CuO/SiO₂ as simple, effective and recoverable catalyst for alkylation of indole derivatives with diazocompounds

José M. Fraile^{a,*}, Karel Le Jeune^{a,‡}, José A. Mayoral^a, Nicoletta Ravasio^b and Federica Zaccheria^b

The purely inorganic copper oxide on silica catalyses the reaction of methyl phenyldiazoacetate with N-methyl indole under mild reaction conditions, giving the alkylation (formally a C-H insertion) in position 3, and the catalyst can be recovered and reused at least in 5 consecutive runs with only minor loss in activity. The scope of the reaction includes various diazocompounds and indole or pyrrole derivatives leading to alkylation or cyclopropanation depending on the heterocycle structure. An alternative mechanism, without reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I), is proposed on the basis of the obtained results.

1 Introduction

The indole structure is one of the most ubiquitous heterocycle in nature. Owing to their presence in many natural bioactive alkaloids,¹⁻⁴ the synthesis of indole derivatives became the field of intensive investigations.⁵⁻⁷ Among them, the direct functionalization of preformed indoles appears as a remarkably efficient and versatile way to complex indole derivatives.⁸⁻¹¹ For this purpose, insertion of carbenoids, resulting of the metal catalyzed degradation of diazocompounds,¹²⁻¹⁵ represents a powerful and attractive tool, whose result depends on both the nature of the indole and the diazocompound.¹⁶ Reaction of indole itself or N-alkyl indoles usually leads to C-H insertion with any type of diazocompound and different catalysts, such as rhodium carboxylates,¹⁷⁻²¹ copper salts,²²⁻²⁴ InBr₃,²² and iron complexes.²⁵ Recently, it was shown that selective insertion in position 2 of indole can be obtained using ruthenium catalysts.²⁶ On the contrary N-acyl indoles are prone to undergo cyclopropanation in either the pyrrole ring^{23,27,28} with Cu catalysts or even in the benzene moiety²⁹ with Rh. If the versatility and the efficiency of this methodology do not need to be demonstrated, to the best of our knowledge the only example described with a heterogeneous catalyst is the seminal work of Wenker et al with copper-bronze.³⁰ Frequently selectivity or even activity of metal catalysts depend on the oxidation state of this metal. In solution redox processes together with controlled atmosphere are frequently used to adapt the catalyst to the mechanistic requirements of the reaction, but these changes are not so easy with heterogeneous catalyst. Furthermore the use of ambient conditions increases the practical applicability of the catalysts. For these reasons the development of catalysts able to stabilize the required oxidation state of the metal under ambient conditions is an interesting task.

In the course of our study over the preparation of heterogeneous copper catalysts for the C-H insertion into cyclic ethers,^{31,32} we described the use of very simple and purely inorganic CuO_x/silica-alumina catalyst for the insertion of phenyldiazoacetate into the C-H bond of THF³³ showing that catalysts that stabilize Cu(I) are more active for this reaction, in agreement with the admitted mechanism. As a continuation of our study, we report herein the expansion of the use of this type of catalysts to indole functionalization. Furthermore the results obtained allow reaching new mechanistic insights and reinforce the importance of adapt the catalyst even for apparently related reactions. On the other hand the development of cheap, easily obtained and reusable catalysts is necessary to increase the practical application of these reactions.

2 Experimental

Typical conditions for the alkylation of indole derivatives. A solution of indole derivative (0.75 mmol.) in DCM (3 mL) was added to a dried powder of CuO/SiO₂ (copper content 4% wt, 5 mol%). To the resulting suspension was added a solution of diazo compound (0.5 mmol.) in DCM (2 mL) in 2 hours with a syringe pump. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 15 hours. Then, concentration and direct purification with flash chromatography affords the desired product. Methyl 2-(1-methyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-phenylacetate (**3a**). Chemical yield: 89%; colorless oil; *R*_f(Hex/AcOEt 80/20) 0.44; ¹H NMR: 7.41-7.47 (m, 3H), 7.19-7.35 (m, 5H), 7.04-7.10 (m, 2H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR: 173.6, 138.8, 137.1, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.3, 127.1, 122.0, 119.4, 119.1, 112.2, 109.5, 52.4, 48.9, 32.9; HRMS (ESI-MS) *m/z*: [M+H]⁺, calcd for C₁₈H₁₈NO₂⁺, 280.1332, found 280.1328.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Reaction between N-methylindole (1a) and methyl phenyldiazoacetate (2a)

