Evaluation of microporous biochars produced by single-step oxidation for postcombustion CO₂ capture under humid conditions
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Abstract
Water vapor is the third component of flue gases after N₂ and CO₂. The permanent dipole moment of the water molecule makes it strongly adsorbable on many adsorbents, which can negatively affect the adsorption capacity of carbon dioxide (even causing an irreversible loss in certain cases). Carbon materials have high stability in moist conditions and present a hydrophobic nature that makes these materials appealing adsorbents for post-combustion CO₂ capture. Furthermore, these adsorbents present the added advantage that can be obtained from a globally available, cheap and renewable source of carbon: biomass. In the present work the effect of water vapor on the adsorption performance of CO₂ using a microporous biochar developed from olive stones by single-step oxidation is evaluated. The equilibrium of adsorption of water vapor on the selected biochar was studied in a wide temperature range that is considered of interest for the post-combustion case (12.5-85 °C). This biochar presents a moderate water adsorption capacity and type V adsorption isotherms, which will facilitate the desorption of water vapor during cyclic operation. Breakthrough curves were obtained using a gas mixture which composition resembled flue gas in the presence and absence of water vapor. The breakthrough curves of CO₂ obtained under dry and humid conditions overlap each other, which indicates that the presence of water vapor does not hinder CO₂ adsorption in the short time scale. Moreover, the adsorbent recovered its full adsorption capacity after regeneration. These findings point out that this material could be used to separate CO₂ from humid flue gas using cyclic adsorption processes.
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1. Introduction

The main component of flue gases is N\textsubscript{2} (> 65 %), followed by lower amounts of CO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O and O\textsubscript{2}. Trace amounts of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{x} can be found after the purification units, although these are not expected to interfere significantly in a physisorption process. In general, CO\textsubscript{2} is preferentially adsorbed over the major flue gas component, N\textsubscript{2}, due to its stronger quadrupole moment. Many adsorption studies evaluate the potential of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorbents solely in terms of their adsorption capacity in pure CO\textsubscript{2} or, in best cases, by their CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} selectivity. However, a significant amount of water vapor is also present in flue gases. For example, the gas stream leaving a wet scrubber in a coal fired power plant will be nearly saturated with water vapor. Even in the cases where no desulfurization unit is needed, flue gases will contain water vapor coming from the fuel and the combustion air. Moreover, the permanent dipole moment of water molecules makes them strongly adsorbed by many adsorbents, which difficults the adsorbent regeneration. Therefore, water adsorption can cause a drastic decrease in the adsorption capacity to carbon dioxide, which can even be irreversible in certain cases. For this reason, adsorption separation units sometimes include a dehumidification stage with alumina to avoid co-adsorption of water vapor by zeolites [1]. The use of hydrophobic adsorbents, such as carbon materials, can eliminate the need of such step entailing additional installation and operating costs. Thus, it is of utmost interest to evaluate the effect of water vapor on the CO\textsubscript{2} capture performance of candidate adsorbents.

Biomass is a globally available and renewable source of carbon. Carbon adsorbents with a low carbon footprint can be easily obtained from agricultural residues or food industry by-products, through relatively simple heat treatment processes. The gases and tars released during the process can be burned \textit{in situ} to reduce the energy requirements of the process, or collected for bio-oil or chemicals production. The solid fraction, sometimes referred to as \textit{biochar}, is a low-cost and microporous carbon that presents selectivity towards CO\textsubscript{2} and high stability in moist environments. These properties make this material an appealing adsorbent for postcombustion CO\textsubscript{2} capture applications.

In this work, a microporous biochar produced by single-step oxidation of olive stones is evaluated as CO\textsubscript{2} adsorbent in postcombustion conditions. The use of air as the activating gas provides cost savings due to the cheaper cost of the gas feedstock, and to the lower activation temperatures required, compared to conventional activation with H\textsubscript{2}O or CO\textsubscript{2}. In a previous work [2], the effect of activating conditions on the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity of the biochars was evaluated. It was observed that the use of rarefied air (3 % O\textsubscript{2}, balance N\textsubscript{2}) at moderate temperatures (650 °C) lead to microporous carbons with a very narrow microporosity (0.3-0.5 nm), useful for CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption at low partial pressures, which is the case of postcombustion applications (3-33 % CO\textsubscript{2} at near atmospheric pressure [3]). Here the focus is placed on the effect of water vapor on the adsorption performance of CO\textsubscript{2}. First, the equilibrium of adsorption of water vapor on the selected biochar was studied in a wide temperature range which is considered of interest for the post-combustion case (12.5-85 °C), and compared to that of CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}. Secondly, the breakthrough curves of a binary N\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} mixture which composition resembled dry flue gas were obtained and compared to the breakthrough curves of a ternary N\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture which composition resembled humid flue gas.

