A New Route of Synthesis of Na-Mica-4 from Sodalite.

Moisés Naranjo,¹ Miguel A. Castro,² Agustín Cota,³ Esperanza Pavón,^{2,4} M. Carolina Pazos⁵ and María D. Alba^{2,*}

¹Consejería de Educación. Junta de Andalucía. 41092 Sevilla. Spain

²Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Sevilla. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas -Universidad de Sevilla. Avda Américo Vespucio 49. 41092 Sevilla. Spain.

³Laboratorio de rayos-X (CITIUS), Avda. Reina Mercedes 4b, 41012-Sevilla. Spain.

⁴Unité de Catalyse et de Chimie du Solide, UCCS, CNRS, UMR8181, Université Lille Nord de France, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq. France.

⁵ Escuela de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia UPTC, Avda. Central del Norte, Vía Paipa, Tunja, Boyacá, Colombia

ABSTRACT: Synthesis of Na-Mica-4 has been achieved by a “mix and calcine” method using sodalite and magnesium fluoride as the only precursors. Previous research found sodalite as a key intermediate reaction product in the formation of Na-Mica-4 when the NaCl melt method was employed. Similarities in structure, chemical composition and cation distribution in products using the proposed method and the NaCl melt method are described and suggest that Na-Mica-4 is a very stable product. The use of sodalite as precursor provokes microporous formation in the final mica. The absence of excess Na leads to a lower particle size and to the presence of less impurity

---

* Corresponding Author: Tel: +34 954489546. Fax: + 34 954460665. E-mail: alba@icmse.csic.es

in the calcined product. Different sodalites could be used in the synthesis of different Na-Mica-4 with presumably different physicochemical properties.

Keywords. Brittle micas, sodalite, rheology, swelling 2:1 phyllosilicates, surface, particle size, XRD, MAS NMR.

Graphical abstract

1. Introduction

Na-Mica-4 is a synthetic high-charge expandable phyllosilicate with no other natural equivalent, as it expands in contact with water while its charge is in the range of that of brittle micas [1,2]. Because of this, much research on its synthesis and cation-exchange properties has been developed over the last few years. Na-Mica-4 was first synthesized by Gregorkiewitz and Rausell-Colom [3] in minor quantities as one out of several products from melting augite powder in fluoride salts. Electron microprobe analysis and Infrared spectra suggested the presence of four Na^+ cations per unit cell. In addition, it was observed that the product expanded in the presence of water vapor. Particle sizes were non uniform, ranging from 0.2 μm to 2 mm. The unique characteristics of this synthetic silicate fostered interest in attaining bulk synthesis of the product with particle sizes less than 2 μm , in order to make it amenable to cation exchange. For its synthesis, different routes and precursors were tested. These methods sought: i) products free from impurities, and, ii) cheaper silicon sources. Paulus et al. [4] made a big step forward using a sol-gel route with $\text{Mg}(\text{NO}_3)_2$, $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ and TEOS, subsequent mixture with NaF and calcination, obtaining a product with particle sizes in the range 1 μm -5 μm , showing selectivity towards retention of radionuclides such as ^{90}Sr . However, the synthesis process was rather long and tedious, and repeated washings in boric acid and water were necessary to remove different impurities [5,6]. Franklin and Lee [7] developed the so-called “all in one” method, in which all the steps in the sol-gel method were merged together, with no or little effect in purity and particle size. Regarding the second objective, several studies were conducted with the aim of finding more cost-effective aluminosilicate sources, such as fly ashes [8] or kaolinite [1], for future large-scale applications, finding metakaolinite as an optimal precursor with the desired 1:1 Si to Al molar ratio but with the inconvenience of aluminum being in a

different coordination number [9]. In this case, Al was not in tetrahedral coordinated in the precursor, which can be an important factor for cation distribution in the synthesized product. In addition, the need for removing impurities with boric acid washings remained. To avoid these problems, Park et al. [10] developed a new route, the so-called “NaCl melt method”, in which NaCl and MgF_2 were employed instead of NaF as sodium and fluorine sources, obtaining a nearly pure Na-Mica-4, making boric acid washings unnecessary. Particle size was shown to be dependent on the amount of NaCl in the reactant mixture, finding the ratio $\text{NaCl}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3=4$ to be optimal for obtaining average particle sizes around 3 μm . To achieve ultrafine ($<2\mu\text{m}$) products, ratios as high as 6 were required. Thus, smaller particle size required high NaCl excess, with damaging effects in purity and crystallinity. This fact shows that it would be interesting to look for a synthesis method in which no NaCl excess were necessary.

