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Abstract

As a rule, the sex of an individual is fixed at fertilisation, being the chromosomal constitution of the zygote a direct consequence of the chromosomal constitution of the gametes. However, there are cases in which the chromosomal differences determining sex are brought about by elimination or inactivation of chromosomes in the embryo. In Sciaridae insects, all zygotes start with the XXX constitution; the loss of either one or two X chromosomes determines whether the zygote becomes XX (female) or X0 (male). In Cecydiomyiidae and Collembola insects, all zygotes start with the XXXX constitution. If the embryo does not eliminate any X chromosome, this remains XXXX and develops as female, whereas if two X chromosomes are eliminated, the embryo becomes XX0 and develops as male. In the coccids (scale insects), the chromosomal differences between the sexes result from either the elimination or the heterochromatisation (inactivation) of half of the chromosomes giving rise to haploid males and diploid females. The chromosomes that are eliminated or inactivated are those inherited from the father. Therefore, in the formation of the sex-determining chromosomal signal in those insects, a marking (“imprinting”) process must occur in one of the parents, which determines that the chromosomes to be eliminated or inactivated are of paternal origin. In this article, the sex determination mechanism of these insects and the associated imprinting process are reviewed.

Introduction

Males and females are different at the morphological, physiological, and behavioural levels. This sexual dimorphism results from the integration of two processes: sex determination and sexual differentiation. Sex determination refers to the developmental programme that commits the embryo to either the male or the female pathway. The genes underlying this programme are the sex determination genes. Sexual differentiation refers to the expression of the sex-cytodifferentiation genes (which are controlled by the sex determination genes), the expression of which give rise to the formation of the sexually dimorphic structures that characterise the male and female adults.
The animal kingdom possesses a wealth of mechanisms via which gender is decided [Bull, 1983]. This is no more evident than among insects, among which all known types of sex determination mechanisms are represented (reviewed in [Sánchez, 2008; Verhulst et al., 2010; Gempe and Beye, 2010]). These mechanisms can be classified into three main categories depending on the origin of the primary, sex determination signal, which can be zygotic, maternal or environmental.

As a rule, the sex of an individual is fixed at fertilisation, being the chromosomal constitution of the zygote a direct consequence of the chromosomal constitution of the gametes [Bull, 1983]. However, in other insects, such as the dipteran families Sciaridae (fungal gnats) [DuBois, 1933; Metz, 1938] and Cecydomyiidae (gall midges) [White 1973; Stuart and Hatchett 1991], the chromosomal differences determining sex are brought about by elimination of the sexual X-chromosome in the embryo. In Sciaridae species, all zygotes start with the XXX constitution; the loss of either one or two X chromosomes determines whether the zygote becomes XX (female) or X0 (male). In Cecydomyiidae species, all zygotes start with the X1X1X2X2 constitution. If the embryo does not eliminate any X chromosome, this remains X1X1X2X2 and develops as female, whereas if two X chromosomes (X1X2) are eliminated, the embryo becomes X1X20 and develops as male. A similar situation is found in the insects Smintthurus viridis and Allacma fusca (Collembola), where the zygotes start with the chromosome constitution XXXX (female), whereas the loss of two X chromosomes determines that the zygote becomes XX0 (males) [Dallai et al., 2000]. In other cases, such as the coccids (scale insects), which belong to the order Homoptera, the chromosomal differences between the sexes result from either the elimination or the heterochromatisation (inactivation) of half of the chromosomes giving rise to haploid males and diploid females [Brown and Nur, 1964; White, 1973; Brown and Chandra, 1977; Miller and Kosztarab, 1979; Herrick and Seger, 1999; Prantera et al 2012].

In the Sciaridae and Cecydomyiidae, the eliminated X chromosomes are those inherited from the father. In the coccids, the chromosome complement that becomes either eliminated or inactivated is also the one inherited from the father. Therefore, in the formation of the sex-determining chromosomal
signal in those insects, a marking (“imprinting”) process must occur in one of the parents. Historically, the term “imprinting” was coined to describe selective identification of paternal chromosomes during their elimination process in sciarids [Crouse, 1960]. Nowadays, imprinting is understood in a more general sense as an epigenetic process that marks single genes—or sets of them—during gametogenesis, resulting in their differential expression in the zygote depending on its parental origin (reviewed in [Sha 2008; MacDonald 2012]). Imprinting associated with sex determination, the subject of this article, refers to the epigenetic process that marks whole chromosomes determining their behaviour—elimination or inactivation—in the zygote depending on their parental origin.

In this article, the sex determination mechanism of these insects and the associated imprinting process—the molecular basis of the “imprinting mark”—are reviewed.