**Nomenclature**

\( \alpha _{\mu} \)  critical water cluster size to enter the micropores  
\( \varepsilon \)  total void fraction of the fixed-bed  
\( C_{calc} \) amount adsorbed calculated using the adsorption model  
\( C_{exp} \) amount of H\textsubscript{2}O adsorbed measured with the static volumetric apparatus  
\( C_{i} \) amount of species \( i \) adsorbed at a given time calculated from the breakthrough experiments  
\( C_{DJD} \) amount of H\textsubscript{2}O adsorbed calculated by the DJD model  
\( C_{sat} \) saturation capacity for the adsorption of water on the micropores  
\( F_{in} \) molar flow rate of species \( i \) fed to the adsorber  
\( F_{out} \) molar flow rate of species \( i \) in the effluent  
\( k_{f} \) chemisorption equilibrium constant  
\( k_{p} \) micropore equilibrium constant
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Adsorbent properties

The adsorbent used in this work, was obtained by single-step activation with rarefied air (3 % O₂, balance N₂) at moderate temperatures (650 °C) departing from a biomass residue: olive stones. Sample preparation and characterization details can be found elsewhere [2]. Table 1 summarizes the most relevant physicochemical properties of the adsorbent.

Table 1. Adsorbent characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid density (kg m⁻³)</td>
<td>1684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle density (kg m⁻³)</td>
<td>759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed density (kg m⁻³)</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elemental analysis (wt.% daf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content (wt.% db)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH₃₉°C</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Determined by helium pycnometry; b Determined by mercury intrusion at 0.1 MPa; c Determined experimentally in the fixed-bed adsorber; daf: dry ash free basis; db: dry basis.

2.2. Equilibrium of adsorption of pure components

The equilibrium of adsorption of CO₂ and N₂ in the temperature range between 0 and 50 °C of the olive stone biochar was assessed in a previous study [2]. The adsorption isotherms of H₂O over OS were obtained in a volumetric apparatus Hydrosorb 1000 from Quantachrome Instruments at different temperatures: 12.5, 25, 50, 70 and 85 °C up to the corresponding saturation pressure.
2.3. Fixed-bed experiments

Breakthrough experiments were carried out using synthetic flue gas mixtures in the presence and absence of water vapor to evaluate its effect on CO₂ adsorption. These experiments were carried out in a fixed-bed adsorption unit described in detail elsewhere [4]. The adsorber consists on a stainless steel column with 9.12 mm of internal diameter carefully packed with 3.8 g of adsorbent. The “dry” synthetic flue gas is prepared by mixing individual streams of CO₂ and N₂ which flow is set by means of mass flow controllers. In the wet experiments, the N₂ stream is humidified prior to be mixed with the dry CO₂ stream. The relative humidity of the gas mixture is monitored continuously by a humidity probe located in the effluent line. To make sure that the feed composition was stable, this was analyzed before starting the experiment bypassing the adsorber. At \( t = 0 \) the feed was redirected to the adsorber, which was initially full of helium at 25 °C and 150 kPa. The effluent flow rate is measured by means of a mass flow meter located between the humidity probe and a dryer column that removes the water vapor prior to analyze the CO₂ and N₂ content of the effluent using a gas micro-chromatograph. The “dry” and “wet” breakthrough experiments were repeated to confirm the results obtained, regenerating the bed with helium flow at 150 °C between consecutive runs. The experimental conditions are summarized in Table 2 in chronological order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>P (kPa)</th>
<th>Feed flow rate ( a ) (g/h)</th>
<th>RH ( a ) (%)</th>
<th>( y_{CO2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run 1 (“dry”)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 2 (“wet”)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 3 (“dry”)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 4 (“wet”)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At \( t = 0 \)

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Equilibrium of adsorption of water vapor

The water vapor adsorption isotherms are shown in Figure 1a in terms of amount adsorbed versus absolute pressure. At a given partial pressure of water vapor the amount adsorbed decreases with increasing temperature due to the shift of the saturation pressure. In fact, when the amount adsorbed is represented versus the partial pressure (Figure 1b), the isotherms at the different temperatures virtually overlapped.

The water vapor adsorption isotherms present a Type V topology with a small uptake at low relative pressures and a narrow hysteresis loop, which is indicative of the narrow microporosity of the evaluated biochar [2, 5]. Note that the adsorption is reversible: water vapor can be desorbed at constant temperature by simply lowering its partial pressure. This equilibrium behavior would facilitate the regeneration in a VSA process, reducing considerably the pumping cost compared to polar adsorbents like zeolites and alumina that present adsorption isotherms of Type I and II, respectively, with high uptakes from low relative pressures.