Another important aspect to be considered is the framework cation ordering, as Si-Al distribution strongly affects reactivity of layer silicates [11]. Gregorkiewitz and Rausell-Colom [3] interpreted the lower frequency shifts in the infrared spectrum as indicative of high Al for Si substitution, noting that a different layer stacking mode, necessary to accommodate the high number of interlayer cations in the silicate, could affect Si-Al distribution in a different way to that previously expected [12]. Therefore, it is quite important for the synthesis of Na-Mica-4 with design properties to relate the synthesis procedure with the Si-Al distribution of the as-made product. The appropriate technique is the ^{29}Si MAS NMR which is sensible to the local ordering and, therefore, to the Si-Al distribution in the tetrahedral sheet [13]. However, not many NMR studies have been performed on the Na-Mica-4. Komarneni et al. [9] attributed two peaks separated by 1.9 ppm to one single Si environment, considering this observation an indication of homogeneous Si-Al distribution and, presumably, of better cation

exchange and selectivity properties. As a result of quantitative ^{27}Al NMR study, a 97% of aluminum was estimated to be in tetrahedral coordination. Park et al. [10] found two peaks at -78.2 and -81.7 ppm which were assigned to $\text{Q}^3(3\text{Al})$ and $\text{Q}^3(2\text{Al})$ Si contributions. Komarneni et al. [14] found four ^{29}Si peaks (although spectra were not showed) and an additional peak when heating over 1000 °C. Alba et al. [15] identified five different ^{29}Si peaks, attributing the “fifth peak” to a violation of Loewenstein’s rule. The use of precursors having Si and Al in the same ratio and coordination as in the target product is then believed to be helpful to attain a homogeneous Si-Al distribution and no or little Al in the octahedral layer.

Other characterization techniques, such as Z-potential and BET surface, which could be helpful to understand key properties like cation exchange kinetics and colloids properties, have not been considered so far.

Therefore, the goal of this research was to provide a new synthesis route for Na-Mica-4 using sodalite as aluminum and silicon source. The use of sodalite was based on the fact that this silicate has a Si/Al ratio equal to 1 and both heteroatoms are homogeneous distributed. Moreover, the Al coordination sphere in the starting material is similar to this found in the final product. Finally, the purity, Si-Al distribution, particle size, Z-potential and surface are analyzed and the influence on these properties of the synthesis precursors will be discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis method.

A single-step procedure described elsewhere [15], similar to the NaCl melt method [10], was employed in the synthesis of Na-Mica-4. Starting materials were SiO_2 from Sigma (CAS no. 112945-52-5, 99.8% purity), $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ from Riedel-de Haën (CAS

no. 21645-51-2, 99% purity), MgF_2 from Aldrich (CAS no. 20831-0, 98% purity), and NaCl from Panreac (CAS no. 131659, 99.5% purity). The reactants were mixed in the following molar ratio: 4SiO_2 : $4\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$: 6MgF_2 : 8NaCl . The mixture was ground in an agate mortar and heated in a Pt crucible at 900°C for 15 h. After cooling, the solids were washed in deionized water and dried at room temperature. This sample will be named as *Na-Mica-4*.

Other set of samples were synthesized using sodalite, synthesized following the method described by Acar et al. [16], as Na, Al and Si source. Sodalite, MgF_2 and NaCl were mixed in different molar stoichiometric amount: 2/3:6:4 for sample *Na-Mica-4-S1* and 2/3:6:0 for sample *Na-Mica-4-S2*. Both mixtures were ground in an agate mortar and heated in Pt crucibles at 900°C for 15 h. After cooling, the solids were washed in deionized water and dried at room temperature.

