Quality of trace element contaminated soils amended with compost under fast growing tree *Paulownia fortunei* plantation
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Abstract
The use of fast growing trees could be an alternative in trace element contaminated soils to stabilize these elements in soils and improve soil quality. In this study we investigate the effect of *Paulownia fortunei* growth on trace element contaminated soils amended with two organic composts under semi-field conditions for a period of 18 months. The experiment was carried out in containers filled with tree different soils, two contaminated soils (neutral AZ and acid V) and a non contaminated soil, NC. Three treatments per soil were established: two organic amendments (alperujo compost, AC, and biosolid compost, BC) and a control without amendment addition. We study parameters related with fertility and contamination in soils and plants. Paulownia growth and amendments increased pH in acid soils whereas no effect of these factors was observed in neutral soils. The plant and the amendments also increased organic matter and consequently, soil fertility. Positive results were also found in soils that were only affected by plant growth (without amendment). A general improvement of “soil biochemical quality” was detected over time and treatments, confirming the positive effect of amendments plus paulownia. Even in contaminated soils except for Cu, trace element concentrations in leaves were in the normal range for plants. Results of this mid-term study showed that *Paulownia fortunei* is a promising species for phytoremediation of trace element polluted soils.
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1. Introduction
The use of fast growing trees is an encouraging tool for reducing atmospheric CO₂ and production of agroforestry plantations for biomass production (Calfapietra et
However negative effects on soil fertility have been attributed to this type of plants (Alfaia et al., 2004), because their high nutrient demands are removed as harvested products (Szott et al., 1991). The use of compost in this kind of plantations could be an alternative to industrial fertilizers and could provide an interesting recycling solution for wastes. Composts, in addition to nutrient release (Larchevêque et al., 2006), may offer additional benefits to mineral fertilizers by reducing planting shock since it can also improve soil structure and, consequently, create better conditions for root development (porosity, aeration, and water-holding capacity; Pagliai et al., 1981).

Besides the increase in soil fertility, in heavy metal contaminated soils, the use of wastes and byproducts as amendments enhances those processes (sorption, precipitation and complexation reactions) that take place naturally in soils to reduce mobility and bioavailability of trace elements. For that reason, organic amendments could be considered as a complement of any phytoremediation strategy (Adriano et al., 2004). Phytoremediation is a low cost and environmental friendly technique that has been spread for remediation technologies (Ali et al., 2013). Among different strategies of phytoremediation, phytostabilization involves the establishment of a plant cover on the surface of the contaminated sites with the aim of reducing the mobility of contaminants. The process includes transpiration and root growth that immobilizes contaminants by reducing leaching, controlling erosion, creating an aerobic environment in the root zone, and adding organic matter. Phytostabilization can be enhanced by using soil amendments that immobilize metal(loid)s combined with plant species that are tolerant of high levels of contaminants and low-fertility soils or tailings (Bolan et al., 2011).

Trees can be successfully used in phytostabilization because of their ability to grow on nutrient poor soil, deep root system, fast rate of growth, metal-resistance traits
and economically viable secondary use. Thanks to these features, trees are able to stabilize, extract, degrade or volatilize soil contaminants (Azzarello et al., 2011). Most of the studies of phytoremediation using trees focus on the use of varieties of willows or poplars (Lepp and Madejón, 2007; Ciadamidaro et al., 2013; Vervaeke et al., 2003) have been chosen because of an array of characteristics (e.g. fast-growing tree, producing large yields and having a high energy potential, high capacity to stabilise polluted substrates, recycle nutrients and accumulate pollutants in their tissues). However, recently some studies have also focused on Paulownia spp (Doumett et al., 2008; Macci et al., 2012, 2013).

Paulownia spp is an extremely fast-growing deciduous tree species with vegetative propagation and tolerance to different soil and climate conditions (Wang and Shogren, 1992). This tree is original of China and its natural distribution ranges from tropical through to cool temperate climates. Paulownia could be considered as a low demand water plant, in spite of not growing in arid zones (Lucas-Borja et al., 2011). This species has an elevated biomass production during its growth phase (Chirko et al., 1996) and it has been calculated that each Paulownia tree could produce a cubic meter of wood at the age of 5–7 years, producing annually 150 t ha$^{-1}$ of biomass in intensive plantations with about 2000 trees by ha (Lucas-Borja et al., 2011).

