

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

FOREWORD

Greenhouse gas mitigation in the agricultural sector in Spain

Jorge Álvaro-Fuentes¹, Agustín del Prado², David R. Yáñez-Ruiz³

¹Departamento de Suelo y Agua. Estación Experimental de Aula Dei. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (EEAD-CSIC). Avda. Montañana, 1005, 50059, Zaragoza, Spain. E-mail: jorgeaf@eead.csic.es

²Basque Centre For Climate Change (BC3). Alameda Urquijo, 4, 4^o-1, 48008, Bilbao, Spain. E-mail: agustin.delprado@bc3research.org

³Instituto de Nutrición Animal. Estación Experimental del Zaidín. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (EEZ-CSIC). C/Camino del Jueves s/n, 18100, Armilla, Granada, Spain. E-mail: david.yanez@eez.csic.es

27 Climate change is a global concern due to its wide implications in several economical,
28 social and biological aspects. During the last two decades, important efforts are being
29 put into practice in order to mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from several
30 economical sectors whose activities are exacerbating global warming. According to the
31 last 5th Assessment Report (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
32 (IPCC), Agriculture is one of the main sectors responsible for the change in atmospheric
33 GHG concentration that has occurred in the last 30 years (IPCC, 2014). The agricultural
34 sector is a main emitter of nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄), two main GHGs.
35 Agricultural soils emit significant amounts of N₂O due to the application of mineral
36 fertilizers and organic manures. At the same time, changes in land use affect soil
37 organic carbon (SOC) stocks whilst enteric fermentation from livestock has been
38 identified as one of the main global sources of CH₄ (Paustian et al., 2006). Agriculture,
39 Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) was responsible for 23% of the total GHG
40 emissions emitted in 2010 (IPCC, 2014). However, the AFOLU sector can also serve as
41 a sink for GHG resulting from other sectors. In particular, carbon (C) accumulated in
42 soils and in plant biomass can remove significant amounts of carbon dioxide (CO₂)
43 from the atmosphere (Conant, 2011).

44 Spain has the fourth highest land area assigned to agriculture of the European Union
45 (EU) countries. At the same time, livestock production is important particularly pig (*Sus*
46 *scrofa*), sheep (*Ovis aries*) and poultry
47 (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/agriculture/data/database>). Thus,
48 agricultural activities in Spain generate significant GHG emissions to the atmosphere.
49 In particular, in 2011 (latest year with available data from the National GHG Inventory),
50 the agricultural sector in Spain emitted 37 Tg of CO₂-eq (MAGRAMA, 2013). This
51 value represented the 10.6% of the total GHGs emitted in the country. Agricultural soils

52 represented the main GHG emitter (about 48% of the total GHGs emitted by the
53 Spanish agricultural sector) followed by enteric fermentation (28%) and manure
54 management (22%) (MAGRAMA, 2013). The reduction of GHG emissions from these
55 three activities, which represent almost the 98% of the total GHG emissions in the
56 Spanish agricultural sector is thus an important challenge.

57 However, Spain's diversity in climate, geography and socio-economic circumstances
58 greatly influences the large heterogeneity in agriculture and livestock production
59 systems and thus their associated environmental challenges. Climate and landscape
60 differ significantly across the country. The northern regions have strong influence of
61 humid sea masses from the Atlantic Ocean, the central region is located in an area of
62 high plateau with a temperate continental climate, while the south and west region is
63 predominantly in a Mediterranean climate zone with some areas experiencing semiarid
64 conditions. Within this diversity, crop production systems range from typical dryland
65 cropping systems with cereal fields, such as barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) and wheat
66 (*Triticum aestivum* L.), as main crops, vineyards (*Vitis vinifera* L.) and olive (*Olea*
67 *europaea* L.) fields to highly intensified irrigated systems in which orchard plantations,
68 corn (*Zea mays* L.) fields and vegetables prevail. Livestock production ranges from
69 fairly extensive systems in the north based on grazing management to intensified
70 farming practices highly dependent on importation of both forage and grain. Pig
71 production is geographically concentrated in the eastern regions, which brings important
72 environmental concerns related to manure management. In addition, and in part related
73 to the above-mentioned heterogeneity, there is a lack of a national strategy to coordinate
74 all the research efforts focusing on mitigation strategies in the agricultural sector.