The isosteric heat of adsorption of water vapor on the evaluated biochar, which is a measure of the strength of adsorption, was calculated from the slope of the experimental isosteres using the Clausius-Clapeyron relation (correlation coefficients above 0.99). It presents an average value of 44 kJ mol⁻¹ which is close to the heat of condensation of water in the temperature range evaluated [6], as expected for a physisorption process. On the other hand, Zeolite 13 X, presents a stronger adsorption of water vapor, with a value of the isosteric heat of adsorption between 54 and 62 kJ mol⁻¹ [7], which would increase the energy required to regenerate the adsorbent.

It is important to highlight that the saturation capacity of water vapor on the evaluated biochar is relatively low compared to other carbon adsorbents [4, 8, 9]. For example, this adsorbent presents nearly one third of the maximum adsorption capacity of an activated carbon derived from the same precursor by direct activation with CO₂ [4]. This is mainly attributed to the lower texture development attained by activation with rarefied air compared to the activation with CO₂ [2, 4, 10]. In fact, the initial upswing of the adsorption isotherms at relative pressures below 0.1 are alike,
which suggests that the slight differences in the surface chemistry of these carbons are not the cause of the different water vapor adsorption behavior. The lower equilibrium capacity even at high relative pressures is also an important advantage from the point of view of the final application, as this will reduce the amount of water vapor coadsorbed with CO$_2$ from the humid flue gases. In a VSA process, for example, this would reduce the pumping energy required to regenerate the adsorbent [8].

![Fig. 1. Water vapor adsorption isotherms: (a) amount adsorbed vs. absolute pressure; (b) amount adsorbed vs. relative pressure. Filled symbols and solid lines represent the adsorption branch and empty symbols and dashed lines the desorption branch.](image1)

Despite the hydrophobic nature of carbons, the adsorption capacity of water vapor at high relative pressures (i.e., high relative humidity) is still higher than that of CO$_2$ at the same temperature (see Figure 2a).

![Fig. 2. (a) Comparison of the adsorption isotherms of CO$_2$, N$_2$, and H$_2$O at 25 °C; (b) water vapor adsorption and desorption isotherm at 25 °C; (symbols represent experimental data and lines the prediction of the DJD model)](image2)
The equilibrium of adsorption of pure CO₂ and N₂ can be described by the relatively simple Toth model [2]. However, the equilibrium of adsorption of water vapor cannot be properly described by this equation. Over the years, specific adsorption models have been developed for the adsorption of water vapor on carbon materials that adequately describe the Type V topology. To the authors' knowledge, only one model has been proposed that describes the adsorption and desorption of water vapor on microporous carbons in the entire relative pressure range: the model proposed by Do, Junpirom and Do (DJD) (Equations 1 and 2, respectively) [11]. This model considers that water adsorption initiates on the surface functional groups located at the graphene edges, and proceeds by H-bonding of new water molecules that form growing clusters until they attain a sufficient size to enter the micropores. An extension of this model was later proposed by Horikawa and Do to account for the adsorption of water vapor in the mesopores [12].

\[
C_{DJD,\text{adsorption}} = S_0 \frac{k_f \sum_{n=1}^{m} n x^n}{1 + k_f \sum_{n=1}^{m} x^n} + C_{\mu s} \frac{k_\mu \sum_{n=\alpha \mu + 1}^{m} x^n}{1 + k_\mu \sum_{n=\alpha \mu + 1}^{m} x^n + \sum_{n=\alpha \mu + 1}^{m} x^{n-\alpha \mu}}
\]  

(1)

\[
C_{DJD,\text{desorption}} = S_0 \frac{k_f \sum_{n=1}^{m} n x^n}{1 + k_f \sum_{n=1}^{m} x^n} + C_{\mu s} \frac{k_\mu (1 + K_{R_R}) \sum_{n=\alpha \mu + 1}^{m} x^n}{1 + k_\mu (1 + K_{R_R}) \sum_{n=\alpha \mu + 1}^{m} x^n + \sum_{n=\alpha \mu + 1}^{m} x^{n-\alpha \mu}}
\]  

(2)