This work aims to propose an integrated solution to increase the fertility of contaminated soils for the production of Paulownia fortunei to obtain biomass that could be used for energy purposes reusing at the same time organic wastes and the potential use of this tree for phytoremediation. The use of these materials in soil reclamation could fulfill three objectives: i) recycling of wastes and byproducts, ii) immobilization of trace elements and iii) restoration of quality and increase productivity of soil.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental design

The experiment was carried out under semi field conditions using two trace element moderately contaminated soils, Aznalcazar (AZ) and Vicario (V), and a non-contaminated control soil (NC) (Table 1). Trace-element contaminated soils, AZ and V, were collected in the area affected by a mine spill, the Aznalcóllar mine accident (28th April 1998) in South West of Spain (Grimalt et al., 1999). Non-contaminated soil (NC) was collected in the experimental farm “La Hampa” (IRNAS-CSIC) in Coria del Río (Southern Spain). The experiment was carried out in 27 containers (90 L of volume and 1 m height) that were placed outdoors. Containers filled with the soils were arranged according to a complete randomized block design with three treatments (two organic amendments and a control without amendment addition) and three replicates per treatment. The organic amendments were: AC, “alperujo” compost (alperujo is a solid by-product from the extraction of olive oil), and BC, biosolid compost.

TABLE 1

The amendments were added in November 2011 at a dose rate of 30000 kg ha⁻¹. High acidity, low OM content and moderately high values of total trace elements of soil V (Table 1), advised a second addition of 25000 kg ha⁻¹ of each amendment in March 2012. In each container a *P. fortunei* sapling from the Huelva University nursery was planted (saplings height around 10-15 cm). Amendments characteristics are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Containers were irrigated daily during the higher growth stage (May to October), though a drip irrigation hose with two emitters of 3 L h⁻¹ per container. The mean water dose during this time was 333 ml per container and day. This value was calculated taken
into account the evapotranspiration demand to keep the soil moisture close to its water holding capacity.

Soil pore water was sampled by ‘Rhizon’ samplers (Eijkelkamp Agrisearch Equipment, The Netherlands) inserted laterally into the containers at 20 cm depth. Pore water was sampled at regular intervals (after rainfall episodes; Figure S1) throughout the experimental period using removable needles attached by a Luer–Lock connection to the sampler and vacuum tubes to extract soil pore water from the sampler.

Soil samples at 0-15 cm depth were taken in November 2011 (first sampling) in May 2012 (second sampling) and May 2013 (third sampling). The soil was sieved (2 mm) and stored at 4 °C for a few days to prevent moisture loss before assaying for microbiological analysis. One sub-sample was air dried, crushed and sieved (<2 mm and <60 μm) for chemical analysis.

Leaves were sampled in 2013 previous the cut down of each Paulownia tree to obtain total biomass (including shoots, leaves and trunk).

2.2 Soil chemical properties determination

Soil pH was measured in a 1M KCl extract (1:2.5, m/v) after shaking for one hour (Hesse, 1971) using a pH meter (CRISON micro pH 2002). Electrical conductivity (EC) of soil was measured in the extract 1:5 soil/water. The available trace element (As, Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn) concentrations in soils were determined in 0.01 M CaCl₂ (1:10, m/v) extracts after shaking for three hours (Houba et al., 2000). Pseudo-total trace element concentrations in soil samples (< 60 μm) were determined by digestion with aqua regia (1:3 v:v conc. HNO₃:HCl) in a microwave oven (Microwave Laboratory Station Mileston ETHOS 900, Milestone s.r.l., Sorisole, Italy). Trace elements in all the extracts were determined by ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma-optical emission
spectrometry) using an IRIS Advantage spectrometer (Thermo Jarrel Ash Corporation, MA USA).

Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined by dichromate oxidation and titration with ferrous ammonium sulphate according to Walkley and Black (1934) and total Kjeldahl-N (TKN) by the method described by Hesse (1971). Available-P was determined by extraction with sodium bicarbonate at pH 8.5 (Olsen et al., 1954), and available-K was determined after extraction with ammonium acetate at pH 7.5 (Dewis and Freitas, 1970).

2.3 Microbial analysis

Dehydrogenase activity was determined by the method of Trevors (1984). Aryl-sulphatase activity was determined as proposed by Tabatabai and Bremmer (1970). β-glucosidase activity was measured as indicated by Tabatabai (1982). Phosphatase activity was measured by the method proposed by Tabatabai and Bremmer (1969). Urease activity was determined according the method proposed by Kandeler and Geber (1988) and modified by Kandeler et al. (1999).

