different situations we will use a simple power-law matter profile of the form in Eq. (4). In other words, we will use a mu-tau matter potential of the form

$$\Delta V_{\mu\tau} = Y_\tau^{\text{eff}} \lambda_0 \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^3, \quad (7)$$

with a fixed Y_τ^{eff} given by Eq. (1) and a variable coefficient λ_0 . Therefore early and late times can be reproduced by considering large and small values of λ_0 , respectively, as can be seen in Fig. 1. In other words, we will always assume that the ordinary MSW resonances are far outside of the collective neutrino region, whereas the $\mu\tau$ resonance can lie at smaller (vanishing $\mu\tau$ matter effect) or larger (large $\mu\tau$ matter effect) radii than r_{syn} .

III. VANISHING MU-TAU MATTER EFFECT

As a first case we consider the traditional assumption of a vanishing $\mu\tau$ matter effect, which we account for using a

value of $\lambda_0 = 4 \times 10^6$. We assume an inverted Δm_{atm}^2 and use a nonmaximal value $\sin^2 \Theta_{23} = 0.4$. Our numerical calculations for this case are shown in the top row of Fig. 2. The first two panels correspond to the radial evolution of the fluxes of the weak-interaction eigenstates of neutrinos and antineutrinos, respectively, whereas in the last two panels we show the evolution of the propagation eigenstates. These are the eigenstates of $\Omega_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{V}$, i.e., of that part of the Hamiltonian Eq. (3) that does not include the neutrino-neutrino interactions. In the collective neutrino region, we observe the usual pair conversion of the ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ fluxes into the μ and τ flavors. Had we chosen a maximal 23-mixing angle, the appearance curves for these flavors would be identical.

For larger distances the evolution consists of ordinary MSW transformations that are best pictured in the basis of instantaneous propagation eigenstates in matter (last two panels). Beyond the collective transformation region, all neutrinos and antineutrinos stay fixed in their propagation eigenstates. In the weak-interaction basis, on the other hand, this implies fast oscillations because we have a fixed energy, preventing kinematical decoherence between different energy modes. In the panels for neutrino and antineutrino interaction states, for radii beyond the dense-neutrino region we show as thick lines the average evolution as well as the envelopes of the fast-oscillating flavor fluxes.

Another way of describing this evolution is by the level crossing schemes of Fig. 3. The upper panel represents the case of vanishing $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$, corresponding to Fig. 5d of Ref. [6]. The central panel represents the case with large $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ and a 23-mixing angle in the first octant and is similar to Fig. 2 of Ref. [4]. In such plots one shows the neutrino energy levels as a function of the matter density. The continuation of this diagram to negative densities gives us the energy levels of antineutrinos: the neutrino energy at a negative density really means the antineutrino energy at the corresponding positive density. For vanishing density (vacuum), we have the three vacuum mass eigenstates that are identical for neutrinos and antineutrinos. The blue (upper) line corresponds to propagation eigenstate 2, the green (middle) line to 1, and the red (bottom) line to 3, a scheme representing the inverted hierarchy case. These lines represent the propagation eigenstates that are adiabatically connected for different densities.

While in vacuum the propagation eigenstates coincide with the mass eigenstates, at large densities they correspond to weak-interaction eigenstates. For vanishing $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ and at the low energies relevant to our problem, the μ and τ flavor are not distinguishable so that any convenient linear combination can be chosen as interaction eigenstates. It is convenient to introduce the states ν'_μ and ν'_τ that correspond to a vanishing 23-mixing angle, i.e., they diagonalize the 23-subsystem. If the small 13-mixing angle were to vanish, the 3-mass eigenstate would coincide with ν'_τ . In