75 Over the last two decades, several Spanish research groups have focused their
76 investigations on determining the factors that control GHG emissions in a variety of

77 sectors and regions in Spain and have designed management mitigation strategies
78 concerned with soils and livestock. Furthermore, research concerned with estimating
79 and maximizing GHG sinks in soils and forest biomass has gained importance over the
80 last decade. In Spanish agroecosystems, historical management has exacerbated soil C
81 loss. Historically, practices such as intensive tillage and long fallowing have depleted
82 soil organic C resulting in an excellent opportunity to sequester atmospheric C in soils
83 through the adoption of alternative management practices (Moreno et al., 2010).
84 Regarding forest biomass, Spain is one of the EU countries with largest forestry surface
85 (European Commission, 2013). The forestry sector in Spain is characterised by a large
86 diversity in species composition and management (Ruiz-Benito et al., 2014). Thus,
87 forest management oriented to maximize tree and soil C storage is another promising
88 strategy to offset atmospheric GHG concentration in Spain.

89 The main drawback that accompanied the research focussed on GHG mitigation in the
90 agricultural, livestock and forestry systems in Spain has been the feeble connection
91 amongst research groups which share similar research interests, let alone those which
92 are involved in distinct disciplines. Examples of the lack of connection amongst the
93 different research groups are the lack of Spanish studies exploring the integration of the
94 different component levels (e.g. soil, plant or animal) into scales larger than the animal
95 or the field level (e.g. farm, landscape or regional), lack of studies integrating the results
96 from different regions in Spain or/and the absence of studies incorporating the
97 biophysical research findings with socio-economic studies.

98 In November 2011 a group of researchers from different academic institutions and
99 working on a range of GHG mitigation disciplines from the agricultural, livestock and
100 forestry sectors met in Madrid, Spain to discuss potential approaches to address GHG
101 mitigation in these sectors in Spain. The main output of the meeting was the creation of

102 a new scientific network, whose key objective was to integrate, promote and articulate
103 the scientific community that works on topics in relation to GHG mitigation in the
104 Spanish agricultural, livestock and forestry sectors. The network was called Remedia
105 (Red Científica de Mitigación de Emisiones de Gases de Efecto Invernadero en el sector
106 Agroforestal) and was launched in a first workshop held in March 2012 in Bilbao. The
107 first Remedia workshop was attended by 80 participants from across the country
108 representing a wide range of research interests. The success of the first workshop
109 resulted in the organisation of a second Remedia workshop during the following year in
110 Zaragoza in April 2013. 100 participants attended this event and 70 presentations were
111 given divided in four plenary sessions: forestry, livestock, croplands and socio-
112 economical aspects. Besides discussion about the options to mitigate GHGs in the
113 agricultural, livestock and forestry sectors in Spain, this second workshop served to
114 officially create the scientific Remedia Association. A main conclusion from the first
115 Remedia workshop was the necessity to share the papers presented with the larger
116 scientific community in special issues published in specialised journals. Mitigation and
117 adaptation Strategies for Global Change (MITI) was an excellent option to publish the
118 selected papers presented in the second Remedia workshop due to the scope of the
119 journal and the wide topics covered in the workshop.

