Highlights

► The choice of solvent (hexane or acetone) in a fractionation process is a matter of great importance. ► We study the fractionation of high oleic-high stearic sunflower oil to determine the best solvent. ► Acetone was more suitable since higher temperatures and lower supercooling degrees can be used. ► Solvent stearins coming from dry fractionation sunflower stearins were produced at higher yields. ► The combination of dry and solvent fractionation made it possible to obtain tailor-made stearins.

**Effect of solvents on the fractionation of high oleic–high stearic
sunflower oil**

Miguel A. Bootello, Rafael Garcés, Enrique Martínez-Force, Joaquín J. Salas*

Instituto de la Grasa (CSIC), Av. Padre García Tejero, 4, 41012, Sevilla, Spain

*Corresponding author: Joaquín J. Salas, Instituto de la Grasa (CSIC), Av. Padre García
Tejero, 4, 41012, Sevilla, Spain. E-mail address: jjsalas@cica.es;
Tel.: +34 954611550x264; Fax: +34 954616790

Keywords: High oleic high stearic sunflower oil, sunflower hard stearin, solvent
fractionation, acetone, hexane, thermal behavior.

Running title: Solvent fractionation of stearic sunflower oil

Abstract

Solvent fractionation of high oleic-high stearic (HOHS) sunflower oil was studied to determine the best solvent to use (hexane or acetone) in terms of the operational parameters and the properties of the final stearins. Acetone fractionation of two types of HOHS sunflower oils (N17 and N20) was carried out at temperatures from 5 to 10 °C using micelles with different oil/solvent ratios. Acetone was more suitable than hexane as a solvent for HSHO sunflower oil fractionation because allowed the oil to be fractionated at higher temperatures and at lower supercooling degrees than hexane. Likewise, a sunflower soft stearin obtained by dry fractionation of HOHS sunflower oil was also used to produce high-melting point stearins by acetone or hexane fractionation. The fractionation of these stearins could be performed at higher temperatures and gave higher yields. The combination of dry and solvent fractionation to obtain tailor-made stearins was discussed.

1. Introduction

The term fractionation refers to fractional crystallization, a physical process that involves the separation of a multi-component mixture into solid and liquid phases with different physicochemical properties, as applied to triacylglycerols (TAGs) (Krisnamurthy & Kellens, 1996). Fractionation is the sum of two processes: a crystallization step where solid crystals are produced from the bulk oil, and the separation or filtration step where the solid phase (crystals) is isolated from the liquid phase. When considering TAGs, the liquid phase generated is called 'olein', and it is enriched in triunsaturated (UUU) and monosaturated triacylglycerols (SUU). By contrast, disaturated (SUS) and trisaturated triacylglycerols (SSS) concentrate in the solid 'stearin' phase. The basis of such fractionation resides in the solubility of the TAG species in the liquid phase at controlled temperatures, which is dependent on the differences of molecular weight and degree of unsaturation (Gibon, 2006). There are currently two types of industrial-scale fractionation processes: dry and solvent fractionations. In the latter, the crystallization of the TAGs takes place in micelles where the oil is diluted in an organic solvent, which is followed by a vacuum filtration step and cake washing with fresh solvent to remove the entrapped olein from the filtered stearin (Timms, 1997). The main advantage of solvent fractionation is the high efficiency of separation and the purity of the resulting stearins that can be achieved in a single step. However, due to the high investment and operational costs of solvent fractionation, it is usually only applied to produce valuable specialty fats and oils, such as those used to formulate cocoa butter equivalents (CBEs) and other confectionary products (Kang, Kim, Kim, Lee & Kim, 2013; Kang, Jeon, Kim & Kim, 2013).

As stated above, crystallization takes place in micelles with different oil/solvent (O/S) ratios in function of the type of solvent and process conditions. Hexane and acetone are the solvents commonly used in the fat and oil industry, whereas acetone is more selective for TAG separation due to its smaller molecular volume (Timms, 1983; Hamm, 1986). The choice of solvent also affects the separation of polar and non-polar lipids and thus, non-polar solvents like hexane concentrate polar compounds in the stearin phase (free fatty acids, monoacylglycerols, diacylglycerols), whereas with a more polar like acetone such compounds tend to stay in the olein (Timms, 2003). Since stearin is usually the most valuable fraction, the use of a polar solvent is generally preferred as it reduces the presence of these polar compounds in the final product.

In addition to the polarity of the solvent, other properties influence the phase behavior and crystallization kinetic of TAGs during this type of fractionation, such as chemical nature, oil solubility or viscosity (Wellner, Garti & Sarig, 1981; Hartel, 1992). The addition of a solvent to the oil dramatically lowers its viscosity, thereby increasing the diffusion of TAG molecules and the probability of them attaching to the surface of the growing crystals. As a result, bigger and more perfect crystals are formed, reducing the tendency to make co-crystals and for dislocation in the crystal lattice (Illingworth, 2002). The solubility of the crystallizing fat in the solvent also influences the fractionation process. Thus, the crystallization temperature is generally lower when the oil is mixed with a solvent due to the increase in TAG solubility in the resulting micelle (Liu, Biliaderis, Przybylski & Eskin, 1995). In fact, hexane (with a Snyder polarity index 0.1 p') is a better solvent for fats than acetone (a Snyder polarity index 5.1 p') and it tends to dissolve crystallizing TAGs (Wright, Hartel, Narine & Marangoni, 2000). It has been reported that the fractionation yield of squid viscera stearin increased with

increasing solvent polarity (Yang, Chang & Chen, 1992). Indeed, the effect of solvents on viscosity during fractionation has also been studied on cottonseed oil (Kapseu, Kayem, Balesdent & Schuffenecker, 1991), where hexane reduces the viscosity of the O/S mixture more than acetone. This decrease in the viscosity of the micelles favors molecular diffusion and speeds up crystallization kinetics, although other factors like the solubility of the solvent or temperature must be taken into account.