The catalysts were prepared by the chemisorption-hydrolysis method,³⁴⁻³⁸ on three different supports, silica gel (surface area: S_A = 300 m²/g), SiO₂-Al₂O₃ (13% alumina, S_A = 485 m²/g) and TiO₂ (Degussa P-25 80% anatase, 20% rutile, S_A = 50 m²/g). The support is added to a solution of [Cu(NH₃)₄]²⁺ at pH = 9, the copper precursor is hydrolyzed at 273 K, and the solid is filtered, dried, and calcined in air at 673K. This preparation method exploits the electrostatic interaction taking place well above the point of zero charge of silica and titania between the support surface and the [Cu(NH₃)₄]²⁺ complex solution.³⁹ This

ensures a very high dispersion of the CuO phase on the two supports, particularly on the one having a higher surface area, namely silica.^{34,35} On the contrary, the number of strong acid sites of silica alumina determined by adsorption calorimetry is close to that determined for a H-Beta zeolite sample.³⁶ This favours an ionic exchange reaction with the $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ solution that generates isolated $\text{Cu}^{\delta+}$ ($1 \leq \delta \leq 2$) species.^{37,38} A Cu loading of 4% was used in all cases.

The reaction test was performed with N-methylindole **1a** and methyl phenyldiazoacetate **2a** (Scheme 1) in dichloromethane. The results are gathered in Table 1. The diazo compound was added in two hours to minimize the formation of dimerization products (dimethyl 2,3-diphenylmaleate and fumarate). The best catalyst for THF insertion,³³ $\text{Cu}/\text{SiO}_2\text{-AlO}_3$, affords 75% yield within 15 h (entry 2), similar to that obtained with $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ (entry 1). However in the case of the homogeneous catalyst an important amount of dimers was detected, in contrast with the lack of these by-products with the heterogeneous catalyst. Interestingly the use of silica as support improved these results leading to 89% of yield in only 4 hours (entry 3). On the contrary, titania as support provides very poor yield (8%, entry 4), although it has some catalytic effect, as shown in the control experiment (entry 10). Finally, a lower amount of catalyst can be used but longer reaction times are required to reach similar yields (79% in 15 h, entry 5). The heterogeneous nature of the catalyst was tested by filtration experiments. As the reaction did not proceed in solution, degradation of the heterogeneous catalyst, leading to catalytically active copper in solution, can be excluded as the catalysis mechanism. Additionally the catalyst exhibited a great hardness, illustrated by its behaviour upon recovery (Table 1). The yield remains almost the same during 5 cycles (entries 6-9), and the longer reaction time required from cycle 4 is probably due to the unavoidable loss of catalyst in the filtration process.

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions^a

Entry	Catalyst (% mol)	Run	Time (h)	Yield (%) ^b
1	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ (5)	1	15	70
2	CuO_x/SiAl (5)	1	15	75
3	CuO/SiO_2 (5)	1	4	89
4	CuO/TiO_2 (5)	1	15	8
5	CuO/SiO_2 (2)	1	15	79
6	CuO/SiO_2 (5)	2	4	84
7		3	4	80
8		4	15	84
9		5	15	92
10	none	1	48	<1

^a Conditions: Catalyst (2-5 % mol) and **1a** (0.75 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) at rt, addition of **2a** (0.5 mmol in CH_2Cl_2 , 2 mL) in 2h. ^b Determined by GC, eicosane as internal standard.

Scheme 1 Reaction between N-methylindole (**1a**) and methyl phenyldiazoacetate (**2a**)