All enzyme activities were expressed in µg PNP g dry soil\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) (PNP, p-nitrophenol), except dehydrogenase activity, which was expressed in µg INTF g dry soil\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) (INTF, p-iodonitrotetrazolium formazan) and urease activity, which was expressed in µg N-NH\(_4^+\) g dry soil\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\).

2.4 Soil Pore Water Analysis

Soil pore water (SPW) was extracted by mean of ‘Rhizon’ soil moisture samplers that have been proposed as a valid tool for monitoring and assessing eco-toxicity in soils (Beesley et al., 2010; Clemente et al., 2008). ‘Rhizon’ soil moisture samplers allow repetitive sampling the aqueous phase of the soil in a determined
location over time and without significantly disturbing the structure, chemistry or biology of the soil.

Volumes of 5-10 ml of interstitial soil water samples were only taken after intensive rainfall period and were analysed for pH and trace elements by ICP-OES. Detection limits for trace elements were: Cd 0.05 μg L⁻¹, Cu 0.3 μg L⁻¹, Mn 0.03 μg L⁻¹, Zn 0.2 μg L⁻¹.

2.5 Plant material

Vegetal material (leaves) was washed with a 0.1N HCl solution for 15 s and with distilled water then for 10 s. Washed samples were oven dried at 70 °C. Dried plant material was ground and passed through a 500-μm stainless-steel sieve prior to preparation for analysis.

Dried plant samples were digested by wet oxidation with concentrated HNO₃ (65%, trace analysis grade) under pressure in a microwave oven. Determination of trace elements (As, Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn) in the extracts was performed by ICP-OES. The accuracy of the analytical methods was assessed through BCR analysis (Community Bureau of Reference) of a plant sample (INCT-TL-1, Tea leaves).

2.6 Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out with the program SPSS 20.0 for Windows. Results of each soil were analysed by ANOVA, considering treatment as the independent variable. Significant statistical differences of variables between treatments were established by Tukey’s test (p<0.05). Data normality was tested prior to analysis, and when necessary, variables were transformed logarithmically, and normality was then passed in all cases.

Sun ray plots were constructed to graphically compare the mean values of different studied enzymatic activities in each soil and treatment. The star shape and the
integrated area for each treatment allow a comparison of visual and statistical presentations of multivariate data. The integrated area of each star for each soil-treatment pair was measured using the measuring tools of Adobe Acrobat 9® (Adobe Systems Incorporated, CA, USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 pH, EC, TOC and nutrients in soils

Values of pH in neutral soils (NC and AZ) showed few changes due to amendment addition, only in NC soil in the third sampling (May 2013), a significant increase due to BC addition was observed. Values of pH in AZ soil increased over time not only in the containers amended with both organic amendments (AZ+AC and AZ+BC), but also in the control containers (AZ) (Figure 1a). In the acid soil (V) in the second and third samplings (May 2012 and May 2013) both amendments increased significantly pH values compared to soil without amendment addition. Time evolution of soil pH showed also noticeable increases in the three treatments (V, V+AC and V+BC). Soil pH is one of most important factors to maintain soil fertility (Brady and Weil, 2002). The addition of amendments can increase soil alkalinity, especially in acid contaminated soils (Madejón et al., 2010). Mean values of soil pH in NC and AZ soils only showed slightly increases (Figure 1a) that can be attributed to the application of the amendments as might be expected from the nature of both soils and composts (pH close to neutrality, Tables 1 and 2). In this study the effects of amendments was more noticeable in the acid soil V (Figure 1a) whose pH increased. This soil, pH growth was especially evident in the third sampling due to the extra addition of amendments.

FIGURE 1

Different mechanisms have been proposed to explain the liming effect of organic residues. These include proton consumption during decarboxylation of organic acid
anions which occurs as plant residues decompose, proton consumption by functional
groups associated with organic material, and specific adsorption of organic molecules
by ligand exchange with the release of OH\(^-\) ions. Another important factor is the
formation of Al insoluble solid- and aqueous-phase complexes with organic matter,
inhibiting Al hydrolysis and decreasing production of protons (Mokolobate and Haynes,
2002; Naramabuye and Haynes, 2006). However, protons released by soil
microorganisms during mineralization of organic matter can slightly acidify the soil,
restricting the alkalinising potential of organic amendment.