FIG. 2 (color online). Radial evolution of the neutrino fluxes, normalized to the initial $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux, for a fixed neutrino energy ($E_\nu = 20$ MeV) and an inverted Δm_{atm}^2 . From left to right: neutrino weak eigenstates, antineutrino weak eigenstates, neutrino propagation eigenstates, and antineutrino propagation eigenstates. In the first two columns, after bipolar conversions we show the average as thick lines and the envelopes of the fast-oscillating curves as thin lines. The top row shows the case of a vanishing $\mu\tau$ matter effect, while the three bottom rows use a large $\mu\tau$ effect with different values for the 23-mixing angle as indicated.

the upper panel of Fig. 3 and using the $(\nu_e, \nu'_\mu, \nu'_\tau)$ basis, the 2-state connects adiabatically to ν_e and $\bar{\nu}'_\mu$, whereas the 3-state connects adiabatically to $\bar{\nu}_e$ and ν'_τ .

At the neutrino sphere, the fluxes are prepared in ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ eigenstates, which in the case of inverted mass hierarchy coincide with the propagation (or matter) eigenstates ν_2^m and $\bar{\nu}_3^m$, respectively. In the absence of neutrino-neutrino

interactions, since the L-resonance is always adiabatic, the ν_e 's leave the star as ν_2 . In the case of $\bar{\nu}_e$ the evolution depends on $\sin^2\Theta_{13}$ [6]. For values larger than 10^{-3} they propagate also adiabatically (MSW transformation) and escape as $\bar{\nu}_3$, whereas for values smaller than 10^{-5} the transition at the H-resonance is strongly nonadiabatic: there is a jump of matter eigenstates from $\bar{\nu}_3^m$ to $\bar{\nu}_1^m$ and

FIG. 3 (color online). Level crossing scheme of neutrino conversion for the inverted hierarchy in a medium with a vanishing $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ (upper panel) and a large $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ with 23-mixing in the first octant (central panel) or the second octant (lower panel). The arrows indicate the transitions caused by collective flavor transformations.

the $\bar{\nu}_e$'s leave the star as $\bar{\nu}_1$. As a consequence, the survival probability is $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) \approx \sin^2 \Theta_{12}$ and $P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) \approx \sin^2 \Theta_{13}$ or $\cos^2 \Theta_{12}$ for large and small Θ_{13} , respectively.

In the presence of neutrino-neutrino interactions, important collective effects take place in the inner SN layers, where the neutrino density is high. We observe in the first two panels of Fig. 2 that collective pair transformations

convert the ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ fluxes to ν_e' and $\bar{\nu}_e'$ as indicated by the arrows in the upper panel of Fig. 3. The consequences for the subsequent evolution are dramatic. In the case of ν_e a fraction equal to $\epsilon F_{\bar{\nu}_e}$ stays in ν_2^m and evolves as in the absence of neutrino-neutrino interactions, while the rest of ν_e are transformed to ν_3^m . As a consequence, the final ν_e flux, normalized to the initial $\bar{\nu}_e$ one, is expected to be approximately $\epsilon \sin^2 \Theta_{12} \approx 0.08$, see thick line in the upper left panel in Fig. 2. In the case of antineutrinos the effect of the collective pair conversion is to interchange the eigenstates in which $\bar{\nu}_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ arrive at the H-resonance. Now $\bar{\nu}_e$ enters the resonance as $\bar{\nu}_1^m$ instead of $\bar{\nu}_3^m$. Therefore, for $\sin^2 \Theta_{13} \gtrsim 10^{-3}$ the resonance is adiabatic and the $\bar{\nu}_e$'s leave the star as $\bar{\nu}_1$, leading to a final normalized flux of approximately $\cos^2 \Theta_{12} \approx 0.68$, see the thick line in the second panel in Fig. 2. Instead, if $\sin^2 \Theta_{13} \lesssim 10^{-5}$ again there is a jump of matter eigenstates from $\bar{\nu}_1^m$ to $\bar{\nu}_3^m$ at the H-resonance. In this case $\bar{\nu}_e$ leaves the star as $\bar{\nu}_3$, leading to a normalized $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux equal to $\sin^2 \Theta_{13}$.