3.2 Scope of the reaction: indole structure

Once accomplished the optimization of the conditions, the scope of the reaction was explored. First of all the structure of the indole substrate was modified (Table 2). Regarding N-substitution (entries 1-5), the N-unprotected indole **1b** is compatible with this method, and no N-H insertion was observed (entry 2).⁴⁰ The presence of a bulky substituent such as TMS (**1c**) seems to make the approach of the indole to the surface metal-carbenoid more difficult. Thus, a gentle warming is necessary to reach a moderate yield (61% overall yield), but accompanied of some nitrogen deprotection (14%, entry 3). The reactivity of N-benzylindole (**1d**, entry 4) is nearly the same as that of N-methylindole. The presence of an electron-withdrawing substituent in N-Boc-indole leads to a high yield of the cyclopropanation product **3e**, as a mixture of two diastereomers (1:1 ratio), the same chemoselectivity described with $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$,²³ and in contrast with the double cyclopropanation in the benzenoid ring obtained with $\text{Rh}_2(\text{DOSP})_4$.²⁹ The insertion product was not observed, even when the reaction was carried out at 80°C. Neither the presence of bromo or methoxy substituents in position 5 (entries 6 and 7) nor that of a methyl group in position 2 (entries 8 and 9) had any significant influence on the results, and high yields of the insertion products were obtained. On the contrary the presence of an electron-withdrawing group in position 2 leads to a drastic drop in reactivity, as described in the case of insertions with diazomalonate,²⁴ and only moderate yield at higher temperature can be obtained (entry 11). However, the lower electron density of the indole ring does not promote in this case the cyclopropanation. When the position

3 is already substituted, the insertion takes place at position 2, but again with lower reactivity (entry 10).

Table 2 Reactions of methyl phenyldiazoacetate (**2a**) with indole derivatives^a

Entry	Indole	Temp.	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1		rt		89
2		rt		75
3		50°C		47 (14) ^d
4		rt		82
5		rt		86
6		rt		78
7		rt		83
8		rt		70
9		rt		90
10		50°C		41
11		50°C		50

^a Conditions: CuO/SiO₂ (5% mol) and **1** (0.75 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL), addition of **2a** (0.5 mmol in CH₂Cl₂, 2 mL) in 2h. ^b Isolated yield. ^c Reactions performed in 1,2-dichloroethane. ^d In brackets yield of **3b**.

3.3 Scope of the reaction: diazocompound

In a second set of experiments, the scope with regard to the diazocompound was studied (Table 3). Modification of the aryl moiety of the donor/acceptor diazocompound⁴¹ with Br or methoxy groups does not bring about significant modification of the yield (entries 1 and 2). Other donor/acceptor diazocompounds undergo side reactions instead of insertion. With *tert*-butyl 2-diazo-3-hydroxycinnamate (**2d**) only the cinnamates (*Z/E* mixture) from β-hydride migration are detected (entry 3).^{42,43} In the

case of the vinyl diazo compound **2e** an intramolecular 1,5-electrocyclization gives rise to the corresponding pyrazole derivative (entry 4).⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷ To avoid the cyclization, the unsaturated diazolactone **2f**, with forced *s*-trans conformation, was considered (entry 5).^{48,49} In this case, the yield is lower than usual, and the expected product **4fa** (27% yield) is obtained together with the vinylogous isomer **4fb** (11% yield). This vinylogous reactivity had not been observed in other reactions with this diazolactone.⁵⁰ At higher temperature more complex reaction mixtures are obtained, without increasing the yield.

An acceptor diazo compound, such as *tert*-butyl diazoacetate (**2g**) leads to the corresponding insertion product with moderate yield (39%, entry 6) at 50°C. The reaction performed with the acceptor/acceptor dimethyl diazomalonate (**2h**) needs to be heated at 80°C to obtain good yield of the insertion product (85%, entry 6).

Table 3 Reactions of different diazo compounds with N-methylindole (**1a**)^a

Entry	Diazo compound	Temp.	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1		rt		79
2		rt		85
3		rt		-
4		rt		-
5		rt		27
6		50°C		11
7		80°C		39

^a Conditions: CuO/SiO₂ (5% mol) and **1a** (0.75 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL), addition of **2** (0.5 mmol in CH₂Cl₂, 2 mL) in 2h. ^b Isolated yield. ^c Reactions performed in 1,2-dichloroethane.

3.4 Reaction with pyrroles

Finally, the reaction of methyl phenyldiazoacetate (**1a**) with pyrrole derivatives (Scheme 2) was tested. With pyrrole itself (**5a**), high conversion of diazo compound (83%) was obtained to the insertion products, only in position 2 as obtained with other catalysts²⁶ and diazo compounds,⁵¹⁻⁵³ and with a significant amount of double insertion product (**7a**). In the case of the N-Boc-pyrrole, as expected, instead of C-H insertion cyclopropanation occurs in good yield (90% conversion of phenyldiazoacetate, eq. 2). In this case, both monocyclopropane **6b** (two rotamers) and dicyclopropane **7b** (one single compound) are obtained. The latter is the only product obtained with Rh in conditions close to the stoichiometric ones,²⁹ whereas the analogous monocyclopropane can be obtained with Cu catalysts and diazoacetate.^{54,55}

Scheme 2 Reactions of pyrroles with methyl phenyldiazoacetate (**1a**) catalyzed by CuO/silica.