In soils without amendment addition, Paulownia roots maintained soil pH
around neutrality in the neutral soils (NC and AZ) and increased pH values in the acid
soil V (Figure 1a). This may be the result of exudates and metabolites released by the
roots and microbial metabolites in the soil rhizosphere (Marschner and Romheld, 1983;
Leyval and Berthelin, 1993). Roots can alter local pH soil conditions by
assimilation/production of anions/cations, release of organic acids and CO\(_2\), O\(_2\)
consumption and redox reactions (Nye, 1981; Jones et al., 2004). Pérez de Mora et al.
(2006) found similar soil pH increases the same acid soil V using Agrostis as vegetal
cover after 36-months of experimentation.

Values of EC and TOC are only shown at the beginning of the experiment (Nov
2011) and at the end (May 2013) (Figure 1 b and c). In neutral soils (NC and AZ) the
addition of amendments increased EC only in the first sampling (2011). In the case of V
soil we could not find any difference attributed to amendments, although a general
decrease was observed over time (Figure 1b).

In general, values of TOC of the three soils increased throughout the experiment,
although only in the sampling of May 2013 this behaviour was supported by statistic
(Figure 1c). These increases may be attributed both to amendment application and plant
growth. Differences of the values of TOC of unamended soils in the two samplings allow estimating the influence of Paulownia growth in TOC values. In fact, in soils not receiving amendments, values increased from 0.49 to 0.85 % in NC (Δ 0.45%) and from 0.63 to 1.06 % in V (Δ 0.43%). No increase was observed in AZ soil.

Organic matter is vital to soil quality and for the regulation of important soil functions. Furthermore, the use of amendments can be used to enhance and speed remediation of contaminated soils (Terry, 1998; Brown, 1997; Kumpiene, 2010). The increase of organic matter of the original soils was one of the main objectives of applying organic amendments to the contaminated and degraded soils studied in the present paper. Values of TOC in unamended soils ranged from very low for NC to high for AZ, with low value for V (Figure 1c) (Hazelton and Murphy, 2007). The increase of organic matter (estimated by TOC) was especially important in soils NC and V, which had the lower initial TOC (Table 1). Paulownia development also contributed to increase the organic matter contents of the soils, as can be seen by the increase of TOC in the unamended soils (Figure 1).

In general, both amendments increased TNK in all soils, although this increment was not always significant (Figure 1d). It was observed that in NC and V soils, both amended and unamended, TKN tend to increase over time, while in AZ soils TKN remains practically constant throughout the experiment. These results reveal the effect of plant growth on maintaining or even increasing N contents of soils, despite the high demand of N of Paulownia (Zhu, 1991). The evolution over time showed remarkable increments in the treatments NC+AC and V+AC.

The effect of amendments on soil available-P was evident, especially in BC treatments (due to its higher P content, Table 2). Figure 1e shows significant increases of available-P values in amended soils. In unamended soils and in NC and AZ soils
amended with AC, available-P decreased over time, probably due to the plant demand. However in BC amended soils available-P remains practically constant during the first and second sampling, increasing in the third one to very high levels (> 50 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). It seems that the supply of available-P of this organic amendment went on until the end of the experiment, when the P demand of the plant is lower.

Figure 1f shows that in the first sampling the available-K increased in the three soils with the application of AC as a consequence of the greater K content of AC (Table 2). Addition of BC had no effect on the available-K of any of the soils. Soils amended with AC amendment reached values higher than 400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in NC and AZ, and of 250 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in V (Figure 1f). Afterwards the general trend of these values was the decrease over time (Figure 1f).

Values of TKN in the unamended soils were very low in NC, normal in AZ and low in V (Figure 1d) (Hazelton and Murphy, 2007). Pauwlonia plantations have high requirements of N and other nutrients (Zhu, 1991), and consequently the addition of amendments is crucial for its efficient cultivation. However, this study shows that despite the values of TKN ranging from low (NC and V) to medium (AZ), N contents in leaves had relatively high values – up to 2.5% in all the soils ant treatments (Figure 4). The effect of amendment on N in leaves was only appreciable in the soil with lower values of TKN (NC and V).

Therefore, these results show that this species did not impoverish the nutritional level of the soil, except in case of K. This reinforces the fact that Paulownia species is an excellent viable crop not only in semiarid arid soils, but also in contaminated soils. The presence of Paulownia, increased soil TKN, but decreased the available-P and –K (Figure 1), probably because of the demand of P and K by the plant.
3.2 Enzymatic activities

A diagram of the sun ray plot was used to summarise the efficiency of amendments and plants on the biochemical status throughout the study of five enzymatic activities (Dehydrogenase activity, Aryl-sulphatase activity, β-glucosidase activity, Acid phosphatase activity and Urease activity) (Figure S2). The integrated area (IA) of the five-pointed star (sun ray plot) was used to calculate the relative surface area (RSA) of each soil comparing unamended and amended soils (RSA= IA\text{amendment}/IA\text{unamendment}). This relative surface area can be considered both as an index of soil quality and of soil recovery. The IA of sun ray plot constructed with the enzymatic activities can be used to evaluate globally the effects of amendment addition and Paulownia development on soil quality. In this study we also compare RSA over time, (RSA_{\text{time}}= IA_{\text{sampling3}}/IA_{\text{sampling1}}).