**Sex determination in Sciaridae**

Figure 1 shows the chromosomal cycle of sciarids with regards to its sex determination process (reviewed in [Goday and Esteban, 2001]). All zygotes start with the XXX constitution, which is a consequence of the chromosome constitution of the gametes: oocytes provide one X-chromosome and sperm supply two X chromosomes. When the zygotic nuclei reach the egg cortex, one paternal X chromosome is eliminated in the somatic cells of embryos destined to be females (XX) and the two paternal-X are eliminated in those destined to become males (X0) (reviewed in [Gerbi, 1986]).

The pole cells (precursors of the germ cells) are set apart at the posterior pole of the embryo [DuBois, 1933; Berry, 1941; Perondini et al., 1986] and do not eliminate X chromosomes at the same time as the nuclei that will form somatic cells. Elimination of one paternal derived X chromosome occurs later, at the beginning of germ band segmentation; just one of the two paternal X chromosomes is eliminated in both male and female embryos [Berry, 1941; Rieffel and Crouse, 1966; Perondini and Ribeiro, 1997; Perondini, 1998]. Consequently, germ cells are XX and will produce either oocytes or sperm depending on the sex of the gonad, whether this is female (ovary) or male (testis), respectively.
Meiosis in females is orthodox, whereas in males is aberrant (Figure 1) [Goday and Esteban, 2001]. During the first meiotic division, all the paternally derived chromosomes are eliminated into a cytoplasmic bud so that only the maternal-derived chromosomes remain. During the second meiotic division, the two chromatids of each autosome segregate normally; that is, one is located into the previous cytoplasmic bud and the other chromatid will form the chromosome complement of the sperm. However, the two chromatids of the X chromosome do not segregate and are incorporated into the sperm so that the two X chromosomes brought by the sperm are the sister chromatids of the maternally inherited X chromosome [Metz, 1938]. Hence, each event of meiosis in Sciara males yields one instead of four spermatozoids, containing a haploid set of autosomes and two X chromosomes, all of maternal origin [Gerbi 1986; Fuge 1994; Esteban et al., 1997].

Some sciarids carry additional chromosomes, the so-named “L” chromosomes, which are only present in the germ cells and condense differently to the other ordinary chromosomes and replicate later in the cell cycle, as does heterochromatin [Rieffel and Crouse, 1966; Amabis et al., 1979]. Sciara coprophila is an example (see Figure 1). As a rule, this sciarid has generally three L chromosomes. During embryonic development, all L chromosomes are eliminated from the nuclei that will form the somatic cells in both sexes. Later in development, the germ cells of both sexes eliminate one L chromosome (or more but two if they carry more than three L chromosomes) at the same time when they eliminate one paternally derived X chromosome. During oogenesis, the two L chromosomes segregate normally as the sex and autosomal chromosomes so that the oocyte receives one L chromosome. During spermatogenesis, the two L chromosomes are not eliminated during the first meiotic division, and during the second meiotic division the two chromatids of each L chromosome segregate, as do the two chromatids of each autosome; that is, one chromatid is placed in the cytoplasmic bud and the other one is incorporated into the sperm. Hence, this contributes with two L chromosomes to the zygote.
Monogenic and digenic species in sciarids

Some sciarids, such as *S. coprophila*, are monogenic species, which are composed of two types of females: gynogenic and androgenic females, which only produce females and males, respectively, in their offspring [Moses and Metz, 1928; Metz and Schmuck, 1929; Metz, 1938; Gerbi, 1986]. Gynogenic and androgenic females differ in the presence of a special X' chromosome: gynogenic females are X'X, whereas androgenic females are XX. Thus, the factor for either male or female production is located on the X chromosome [Metz 1938; Crouse 1960]. There is an inversion in the X' chromosome that prevents its recombination with the homologous X chromosome, thus retaining the factor for female production in the X' chromosome. The gynogenic females produce two classes of oocytes pre-determined to eliminate one X chromosome of the two inherited from the father: the X'- and X-oocytes contribute to the production of the gynogenic and androgenic females, respectively, of the following generation. The XX androgenic females produce a unique class of X-oocytes pre-determined to eliminate the two X chromosomes inherited from the father. Consequently, the X-oocytes from the androgenic females contribute to the production of X0 males of the following generation.