120 The papers in this special issue of MITI deal with the following topics:

121 1. Management strategies to increase C stocks and C conservation

122 Four studies representing agricultural and forestry systems analysed the impact of
123 management strategies on C sequestration in distinct Spanish conditions. The study of
124 Almagro et al. (2014) aims to quantify the impact of agricultural management in SOC
125 sequestration and soil structure in rainfed orchards systems. Alvarez et al. (2014)
126 estimate C accumulation in Mediterranean mountain forests. They used the CO2Fix

127 model to predict climate and management effects on soil and tree C accrual. Similarly,
128 Ruiz-Peinado et al. (2014) analyse the effect of thinning on C storage in a long-term
129 forest experiment in central Spain. Armas-Herrera et al. (2014) investigate soil C
130 dynamics in volcanic soils of Canary Islands and the potential of these soils to mitigate
131 atmospheric GHG.

132 A fifth study evaluates C conservation when the solid fraction of pig slurry is managed
133 for composting. In particular, the study of Santos et al. (2014) evaluates different types
134 of bulking agents on the potential C conservation during composting.

135 2. Management strategies to mitigate non-CO₂ GHG emissions

136 Three studies are presented at the field and farm scale level, comprising either arable or
137 grassland-based livestock systems and for different agro-climatic areas (Mediterranean
138 and Atlantic) in Spain. The three papers have a very strong focus on the N cycle at the
139 soil-plant (Gallejones et al., 2014; Sanz-Cobeña et al., 2014) and soil-plant-animal (del
140 Prado et al., 2014) systems, aiming at investigating the effect of management on direct
141 N₂O emissions and other N losses leading indirectly to N₂O (e.g. NH₃). Gallejones et al.
142 (2014) introduce a new field-scale model (SIMS_{NIC}) to predict monthly nitrogen (N)
143 flows in cropping systems of Northern Spain. Sanz-Cobeña et al. (2014) study the use
144 of urease inhibitors as a strategy to mitigate ammonia (NH₃) volatilization in
145 agricultural soils. The study of del Prado et al. (2014) present a mass balance farm
146 model (NUTGRANJA 2.0) to simulate N losses in typical grassland-based dairy farms
147 and to establish management strategies to mitigate non-CO₂ GHG emissions
148 considering also potential synergies and trade-offs in N losses other than N₂O.

149 3. Social-economical issues

150 Two studies analyse the effectiveness of GHG mitigation practices at the whole country
151 scale. The studies cover this topic from the supply-side (Sánchez et al., 2014) and

152 supply vs demand-side viewpoint (Lassaletta et al., 2014), which provides a clear
153 picture of the hot spots for most effective action towards the true reduction of GHG
154 from both the Spanish producer and consumer of food.

155 Sánchez et al. (2014) analyse behavioural, cultural, and policy barriers for
156 implementation of mitigation practices at the farm level in Spanish agricultural areas.

157 The paper of Lassaletta et al. (2014) examines the trends in N₂O production and
158 consumption in the Spanish agricultural sector from 1961-2009 and provides an
159 alternative picture of that shown by conventional production-based GHG national
160 inventories.

161 The papers presented in this special issue represent only a sample of the investigations
162 that are currently being carried out concerning GHG mitigation in the Spanish
163 agricultural, livestock and forestry sector, but nevertheless give a taste of the research
164 that is being developed in Spain to an international audience.

165 The Invited Editors of this special issue would like to acknowledge the authors of the
166 selected papers, the several anonymous reviewers for their excellent revisions and the
167 Editor in Chief, Dr. Robert Dixon for his advice during the editing process.

168

169

170 **References**

171 Almagro M, de Vente J, Boix-Fayos C, García-Franco N, Melgares de Aguilar J,
172 González D, Solé-Benet A, Martínez-Mena M (2014) Sustainable land management
173 practices as providers of several ecosystem services under rainfed Mediterranean
174 agroecosystems. *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

175 Alvarez S, Ortiz C, Díaz-Pines E, Rubio A (2014) Influence of tree species

176 composition, thinning intensity and climate change on carbon sequestration in

177 Mediterranean mountain forests: a case study using the CO2Fix model. *Mitig Adapt*
178 *Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