Figure S2 and Table 3 shows that the size of the start of the unamended soil AZ is higher than those for NC and V, 4 and 4.4 times respectively. These values remain throughout the experiments. It is interesting to highlight that AZ has the better values of soil chemistry characteristics: neutral pH, low EC, normal values of TKN, and high values of both available-P and available-K. At the same time AZ is the soil with the greater value of TOC.

TABLE 3

In 2012 it was observed similar improvements of “soil biochemical quality” for both amendments in soils NC and V. In both soils values of IA were similar for amended soils and greater than in unamended soils. Values of RSA in amended soils were greater than 1 (Table 3). In this second sampling, “soil biochemical quality” of AZ improved only after the application of BC (values of RSA>1). Similar behaviour was observed in the last sampling (May 2013). The positive effect was greater in NC and V
than in AZ, possibly because of the higher values of enzymatic activities of the original AZ soil. It is worthy to point out that the only presence of Paulownia had a positive effect of the enzymatic activities as values of IA of unamended soils increased throughout the experimental period. In the third sampling (May 2013) IA of the unamended soils increased 67% in NC, 12% in AZ and 32% in V relative to the values in November 2011.

Amendments with BC increased IA values in the three soils, while amendments with AC increased IA only in soil NC. Values of RSA highlight the fastest effect of BC on soil enzymes activities. It is not clear which of the two amendments was more effective to improve IA. In the first sampling soils with BC had the greater values of IA and RSA, but in the other two samplings not always IA was greater in BC treated soils, although values of RSA for these soils were always greater than 1. A similar study in the rhizosphere and bulk soils of *P. fortunei* growing in contaminated soils showed that all physico-chemical properties increased in time, indicating that the nutrient pools and characteristics of soil ecosystem were gradually re-established. In the rhizosphere microenvironment, pH, OM and EC were important factors affecting the distribution of heavy metal fraction (Wang et al., 2009).

### 3.3 Available trace elements in soils

In this study we have evaluated soil trace element availability by two methods: soil extraction with 0.01M CaCl₂ and concentration in the soil pore water (SPW). Values of bioavailable trace metal estimated by the two methods are presented in Figures 2 and 3. Concentrations of extractable As and Pb were always below the detection limits of the method (0.01 mg L⁻¹ in the extract, equivalent to 0.1 mg kg⁻¹ in soil).

**FIGURE 2**
Available trace element extracted with CaCl₂ (Cd, Cu and Zn) concentrations in the three samplings were much higher in V soils (Figure 2). Despite of the differences in pseudototal trace elements concentration in soils (Table 1) few differences were found between NC and AZ soils in bioavailable concentration. In general the highest values were observed in the first sampling (Nov 2011) and these concentrations decreased with time in all treatments. The differences between treatments for each soil were significant in some cases (Figure 2). It was remarkable the general decrease of Cd in all soils and treatments in the last sampling (May 2013). In NC and AZ soils amendment addition did not have any effect on the availability of these three trace elements. The clearest effect due to amendment addition was observed in V soils, in which the presence of BC, and particularly AC, reduced the availability of trace elements during all the study period (Figure 2).

FIGURE 3

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the concentrations of Cd, Cu and Zn in SWP (values of As and Pb were also below the detection limit of the method). Each sampling was performed after a heavy rain episode (Figure S1). Concentrations of Cd in SPW of NC and AZ soils were very low (<0.003 mg L⁻¹). In both soils without amendments Cd concentration decreased from September 2012 to April 2013 to values lower than the detection limit (DL) of the method. The addition of AC to these two soils caused an increase of the concentration of Cd between September and November 2012. The addition of BC caused different results in NC and AZ. In NC the results were very similar to those obtained for AC, although the concentrations of Cd from September to November 2012 were higher. By contrast, the application of BC to AZ, in the same period, diminished Cd values to values even below those of the unamended soil. In both
soils from November 2012 to March 2013 the Cd concentration declines rapidly up to values < DL.