3.5 Reaction mechanism

The mechanism of this kind of reactions is still a matter of debate. It has been proposed that insertion takes place through the opening of a transient cyclopropane in the case of N-alkyl and unprotected indoles¹⁸ and pyrroles²² (Scheme 3). This opening would not take place in the case of N-acylindoles and cyclopropane would be obtained as the main (or only) product. Other authors have proposed the formation of an ylide by attack of the indole to Rh-carbene intermediate.^{17,56} This mechanism has been supported by DFT calculations for reactions with alkyldiazoacetates²⁰ (Scheme 3), and it has also been proposed for the case of Cu catalysts.¹⁸ However, Cu(I) has been recognized as the truly catalytic species in reactions, such as cyclopropanation,⁵⁷ proceeding through Cu-carbene intermediates, and those Cu(I)-carbene intermediates can be obtained from Cu(II) precursors by *in situ* reduction with the diazo compound.^{58,59} This kind of reduction has been proposed for these³³ and related catalysts,⁶⁰ although its mechanism is not fully understood. It can be assumed that this is the mechanism involved in the cyclopropanation of N-boc-indole and N-boc-pyrrole (Scheme 3).

However, some of our results seem to indicate that another mechanism may be responsible, at least in part, for the insertion reaction on the heterogeneous catalyst (Scheme 3). Silica-alumina is able to stabilize Cu(I) species much better than silica,^{37,38} which is in agreement with its better behaviour of CuO_x/SiO₂-Al₂O₃ than CuO/SiO₂ in the carbene insertion into THF,³³ a behaviour not observed in the case of the insertion into N-methylindane. On the other hand CuO/SiO₂ has been recently shown to be an effective Lewis acid catalyst.⁶¹ The origin of this acidity, well evident from the IR spectra of adsorbed pyridine, traces back to the high dispersion of the copper oxide phase in this catalyst, making its particles coordinatively unsaturated.⁶² Moreover, it has already been reported that the nature of the catalyst support has a marked influence on the reaction outcome of other heterogeneous Cu catalyzed C-C bond forming reactions.⁶³

The lack of dimerization products (2,3-diphenylmaleate and fumarate), typical of carbene chemistry, may be due to the slow addition of diazo compound, which minimizes this side reaction. However, the addition of methyl phenyldiazoacetate in one pot leads to the same result, showing that insertion is very fast, without any induction period coming from the Cu(II) to Cu(I) reduction,^{58,59} that has shown to be the rate limiting step in some heterogeneously catalyzed cyclopropanations.⁶⁴ A last evidence for a Lewis acid mechanism is the different behaviour against the presence of ligands. Whereas the insertions through carbene intermediates are accelerated by bis(oxazoline) ligands,³¹⁻³³ in this case the addition of a ligand leads to the deactivation of the catalyst for indole insertion. Thus a mechanism in which Cu(II) acts as a Lewis acid and it is not reduced to Cu(I) may operate in this case, with N₂ as a leaving group in an aromatic electrophilic substitution and a final migration of a proton to recover the aromaticity of indole or pyrrole (Scheme 3). In fact this mechanism would also agree with the substitution pattern observed, C3 for indole and C2 for pyrrole. It is important to note that the reaction is formally and mechanistically similar to a Friedel-Crafts alkylation but with N₂ as the only concomitant byproduct and using an easily separable and reusable catalyst.

Scheme 3 Proposed mechanisms for insertion and cyclopropanation of indoles.

Conclusions

It has been shown that a particularly simple and robust copper oxide on silica catalyst is able to promote the reaction of diazocompounds of different nature (acceptor, acceptor/acceptor, donor/acceptor) with indole and pyrrole derivatives under mild conditions. In the case of unprotected or N-alkyl derivatives alkylation products are obtained, with a preference for position 3 in indoles and position 2 in pyrroles, although this preference may change depending on the substitution pattern of the heterocycle. On the contrary cyclopropanes are obtained with N-Boc derivatives. The catalyst has shown to be recoverable and reusable for at least 5 times.

