Neutron Momentum Distributions from «Core Break-up» Reactions of Halo Nuclei.

T. NILSSON¹, TH. BLAICH², M. J. G. BERGE³, L. V. CHULKOV⁴, TH. W. ELZE⁵
 H. EMLING⁶, H. GEISSEL⁶, K. GRIMM⁵, D. GUILLEMAUD-MUELLER⁷, P. G. HANSEN⁸
 R. HOLZMANN⁶, P. HORNSHØ⁸, F. HUMBERT⁶, H. IRNICH⁵, L. JOHANNSEN⁸, B. JONSON¹
 M. KEIM¹⁰, J. G. KELLER¹¹, H. KLINGLER⁵, J. V. KRATZ², R. KULESSA¹²
 D. LAMBRECHT², Y. LEIFELS¹¹, M. LEWITOWICZ¹³, A. MAGEL⁶, M. F. MOHAR⁶
 A. C. MUELLER⁷, G. MÜNZENBERG⁶, R. NEUGART¹⁰, F. NICKEL⁶, G. NYMAN¹
 A. RICHTER⁹, K. RIISAGER⁸, M.-G. SAINT-LAURENT¹³, C. SCHEIDENBERGER⁶
 G. SCHRIEDER⁹, W. SCHWAB⁶, B. M. SHERRILL¹⁴, H. SIMON⁹, O. SORLIN⁷
 K. STELZER⁵, J. STROTH⁶, O. TENGBLAD¹⁵, E. WAJDA¹²
 K. WILHELMSSEN ROLANDER¹, M. ZINSE⁶ and E. ZUDE⁶

¹ *Fysiska Institutionen, Chalmers Tekniska Högskola - S-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden*

² *Institut für Kernchemie, Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität - D-55099 Mainz, Germany*

³ *Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC - E-28006 Madrid, Spain*

⁴ *Russian Scientific Center «Kurchatov Institute»*

Institute of General and Nuclear Physics - Moscow 123182, Russia

⁵ *Institut für Kernphysik, Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe-Universität
 D-60486 Frankfurt, Germany*

⁶ *Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI) - D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

⁷ *Institut de Physique Nucléaire - BP 1, F-91406 Orsay Cedex, France*

⁸ *Institut for Fysik og Astronomi, Aarhus Universitet - DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark*

⁹ *Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Hochschule - D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany*

¹⁰ *Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz - D-55099 Mainz, Germany*

¹¹ *Institut für Experimentalphysik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum
 D-44780 Bochum, Germany*

¹² *Instytut Fizyki, Uniwersytet Jagielloński - PL-30-059 Kraków, Poland*

¹³ *GANIL - BP 5027, F-14021 Caen Cedex, France*

¹⁴ *Michigan State University - East Lansing, MI 48824-1321, USA*

¹⁵ *PPE Division, CERN - CH-1211 Genève 23, Switzerland*

(received 16 December 1994; accepted in final form 28 February 1995)

PACS. 23.20.En – Angular distribution and correlation measurements.

PACS. 27.20+n – Properties of specific nuclei listed by mass range: $6 \leq A \leq 19$.

Abstract. – Neutron angular distributions from violent break-up reactions of ^{11}Li and ^{11}Be have been measured at 28 MeV/u and 280 MeV/u and at 41 MeV/u and 460 MeV/u, respectively. The derived neutron momentum distributions show a narrow component in transverse momentum that is within uncertainties independent of beam energy and target charge. This component is suggested to be simply related to the momentum distribution of the loosely bound halo neutron(s) in the projectiles.

Introduction. – The last years have witnessed a rapid increase of our knowledge on nuclear halo states, see, *e.g.*, the overviews provided in [1,2]. A question only partially answered so far is which experimental probe will provide the most reliable information on the momentum distribution of the halo wave function. Previous work (see, *e.g.*, ref.[3]) has concentrated on measurements of neutron or charged fragment distributions—both parallel and transverse to the beam direction—from the «halo-removal» channel, *i.e.* the one-neutron removal channel for ^{11}Be and the two-neutron removal channel for ^6He , ^{11}Li , ^{14}Be and similar nuclei. We present here experimental data on neutron transverse-momentum distributions from other channels and suggest how these might be interpreted to give information on the structure of the halo ground state.

The experiments were carried out at GANIL and GSI and the experimental procedures have been described in detail elsewhere [4-7]. Beams of ^{11}Li at 28 MeV/u at GANIL and at a ten times higher energy of 280 MeV/u at GSI and similarly of ^{11}Be at 41 MeV/u and 460 MeV/u were directed on both light and heavy targets. The emerging neutrons were measured in coincidence with the charged fragments used to identify the reaction channel. The neutron detectors are described in ref. [4,5,8].