The impact of collective effects is easier to understand if we follow the previous literature [10,12] and observe that, in a two-flavor system, the impact of ordinary matter can be transformed away by going into a rotating reference frame for the polarization vectors. Collective conversions proceed in the same way as they would in vacuum, except that the effective mixing angle is reduced. Therefore, assuming an inverted hierarchy (IH) for the atmospheric mass splitting and a normal hierarchy (NH) for the solar splitting, we should consider the level scheme as in the upper left panel of Fig. 4. The mass eigenstates now approximately coincide with the interaction eigenstates because the 23-mixing angle was removed by going to the primed states, and the mixing angles involving ν_e are effectively made small by the presence of matter. Of course, this level scheme does not adiabatically connect to the true vacuum situation.

The initial state consists of ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ and thus essentially of ν_1 and $\bar{\nu}_1$. Collective conversions driven by Δm_{atm}^2 then transform $\nu_1 \bar{\nu}_1$ pairs to $\nu_3 \bar{\nu}_3$ pairs in the familiar two-flavor way. If both hierarchies are normal, we begin in the lowest-lying state and nothing happens. In the hypothetical case where both hierarchies are inverted (upper right panel in Fig. 4), we begin in the highest state and Δm_{atm}^2 drives us directly to the lowest state. Finally, if the atmospheric hierarchy is normal and the solar one is inverted (lower right panel in Fig. 4), collective transformations driven by Δm_{sol}^2 take us to the lowest state.

We have numerically solved the evolution of the three-flavor system with a realistic SN matter profile and found that the results confirm this simple picture. In a two-flavor treatment, the much smaller Δm_{sol}^2 leads to collective transformations at a much larger radius than Δm_{atm}^2 . In a three-flavor treatment, Δm_{atm}^2 therefore acts first and takes us directly to the lowest-lying state if the atmospheric hierarchy is inverted. Otherwise only the hypothetical case of

FIG. 4 (color online). Vacuum level diagram for all hypothetical combinations of atmospheric and solar mass hierarchies (normal or inverted). The 12 and 13-mixing angles are assumed to be very small, mimicking the effect of ordinary matter. The effect of collective conversions is indicated by an arrow.

the lower right panel in Fig. 4 is an example where Δm_{sol}^2 plays any role. We have numerically verified that normal Δm_{atm}^2 combined with inverted Δm_{sol}^2 is the only case where Δm_{sol}^2 drives collective transformations. Since Δm_{sol}^2 is measured to be normal, the previous two-flavor treatments based on Δm_{atm}^2 and Θ_{13} fortuitously capture the full effect.

We conclude that in the limit of a vanishing $\mu\tau$ effect the collective flavor transformations and the subsequent MSW evolution factorize and that the collective effects are correctly treated in a two-flavor picture. Of course, this situation may change if the matter profile is so shallow that the ordinary MSW effects occur in the same region as the collective phenomena [21].

IV. LARGE MU-TAU MATTER EFFECT

Next we calculate the flavor evolution for the same model, now including a significant $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$, i.e. we assume a large λ_0 . In this case the flavor content of the neutrino and antineutrino fluxes emerging from the SN surface depend on the strength of $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ as well as the choice of Θ_{23} , as can be seen in the corresponding panels of Fig. 2. This dependence is best illustrated with the help of the contour plot

Fig. 5 where we show the ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ fluxes emerging from the SN, averaged over fast vacuum oscillations.

If $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ is so large that the mu-tau effect is strong in the region of collective neutrino oscillations, there are two stable limiting cases, depending on the 23-mixing angle. If the mixing angle is sufficiently nonmaximal and in the first octant, the collective oscillations transform the initially prepared ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ fluxes to the propagation eigenstates as indicated by the arrows in the middle panel of Fig. 3, i.e., we observe pair transformations to $\nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$.