In V soil concentrations of Cd were higher than in NC and AZ at the beginning of the experiment (0.01-0.02 mg L⁻¹ Sept-Dic 2012) decreasing afterwards to values around 0.0025 mg L⁻¹. The acid soil (V) usually showed greater values of Cd in SPW than in the other two soils. The application of both amendments decreased the concentration of Cd at the beginning of the experiment to values below those of the unamended soil.

The evolution of the concentration of Cu in SPW in NC and AZ soils was similar. The addition of amendment caused little increases of the concentration of Cu in NC, but no in AZ. Figure 3 shows some peaks between October 2012 and March 2013 in the concentration of Cu, attributed to period of drought-rain (Figure 1S), before to diminish sharply to very low values at the end of the experimental period. In V soil Cu evolution in SPW was different; it was initially observed an important reduction of the concentration of Cu due to amendments. Afterwards the concentration of Cu decreased in the three treatments with a peak in February – probably due to one of the above commented period of drought-rain – to reach values of about 0.15 mg L⁻¹ (Figure 3).

The evolution of Zn concentration in SPW was similar to that described for Cu, except that it rose considerably from March to the end, especially in NA and AZ soils. In V soil the fall of Zn concentration after the application of amendments was not as patent as observed in other elements.

The use of inexpensive organic amendments is a successful measure to reduce available trace elements in soil (Madejón et al., 2009; 2010). However the decomposition of organic amendments could lead to an increase of trace element availability (McBride, 1995). The decrease of availability of trace elements is related
with liming effect of organic matter and with diverse processes taken place in the rhizosphere (Figure 2a). Both compost and plant development can ameliorate the acidity of the soils and consequently the decrease of availability of cationic trace elements (Brallier et al., 1996); Both processes are basic in phytostabilization of contaminated soils (Bolan et al., 2011).

Root exudates released into the rhizosphere have also been implicated in several mechanisms for altering the level of soluble ions and molecules within the rhizosphere (Cataldo et al., 1988). In this study the reduction of the availability of trace elements in soil could be attributed to Paulownia roots. Similar results were reported by Ciadamidaro et al. (2013) using *Populus alba*.

In samples of SPW the effect of amendments on trace element availability were not as clear as from CaCl$_2$ extractions. Several causes could explain the results obtained by SPW. First, SPW samples were collected only during rainy season (8 months). On the other hand, amendments were applied on the surface and mixed with the first 10 cm of soils, while SPW samples were collected around the rhizosphere – more affected by the roots than by amendments. Therefore, it seems that SPW results could be more related with rhizosphere changes, while CaCl$_2$ extraction could reflect global changes (effects of both amendments and plants) since it was determined in soils samples taken at 0-20 cm.

### 3.4. Paulownia nutrients and trace element in leaves and biomass production

Nutrient concentrations in Paulownia leaves (April 2013) are shown in Figure 4. In general, values of macronutrients were similar in plants of NC and AZ soils and slightly lower in plants of V soils. Few significant differences due to amendments addition were observed. Only in the case of the acid soil (V) both amendments increased the concentrations of Ca and P in plant leaves. No significant increments were
observed for Ca, P and K of soils NC and AZ, and for Mg, S and N of the three soils due to amendments (Figure 4). Nutritional levels of Paulownia leaves growing in neutral trace element contaminated soils (AZ) were similar to those trees growing in non-contaminated soils (Figure 4) implying that these trace elements, due to their low availability (Figure 2 and 3) were not affecting negatively the uptake of nutrients. These results may be also related with the higher TOC in AZ soil (Figure 1 c).

Values of N, P, Mg and S in leaves of plants of all soils and treatments were higher than normal values reported by Mills and Jones (1991) for *Paulownia tomentosa* (2.46 % for N, 0.23 % for P, 0.28 for Mg and 0.19 for S). In NC and AZ soils K was also higher than the normal value (1.65 %). By contrast, values of Ca were always lower than the normal concentration 1.86 % (Mills and Jones, 1991).

Despite the increase of available–K and –P observed in the three amended soils, differences on the K and P concentrations in leaves attributed to amendments were only observed in the acid soil V. Concentrations of K in leaves that were below the normal values in unamended V soil, only increased with AC – a K-rich amendment (Figure 4). Concentrations of P in leaves increased with amendments in soil V, although differences were only significant for AC treatment.