Recently, oil seed biotechnology has made it possible to produce new lines of mutant sunflowers with altered fatty acid composition, combining breeding techniques and mutagenesis (Fernández-Moya, Martínez-Force & Garcés, 2005). Among such lines, high oleic-high stearic (HOHS) sunflower oil is of special interest due to its high stearic acid content (ranging from 17 to 22% of the total fatty acids) and levels of oleic acid. This fatty acid composition makes possible to use HOHS sunflower oil as a source of stearic-rich fats for baking and confectionary formulations. However, since the HOHS sunflower oil does not contain enough solids at high temperature for these applications, it is necessary to concentrate the saturated fatty acids by means of fat fractionation. The dry fractionation and crystallization kinetics of HOHS sunflower oil have been studied (Bootello, Garcés, Martínez-Force & Salas, 2011), and this technique produces soft stearins with a disaturated TAG content between 25-40% and with a melting profile appropriate for plastic fats, structured lipids, fillings and shortenings. However, these dry fractionation stearins cannot be used for CBE formulation due to their low solid fat content. In this regard, solvent fractionation could be a more suitable method to produce high-melting point sunflower stearins with high levels of SUS (Bootello, Hartel, Garcés, Martínez-Force & Salas, 2012; Bootello et al. 2013).

While there hexane fractionation of HOHS sunflower oil to produce stearate-rich stearins has been studied (Salas, Bootello, Martínez-Force & Garcés, 2011), the acetone fractionation of this oil has not yet been described. Thus, the aim of the present work was to gain more insight into the solvent fractionation of HOHS sunflower oil and elucidate which solvent is more appropriate for the production of high-melting point stearins, hexane or acetone.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Two refined, bleached and deodorised HOHS sunflower oils (N17 and N20), and a sunflower dry fractionated stearin (S35) with distinct stearic acid contents, were studied in solvent fractionation experiments. HOHS sunflower oils were provided by Nutrisun Business (Mar del Plata, Argentina), while S35 was obtained from HOHS sunflower as reported elsewhere (Bootello et al., 2011). Acetone (purity 99.5) and hexane (alkane mixtures, purity 95%) were purchased from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain), and all other reagents were of analytical grade and they were obtained from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain) or Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain).

2.2. Oil fractionation

Solvent fractionation of HOHS sunflower oils and S35 was performed on a laboratory scale, using two routes for the production of solvent stearins: a) direct fractionation from N17 and N20 HOHS sunflower oils; or b) double fractionation from the S35 dry fractionation stearin obtained previously by dry fractionation of N17 and N20 oils. These oils and fractions were melted at 80 °C to remove any prior structure, and then

mixed with different amounts of solvent: acetone or hexane. The resulting oil micelles were transferred to hermetic flasks and stored at 5 and 10 °C without stirring in a controlled-temperature water bath (P-Selecta, Barcelona, Spain). The course of fractionation was monitored by periodically taking aliquots of the liquid supernatant to analyze the TAG composition. Vacuum filtration of the precipitated stearins was carried out when the composition of the supernatant was constant over time. This step was performed in a cold room at the fractionation temperature using a portable vacuum pump (Neuberg Laboport, Freiburg, Germany), a Kitasato flask and a Buchner funnel. The Buchner funnel was precooled to the fractionation temperature to avoid the partial melting of the stearin during this step. Stearins were washed with 100 mL of fresh solvent at the fractionation temperature in order to reduce the entrained liquid oil in the cake. Stearins and oleins were then distilled in a rotavapor (IKA, Staufen, Germany) and stripped by bubbling nitrogen to remove the last traces of solvent.

2.3. TAG analysis by GLC

Approximately 5 mg of oil was dissolved in 1.8 mL of heptane in glass vials and the TAGs were analyzed according to Fernández-Moya, Martínez-Force and Garcés (2000). The analysis of TAGs was carried out by injecting 1 µL aliquots of these solutions into the GC system, an Agilent 6890 gas chromatography apparatus (Palo Alto, CA, USA), using hydrogen as the carrier gas. The injector and detector temperatures were both 370 °C, the oven temperature was 335 °C, and a head pressure gradient from 70 to 120 kPa was applied. The gas chromatography column was a Quadrex Aluminium-Clad 400-65HT (30 m length, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.1 µm film thickness: Woodbridge, CT, USA), and a linear gas rate of 50 cm/s, a split ratio 1:80 and a flame ionization detector (FID) were

used. The TAG species were identified according to Fernández-Moya et al. (2000) and quantified by applying the correction factors reported Carelli and Cert (1993).

2.4. Analysis of fatty acid methyl esters

The fatty acid moieties of TAGs were transmethyated into fatty acid methyl esters by treating 10 mg of oil samples for 1 h at 80 °C with 3 mL of methanol/toluene/sulfuric acid (88/10/2 v/v/v) (Garcés & Mancha, 1993). The resulting methyl esters were then extracted twice with 1 mL of heptane and analyzed by GC in a Hewlett-Packard 6890 gas chromatography apparatus (Palo Alto, CA, USA). The column used was a Supelco SP-2380 fused silica capillary column (30 m length; 0.25 mm i.d.; 0.20 µm film thickness; Bellefonte, PA, USA) with hydrogen as the carrier gas at 28 cm·s⁻¹. The detector and oven were maintained at a temperature of 200 °C and 170 °C, respectively. The different methyl esters were identified by comparing their retention times with those of known standards. A Supelco 37 component FAME Mix (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) was used as analytical standard with the following retention times: methyl palmitate (2.7 min), methyl stearate (3.7 min), methyl oleate (4.1 min), Methyl linoleate (4.7 min), methyl arachidate (5.4 min) and methyl behenate (7.6 min).