The data to be discussed stem from collisions in which the core of the halo nucleus, *i.e.* ^9Li in the case of ^{11}Li and ^{10}Be in the case of ^{11}Be , was destroyed during the interaction. We shall refer to these as «core break-up» channels. One particular combination, including all observed channels in which the core interacts (*i.e.* channels except the halo-removal one), has been presented in [6] and is there denoted the «restricted inclusive» channel.

Motivation. – Before turning to the experimental data, we shall review briefly the present status of the interpretation of momentum distributions from the halo-removal channels. Originally, these distributions were hoped to reflect quite directly the momentum distributions of the halo neutron(s) in the ground state. This hope was partly based on previous experiences from experiments at high energy where the longitudinal core momentum distribution in certain cases seemed to give direct information [9]. However, most experiments at low energies that have been analyzed in detail have shown that the reaction mechanism also plays an important role. This is seen quite clearly in our measured [5] neutron distributions from ^{11}Be , which for light targets show a clear diffraction pattern and for heavier targets a drop at zero angle arising from Coulomb excitation to a final p -state. Although not immediately evident from the data [4], the reaction mechanisms play a similar role for the neutron distributions from ^{11}Li as well [10,11]. Here an important part is also played by the neutron-core and neutron-neutron interactions. Note that the distributions typically change with beam energy as this can change the relative importance of the different reaction mechanisms.

Both transverse [12] and longitudinal [7,13,14] core momentum distributions have been measured; so far mainly results for ^{11}Li have been published. The transverse distributions have been shown to be affected by reaction mechanisms and final-state interactions [15,16], see also [17,18] where neutron and core distributions are considered simultaneously. Finally, one can also extract distributions from the experiments with complete kinematics [19] and an analysis of these [20] leads to quite similar conclusions. The neutron and transverse core momentum distributions derived are clearly different in the various reactions and the width of the longitudinal core momentum distributions seems to vary (although only weakly) with target [7,14]. From the limited theoretical investigations performed so far only the longitudinal core momentum distributions on light targets at high beam energy can be identified as a candidate for an undistorted picture of the ground state [9].

An obvious goal is to find the channels where the measured momentum distribution is as

close as possible to the true one. With this in mind, we consider here the neutron distributions from collisions in which the core reacts—the core break-up channels. The core-target collision will be violent and will take place on a short time scale so that the sudden approximation may be used for describing the fate of the halo neutrons. We want to test the hypothesis that the neutrons in this case are very little affected by the collision due to their weak coupling to the core and thus emerge with a momentum distribution close to the one of the halo neutron. There are certainly distortions—the part of the wave function of the halo neutrons that overlaps with the core will be altered, see, *e.g.*, section 3.4 in [6] or the discussion in [9]—but for small momenta (large distances from the core) one might reasonably expect such distortions to be moderate. Hopefully, final-state interactions may be small due to the velocity difference between the halo neutrons and the core products. The core-target collision will itself give rise to a neutron component, but this is expected to be significantly broader in momentum than the halo component. If the core and the halo decouple, *i.e.* if the inert-core approximation for the halo nucleus is valid, the core component can in principle be measured in a separate experiment using the core nucleus as projectile.

Results. – We now turn to the data to see if these expectations are borne out. The first figure presents the neutron angular distributions from the sum of all observed core break-up channels, recorded at GANIL, for ^{11}Li . The angular acceptance in the experiment was not sufficient to allow a clear separation of the experimental spectrum into a «halo» and a «core» component. It was, however, possible to do a rough subtraction of the core component, as a short run with a ^9Li beam was made. Figure 1 also shows the resulting angular distribution after subtraction for the Be target. With a conservative estimate of the systematic

Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. – The radial neutron distributions from ^{11}Li reacting on Be, Ni and Au targets (filled squares, triangles and circles, respectively) at 28 MeV/u in anticoincidence with the projectile core (^9Li). The open squares give the distribution obtained by subtraction of the total neutron distribution recorded from ^9Li reactions in the Be target. The horizontal error bars indicate the angular width of the detectors. The curve shows the distribution according to eq. (1).

Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. – The radial neutron distributions from ^{11}Li reacting on a C and a Pb target (filled circles and squares, respectively) at 280 MeV/u in coincidence with a charged fragment, ^9Li excluded. The acceptance of the set-up was limited to fragments with $A/Z > 2.3$. The cross-section is given in arbitrary units. The curves show the fit to the data according to eq. (1).