No other amendments incidences were observed in the concentrations of Mg, S and N in leaves of any of the three soils. This could be related with a regulation of macronutrient absorption by the plant: although high amounts of available nutrients were present in soils, plants only absorbed the necessary amount. In the case of the acid and trace element contaminated soil (V), the addition of amendments was necessary to maintain nutritional levels, as it is well known that trace elements can modify nutrients uptake by the plants (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001). This result remarks that in
acid trace element contaminated soils amendment additions could be essential for the right development of the plant.

FIGURE 4

As for macronutrients, there were not significant differences in trace element concentrations in leaves due to amendments addition. Concentrations of As, Cd, Mn and Pb in leaves were within the range of mature leaf tissue generalized for various (As, 1-1.7 mg kg\(^{-1}\); Cd, 0.05-0.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\); Mn, 30-300 mg kg\(^{-1}\); Pb, 5-10 mg kg\(^{-1}\), Kabata–Pendias and Pendias, 2001). Higher values of Mn in plants of V soil compared to those of soils AZ and NC were directly related with the acidic pH of V soil, since Mn availability is highly dependent on pH and easily taken up by plants under acidic conditions (Kabata–Pendias and Pendias, 2001).

Concentrations of Cu in leaves higher than the upper limit of the range of sufficient or normal values (5-30 mg kg\(^{-1}\), Kabata–Pendias and Pendias 2001 and Mills and Jones (1991) reported values of 15 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) were found even in soil NC were Cu contents in soils were much lower than in soils AZ and V (Table 1). This result suggests the ability of this species to accumulate this element in its tissues and agree with that reported by Jiang et al., (2012) who found that *P. fortunei* was a Cu tolerant plant, having the ability to accumulate Cu in the aerial parts. These authors concluded that in order to select the best way to remediate and restore Cu contaminated areas with *P. fortunei*, more experiments should be conducted.

High levels for Zn in leaves were also found even in non-contaminated soil (NC) (Figure 5), taken into account that the sufficient or normal range is 27-150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001). The highest values were found in soil AZ (up to 250 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), although the Zn availability was higher in V soil (Figures 2 and 3). The efficacy of Paulownia in the absorption and accumulation of Zn from contaminated
soils has been recently described (Azzarello et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2009). In fact, Wang et al. (2009) found up to 3000 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of Zn in leaves of \textit{P. fortunei} growing in soils containing total levels of Zn of around 1000 mg kg\(^{-1}\). This data reinforce the ability of this species of accumulate this element.

No correlations were found between available trace elements in soils (CaCl\(_2\) extraction and SPW) and concentration in leaves, except for the concentrations of Cd in SPW and in leaves (\(r=0.75, \ p<0.001\)). Similar correlations were calculated by Ciadamidaro et al. (2013) with \textit{Populus alba} leaves. However, in this case the results showed better correlation between available trace elements in soils and \textit{P. alba} leaves. Moreover in order to find out what proportion of the total soil metal concentration was available and transferred to Paulownia trees, the transfer coefficient (TC) was calculated. This is defined as the ratio of metal concentration in the plant, \([M]\)shoots, to the total metal concentration in the soil, \([M]\)soil (Adriano, 2001). TC for As was <0.1, Cd <0.05, Cu <0.5, Mn <0.3, Pb<0.01 and in case of Zn was around 1. It is important to underline that higher TF were reached in NC soils.

\textbf{FIGURE 5}

\textbf{TABLE 4}

We have found that \textit{P. fortunei} is able to growth in trace element contaminated soils. In neutral soils (NA and AZ) biomass production of Paulownia was similar and was not affected by amendments in these conditions after 18 months of cultivation. The effects of trace elements in soil could be a drawback for the biomass production of trees. This aspect is very important when trees are used for energetic purposes, as the case of Paulownia trees (Doumett et al., 2008). Negative effect of soil acidity and trace element concentrations on biomass was only observed in soil V that showed the lowest biomass production. However, it was the only soil with a positive response to the addition of
both amendments (Table 4). According to these results Paulownia is a suitable plant for phytostabilization of contaminated soils due to its large amount of biomass while keeping the translocation of metal(loid)s from roots to shoots as low as possible in soils with high metal(loid) concentrations (Rizzi et al., 2004).

**Conclusion**

*Paulownia fortunei* is a promising species for phytostabilization of trace element polluted soils thanks to its very high biomass productivity, rather than its metal accumulation potential. The faster, more vigorous growth and deep root system will be highly advantageous when using *P. fortunei* to stabilize trace elements contaminated soils.