2.5. Thermal analysis by DSC

The melting and crystallization profiles of solvent stearins were determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) in a Q2000 V23.5 scanner (TA instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). This instrument was calibrated prior to use with indium, azobenzene and undecane (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) and nitrogen was used to purge the

system. Samples were prepared by transferring approximately 7 mg of the melted oils into aluminum pans that were then hermetically sealed, with an empty pan serving as reference. The exact weight of the pans and the sample was determined in an electronic microbalance Sartorius M2P (Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany). The results were processed using the TA Universal Analysis software provided by the manufacturer.

Melting profiles were determined by three different temperature programs. For non-tempered samples, Program A was used: heating to 90 °C, holding for 5 min, cooling at 10 °C/min to -60 °C, holding for 20 min and finally heating to 90 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min. Program B was used for samples tempered outside the calorimeter, with the aluminum pans containing oil samples tempered according to the AOCS official method Cd 16-81 and stored for 40 h prior to DSC measurement. For these samples the following DSC program was used: cooling at 10 °C/min up to -60 °C, holding for 20 min and heating to 90 °C at 5 °C/min. The second DSC method for tempered samples, Program C, aimed to avoid the formation of exothermic peaks that were observed in the melting profile of some non-tempered samples. This method is based on the melting of the metastable crystals formed using the onset temperature of the exothermic peak ($T_{\text{on-exo}}$), defined as the temperature where the metastable form begins to recrystallize during the melting stage. This program was performed with the following cooling/reheating process: heating to 90 °C, holding for 5 min, cooling at 10 °C/min to -60 °C, holding for 20 min, heating to $T_{\text{on-exo}}$ at a rate of 10 °C/min, holding for 5 min, cooling at 10 °C/min to -60 °C, holding for 40 min and finally heating to 90 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min. The $T_{\text{on-exo}}$ was determined after running Program A (as indicated by the arrows in Fig. 3A). Moreover, the crystallization profile was also obtained by

completely melting the oils at 90 °C for 5 min and decreasing the temperature to -60 °C at a rate of 10 °C /min.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Fractionation experiments and kinetic studies were carried out in duplicate and all the fractionation results presented correspond to the average of two independent determinations. The standard deviations of the fatty acid and triacylglycerol composition are given as supplementary data. All DSC experiments were conducted in triplicate and the mean values are reported.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Acetone fractionation of N17 and N20 HOHS sunflower oils

Acetone is a more polar solvent than hexane, in which trisaturated and disaturated TAGs will exhibit lower miscibility. Acetone is commonly used in the solvent fractionation of oils due to its ability to crystallize SUS like StOSt and POSt, the most abundant TAG species in CBEs. The production of stearate-rich butters by hexane fractionation of N17 and N20 HOHS sunflower oils has been studied previously (Salas et al., 2011), fractionating both oils at 0 and 5 °C using O/S ratios ranging from 1/3 to 3/1. In the present work, acetone fractionation was performed at different temperatures and O/S ratios, between 5 and 10 °C and with an O/S ratio varying from 1/1 to 1/4 (all these conditions and the corresponding codes for each fraction are summarized in Table 1).

The kinetics of acetone fractionation was monitored by analyzing the TAG composition of the supernatants. The variation in the concentration of StOSt in the olein was adopted as the preferred parameter to study the course of fractionation, given that this TAG species will be the most important component of the resulting stearins. The acetone fractionation of N17 HOHS sunflower oil (Fig. 1A and 1B) precipitated StOSt more rapidly when compared with the data obtained previously for hexane fractionation (Salas et al., 2011). All micelles reached a constant concentration of StOSt after 48 h and the StOSt content in the final olein was lower than for hexane fractionation, ranging from 0.9 to 1.2% at 5 °C (Fig. 1A) and from 1.6 to 1.9% at 10 °C (Fig. 1B). Acetone fractionation of N20 HOHS sunflower oil (Fig. 1C and 1D) was quicker than that of N17 oil, reaching a constant StOSt concentration in the olein after 24 h at both fractionation temperatures. The final StOSt concentration in the oleins was lower for N20 oil than for N17 oil, ranging from 0.7 to 0.9% at 5 °C (Fig. 1C) and from 1.3 to 1.6% at 10 °C (Fig. 1D). Hence, the influence of the O/S ratio on the final concentration of StOSt was weaker on N20 oil than on N17 oil. From the kinetic point of view, disaturated TAGs are less soluble in acetone and they tend to form nuclei that accelerate the process of crystallization. Conversely, the formation of nascent crystals is hampered in hexane by the higher solubility of TAGs in this solvent (Wright et al., 2000). Since crystallization in acetone was less specific than in hexane, slower cooling ramps should be used with this solvent to avoid rapid, non-specific crystallization of TAGs, which would in turn lead to stearins with less saturated fatty acid content. These results showed that fractionation of HOHS oil with acetone takes place at higher temperatures and is a faster process than using hexane, being less dependent of the

O/S ratio, which involves clear advantages at the time of scaling up an industrial process plant.

When the final compositions of the stearins obtained by acetone fractionation of N17 and N20 HSHO sunflower oil were assessed, there was an enrichment in stearic acid and in disaturated TAGs with regards the native oils (Table 2 and 3). In terms of the fatty acid composition of N17 and N20 stearins, there was an increase in the total saturated content at higher crystallization temperatures (Table 2), which was mainly due to the contribution of stearic, arachidic and behenic acid, while palmitic acid remained almost constant. Furthermore, the total saturated content of the N20 stearins obtained at 10 °C (N20A4 to N20A7) was similar to that of the N17 stearins obtained at 5 °C (N17A1 to N17A3). This fact suggests that oils with a higher saturated fatty acid content could be fractionated at higher temperatures. The TAG composition of these fractions also indicated that the N17 and N20 stearins obtained at 10 °C contained more SUS, although they were produced at lower yields (Table 3). Moreover, there was little influence of the O/S ratios on the TAG composition and yield of stearin within a set of experiments at the same temperature. This contrasted with the results of hexane fractionation, in which fractionation temperature and O/S ratio had a strong influence (Salas et al., 2011). Acetone exhibited weaker selectivity than hexane in the crystallization of TAGs, giving rise to stearins with lower stearic acid and SUS content when the fractionation assays were performed under the same conditions but with the different solvents. Furthermore, acetone was more selective for the crystallization of symmetric disaturated TAGs (SUS) with respect to non-symmetric disaturated TAGs (SSU) when fractionating palm oil, whereas both types of TAGs tended to co-crystallize together in hexane (Hashimoto, Nezu, Arakawa, Ito &

Maruzeni, 2001). Since N17 and N20 HOHS sunflower oils contain virtually no saturated fatty acids in the *sn*-2 position (Fernández-Moya et al., 2005), this effect would not have any influence on the fractionation of HOHS oils and their fractions.