*S. ocellaris* is a digenic species; that is, each female produces both males and females in their offspring. The sex ratio (number of males vs. females) of the descendants of each female, however, is highly variable, deviating from 1:1. Nevertheless, at the level of the whole population, the sex ratio follows a normal distribution around this value [Metz, 1938; Davidheiser, 1943; Mori et al., 1979]. In *S. ocellaris*, the female parent determines the sex of the offspring [Liu, 1968; reviewed in [Sánchez and Perondini, 1999]], as it happens in *S. coprophila*. The sex ratio in *S. ocellaris* depends on temperature: at 18-20ºC, the sex ratio distribution, although variable, shows a median at approximately 50%, but at 24-29ºC the sex ratio moves significantly towards the production of more females. This change in sex ratio is not caused by a higher mortality among males, but by a transformation of males into females; i.e., an increase in the number of embryos that eliminate one instead of the two paternal derived X chromosomes [Nigro et al., 2007]. Temperature-shift experiments have shown that the temperature-
sensitive period for the determination of the final sex ratio is from the mid-pupa stage to the emergence of adult females [Nigro et al., 2007], the period during which oogenesis takes place [Berry, 1941]. Hence, *S. ocellaris* females produce at distinct temperatures different ratios of oocytes pre-determined to eliminate either one or two X chromosomes. In *Sciara* females the number of oocytes is fixed during the early larval stages and no further mitosis occurs nor are new oocytes produced in the pupal/adult stages [Berry, 1941].

A strain of *S. ocellaris* has been described that carries a *sepia*-X chromosome characterised by the yellow colour of the adult cuticle. This chromosome causes alterations in the sex ratio towards the production of more males, without differential mortality of female embryos [Mori et al., 1979]. Moreover, the offspring of this strain contains as well a significant number of gynandromorphs—individuals with some portions of the body typically male (X0) and others typically female (XX)—; that is, individuals in which some somatic nuclei eliminate the two paternal derived X chromosomes whereas other nuclei eliminate only one [Mori et al., 1979; Mori and Perondini, 1980]. The effect of this *sepia*-X chromosome occurs only when this chromosome is in the mother, supporting the contention that the number of X chromosomes eliminated in the embryo becomes determined by the mother.

*Sciara matogrossensis* shows both monogenic and digenic reproduction: some females behave as digenic, others as gynogenic, others as androgenic and still others produce offspring with one predominant sex (either male or female). These offspring sex ratios are being maintained in successive generations, thus suggesting that the control of offspring ratio (either elimination of one or two X chromosomes) may involve more than one locus, at least, more than one pair of alleles [Rocha and Perondini, 2000].

**A model for the control of differential X-chromosome elimination in *Sciara***

Spontaneous and UV-induced deviations and mistakes in X-chromosome elimination can occur in the sciarids (reviewed in [Sánchez and Perondini, 1999]). Two basic types of error have been
reported. The quantitative errors affect the number of paternally-derived X chromosomes that are eliminated; that is, some nuclei in the embryos derived from oocytes pre-determined to eliminate one X chromosome do eliminate instead the two X chromosomes derived from the father, thus causing the production of gynandromorphs. The qualitative errors refer to the case in which the X chromosome that becomes eliminated is not the one inherited from the father but the one inherited from the mother; that is, there is an error in the imprinting process. These errors produce mosaic individuals that contain a mixture of tissues with the normal chromosome set, X0 for males and XX for females, but the single X chromosome of males and one of the two X chromosomes of females is patroclinous.

The results obtained in the analysis of X chromosome elimination in somatic cells of *Sciara* species are summarised below (see [Sánchez and Perondini, 1999] for details):

1. The mechanism of X-chromosome elimination is similar in monogenic and digenic species.
2. A maternal factor is produced during oogenesis, which accumulates in the oocyte and then governs the elimination of the X chromosome in the developing zygote.
3. The embryo is being eliminated either one or two X chromosomes inherited from the father independently of the number of X chromosomes initially present in the zygote.
4. There are two independent mechanisms involved in the elimination of X-chromosomes. One regulates the number of X to be eliminated, and resides in the cytoplasm, the other identifies the X to be eliminated, and seems to be nuclear.
5. Among the embryos produced by gynogenic mothers, errors more frequently occur in XXX zygotes that develop into androgenic females than in X’XX zygotes that become gynogenic females.
6. Errors are rare in XXX embryos produced by androgenic females.

Two models have been discussed for the control of differential X-chromosome elimination in sciarid flies, which differ in the role played by the maternal factor that controls the number of X chromosomes that become eliminated (the interested reader can find a more detailed discussion in [Sánchez and Perondini, 1999]):
1. In the 1-factor model, it is assumed that the maternal factor MF promotes the elimination of the X chromosome; that is, MF binds to the paternally inherited X chromosome causing its elimination. Under this scenario, the oocytes containing a higher amount of MF factor would eliminate two X chromosomes and those oocytes containing less amount of MF will eliminate one X chromosome.