This behavior is understood if we assume that in the $\mu\tau$ system we can once more go to a rotating frame and now simply imagine that the 23-mixing angle is effectively small by the impact of the $\mu\tau$ matter effect. In this case $\nu_3 \approx \nu_\tau$. Since collective quasivacuum oscillations take us to the lowest-lying state, the ν_3 state in the inverted hierarchy, we are effectively taken to $\nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$ pairs. Instead, if the 23-mixing angle is in the second octant, ν_μ and ν_τ switch roles, explaining that now $\nu_3 \approx \nu_\mu$ and $\bar{\nu}_3 \approx \bar{\nu}_\mu$.

These are only heuristic explanations. We expect that they can be made precise in a true analytic three-flavor treatment of collective neutrino oscillations along the lines of Ref. [22].

For intermediate values of $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ and for 23-mixing angles near maximal, the final fluxes depend sensitively on parameters. For intermediate values of $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$, there are also nontrivial effects for the normal hierarchy. The collective effects do not place the ensemble into propagation eigenstates, preventing a simple interpretation. The sensitive dependence for intermediate $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ is also illustrated in Fig. 6 where we show the emerging average ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ fluxes as functions of λ_0 for two values of Θ_{23} , one in the first and the other in the second octant. In Fig. 7 we show the same ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ fluxes as functions of $\sin^2 \Theta_{23}$ for $\lambda_0 = 1.85 \times 10^9 \text{ km}^{-1}$. One can notice how the fall of $\bar{\rho}_{ee}$ is not exactly centered at $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$ but slightly shifted to smaller values. This is due to second-order corrections to the $\mu\tau$ resonance condition.

This dependence on the Θ_{23} octant leads to a clear imprint on the final survival probability. Let us first consider the first octant. In the case of ν_e a fraction equal to $\epsilon F_{\bar{\nu}_e}$ stays in ν_2^m . However the presence of the $\mu\tau$ -resonance in the neutrino channel makes the rest of the ν_e to be transformed to ν_1^m . Their subsequent evolution would depend on the adiabaticity of the $\mu\tau$ -resonance, but it has been shown to be always adiabatic [4]. As a consequence, the final ν_e flux is expected to be approximately $\cos^2 \Theta_{12} + \epsilon \sin^2 \Theta_{12} \approx 0.76$, see thick line in the left panel of the second row in Fig. 2. In the case of antineutrinos the situation is completely analogous to the case of vanishing $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ so that $P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) \approx \cos^2 \Theta_{12}$ or $\sin^2 \Theta_{13}$, depending on the value of Θ_{13} .

If Θ_{23} belongs to the second octant, then the $\mu\tau$ -resonance lies in the antineutrino channel. The crucial point is that now all $\bar{\nu}_e$ are transformed to $\bar{\nu}_\mu = \bar{\nu}_2^m$ before

FIG. 5 (color online). Contours in the space of $\sin^2\Theta_{23}$ and λ_0 for the ν_e (top) and $\bar{\nu}_e$ (bottom) fluxes emerging from the SN surface for both normal (right) and inverted (left) mass hierarchy. All fluxes are normalized to the initial $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux. We show values averaged over fast vacuum oscillations.

reaching the $\mu\tau$ -resonance, see the lower panel in Fig. 3. Taking into account that $\bar{\nu}_2^m$ does not encounter the H-resonance, the survival probability will be always $P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) \approx \sin^2\Theta_{12}$, independently of the value of Θ_{13} . On the other hand neutrinos do not feel the $\mu\tau$ -resonance and therefore their propagation is the same as in the vanishing $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ case.

We present in Table I a summary of the cases discussed so far. One can see the importance of the presence of collective neutrino effects, as well as the dependence on the strength of the mu-tau matter effect.

Another interesting feature concerns the position of r_{syn} in the presence of a large $\mu\tau$ matter effect. As can be seen comparing the first two rows of Fig. 2, the radius where collective neutrino transformations begin is slightly larger ($r_{\text{syn}} \approx 115$ km) when we include a significant $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$. We have checked that, while for a small $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ the position of r_{syn} is independent of Θ_{23} , for a large $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ the onset of bipolar transformations is delayed for nonzero values of Θ_{23} . This effect is largest for maximal mixing ($\Theta_{23} =$

$\pi/4$) and symmetric relative to $\Theta_{23} = \pi/4$. A full understanding of this variation presumably requires an analytic three-flavor treatment in the spirit of Ref. [22].