3.2. Solvent fractionation of a sunflower soft stearin

Sunflower soft stearins obtained by dry fractionation of N17 or N20 HOHS sunflower oils can be used as intermediates in the production of high-melting point stearins by solvent fractionation. The higher disaturated TAG content of these stearins (25-40% SUS) compared to N17 or N20 HOHS sunflower oils (8-15% SUS) allows their solvent fractionation at higher temperatures. However, the high production costs of a solvent fractionation plant might make it interesting to combine both types of fractionation, using part of the dry stearins for the production of solvent stearins. Since the average yield of stearin in dry fractionation is about 15%, a smaller capacity solvent plant could be designed for this purpose. Thus, the combination of dry and solvent fractionation would mean an important saving in solvent compared with the direct solvent fractionation of the oil, considerably reducing operation costs.

We determined the fatty acid and TAG composition of stearins obtained by solvent fractionation of a sunflower dry stearin containing 35% of total saturated acid (S35; Tables 2 and 3). Hexane fractionation produced stearins (S35H1 to S35H4) richer in stearic acid and SUS than acetone fractionation (S35A1 to S35A4). The higher solubility of TAGs in hexane made it possible to fractionate the soft stearin using higher O/S ratios (Table 1), which would mean smaller equipment and less expense in terms of purchasing solvents. For the same O/S ratio, more SUS were present in S35H3 stearin obtained at 5 °C than from S35H4 obtained at 10 °C (Table 3). This was due to

the fact that more POSt crystallized at 5 °C. Although StOSt is the main disaturated TAG present in these hard stearins, we must bear in mind that other SUS species like POSt, StOA and StOB may have a different crystallization pattern. Acetone fractionation experiments produced stearins with lower levels of stearic acid and SUS but higher yields of this fraction (Table 3). Thus, in the same fractionation conditions (5 °C, O/S ratio = 1/2), hexane fractionation produced 94.5% SUS stearin (S35H3) and acetone fractionation 58.3% SUS stearin (S35A1), yet with an almost 3-fold higher yield. This was due to the rapid and non-specific crystallization kinetics of TAGs in acetone at lower temperatures. For this reason, it is advisable to fractionate at higher temperatures, especially when the initial oil has a SUS content above 25%, as is the case for sunflower soft stearins. Conversely, higher yields of stearin were obtained from acetone fractionation of S35 than from acetone fractionation of N17 and N20 HOHS sunflower oils (Table 3). Thus, this result could be relevant at the time of designing an industrial process for the production of high melting point stearins from HSHO due it shows that sunflower soft stearins can be fractionated to obtain fractions enriched in SUS in a way similar to that found for the HOHS oils N17 and N20. That could be used to organize a two-step fractionation process involving serial dry and solvent fractionation of these oils for the production of high stearate butters appropriate for CBE formulations. The possibility of a previous step of dry fractionation would avoid the preparation of large amounts of micelle with the initial HOHS oil, and only fractionate with solvents the soft stearin, which accounts over the 15% of the initial oil. This would allow obtaining the same amount and quality of hard stearin managing with much less solvent, which would considerably reduce production costs of the process.

3.3. Distribution of disaturated TAGs in solvent fractionation of HOHS sunflower oils.

To gain an overview of the solvent fractionation of these HOHS sunflower oils and fractions, a distribution diagram of disaturated TAGs (SUS) in the olein and stearin was generated (Fig. 2). This diagram was obtained from data corresponding to a large number of acetone and hexane fractionations of both N17 and N20 HOHS sunflower oils, and of sunflower dry stearins containing 25-40% of SUS. To compare both types of solvent fractionation, a maximum operation time of 48 h was established. On the basis of this data (Fig. 2), the SUS content of final oleins and stearins could be estimated in function of the fractionation conditions (temperature, O/S ratio). It is also possible to calculate the yield of stearin through a graphical approach and using the lever rule, according to equation [1]:

$$\text{Yield of stearin (\%)} = 100 \cdot \frac{\%SUS_0 - \%SUS_{\text{Olein}}}{\%SUS_{\text{Stearin}} - \%SUS_{\text{Olein}}} \quad [1]$$

Where % SUS₀, % SUS_{Stearin} and % SUS_{Olein} are the percentages of SUS in the initial oil, stearin and olein, respectively. Thus, given the concentration of SUS in the initial oil, we can estimate the yield of stearin as the temperature varies and the O/S ratio in the distribution diagram. The O/S ratio influenced the final SUS concentration in stearins for acetone fractionation (Fig. 2), although in some cases the deviations indicated by the error bars suggested that there were equivalent amounts of SUS in the stearin area, especially at 10 °C. When acetone was used as the solvent, the highest levels of SUS were reached at higher temperature (15 °C) and lower O/S ratios (1/4). These

conditions favored the specific crystallization of disaturated TAGs, since crystallization was slower at higher temperatures. Furthermore, at lower O/S ratios more oil is diluted in the micelle, promoting the crystallization of a higher proportion of disaturated TAGs than SUU and SSS (Salas et al., 2011). The SUS content in the olein fractions varied in a narrower range for the different O/S ratios (Fig. 2), with the SUS content tending to increase at higher fractionation temperatures. In fact, oleins from the acetone fractionation could be dry fractionated or recirculated to generate an initial SUS-enriched oil. Conversely, hexane fractionation occurred at lower temperatures (between 0 and 10 °C), yielding stearins with very high SUS content (up to 96%). However, the oleins from hexane fractionation had more remaining disaturated TAGs (up to 30% SUS at 10 °C and an O/S ratio 1/2), which is not desirable since the aim was to concentrate this class of TAG in the solid fraction. Nevertheless, the information displayed in Fig. 2 is essential to set the conditions of HOHS fractionation due it provides the temperature and O/S ratio required to obtain a given level of SUS in the stearin at the view of the starting material, the solvent and the expected yield of the process.