2.2. Sample characterization.

TG/DTA experiments were carried out using a TA (model STD-Q600) instrument, with alumina as reference. The samples were placed into Pt crucibles and maintained at air throughout the heating period. The temperature was increased at a constant rate of $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$.

The external specific area (S_{BET}) and microporous surface area (S_{μ}) were determined by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K using a Micromeritics model ASAP 2010 and all samples were dried at 100°C overnight under vacuum before the nitrogen sorption measurement [17]. The BET surface area has been calculated using the complete N_2 adsorption isotherm and applying the following formulae [18]:

$$S_{\text{BET}} = \frac{V_m \cdot N \cdot s}{V \cdot a}$$

where V_m is in units of volume which are also the units of the molar volume of the adsorbate gas, N is Avogadro's number, s the adsorption cross section of the adsorbed species, V the molar volume of the adsorbate gas, and a the mass of the adsorbent. The correlation coefficient was 0.9996.

The particle size distribution of water suspension was measured using a laser diffraction particle size analyzer (Mastersize 2000 Model Hydro2000SM, Malvern Instrument Ltd., UK). The size-frequency distributions were plotted and $D_{10\%}$, $D_{50\%}$ and $D_{90\%}$, volume number diameters where the indicated percentage of the particles is smaller than that size, were determined. In addition, the size distribution was computed in terms of the polydispersity index (PI) and expressed as [19]:

$$PI = \frac{D_{90\%} - D_{10\%}}{D_{50\%}}$$

The zeta potential of all the samples was measured by using a laser Doppler electrophoresis analyzer (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern Instrument Ltd., UK). The temperature of the samples was controlled at 25 °C. The dispersions were diluted to 0.1 mg/mL with the help of an ultrasonic for 10 minutes prior to the measurements.

XRD patterns were obtained with a Bruker D8 instrument using $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA. Diffractograms were obtained from 3 to 70° 2 θ at a scanning speed of 1° 2 θ min⁻¹ with a scan step of 0.05° 2 θ .

The morphology of the samples was analyzed by SEM (JEOL, Model JSM 5400) at 20kV. An EDX system (Oxford Link ISIS) was fitted to the SEM equipment to perform chemical analyses of the samples using a Si/Li detector with Be window.

Single-pulse (SP) MAS NMR experiments were recorded on a Bruker DRX400 spectrometer equipped with a multinuclear probe. Powdered samples were packed in 4 mm zirconia rotors and spun at 10 kHz. The ¹H MAS spectra were obtained using typical $\pi/2$ pulse widths of 4.1 μs and a pulse space of 5 s. ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra were

acquired at a frequency of 79.49 MHz, using a pulse width of 2.7 μ s ($\pi/2$ pulse length = 7.1 μ s) and delay times in the range 3s - 60 s. ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra were recorded at 104.26 MHz with a pulse width of 0.92 μ s ($\pi/2$ pulse length = 9.25 μ s) and a delay time of 0.5 s. The chemical shift values were reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane for ^{29}Si and ^1H and from a 0.1 M AlCl_3 solution for ^{27}Al .

3. Results and Discussion

X-ray diffractograms of the samples (Figure 1) show that bulk synthesis of hydrated 2:1 phyllosilicate has been attained in all cases and minor reflections from neighbourite (MgNaF_3) is observed. Complete suppression of amorphous mica formation has been achieved as reported by others who used kaolinite [1] or fumed silica [8].

Additionally, sodalite reflections are still present in Na-Mica-4-S1; the XRD pattern of the precursor, sodalite, has been included in the Figure 1d. When sodalite is employed as precursor in the synthesis of Na-Mica-4, excess Na is no longer needed as proposed by Park et al. [10]. Moreover, the excess of NaCl promoted the presence of the precursor. Therefore, the use of an excess of NaCl would be necessary for the synthesis of the reaction intermediate sodalite, rather than for the synthesis of the Na-Mica-4 [10].