The DJD model parameters \(S_0, k_f, \alpha, C_{\mu s}\), and \(k_\mu\) were fitted to minimize the sum of square residuals (Equation 3) between the adsorption isotherm at 25 °C and the values calculated using Equation 1. The value of \(m\) was set to: \(m=\alpha \mu + 1\) [12]. The relaxation equilibrium constant for water desorption from the micropores, \(k_{R_R}\), was fitted to minimize the sum of square residuals between the desorption isotherm and the values calculated using Equation 2. The DJD parameters are shown in Table 3, and the goodness of fit can be observed in Figure 2b. The DJD adsorption model represents adequately the experimental adsorption isotherm up to relative pressures of 0.95, and the DJD desorption model gives an approximated description of the hysteresis loop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>(S_0) (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>(k_f)</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>(C_{\mu s}) (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>(k_\mu)</th>
<th>(k_{R_R})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>22.91</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>69.21</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value obtained for \(S_0\) represents 39% of the total oxygen content of the carbon; this was to be expected, as not all the oxygen is necessarily available for the adsorption of H₂O. The size of the water clusters in the micropores (\(\alpha\)) depends mainly on the pore size of the carbon, although it is also affected by the surface chemistry that can contribute to stabilize smaller clusters [11]. The value obtained for \(\alpha\) is relatively low, which is attributed to the narrow size of the micropores of the biochar evaluated. In fact, this value is lower than that obtained for an activated carbon developed from the same precursor by activation with CO₂ which presents a slightly higher oxygen content but wider micropore width [4]. \(C_{\mu s}\) represents 30% of the physical upper limit, which was calculated assuming that all the micropore volume is completely full of liquid water at the adsorption temperature. This packing fraction in the micropores is also lower than that obtained for an activated carbon developed from the same precursor by activation with CO₂, due to the narrower pore size of the biochar activated with air [4].
3.2. Dynamic experiments: influence of water vapor on CO₂ capture

Figure 3a shows the molar flow rate of CO₂ and N₂ in the effluent during the dry and wet breakthrough experiments (runs 1-4). At \( t = 0 \) the synthetic flue gas mixture is fed to the adsorber, which is initially regenerated and filled with helium. N₂ breaks through the bed in first place: the molar flow rate of N₂ in the effluent increases rapidly, meeting that of the feed in approximately 4 min. On the other hand, the molar flow rate of CO₂ in the effluent goes through a minimum at around 3 min, and then starts to increase meeting the feed flow rate in about 11 min. During this time, the effluent is enriched in N₂ and depleted from CO₂ due to the preferential adsorption of CO₂ over N₂. Note that the CO₂ breakthrough curves obtained using the dry gas (runs 1 and 3) and the moist gas (runs 2 and 4) overlap each other. These results seem to indicate that the presence of water vapor does not hinder the adsorption of CO₂ on the evaluated biochar.

The molar flow rate of water vapor in the effluent during the breakthrough curves (runs 1-4) is shown in Figure 3b. When the moist gas is fed to the adsorber at \( t = 0 \) (runs 2 and 4), the water vapor flow rate in the effluent starts to decrease due to the adsorption of water vapor by the biochar. This decay continues for approximately 95 min, and then starts to increase again for another 300 min, stabilizing thereafter. However, the flow rate of water vapor in the effluent does not met that of the feed at \( t = 0 \). The relative humidity of the effluent stabilizes in a value of 53 %, which is below the initial value of the feed (65 %). The evolution of the water flow rate in the effluent during the first 15 min of runs 1-4 is shown in greater detail in the upper part of Figure 4b to facilitate comparison with the breakthrough curves of N₂ and CO₂ (Figure 3a). Note that the adsorbent is rapidly saturated by N₂ and CO₂ but it is very slowly saturated by H₂O. Run 2 was stopped after the water breakthrough, but run 4 was continued for nearly 8 h (see the good agreement between the breakthrough of water vapor in runs 2 and 4 in Figure 3b). The water breakthrough curve is initially steep, but after 1 h the slope decays to increase again by the end of the experiment. The shape of the breakthrough curves is intrinsically related to the equilibrium of adsorption [13] and the adsorption rate of water vapor on carbon adsorbents displays a widely varying time scale [14, 15]. In the present case, three distinct regions can be identified in the breakthrough curve: the first region (steep breakthrough) takes place when the relative humidity of the effluent is below 20%; in the adsorption isotherm, this corresponds to the adsorption of water molecules around the functional groups. The second region, between 20 and 40% RH, presents a lower slope, and is related to the cooperative adsorption of water molecules (growth of water clusters around the functional sites). The third region, which is again steeper, takes place when the relative humidity of the effluent is below 40% in the adsorption isotherm, this corresponds to the adsorption of water molecules around the functional groups. The second region, between 20 and 40% RH, presents a lower slope, and is related to the cooperative adsorption of water molecules (growth of water clusters around the functional sites).