3.4. Thermal analysis by DSC

The thermal analysis of three solvent stearins with the same disaturated TAG content (around 70% SUS) that were obtained under different conditions was determined by DSC (Fig. 3). These DSC experiments were carried out to determine whether the solvent and the type of initial oil influence the thermal behavior of these stearins. N20A6 stearin was produced from HOHS N20 oil by acetone fractionation, while the S35H1 and S35A4 stearins were obtained from S35 dry stearin by hexane and

acetone fractionation, respectively. Despite the similar fatty acid and TAG composition, these stearins had different melting and crystallization profiles and accordingly, for temperature Program A (Fig. 3A), both the N20A6 and S35H1 stearins had an exothermic peak (indicated by the arrows). This phenomenon can be attributed to the formation of metastable crystals in the α polymorph, provoked by the rapid cooling rate during this DSC method. The recrystallization of these metastable polymorphs towards a more stable polymorph (β' or β) is responsible for the observed exothermic peak (Márquez, Pérez & Wagner, 2013). However, the S35A4 stearin did not exhibit an exothermic peak, and even though this stearin is richer in UUU (OOO and OOL) than the N20A6 and S35H1 stearins, no endothermic peaks (like peaks a or c) were found in the temperature interval from -15 to 6 °C. Other stearins obtained with the same solvent and initial oil (e.g. S35A3) exhibited the same thermal behavior (data not shown). The polymorphic behavior of HOHS stearins has been studied using synchrotron X-ray scattering measurements and DSC (Rincón-Cardona, Martini, Candal & Herrera, 2013), and up to five polymorphic forms were reported for a HSHO solvent stearin containing 81.4% of SUS: α , β'_2 and β'_1 (under isothermal crystallization), and β_2 and β_1 (after long term storage). According to those results, the β'_2 crystals from a HOHS solvent stearin exhibited a melting temperature of 29.8 °C. Thus, the large endothermic peak obtained around 27 °C in our DSC experiments (Fig. 3A, peaks b (27.0 ± 0.2), d (27.5 ± 0.2) and e (26.3 ± 0.1)) for each stearin probably corresponds to the β'_2 polymorph.

Since the formation of metastable crystals is not desirable during the processing or storage of fat products, a tempering method (temperature Program C) was applied to the N20A6 and S35H1 stearins (Fig. 3B). The T_{on-exo} used in Program C (as defined in the

Materials and methods) was 6.4 ± 0.4 °C for N20A6 stearin and 6.0 ± 0.6 °C for S35H1 stearin. After running this DSC method, only one endothermic peak was observed that corresponded to the β'_2 polymorph (Fig. 3B, peaks b (26.9 ± 0.6) and d (27.2 ± 0.3)). For both stearins this peak-melting temperature was virtually the same as that obtained with Program A (peak b (27.0 ± 0.2)). However, in some applications, like chocolate manufacture, the polymorph required is β_2 . For this reason, these three stearins were tempered using Program B (Fig. 3C), a program based in a long tempering outside the calorimeter where the samples are allowed to crystallize into the most stable polymorph. In these conditions (as shown in Fig. 3C), all stearins crystallized mainly into the β_2 polymorph (Rincón-Cardona et al., 2013), the preferred form of cocoa butter in chocolate products to avoid bloom (Afoakwa, Paterson, Fowler & Vieira, 2009). For the N20A6 and S35H1 stearins, a mixture of a metastable polymorph (peaks a and c) and β_2 (peaks b (34.7 ± 0.5) and d (35.4 ± 0.2)) was obtained. Again, S35A4 stearin exhibited a different behavior, only crystallizing into the β_2 polymorph (peak e, 34.8 ± 0.1)) after the tempering process. Each of the three fractions showed a similar pattern in terms of the crystallization profiles (Fig. 3D), however, the S35A4 stearin crystallized at higher temperature (15.2 ± 0.1 °C) than the other fractions (peak b (13.4 ± 0.2), peak d (13.6 ± 0.4)).

4. Conclusions

Solvent fractionation is a technique suitable for the production of a large variety of high-melting point stearins from N17 or N20 HOHS sunflower oils. By dry fractionation of these sunflower oils it is possible to obtain soft stearins with a maximum disaturated TAG content of about 35-40%. Although these dry fractions show good

properties as filling fats or shortenings, they can also be used as an intermediate step in the production of high-melting point sunflower stearins by solvent fractionation. Combining both types of fractionation make it possible to obtain tailor-made stearins with different melting points, hardness and disaturated TAG content (up to 96%) according to the process conditions (temperature, type of solvent, ratio oil/solvent).

The choice of solvent (hexane or acetone) in the fractionation process is a matter of great importance. Parameters like the crystallization rate, fractionation temperature or even the melting profile of the resulting stearins were influenced by the type of solvent. In general, acetone was more suitable than hexane for the solvent fractionation of HSHO sunflower oil and its dry stearins. For the production of a solvent stearin with certain disaturated TAG content, acetone permitted fractionation at higher temperature and with a lower degree of supercooling, leading to faster crystallization kinetics and higher yields of stearin. On the other hand, hexane fractionation could be of interest to obtain solvent stearins with a very high SUS content (such as those for cocoa butter improvers applications), although it must be taken into account that a large amount of disaturated TAGs will remain in the olein, which is not desirable.