TABLE I. – *The width parameter of the radial neutron momentum distributions.*

Isotope	E_{beam} (MeV/u)	Channel	Target	Γ (MeV/c)
^{11}Li	28	$\neq {}^9\text{Li}$	Be	42 ± 4
	280	$A/Z > 2.3$	C	43 ± 3
	280	$A/Z > 2.3$	Pb	36 ± 4
^{11}Be	41	$\neq {}^{10}\text{Be}$	Be	59 ± 4
	41	$\neq {}^{10}\text{Be}$	Ti	61 ± 4
	41	${}^4\text{Li}$	Be	$55 \pm 3^{(a)}$
	41	${}^4\text{Li}$	Ti	$50 \pm 4^{(a)}$
	460	${}^7\text{Li}$	C	67 ± 5
	460	${}^8\text{Li}$	C	56 ± 5

(a) Probably influenced by final-state interactions [22]. Not included in the average.

uncertainties involved in the subtraction procedure and fitting the distribution with a single Lorentzian (parametrized by a width parameter Γ) we obtain a full width half maximum (FWHM) for the halo component that converts to a value $\Gamma = (42 \pm 4) \text{ MeV/c}$. The parametrisation for the Lorentzian is

$$W(p_r) dA = \frac{\Gamma}{4\pi} \frac{dA}{(p_r^2 + \Gamma^2/4)^{3/2}}, \quad (1)$$

where p_r is the (two-dimensional) radial momentum component and $dA = p_r dp_r d\varphi$. (See [21] for details of the conversion of FWHM to Γ .)

No similar subtraction of the core distribution was feasible for the case of ^{11}Be . Apart from the total neutron distribution taken in anticoincidence with the core, also distributions obtained with Be and Ti targets with a more restrictive gate, namely demanding a Li or He fragment was obtained here. The data are presented in fig. 10 and 11 in ref. [6]. The distributions with different gates have the same shape at small angles and thus seem to originate from the same physical process. This is not too surprising if the above hypothesis is correct as the halo neutrons should continue unperturbed no matter what happens to the core⁽¹⁾.

One should note that there is a distinct difference between the distributions obtained at small angles in the halo-removal channel and the core break-up channels. The former shows a clear influence of the reaction mechanism [5, 6], while the shape of the latter distribution is universal. The Γ parameters from fits with a single Lorentzian are given in table I. The value given for the distribution from ^{11}Be in coincidence with a Li fragment is the sum over the channels ${}^6\text{Li}$, ${}^7\text{Li}$, ${}^8\text{Li}$ and ${}^9\text{Li}$. As can be seen from the GSI data the distribution in coincidence with ${}^9\text{Li}$ is noticeably influenced by final-state interactions, decreasing the width to 36 MeV/c [22]. The same mechanism is present at the GANIL energy and explains the smaller width measured in the ${}^4\text{Li}$ -channel.

⁽¹⁾ To emphasize this point we consider here core break-up processes in general instead of just the restricted inclusive channel. The count rate will of course decrease for a more restrictive gate, but such distributions are in some cases easier to extract in a clean way experimentally. Furthermore the core component will be smaller in some of these channels.

Fig. 3. – As fig. 2 but for ^{11}Be reacting on a C at 460 MeV/u. Filled circles denote the distributions in coincidence with ^7Li and filled squares the ones in coincidence with ^8Li .

Figures 2 and 3 present neutron angular distributions obtained at GSI for ^{11}Li and for ^{11}Be , respectively. All distributions given are without subtraction of core components. Note that the coverage in transverse momentum is too small for a disentanglement of the core and halo components. The ^{11}Li data were extracted by demanding a charged fragment within the acceptance of the set-up, excluding ^9Li . This gave a lower cut-off in A/Z of 2.3 for the ions and therefore excluded channels, like ^6Li and ^4He , where several neutrons from the core could distort the distribution. The result is thus likely to be less perturbed than the one from the GANIL data, making core component subtraction less important. The acceptance correction is complex for many of the core break-up channels (the set-up was optimized for the halo-removal channel) which makes the uncertainty of the absolute cross-sections large. The shapes of the curves are, however, only determined by counting statistics. The extracted distributions for a C and a Pb target were fitted with single Lorentzians, giving values for Γ of (43 ± 3) MeV/c and (36 ± 4) MeV/c, respectively. This is in agreement with the value obtained at 28 MeV/u and shows no significant difference between a light and a heavy target.

The data on ^{11}Be are even more encouraging. Within uncertainties the distributions here are independent of target and reaction channel and furthermore in agreement with the ones obtained at GANIL. The Γ values are again listed in table I. They agree well with the value of 58.7 MeV/c predicted from the simple model of the ^{11}Be ground state in terms of a zero-range Yukawa wave function [1]. The distributions for the ^4He channel could also be extracted. They are wider, 70–80 MeV/c, but we tentatively attribute this to the contribution from the core neutrons which in this channel is significantly larger as seen, *e.g.*, from the average hit multiplicity in the neutron detectors. Direct measurements with a ^{10}Be beam should yield this component and could thereby clarify the situation.