The XRD patterns of all the samples show a unique 001 reflection corresponding to a basal space of 12.1 Å due to hydrated Na^+ [20]. Thus, the use of sodalite as starting material did not provoke the formation of anhydrous phases as previously observed when fly ash was used [8]. The effect of the synthesis method on the hydration state of the micas has been analyzed by thermal analysis and by ^1H MAS NMR.

The main thermal process observed from the three products corresponds to an endothermic response, at 75.7°-77.8 °C, accompanied by a weight loss at temperatures

below 150°C, due to the dehydration of the external surface and of the interlayer space of the micas [10]. A similar weight loss has been observed in all the samples, estimated to be 6.41-6.68 % of total weight (Table 1) and it corresponds with a value of ca. 0.78 water molecules per interlayer cation, similar to the data reported by Park et al. [10]. The hydration state of the interlayer cation was corroborated by ^1H MAS NMR (Figure 2) that showed a wide signal at 4.6 ppm due to the Na^+ hydration water (21). The ^1H MAS NMR spectra of the Na-Mica-4 synthesized with NaCl excess (Figure 2a and 2 b) show an additional signal at 1.1 ppm due to terminal SiOH groups at the crystal surface and at crystal defect [13]. Finally, the spectrum of Na-Mica-4-S1 (Figure 2b) showed a signal at 3.7 ppm due to bridging Si(OH)Al hydroxyl groups typical of zeolite framework as can be seen in the spectrum of sodalite (Figure 2d) [13].

All the micas exhibited similar long range order and swelling capacity but their particles showed different morphology as being observed by SEM (Figure 3). Whereas Na-Mica-4 (Figure 3a) shows tiny flakes particles, the micas synthesized from sodalite show particles with a hexagonal morphology with well-defined angles of ca. 120° similar to the phlogopite crystal described by Sunagawa and Tomura [22] and the Na-Mica-4 synthesized by Gregorkiewitz and Rausell-Colom [3]. Na-Mica-4-S2 particles (Figure 3c) are smaller than Na-Mica-4-S1 one (Figure 3b). It is well known that small particle size favors the kinetic of cation uptake [9] and consequently their potential use as decontaminants. Park et al. [10] observed that, when excess of NaCl was used during the synthesis, larger amounts of NaCl led to smaller crystal size; however, the synthesis from sodalite leads smaller particles size at Al/Na ratio equal 1.

For its decontamination application, properties such as surface area, particle size distribution and Z-potential are important (Table 2) because they will be directly related to its adsorption capacity and reactivity. The particle diameter of the micas occurs as

distinct domains showing a bimodal character of this distribution (Figure S1). The main mode of Na-Mica-4 is close to 4 μm and the second is at 42 μm . The relative intensity of the second mode increases when sodalite is used as starting material and it is even higher in presence of NaCl excess. $D_{50\%}$ and PI of all dispersions are shown in Table 2. The synthesis from sodalite gives micas with statistically higher size and a narrower size of distribution. However, the different particles sizes do not significantly affect the total surface area but influence the microporosity which increases with the use of sodalite as starting material and NaCl in stoichiometric quantity.

The surface charge property can be characterized by zeta potential and the stability of a clay solution can be measured depending of its value. Colloids with high Z-potential (negative or positive) are electrically stabilized while colloids with low Z-potential tend to coagulation or flocculated. Z-potential values of micas have not shown relation with the particle size of colloid suspension but are related to the precursor nature (Table 2). For all the micas, the Z-potential is negative as can be expected for clay minerals; however, its value is strongly dependent of the chemical composition and the stoichiometry of the synthesis mixture. The excess of NaCl, when sodalite was used as starting material, tends to cause aggregation, as seen by the higher $D_{50\%}$ value, resulting from the interaction between the positive sodium ion and the negative edges of the clay particle. Similar observation was made in montmorillonite which had slightly higher (less negative) values for Z-potential in the presence of Li^+ or Na^+ [23,24]. The Na-Mica-4 colloid, despite of the NaCl excess, is electrically stabilized; the Z-potential values were higher than ± 10 which indicate that they don't tend to coagulate or flocculate [25].