This study also shows that *P. fortunei* is a species that do not impoverish soil quality although the high demanding of nutrients that can be supply by addition of low cost amendments. Further, it can be a species that can be used to improve soil quality not only in contaminated soils; it could be very useful in degraded soils
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Table 1. Characteristic and total trace element content in the studied soils (NC; no-contaminated, AZ; Aznalcázar site, V; Vicario site). Mean values (SE of three replicates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>AZ</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.5 (0.01)</td>
<td>6.93 (0.14)</td>
<td>3.68 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (g kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>5.10 (0.26)</td>
<td>15 (6.9)</td>
<td>5 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As (mg kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8 (3)</td>
<td>100 (90)</td>
<td>300 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd (mg kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.59 (0.09)</td>
<td>4 (2.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (mg kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>19 (3.5)</td>
<td>200 (70)</td>
<td>180 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (mg kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>15 (0.69)</td>
<td>236 (20)</td>
<td>600 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn (mg kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>52 (5.79)</td>
<td>310 (60)</td>
<td>370 (70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Main Characteristics of the two compost used as amendments. Mean values (the standard deviation of three replicates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>AC</th>
<th>BC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>14.9 (0.79)</td>
<td>15.6 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.10 (0.21)</td>
<td>7.09 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter (%)</td>
<td>29.1 (1.63)</td>
<td>22.6 (0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (%P₂O₅)</td>
<td>2.54 (0.10)</td>
<td>3.43 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (% K₂O)</td>
<td>2.30 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (% CaO)</td>
<td>13.8 (0.15)</td>
<td>12.5 (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (% MgO)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (% SO₃)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.01)</td>
<td>2.24 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>15.0 (1.15)</td>
<td>29.3 (0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>11550 (100)</td>
<td>20800 (700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>94.2 (1.12)</td>
<td>188 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.45 (0.22)</td>
<td>13.5 (0.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.11 (0.07)</td>
<td>7.09 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.00)</td>
<td>1.94 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.77 (0.06)</td>
<td>61.4 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>360 (5.34)</td>
<td>570 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>185 (11.1)</td>
<td>600 (32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA (µg INTF g⁻¹ dry soil h⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGA (µg PNF g⁻¹ dry soil h⁻¹)</td>
<td>90 (23)</td>
<td>29.3 (1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (µg N-NH₄ g⁻¹ dry soil h⁻¹)</td>
<td>20 (9)</td>
<td>20 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA= dehydrogenase activity; BGA= β-glucosidase activity; UA=urease activity; PNP=p-nitrophenol; INTF=iodonitrotetrazolium formazane; CA=compost of “Alperujo”; CB=compost of biosolids.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>IA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>RSA in time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2011</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC+AC</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC+BC</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ+AC</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ+BC</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V+AC</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V+BC</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2012</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC+AC</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC+BC</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ+AC</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ+BC</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V+AC</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V+BC</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2013</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC+AC</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NC+BC</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ+AC</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ+BC</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V+AC</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V+BC</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Biomass (g) per tree at each soil and treatment at the end of the experiment (May 2013). For each column values with same letter do not differ significantly (P<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Soil NA</th>
<th>Soil AZ</th>
<th>Soil V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>136 a</td>
<td>144 a</td>
<td>39 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>148 a</td>
<td>146 a</td>
<td>92 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>137 a</td>
<td>152 a</td>
<td>102 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions

Figure 1. Evolution of pH (a), electrical conductivity (EC) (b), total organic carbon (TOC) (c), N-Kjeldahl (TKN) (d), available-P (e) and available-K (f) in the studied soils with and without amendments at the different samplings. Significant differences due to amendments for each soil and sampling are marked with different letters (p<0.05)

Figure 2. Evolution of available trace elements (CaCl_2 0.01M extracted) in the studied soils with and without amendments at the different samplings (Values for Cd in May 2013 were < 0.001) Significant differences due to amendments for each soil and sampling are marked with different letters (p<0.05)

Figure 3. Trace elements concentration in soil pore water (SPW) during the rainy season (September 2012-April 2013) in the studied soils with and without amendments.

Figure 4. Macronutrient concentrations in leaves of Paulownia (May 2013 sampling) growing at the three studied soils with and without amendments. Significant differences due to amendments for are marked with different letters (p<0.05). Lines are normal values (Mill and Jones, 1991)

Figure 5. Trace element concentrations in leaves of Paulownia (May 2013 sampling) growing at the three studied soils with and without amendments. Significant differences due to amendments for are marked with different letters (p<0.05). Lines are normal ranges (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>available-P, m kg$^{-1}$</th>
<th>available-K, m kg$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC+AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC+BC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ+AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ+BC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V+AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V+BC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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