Conclusions. – The width parameters collected in table I seem to confirm the hypothesis from the second section: They are much narrower than the ones expected from the systematics of fragmentation [23] and are in order of magnitude as narrow as the ones obtained in the halo-removal channel. As final averages over all data obtained (except in the Li-coincident ones from GANIL where final-state interactions interfere)—with error bars dominated by the systematic errors—we get Γ values of (60 ± 5) MeV/c for ^{11}Be and

(41 ± 4) MeV/c for ^{11}Li . The former is consistent with the estimate from a simple Yukawa-type one-neutron halo wave function [6], the latter might therefore correspond to the ^{11}Li halo neutron wave function (to the extent that neutron-neutron final-state interactions can be neglected).

To sum up, the neutron distributions from ^{11}Be in core break-up collisions are at small angles essentially independent of beam energy and target charge and agree with the one expected for the original halo ground-state wave function. This indicates that the momentum distribution of the halo neutron is very little perturbed in a violent core-target collision. The data on ^{11}Li can be interpreted consistently in a similar way.

* * *

This work was supported by the German Federal Minister for Research and Technology (BMFT) under Contracts 06 DA 641 I, 06 BO 103 and 06 MZ 465 and by GSI via Hochschulzusammenarbeitsvereinbarungen under Contracts DA RICK, BO FRE, F ELE, MZ Krak and partly supported by the Polish Committee of Scientific Research under Contract PB1158/P3/92/02.

REFERENCES

- [1] HANSEN P. G., *Nucl. Phys. A*, **553** (1993) 89c; JONSON B., *Nucl. Phys. A*, **574** (1994) 151c; RIISAGER K., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **66** (1994) 1105.
- [2] ZHUKOV M. V. *et al.*, *Phys. Rep.*, **231** (1993) 151.
- [3] MORRISSEY D. J. (Editor), *Proceedings of the III International Conference on Radioactive Nuclear Beams, East Lansing, May 1993* (Editions Frontières) 1993.
- [4] ANNE R. *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B*, **250** (1990) 19; RIISAGER K. *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. A*, **540** (1992) 365.
- [5] ANNE R. *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B*, **304** (1993) 55.
- [6] ANNE R. *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. A*, **575** (1994) 125.
- [7] HUMBERT F. *et al.*, to be published in *Phys. Lett.*
- [8] THE LAND COLLABORATION (BLAICH TH. *et al.*), *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A*, **314** (1992) 136.
- [9] HÜFNER J. and NEMES M. C., *Phys. Rev. C*, **23** (1981) 2538.
- [10] ESBENSEN H., *Phys. Rev. C*, **44** (1991) 440; ESBENSEN H. and BERTSCH G. F., *Nucl. Phys. A*, **542** (1992) 310.
- [11] BARRANCO F., VIGEZZI E. and BROGLIA R. A., *Phys. Lett. B*, **319** (1993) 387.
- [12] KOBAYASHI T. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **60** (1988) 2599; TANIHATA I. *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B*, **287** (1992) 307.
- [13] ORR N. A. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **69** (1992) 2050.
- [14] ORR N. A. *et al.*, to be published in *Phys. Rev. C*.
- [15] BERTULANI C. A. and MCVOY K. W., *Phys. Rev. C*, **46** (1992) 2638.
- [16] ZHUKOV M. V. *et al.*, *J. Phys. G*, **20** (1994) 201.
- [17] FEDOROV D. V., ZHUKOV M. V., BANG J. M. and VAAGEN J. S., NORDITA preprint 92/36 N.
- [18] KORSHENINNIKOV A. A. and KOBAYASHI T., *Nucl. Phys. A*, **567** (1994) 97.
- [19] IEKI K. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **70** (1993) 730; SACKETT D. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C*, **48** (1993) 118.
- [20] ESBENSEN H., BERTSCH G. F. and IEKI K., *Phys. Rev. C*, **48** (1993) 326.
- [21] RIISAGER K., in *Proceedings of the III International Conference on Radioactive Nuclear Beams, East Lansing, May 1993*, edited by D. J. MORRISSEY (Editions Frontières) 1993, p. 281.
- [22] ZINSER M. *et al.*, *Study of the unstable nucleus ^{10}Li in stripping reactions of the radioactive projectiles ^{11}Be and ^{11}Li* , to be published in *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
- [23] GOLDBABER A. S., *Phys. Lett. B*, **53** (1974) 306.