Acknowledgement

Financial support from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Project CTQ2011-28124) and the European Commission (NANO-HOST programme no. PITN-GA-2008-215193) is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- ^a ISQCH – Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogénea, Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC, C/ Pedro Cerbuna, 12, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain. E-mail: jmfraile@unizar.es
- ^b Istituto di Scienze e Tecnologie Molecolari, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, via Golgi 19, I-20133 Milano, Italy.
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: experimental methods (catalyst preparation, synthesis of indole derivatives, synthesis of diazocompound) and identification of all the reaction products. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- ‡ Current address: Institut des Sciences Moléculaires de Marseille, Université Aix-Marseille, Campus Saint Jérôme, 13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France
- 1 P. M. Dewick, *Medicinal Natural Products: A Biosynthetic Approach*; John Wiley & Sons Inc., Chichester, 2009.
- 2 D. H. R. Barton, K. Nakanishi, O. MethCohn, J. W. Kelly, *Comprehensive Natural Products Chemistry*; Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1999.
- 3 A. J. Kochanowska-Karamyan, M. T. Hamann, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, **110**, 4489.
- 4 S. E. O'Connor, J. J. Maresh, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2006, **23**, 532.
- 5 U. Pindur, R. Adam, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 1988, **25**, 1.
- 6 G. W. Gribble, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 2000, 1045.
- 7 G. R. Humphrey, J. T. Kuethe, *Chem. Rev.* 2006, **106**, 2875.
- 8 S. Cacchi, G. Fabrizi, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, **105**, 2873.
- 9 M. Bandini, A. Eichholzer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2009, **48**, 9608.
- 10 S. Patil, R. Patil, *Curr. Org. Synth.* 2007, **4**, 201.