Finally, the framework cation distribution has been analyzed by ^{29}Si and ^{27}Al MAS NMR (Figure 4 and 5). The ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectrum of the precursor, sodalite,

(Figure 4d) shows a unique signal at -85 ppm due to $Q^4(4Al)$ Si environment (26); however, the ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra of all the micas (Figure 4a-c) are characterized by a set of signal between -70 and -90 ppm, compatible with the existence of several single $Q^3(nAl)$ environments as expected for 2:1 layered aluminosilicates [27]. The four distinct Si signals at lower frequencies are assigned to $Q^3(nAl)$ Si environments and the fifth signal at -75 ppm to $Q^3(3Al)$ with Al-O-Al linkages [15]. ^{29}Si spectra of the three products are basically identical in shape and peak positions, the differences found only in slight changes in relative areas of peaks (Figure 4, right). It is noticeable that the Si-Al distribution is not significantly affected by the precursor but is influenced by the excess of NaCl which favours the peak at ca. -75 ppm and diminishes the intensity of the peaks of the $Q^3(nAl)$ ($n \leq 1$) Si environment.

^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra (Figure 5) show a main peak at ca. 65 ppm due to the majority of Al is in tetrahedral coordination [13], micas having higher quadrupole interactions than sodalite as inferred by the lower frequencies asymmetry of the peak. A sodalite contribution is clearly present in Na-Mica-S1 (Figure 5b), in accordance with the presence of this phase in the XRD data. Additionally, in all the micas, a small peak at ca. 0 ppm is observed and has been interpreted as aluminium in the octahedral layer [15]. The relative intensity of this peak diminishes when sodalite is used as precursor as it can be expected when aluminium in the source exhibits similar coordination than the final product.

4. Conclusions

Synthesis of Na-Mica-4 from sodalite, an intermediate product in the synthesis of that mica when the NaCl-melt method is employed, has been carried out successfully with and without excess of NaCl. This result can be useful when considering tailor-

made synthesis methods in which very specific compositions and/or physicochemical properties of the layer silicate are required. When sodalite is used as starting material, the NaCl excess inhibits the total conversion of sodalite to mica. Therefore, the use of an excess of NaCl is only necessary for the synthesis of the reaction intermediate sodalite, rather than for the synthesis of the Na-Mica-4.

The chemical composition of the starting mixture does not affect the mica crystallinity although the morphology and the particle size depend on the silicon and aluminum source and the Al/Na ratio. Both factors are also responsible of its colloidal behavior.

Finally, the use of sodalite as starting material provokes the microporous formation in the final mica which is enhanced in absence of NaCl excess.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from FEDER funds and DGICYT Projects no. CTQ2010-14874. We thanks to the Instituto Ciencia de los Materiales de Sevilla (CSIC-University of Seville) for the instrumental facility for measuring SEM/EDX, N₂ isotherm and MAS-NMR and to the CITIUS, University of Seville, for the instrumental facility for measuring XRD, Z-potential and particle size distribution.

References

-
- [1] T. Kodama, S. Komarneni, J. Mater. Chem., 9 (1999) 2475-2480.
  - [2] S. Komarneni, W.J. Paulus, R. Roy, Proc. Int. Conf. Ion Exchange: Tokyo, 1991.
  - [3] M. Gregorkiewitz, J.A. Rausell-Colom, Amer. Miner. 72 (1987) 515-527.
  - [4] W.J. Paulus, S. Komarneni, R. Roy, Letters to Nature 357 (1992) 571-573