The present work contains information useful for the design of a process of solvent fractionation of HOHS oil. It points to acetone as the solvent of choice in terms of time of processing, amount of solvent used and process yield. The fractionation of fractions enriched in stearic acid was also possible adjusting the conditions of the fractionation (temperature and O/S) ratio, which opened the possibility of combining dry and solvent fractionation for optimization of the production costs of the process.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, Project AGL 2011-23187.

References

- Afoakwa, E. O., Paterson, A., Fowler, M., & Vieira, J. (2009). Influence of tempering and fat crystallization behaviours on microstructural and melting properties in dark chocolate systems. *Food Research International*, 42, 200-209.
- Bootello, M. A., Garcés, R., Martínez-Force, E., & Salas, J. J. (2011). Dry fractionation and crystallization kinetics of high-oleic high-stearic sunflower oil. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 88, 1511-1519.
- Bootello, M. A., Hartel, R. W., Garcés, R., Martínez-Force, E., & Salas, J. J. (2012). Evaluation of high oleic-high stearic sunflower hard stearins for cocoa butter equivalent formulation. *Food Chemistry*, 134, 1409-1417.
- Bootello, M. A., Hartel, R.W., Levin, M., Martinez-Blanes, J.M. Concepción Real, Garcés, R., Martínez-Force, E., & Salas, J. J. (2013). Crystallization properties and polymorphic behavior of sunflower hard stearin-based confectionery fats. *Food Chemistry*, 139, 184-195.
- Carelli, A., & Cert, A. (1993). Comparative study of the determination of triacylglycerol in vegetable oils using chromatographic techniques. *Journal of Chromatography*, 630, 213-222.

460 Fernández-Moya, V., Martínez-Force, E., & Garcés, R. (2000). Identification of
 461 triacylglycerol species from high-saturated sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*)
 462 mutants, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48, 764–769.

463 Fernández-Moya, V., Martínez-Force, E., & Garcés, R. (2005). Oils from improved high
 464 stearic acid sunflower seeds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53,
 465 5326-5330.

466 Garcés, R., & Mancha, M. (1993). One-step lipid extraction and fatty acid methyl esters
 467 preparation from fresh plant tissues, *Analytical Biochemistry*, 211, 139–143.

468 Gibon, V. (2006). Fractionation of lipids for use in food. In: Gunstone F. D. (Ed.)
 469 *Modifying Lipid for Use in Food*, (pp 201-233). Florida: CRC Press.

470 Hamm, W. (1986). Fractionation – with or without solvent. *Fette Seifen Anstrichmittel*,
 471 88, 533-537.

472 Hartel, R. W. (1992). Solid-liquid equilibrium: crystallization in foods. In Scharzber, H.
 473 G., Hartel R. W. (Eds.): *Physical Chemistry of Foods*. New York: Marcel Dekker.

474 Hashimoto, S., Nezu, T., Arakawa, H., Ito, T., & Maruzeni, S. (2001). Preparation of
 475 sharp-melting hard palm midfraction and its use as hard butter in chocolate.
 476 *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 78, 455-460.

477 Illingworth, D. (2002). Fractionation of fats. In Marangoni, A. G., Narine S. S. (Eds.):
 478 *Physical Properties of Lipids*. New York: Marcel Dekker.

479 Kang, K.K., Kim, S., Kim, I.H., Lee, C., & Kim, B.H. (2013). Selective enrichment of
 480 symmetric monounsaturated triacylglycerols from palm stearin by double
 481 solvent fractionation. *LWT-Food Science and technology*, 51, 242-262.

482 Kang, K.K., Jeon, H., Kim, I.H., & Kim, B.H. (2013). Cocoa butter equivalents prepared by
 483 blending fractionated palm stearin and shea stearin. *Food Science and*
 484 *Biotechnology*, 22, 347-352.

485 Kapseu, C., Kayem, G. J., Balesdent, D., & Schuffenecker, L. (1991). The viscosity of
 486 cottonseed oil, fractionation solvents and their solutions. *Journal of the*
 487 *American Oil Chemists' Society*, 68, 128-130.

488 Krisnamurthy, R. & Kellens, M. (1996). Fractionation and winterization. In: Hui (Ed.)
 489 *Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products, Vol.4 Edible Oil & Fat Products:*
 490 *Processing technology*, Fourth Edition, (pp 301-337). New York: John Wiley and
 491 Sons.

492 Liu, H., Biliaderis, C. G., Przybylski, R. & Eskin, M. (1995). Solvent effects on phase
 493 transition behavior of canola oil sediment. *Journal of the American Oil*
 494 *Chemists' Society*, 72, 603-608.

495 Márquez, A.L., Pérez, M.P. & Wagner, J.R. (2013). Solid fat content estimation by
 496 differential scanning calorimetry: prior treatment and proposed correction.
 497 *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 90, 467-473.

498 Rincón-Cardona, J. A., Martini, S., Candal, R.J. & Herrera, M.L. (2013). Polymorphic
 499 behavior during isothermal crystallization of high stearic high oleic sunflower oil
 500 stearins. *Food Research International*, 51, 86-97.

501 Salas, J. J., Bootello, M. A., Martínez-Force, E., & Garcés, R. (2011). Production of
 502 stearate-rich butters by solvent fractionation of high stearic-high oleic
 503 sunflower oil. *Food Chemistry*, 124, 450-458.

504 Timms, R. E. (1983). Choice of solvent for fractional crystallization of palm oil. In:
 505 Pushparajah, E., Rajadurai, M. (Eds.): *Palm oil product technology in the*
 506 *eighties*. Kuala Lumpur: ISP.

507 Timms, R. E. (1997). Fractionation. In: Gunstone F. D. (Ed.) *Lipid Technology and*
 508 *Applications*, (pp 199-222). New York: Marcel Dekker.

509 Timms, R. E. (2003). Processing Methods. In: Timms, R.E. *Confectionery Fats Handboo.,*
 510 Bridgwater : The Oily Press.