TABLE I. Summary of the approximate values of the $\bar{\nu}_e$ survival probability for an inverted hierarchy, including or not collective effects. Here a small (large) mixing angle Θ_{13} stands for $\sin^2\Theta_{13} \lesssim 10^{-5}$ ($\sin^2\Theta_{13} \gtrsim 10^{-3}$), while a small (large) $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ represents $r_{\mu\tau}$ being smaller (larger) than r_{syn} .

Collective effects	$\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$	Θ_{23}	Θ_{13}	$\bar{\nu}_e$ leaves as	$P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e)$
no	any	any	small	$\bar{\nu}_1$	$\cos^2\Theta_{12}$
no	any	any	large	$\bar{\nu}_3$	$\sin^2\Theta_{13}$
yes	small	any	small	$\bar{\nu}_3$	$\sin^2\Theta_{13}$
yes	small	any	large	$\bar{\nu}_1$	$\cos^2\Theta_{12}$
yes	large	$<\pi/4$	small	$\bar{\nu}_3$	$\sin^2\Theta_{13}$
yes	large	$<\pi/4$	large	$\bar{\nu}_1$	$\cos^2\Theta_{12}$
yes	large	$>\pi/4$	any	$\bar{\nu}_2$	$\sin^2\Theta_{12}$

FIG. 6 (color online). Fluxes of ν_e (top) and $\bar{\nu}_e$ (bottom), normalized to the initial $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux, emerging from the SN as a function of λ_0 for a 23-mixing angle in the first (red line) or second (blue line) octant. These curves represent cuts through the inverted hierarchy contour plots of Fig. 5 at the indicated values of $\sin^2 \Theta_{23}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

At the relatively low energies relevant for SN neutrinos, charged mu and tau leptons cannot be produced so that mu- and tau-flavored neutrinos are not distinguishable in the SN or in detectors. (In the inner core of a SN the temperatures may be high enough to produce a significant thermal muon density, but this would not affect the emission from the neutrino sphere.) The impact of the small second-order difference between the ν_μ and ν_τ refractive index does not produce observable effects as long as one only considers the traditional MSW flavor conversion [4].

The picture changes if one includes the unavoidable effect of collective neutrino transformations in the region above the neutrino sphere. If the matter density is large enough that $\Delta V_{\mu\tau}$ is comparable to or larger than $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2/2E$, the survival probability of ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ can be completely modified and depends sensitively on the mixing angle Θ_{23} . In the future one should also include non-monochromatic energy spectra, leading to spectral split

FIG. 7 (color online). Fluxes of ν_e (top) and $\bar{\nu}_e$ (bottom), normalized to the initial $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux, emerging from the SN as a function of $\sin^2 \Theta_{23}$ for $\lambda_0 = 1.85 \times 10^9 \text{ km}^{-1}$. These curves represent cuts through the inverted hierarchy contour plots of Fig. 5 at the indicated value of λ_0 .

phenomena that could be more complicated than the previously studied two-flavor cases. One should also explore the impact of realistic angular distributions and of a non-zero Dirac phase in the neutrino mixing matrix [27].

Lower-mass progenitors may collapse with a O-Ne-Mg core and, on the computer, explode easily because there is very little mass in the envelope [28]. Even at core bounce and immediately afterward, the density profile is so shallow that the ordinary H- and L-resonances may occur within the collective neutrino region [21]. In this case the effects discussed here are irrelevant because the mu-tau matter effect is negligible. Probably our effects are also negligible during the cooling phase of an iron-core SN. However, flavor oscillation effects are probably largest during the accretion phase of an iron-core SN where the flavor dependence of the spectra and fluxes is more pronounced than during the cooling phase [29].