179 Armas-Herrera C, Mora JL, Arbelo CD, Rodríguez-Rodríguez A (2014) Factors
180 affecting CO2 efflux rates and the stability of soil organic carbon storage in volcanic
181 soils of the Canary Islands. *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

182 Conant RT (2011) Sequestration through forestry and agriculture. *WIREs Clim.*
183 *Change*. 2:238-254.

184 del Prado A, Corré W, Gallejones P, Pardo G, Pinto M, del Hierro O, Oenema O (2014)
185 NUTGRANJA 2.0: a simple mass balance model to explore the effects of different
186 management strategies on N and GHG losses and soil P changes in dairy farms.
187 *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

188 European Commission (2013) Agriculture, forestry and fishery statistics. Eurostat
189 pocketbook. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
190 Luxembourg.

191 (available at: <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/forestry/introduction>)

192 Gallejones P, Aizpurua A, Ortuzar-Iragorri MA, del Prado A (2014) Development of a
193 new model for the simulation of N2O emissions: a case-study on wheat cropping
194 systems under humid Mediterranean climate. *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*. In
195 press.

196 IPCC. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. Summary for policy makers.
197 Working Group III. Assessment report 5.

198 (available at: [http://report.mitigation2014.org/spm/ipcc_wg3_ar5_summary-for-](http://report.mitigation2014.org/spm/ipcc_wg3_ar5_summary-for-policy-makers_approved.pdf)
199 [policy-makers_approved.pdf](http://report.mitigation2014.org/spm/ipcc_wg3_ar5_summary-for-policy-makers_approved.pdf))

200 Lassaletta L, Aguilera E, Sanz-Cobena A, Pardo G, Billen G, Garnier J, Grizzetti B
201 (2014) Leakage of nitrous oxide emissions within the Spanish agro-food system in
202 1961-2009. *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

203 MAGRAMA. 2013. Inventario de emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero de España.
204 Años 1990-2011. Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente.
205 Madrid.

206 Moreno, F., Arrúe, J.L., Cantero-Martínez, C., López, M.V., Murillo, J.M., Sombrero,
207 A., López-Garrido, R., Madejón, E., Moret, D., Álvaro-Fuentes, J. 2010.
208 Conservation agriculture under Mediterranean conditions in Spain. In: Lichtfouse, E.
209 (ed.). *Biodiversity, Biofuels, Agroforestry and Conservation Agriculture. Sustainable*
210 *Agriculture Reviews*, vol. 5, p. 175-193. Springer.

211 Paustian K, Antle JM, Sheehan J, Paul EA (2006) Agriculture's role in greenhouse gas
212 mitigation. *Pew Center on Global Climate Change*. 76 p. Arlington, VA.

213 Ruiz-Benito P, Gómez-Aparicio L, Paquette A, Messier C, Kattge J, Zavala MA (2014)
214 Diversity increases carbon storage and tree productivity in Spanish forests. *Global*
215 *Ecol. Biogeo.* 23:311-322.

216 Ruiz-Peinado R, Bravo-Oviedo A, Montero G, Río M (2014) Carbon stocks in a Scots
217 pine afforestation under different thinning intensities management. *Mitig Adapt*
218 *Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

219 Sánchez B, Álvaro-Fuentes J, Cunningham R, Iglesias A (2014) Towards mitigation of
220 greenhouse gases by small changes in farming practices: understanding local barriers
221 in Spain. *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

222 Santos A, Bustamante MA, Moral R, Bernal MP. 2014. Carbon conservation strategy
223 for the management of pig slurry by composting: initial study of the bulking agent
224 influence. *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change*. In press.

225 Sanz-Cobena A, Abalos D, Mejjide A, Sanchez-Martin L, Vallejo A (2014) Soil
226 moisture determines the effectiveness of two urease inhibitors to decrease N₂O
227 emission. Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change. In press.

228

229

230

231

232

233

234