511 Wellner, E., Garti, N., & Sarig, S. (1981). Solution-mediated polymorphic
 512 transformation of stearic acid. *Crystal Research Technology*, 16, 1283-1288.

513 Wright, A. J., Hartel, R. W., Narine, S. S., & Marangoni, A. G. (2000). The effect of minor
 514 components on milk fat crystallization. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists'*
 515 *Society*, 77, 463-475.

516 Yang, M. H., Chang, S. C., & Chen, R. H. (1992). Effect of solvent polarity and
 517 fractionation temperature on the physicochemical properties of squid viscera
 518 stearin. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 69, 1192-1197.

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

Tables

Table 1. Codes corresponding to the stearins obtained by solvent fractionation of N17 and N20 high oleic-high stearic sunflower oils and S35 sunflower dry stearin.

N17			N20			S35		
Code	O/S	T (°C)	Code	O/S	T (°C)	Code	O/S	T (°C)
N17A1	1/1	5	N20A1	1/1	5	S35H1	1/1	5
N17A2	1/2	5	N20A2	1/2	5	S35H2	1/1	10
N17A3	1/3	5	N20A3	1/3	5	S35H3	1/2	5
N17A4	1/1	10	N20A4	1/1	10	S35H4	1/2	10
N17A5	1/2	10	N20A5	1/2	10	S35A1	1/2	5
N17A6	1/3	10	N20A6	1/3	10	S35A2	1/2	10
N17A7	1/4	10	N20A7	1/4	10	S35A3	1/4	5
						S35A4	1/4	10

The first three characters indicate the initial oil, the fourth the type of solvent (A= acetone, H=hexane) and the last one denotes the fractionation conditions (O/S ratio and temperature) as indicated in the adjacent columns.

Table 2. Fatty acid composition of stearins obtained by acetone and hexane fractionation of N17 and N20 high oleic-high stearic sunflower oils, and S35 sunflower dry stearin. The composition of the initial N17, N20 and S35 oils is shown for reference, and the fractionation conditions for each fraction are described in Table 1.

	Fatty acids (% w/w)						Total Saturated
	16:0	18:0	18:1	18:2	20:0	22:0	
INITIAL OILS							
N17 Oil	4.0	16.8	72.0	4.4	1.2	1.5	23.5
N20 Oil	5.4	20.4	66.3	3.3	1.7	2.8	30.3
S35	5.4	25.3	62.3	3.0	1.7	2.3	34.7
ACETONE							
N17A1	7.5	36.2	49.0	2.2	2.3	2.8	48.8
N17A2	7.8	38.5	46.3	1.9	2.4	3.1	51.8
N17A3	7.7	39.1	45.7	1.8	2.4	3.3	52.5
N17A4	6.0	45.4	41.3	1.3	2.7	3.1	57.2
N17A5	6.1	48.2	38.4	1.0	2.9	3.4	60.6
N17A6	6.1	49.5	37.1	0.8	2.9	3.5	62.0
N17A7	6.0	49.3	37.2	0.8	3.0	3.7	62.0
N20A1	7.2	29.9	55.4	2.1	2.1	3.3	42.5
N20A2	7.3	30.8	54.2	2.0	2.2	3.5	43.8
N20A3	8.1	34.1	50.2	1.7	2.3	3.6	48.1
N20A4	7.1	37.6	47.7	1.5	2.5	3.6	50.8
N20A5	7.5	41.5	43.4	1.2	2.7	3.8	55.5
N20A6	7.3	40.6	44.0	1.2	2.8	4.2	54.9
N20A7	7.8	43.7	40.7	0.9	2.8	4.1	58.4
S35A1	6.1	35.2	51.6	2.0	2.2	2.8	46.4
S35A2	5.5	34.0	53.1	2.0	2.3	3.2	44.9
S35A3	6.7	42.1	44.1	1.3	2.6	3.2	54.6
S35A4	6.1	44.8	41.5	1.0	2.8	3.7	57.5
HEXANE							
S35H1	6.0	42.7	44.3	1.7	2.3	3.0	54.0
S35H2	5.0	46.5	41.7	1.3	2.5	3.0	57.0
S35H3	5.5	54.0	34.6	0.0	2.6	3.3	65.4

S35H4	3.6	54.1	36.5	0.0	2.7	3.2	63.6
-------	-----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	------

566

567 Peaks accounting for less than 0.1% of the total fatty acids were not integrated. The data
568 represent the averages of two independent determinations. Standard deviations can be found
569 as supplementary material.

570

571

Table 3. Triacylglycerol composition and yields of stearins obtained by acetone and hexane fractionation of N17 and N20 high oleic-high stearic sunflower oils, and S35 sunflower dry stearin. The composition of the initial N17, N20 and S35 oils is shown for reference and the fractionation conditions for each fraction are described in Table 1.