-
- [5] T. Kodama, T. Higuchi, T. Shimizu, K. Shimizu, S. Komarneni, W. Hofbauer, H. Schneider, *J. Mater. Chem.* 11 (2001) 2072-2077.
- [6] T. Kodama, K. Hasegawa, K. Shimizu, S. Komarneni, *Sep. Sci. Technol.* 38 (2003) 679-694.
- [7] K.R. Franklin, E. Lee, *J. Mat. Chem.* 6 (1996) 109-115.
- [8] M. Park, D.H. Lee, C.L. Choi, W.T. Lim, S.K. Lee, N.H. Heo, S. Komarneni, J. Choi *J. Porous. Mater.* 9 (2002) 291-298.
- [9] P. Komarneni, R. Pidugi, J.E. Amonette *J. Mater. Chem* 8 (1998) 205-208.
- [10] M. Park, D. H. Lee, C. L. Choi, S.S. Kim, K.S. Kim, *J. Chem. Mater.* 14 (2002) 2582-2589.
- [11] M.D. Alba, A.I. Becerro, M.A. Castro, A.C. Perdigon, *Am. Miner.* 86 (2001) 124-131.
- [12] E.W. Radolovich, *Amer. Miner.* 48 (1963) 76-99.
- [13] G. Engelhardt, D. Michel, *High-Resolution Solid-State NMR of Silicates and Zeolites.* John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987.
- [14] S. Komarneni, R. Ravella, M. Park, *J. Mater. Chem.* 15 (2005) 4241-4245.
- [15] M.D.Alba, M.A.Castro, M. Naranjo, E. Pavón, *Chem. Mater.* 18 (2006) 2867-2869.
- [16] A. Acar, H.Yücel, A. Culfaz, *Chem. Eng. Comm.* 190 (2003) 861-882.
- [17] K.S.W. Sing, *Pure & Appl. Chem.* 54 (1982) 2201-2218.
- [18] S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett and E. Teller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 60 (1938) 309-319
- [19] J.J. Torrado, L. Illum, S.S. Davis, *Int. J. Pharm.* 51 (1989) 85-93.
- [20] M.D. Alba, A.I. Becerro, M.A. Castro, A.C. Perdigon, *Am. Miner.* 86 (2001) 115-123.

-
- [21] M.D. Alba, M.A. Castro, A.I. Becerro, A.C. Perdigón, J.Phys. Chem. 107 (2003) 3996-4001.
- [22] I. Sunagawa, S. Tomura, Amer. Miner. 61 (1976) 939-943.
- [23] A. Kaya, Y. Yukselen, Can. Geotech. J. 42 (2005) 1280-1289.
- [24] F. Rao, F.J. Ramirez-Acosta, R.J. Sanchez-Leija, S. Song, A. Lopez-Valdivieso, Appl. Clay Sci. 51 (2011) 38-42.
- [25] R. Greenwood, K. Kendall, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 19 (1999) 479-488.
- [26] B.L. Sherriff, H.D. Grundy, J.S. Hartman, European J. Mineralogy 3 (1991) 751-768.
- [27] J. Sanz, J.M. Serratosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106 (1984) 4790-4793.

Table 1.

DTA and TG data.

	DTA	TG (25°-300°C)	
	T (° C)	%	molec H ₂ O/cation
Na-Mica-4	76.0	6.68	0.79
Na-Mica-4-S1	75.7	6.41	0.76
Na-Mica-4-S2	77.8	6.62	0.78

Table 2.

Cumulated particle size at 50% distribution, polydispersity index, surface areas (BET and microporous) and zeta potential.

	D _{50%}	PI	S _{BET}	S _μ	Z-potential
	(μm)		(m ² /g)	(m ² /g)	(mV)
Na-Mica-4	16.1	5.4	6.2	0.6	-50.9
Na-Mica-4-S1	35.0	4.3	5.7	1.6	-14.1
Na-Mica-4-S2	24.8	4.7	5.2	2.7	-31.6

FIGURE CAPTION

Fig. 1. XRD of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite. s= sodalite (PDF 00-002-0052), and, f= MgNaF_3 (PDF 04-015-3231).

Fig. 2. ^1H MAS NMR spectra of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite.

Fig. 3. SEM micrography of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, and, c) Na-Mica-4-S2.

Fig. 4. ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra (left) of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite and the percentage of the $\text{Q}^3(\text{nAl})$ Si environments (right).

Fig. 5. ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, c) Na-Mica-4-S2, and, d) sodalite.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Fig. S1. Particle Size distribution of a) Na-Mica-4, b) Na-Mica-4-S1, and, c) Na-Mica-4-S2.