- 11 M. Bandini, A. Melloni, S. Tommasi, A. Umani-Ronchi, *Synlett* 2005, 1199.
- 12 M. P. Doyle, M. McKerverey, T. Ye, *Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds: From Cyclopropanes to Ylides*, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- 13 M. P. Doyle, D. C. Forbes, *Chem. Rev.* 1998, **98**, 911.
- 5 14 H. M. L. Davies, J. R. Manning, *Nature* 2008, **451**, 417.
- 15 M. P. Doyle, R. Duffy, M. Ratnikov, L. Zhou, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, **110**, 704.
- 16 H. M. L. Davies, S. J. Hedley, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, **36**, 1109.
- 17 S. Muthusamy, C. Gunanathan, S. A. Babu, E. Suresh, P. Dastidar, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 824.
- 18 R. Gibe, M. A. Kerr, *J. Org. Chem.* 2002, **67**, 6247.
- 10 19 S. Muthusamy, B. Gnanaprakasam, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, **49**, 475.
- 20 A. DeAngelis, V. W. Shurtleff, O. Dmitrenko, J. M. Fox, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, **133**, 1650.
- 21 T. Goto, Y. Natori, K. Takeda, H. Nambu, S. Hashimoto, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2011, **22**, 907.
- 22 J. S. Yadav, B. V. S. Reddy, G. Satheesh, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, **44**, 8331.
- 23 X.-J. Zhang, S.-P. Liu, M. Yan, *Chin. J. Chem.* 2008, **26**, 716.
- 15 24 M. B. Johansen, M. A. Kerr, *Org. Lett.* 2010, **12**, 4956.
- 25 Y. Cai, S.-F. Zhu, G.-P. Wang, Q.-L. Zhou, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2011, **353**, 2939.
- 26 W.-W. Chan, S.-H. Yeung, Z. Zhou, A. S. C. Chan, W.-Y. Yu, *Org. Lett.* 2010, **12**, 604.
- 27 F. Gnad, M. Poleschak, O. Reiser, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, **45**, 4277.
- 28 G. Özüdü, T. Schubach, M. M. K. Boysen, *Org. Lett.* 2012, **14**, 4990.
- 20 29 S. J. Hedley, D. L. Ventura, P. M. Dominiak, C. L. Nygren, H. M. L. Davies, *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, **71**, 5349.
- 30 E. Wenkert, M. E. Alonso, H. E. Gottlieb, E. L. Sanchez, R. Pellicciari, P. Cogolli, *J. Org. Chem.* 1977, **42**, 3945.
- 31 J. M. Fraile, J. I. García, J. A. Mayoral, M. Roldán, *Org. Lett.* 2007, **9**, 731.
- 32 J. M. Fraile, P. López-Ram-de-Viu, J. A. Mayoral, M. Roldán, J. Santafé-Valero, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2011, **9**, 6075.
- 33 J. M. Fraile, J. A. Mayoral, N. Ravasio, M. Roldán, L. Sordelli, F. Zaccheria, *J. Catal.* 2011, **281**, 273.
- 25 34 F. Boccuzzi, A. Chiorino, G. Martra, M. Gargano, N. Ravasio, B. Carrozzini, *J. Catal.* 1997, **165**, 129.
- 35 35 F. Boccuzzi, G. Martra, S. Coluccia, N. Ravasio, *J. Catal.* 1999, **184**, 316.
- 36 B. Dragoi, A. Gervasini, E. Dumitriu, A. Auroux, *Thermochim. Acta* 2004, **420**, 127.
- 37 S. Bennici, A. Gervasini, N. Ravasio, F. Zaccheria, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2003, **107**, 5168.
- 38 A. Gervasini, M. Manzoli, G. Martra, A. Ponti, N. Ravasio, L. Sordelli, F. Zaccheria, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006, **110**, 7851.
- 30 39 L. Jiao, J. R. Regalbuto, *J. Catal.* 2008, **260**, 329.
- 40 For a study of N-H insertion to indole, see: S. Muthusamy, P. Srinivasan, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, **46**, 1063.
- 41 For a classification of diazocompounds, see: H. M. L. Davies, R. E. J. Beckwith, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, **103**, 2861.
- 42 N. Ikota, N. Takamura, S. D. Young, B. Ganem, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981, **22**, 4163.
- 43 D. F. Taber, R. J. Herr, S. K. Pack, J. M. Geremia, *J. Org. Chem.* 1996, **61**, 2908.
- 35 44 A. Padwa, Y. S. Kulkarni, Z. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, **55**, 4144.
- 45 H. M. L. Davies, N. J. S. Hubby, W. R. Cantrell, J. L. Olive, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993, **115**, 9468.
- 46 M. P. Doyle, M. Yan, *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, **67**, 602.
- 47 D. J. Babinski, H. R. Aguilar, R. Still, D. E. Frantz, *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, **76**, 5915.
- 48 D. Bykowski, K.-H. Wu, M. P. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, **128**, 16038.
- 40 49 M. Ichinose, H. Suematsu, T. Katsuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2009, **48**, 3121.
- 50 For other examples of vinylcarbenoids with electrophilic character at vinylogous position see: Y. Lian, H. M. L. Davies, *Org. Lett.* 2012, **14**, 1934. and references herein.
- 51 B. E. Maryanoff, *J. Org. Chem.* 1979, **44**, 4410.
- 52 B. E. Maryanoff, *J. Org. Chem.* 1982, **47**, 3000.
- 45 53 H. M. L. Davies, W. B. Young, H. D. Smith, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, **30**, 4653.
- 54 S. R. Tanny, J. Grossman, F. W. Fowler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1972, **94**, 6495.
- 55 R. Beumer, C. Bubert, C. Cabrele, O. Vielhauer, M. Pietzsch, O. Reiser, *J. Org. Chem.* 2000, **65**, 8960.
- 56 Y. Lian, H. M. L. Davies, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, **132**, 440.
- 57 J. M. Fraile, J. I. García, V. Martínez-Merino, J. A. Mayoral, L. Salvatella, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, **123**, 7616.
- 50 58 R. G. Salomon, J. K. Kochi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973, **95**, 3300.
- 59 R. L. Safiullin, V. A. Dokichev, L. R. Yakupova, R. M. Sultanova, S. L. Khursan, R. N. Zaripov, Yu. V. Tomilov, *Kinet. Catal.* 2008, **49**, 43.
- 60 X. Liu, Y. Liu, X. Li, S. Xiang, Y. Zhang, P. Ying, Z. Wei, C. Li, *Appl. Catal. A* 2003, **239**, 279.
- 61 F. Zaccheria, F. Santoro, R. Psaro, N. Ravasio, *Green Chem.* 2011, **13**, 545.
- 55 62 F. Zaccheria, N. Scotti, M. Marelli, R. Psaro, N. Ravasio, *Dalton Trans.* 2013, **42**, 1319.
- 63 A. Biffis, E. Scattolin, N. Ravasio, F. Zaccheria, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, **48**, 8761.
- 64 J. M. Fraile, J. I. García, C. I. Herrerías, J. A. Mayoral, O. Reiser, A. Socuéllamos, H. Werner, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, **10**, 2997.