![Fig. 3. Component molar flow rates in the effluent during runs 1-4: (a) CO₂ and N₂ (b) H₂O.](image-url)
The amount of species \( i \) adsorbed at a given time (\( t \)) can be estimated by making a partial mass balance to the adsorber and discounting the gas retained in the void volume (Equation 4). The amount of CO\(_2\) adsorbed during the first 11 min of the breakthrough experiments carried out in the presence and absence of water vapor (runs 1-4) is similar to the value predicted by the pure component Toth model: 1.1 mmol g\(^{-1}\). This confirms that the presence of water vapor in the gas mixture does not diminish the CO\(_2\) adsorption capacity of the biochar in the short time scale due to the delayed adsorption of water vapor. The amount of water vapor adsorbed in the same period of time during the wet runs (2 and 4) is only 0.2 mmol g\(^{-1}\), which represents about 3-4\% of its pure equilibrium adsorption capacity.

\[
C_i = \frac{\int_{t=0}^{t_f}(F_{i,in} - F_{i,out})dt - \frac{P_{i,in} - P_{i,out}}{R} (Y_{i,b} + Y_{i,a})}{w}
\]  

(4)

Only the mass flow rate of the effluent and its composition were monitored continuously during the experiment. To calculate the amount adsorbed at short time scales, it was assumed that \( F_{i,in} = F_{i,t=0} \). However, when the time of integration is large, small absolute changes in the flow rate of each species fed to the adsorber can cause a relatively large accumulated error in the integration term. For this reason, the amount of water vapor adsorbed during run 4 was estimated from the desorption curve (Figure 4b) making use of equation 4 (\( F_{CO_2,in}=0 \)). The total amount of H\(_2\)O desorbed during the regeneration of the adsorbent with helium flow at 150 \(^\circ\)C was 5.6 mmol g\(^{-1}\); this amount falls within the equilibrium capacity of pure water vapor at 25 \(^\circ\)C in the relative humidity range between 53 and 65\%. The amount of CO\(_2\) desorbed during the regeneration of run 4 was 0.6 mmol g\(^{-1}\) which means that part of the CO\(_2\) initially adsorbed was progressively displaced by the preferential adsorption of H\(_2\)O. However, it is important to highlight that the adsorption capacity of CO\(_2\) in the short time scale, which is relevant for industrial adsorption processes, is not affected by the presence of water vapor. Another important advantage from the point of view of the future cyclic adsorption performance is that the adsorbent recovers full adsorption capacity after regeneration. The time required to fully regenerate the adsorbent was similar to the length of the adsorption experiment. Using short adsorption times the amount of water adsorbed will be low and fast to desorb. CO\(_2\) is more readily desorbed than H\(_2\)O; the conditions of the regeneration step should be carefully selected to maximize CO\(_2\) purity with the minimal energy consumption.

Fig. 4. CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O molar flow rates during the regeneration of run 4: (a) detail of the first 15 min and (b) 400 min.
4. Conclusions

In this work, the effect of water vapor on the adsorption of CO\textsubscript{2} using a microporous biochar obtained by direct activation of olive stones with air is assessed. The equilibrium of adsorption of water vapor in the temperature range between 12.5 and 85 °C was studied. The evaluated biochar presents a moderate adsorption capacity of water vapor, and the isotherms present a type V topology with low uptakes at low relative pressures and a narrow hysteresis loop. These characteristics are of interest as they will facilitate the desorption of water vapor during the regeneration step in a cyclic process. It was observed that the temperature affects the equilibrium of adsorption of water vapor mainly by the shift in the saturation pressure. The equilibrium of adsorption of water vapor on the evaluated biochar can be described by specific adsorption models developed for carbon materials. These model equations can be implemented in simulation tools to account for the presence of water vapor in flue gas during the design of the separation process.

Multicomponent experiments carried out in a fixed-bed adsorption unit in the presence and absence of water vapor demonstrated that the biochar adsorbs preferentially CO\textsubscript{2} over N\textsubscript{2} in conditions representative of postcombustion applications. Although H\textsubscript{2}O is also adsorbed by the biochar, no significant reduction in the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity was observed in the short time scale in the presence of water vapor. This is attributed to the delayed adsorption of H\textsubscript{2}O. If adsorption is continued for a sufficiently long time, the adsorption of H\textsubscript{2}O can displace part of the previously adsorbed CO\textsubscript{2}. However, cyclic processes use short adsorption times, where the influence of water vapor is negligible. Moreover, the adsorbent recovered full adsorption capacity after regeneration, which is mandatory for an efficient cyclic adsorption/desorption performance. These findings, together with the availability and low-cost inherent to the biochar, confirm the interest of this material for postcombustion CO\textsubscript{2} capture applications.
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