When it is important, the mu-tau matter effect adds one more layer of complication to the already vexed problem of collective SN neutrino oscillations. It was previously recognized that “ordinary” collective oscillations are almost

completely insensitive to the smallness of Θ_{13} as long as it is not exactly zero. Here we have found the opposite for the large mixing angle Θ_{23} that is often assumed to be maximal. Even small deviations from maximal 23-mixing can imprint themselves in the collective oscillation effect. Both results are counter-intuitive and opposite to ordinary flavor oscillations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Akhmedov for an illuminating correspondence, A. Mirizzi for comments on the manuscript, and B. Dasgupta and A. Dighe for helpful comments and for

making their manuscript [22] available before completion. This work was partly supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant No. TR-27 “Neutrinos and Beyond”), by the Cluster of Excellence “Origin and Structure of the Universe” (Garching and Munich), by the European Union (Contracts No. RII3-CT-2004-506222 and No. MRTN-CT-2004-503369), and by the Spanish Grants No. FPA2005-01269 (MEC) and No. ACOMP07-270 (Generalitat Valenciana). A. E. was supported by an FPU grant from the Spanish Government. S. P. and R. T. were supported by MEC contracts (*Ramón y Cajal* and *Juan de la Cierva*, respectively).

-
- [1] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2369 (1978).
 - [2] F. J. Botella, C. S. Lim, and W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 896 (1987).
 - [3] E. Roulet, Phys. Lett. B **356**, 264 (1995).
 - [4] E. Kh. Akhmedov, C. Lunardini, and A. Yu. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. **B643**, 339 (2002).
 - [5] A. Arcones, H. T. Janka, and L. Scheck, Astron. Astrophys. **467**, 1227 (2007).
 - [6] A. S. Dighe and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 033007 (2000).
 - [7] S. Pastor and G. G. Raffelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 191101 (2002).
 - [8] R. F. Sawyer, arXiv:hep-ph/0408265.
 - [9] R. F. Sawyer, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 045003 (2005).
 - [10] H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, and Y. Z. Qian, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 123004 (2006).
 - [11] H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, J. Carlson, and Y. Z. Qian, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 105014 (2006).
 - [12] S. Hannestad, G. G. Raffelt, G. Sigl, and Y. Y. Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 105010 (2006).
 - [13] G. G. Raffelt and G. Sigl, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 083002 (2007).
 - [14] H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, J. Carlson, and Y. Z. Qian, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 125005 (2007).
 - [15] G. G. Raffelt and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 081301 (2007).
 - [16] G. G. Raffelt and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 125008 (2007).
 - [17] A. Esteban-Pretel, S. Pastor, R. Tomàs, G. G. Raffelt, and G. Sigl, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 125018 (2007).
 - [18] H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, and Y. Z. Qian, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 085013 (2007).
 - [19] H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, J. Carlson, and Y. Z. Qian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 241802 (2007).
 - [20] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, and A. Mirizzi, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **12** (2007) 010.
 - [21] H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, J. Carlson, and Y. Z. Qian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 021101 (2008).
 - [22] B. Dasgupta and A. Dighe, arXiv:0712.3798.
 - [23] G. Sigl and G. G. Raffelt, Nucl. Phys. **B406**, 423 (1993).
 - [24] M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola, and J. W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. **6**, 122 (2004).
 - [25] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, and A. Palazzo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **57**, 742 (2006).
 - [26] M. C. González-García and M. Maltoni, arXiv:0704.1800 [Phys. Rep. (to be published)].
 - [27] A. B. Balantekin, J. Gava, and C. Volpe, arXiv:0710.3112.
 - [28] F. S. Kitaura, H.-T. Janka, and W. Hillebrandt, Astron. Astrophys. **450**, 345 (2006).
 - [29] M. T. Keil, G. G. Raffelt, and H. T. Janka, Astrophys. J. **590**, 971 (2003).