	Triacylglycerols (% w/w)													Triacylglycerol class (% w/w)			Yield of stearin (% w/w)
	POP	POSt	POO	POL	StOSt	StOO	OOO	StOL	OOL	StOA	OOA	StOB	OOB	SUS	SUU	UUU	
INITIAL OILS																	
N17 Oil	0.3	2.2	7.2	1.0	4.2	32.5	31.9	5.2	6.3	0.7	2.8	0.7	4.2	8.1	52.9	38.2	-
N20 Oil	0.6	4.4	8.7	0.8	7.5	35.8	23.5	3.9	3.5	1.5	3.1	1.4	4.9	15.4	57.2	27.0	-
S35	0.5	5.5	5.5	0.7	18.3	26.2	23.3	4.0	4.6	2.8	2.2	3.1	3.3	30.1	42.0	27.9	-
ACETONE																	
N17A1	1.1	14.5	3.1	0.3	36.6	17.8	11.7	1.7	1.5	5.1	1.1	4.4	1.3	61.6	25.2	13.1	9.1 ± 4.6
N17A2	1.1	15.6	2.5	0.3	39.7	16.3	9.5	1.5	1.1	5.6	0.9	4.7	1.1	66.8	22.7	10.5	9.1 ± 3.0
N17A3	1.1	15.3	2.7	0.3	39.3	15.9	9.9	1.3	1.2	5.8	1.0	5.0	1.2	66.4	22.5	11.1	9.4 ± 1.0
N17A4	0.7	11.6	1.5	0.3	51.8	9.4	5.9	0.8	1.1	7.3	0.9	7.5	1.1	78.9	14.2	6.8	3.3 ± 0.8
N17A5	0.7	12.3	1.0	0.4	54.8	7.3	3.9	0.7	1.0	7.9	0.8	8.1	1.3	83.7	11.4	4.9	3.9 ± 0.2
N17A6	0.7	12.4	0.7	0.6	56.3	6.7	2.8	0.6	0.4	8.1	0.7	8.4	1.5	85.9	10.9	3.2	4.2 ± 0.3
N17A7	0.7	12.5	0.8	0.4	56.4	6.6	2.8	0.6	0.5	8.2	0.8	8.7	1.1	86.5	10.3	3.3	4.2 ± 0.1
N20A1	1.1	11.7	6.2	0.4	23.5	26.8	15.1	2.2	1.7	3.7	1.9	3.2	2.5	43.2	40.0	16.8	16.1 ± 1.4
N20A2	1.1	12.2	5.7	0.3	24.3	26.2	14.3	2.2	1.5	3.9	1.9	3.5	2.7	45.1	39.1	15.8	16.1 ± 0.2
N20A3	1.3	14.6	4.3	0.3	30.2	22.2	10.5	1.7	1.1	5.0	1.7	4.6	2.5	55.7	32.7	11.6	12.7 ± 0.9
N20A4	0.9	12.3	3.8	0.4	36.5	17.4	9.6	1.3	1.3	6.2	1.4	6.7	2.2	62.6	26.7	10.7	17.3 ± 2.0
N20A5	1.0	14.0	2.5	0.5	43.0	13.0	6.4	0.9	1.1	7.3	1.0	7.9	1.6	73.1	19.7	7.2	14.8 ± 1.0

N20A6	1.0	13.8	2.8	0.4	41.1	14.2	7.1	1.0	1.0	7.1	1.1	7.6	1.7	70.6	21.5	7.9	15.5 ± 0.1
N20A7	1.0	15.1	1.8	0.5	46.3	10.7	4.5	0.6	0.7	8.0	0.8	8.7	1.2	79.0	15.8	5.2	13.0 ± 0.5
S35A1	0.7	9.3	3.3	0.3	35.8	16.0	15.2	1.1	1.8	5.7	1.5	6.7	2.4	58.3	24.7	17.1	45.6 ± 4.4
S35A2	0.5	7.8	3.8	0.3	32.1	18.2	17.7	1.5	2.5	5.1	1.4	6.2	2.7	51.8	28.0	20.2	51.4 ± 2.9
S35A3	0.7	11.5	1.8	0.1	46.0	10.6	8.2	0.7	1.5	7.4	0.9	8.6	1.9	74.3	16.0	9.7	36.5 ± 1.5
S35A4	0.6	10.0	2.0	0.2	45.6	11.0	9.2	1.1	1.7	7.3	1.2	8.7	1.4	72.1	17.0	10.9	36.7 ± 1.0
<i>HEXANE</i>																	
S35H1	0.6	9.9	1.9	0.1	46.4	11.8	8.5	1.3	1.3	6.5	1.1	7.8	3.0	71.0	19.2	9.8	29.0 ± 3.5
S35H2	0.5	9.4	1.8	0.1	55.3	9.2	7.1	0.8	0.0	6.6	0.8	7.2	1.2	78.0	13.8	8.1	17.3 ± 2.1
S35H3	0.4	10.0	0.3	0.1	65.6	3.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	8.4	0.2	10.0	0.3	94.5	4.3	1.2	17.3 ± 2.4
S35H4	0.3	7.2	0.7	0.0	68.1	3.9	2.9	0.4	0.0	7.5	0.1	8.4	0.4	91.5	5.2	3.3	6.6 ± 2.0

Peaks accounting for less than 0.1% of the total triacylglycerols were not integrated. The data represent the averages of two independent determinations. Standard deviations can be found as supplementary material. Triacylglycerols were named with 3 letters: P, palmitic; O, oleic; St, stearic; L, linoleic; A, arachidic; B, behenic. SUS, disaturated triacylglycerols; SUU, monasaturated triacylglycerols; UUU, triunsaturated triacylglycerols.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Course of acetone fractionation of N17 and N20 high oleic-high stearic sunflower oils and the StOSt content of the olein fractions. Graphs A and B correspond to the fractionation of the N17 oil at 5 and 10 °C, respectively. Graphs C and D correspond to the fractionation of the N20 oil at 5 and 10 °C, respectively. Different O/S ratios (v/v) were used in each experiment: 1/1 (-◇-), 1/2 (-△-), 1/3 (-□-), 1/4 (-▽-).

Fig. 2. Distribution diagram of the disaturated TAGs in the solvent fractionation of HOHS sunflower oils. O/S ratios (v/v) used in acetone fractionation: 1/1 (-◇- olein, -◆- stearin), 1/2 (-△- olein, -▲- stearin), 1/3 (-□- olein, -■- stearin), 1/4 (-▽- olein, -▼- stearin). O/S ratios (v/v) used in hexane fractionation: 1/1 (-○- olein, -●- stearin), 1/2 (-☆- olein, -★- stearin).

Fig. 3. Melting (A, B, C) and crystallization profiles (D) of the N20A6 (dashed line), S35H1 (dotted line) and S35A4 (solid line) stearins. The temperature program A (graph A), temperature program B (graph C) and temperature program C (graph B) were applied to the aforementioned stearins. See Materials and methods for a description of the temperature programs.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3