2. In the 2-factor model, it is assumed that the maternal factor MF prevents the elimination of the X chromosome. Under this scenario, it is assumed that a chromosomal factor CF binds to the paternal X chromosome causing its elimination. This factor is produced in limiting amounts and at similar concentrations in both male and female embryos. The maternal factor MF interacts with CF, inactivating it, so that the CF-MF complex cannot interact with the paternal X chromosome. Therefore, the number of X chromosomes eliminated depends on the amount of free CF, which in turn depends on the amount of MF. Under this scenario, the oocytes containing a higher amount of MF factor would eliminate one X chromosome and those oocytes containing less amount of MF will eliminate two X chromosomes.

In both models, it is assumed that imprinting, in relation to the identification of the X chromosome to be eliminated, occurs in the maternal chromosomes and not in the paternal counterparts. The imprinted state would manifest by the inability of the maternal X chromosome to bind MF, in the 1-factor model, or CF, in the 2-factor model, and then the maternal inherited X chromosome is not eliminated.

**Genes homologous to the Drosophila sex determination genes in Sciara**

The search for genes homologous to the sex determination genes of *Drosophila melanogaster* has been undertaken (reviewed in [Sánchez 2008; Verhulst et al. 2010; Gempe and Beye 2010]). The gene *Sex-lethal (Sxl)* has been characterised in *Sciara ocellaris* [Ruiz et al., 2003], *Sciara coprophila*, *Rynchosciara americana* and *Trichosia pubescens* [Serna et al., 2004]. It is not regulated in a sex-specific fashion, and therefore the same *Sxl* transcript encoding the unique functional SXL protein is found in both males and females. Furthermore, it has been observed that the *Sciara* SXL protein binds
to the polytene chromosome regions of all actively transcribing chromosomes, co-localising with RNA polymerase II, as expected for a general splicing factor, but not with RNA polymerase I. This was observed in both sexes in *S. ocellaris* [Ruiz et al., 2003], and in *S. coprophila, R. americana* and *T. pubescens* [Serna et al., 2004]. Thus, in the sciarids, the *Sxl* gene does not appear to play the key discriminating role in sex determination that it plays in *Drosophila*.

The transformer-2 (*tra-2*) genes of *S. ocellaris* and *S. coprophila* have been also characterised [Martin et al., 2011]. The *Sciara* TRA2 proteins showed the features of the SR protein family, and their comparison with the TRA2 proteins of other insects revealed the greatest degree of conservation in the RRM domain and linker region, involved in RNA-binding. In contrast, the RS1 and RS2 domains showed extensive variation with respect to their number of amino acids and their arginine-serine (RS) dipeptide content. The expression of *S. ocellaris* TRA2 protein in *Drosophila* XX pseudomales lacking the endogenous *tra-2* function caused their partial feminisation. The *Sciara* TRA2 protein was able to form a complex with the endogenous *Drosophila* TRA protein that controls the female-specific splicing of the *Drosophila dsx* pre-mRNA. However, it appears that the complex formed between the *Drosophila* TRA protein and the *Sciara* TRA2 protein is less effective at inducing the female-specific splicing of the endogenous *Drosophila dsx* pre-mRNA than the own *Drosophila* TRA-TRA2 complex, suggesting the existence of species-specific co-evolution of the TRA and TRA2 proteins.

When sex determination is based on chromosome differences, one sex being homomorphic and the other heteromorphic for the sex chromosomes, a process named *dosage compensation* has evolved to eliminate the differences in the two sexes between the products encoded by genes located on the sex chromosomes and those located in the autosomes. In *D. melanogaster*, dosage compensation is achieved in males by hyper-transcription of the single X chromosome and is controlled by the *msl’s* genes: the protein-coding genes *mle, msl-1, msl-2* and *msl-3* plus *roX1* and *roX2* genes that produce RNAs lacking any significant open reading frame (reviewed in [Lucchesi 2005; Conrad and Aktar 2012]). In *Sciara*, where gender depends on chromosome constitution; that is, males are X0;2A and females
are 2X;2A, there exists also dosage compensation, which appears to be achieved by hypertranscription of the single X chromosome in males [da Cunha et al., 1994], although different proteins seem to implement dosage compensation in *Drosophila* and *Sciara* [Ruiz et al., 2000].

**Sex determination in Cecydomyiidae**

In Cecydomyiidae, monogenic and digenic species have been identified [Painter, 1930; Barnes, 1958; Gallun et al., 1961; Stuart and Hatchett, 1988b]. The genetic basis of sex determination in Cecydomyiidae is less understood than in Sciaridae species. Figure 2 shows the chromosomal cycle of the paradigmatic species *Mayetiola destructor* (Hessian fly) [Stuart and Hatchett, 1988a; 1988b; 1991]. They carry two X chromosomes, X1 and X2, being the males X1X20 and the females X1X1X2X2. In addition, they also contain the so-called germ line-limited E chromosomes, which are only present in the germ line of both sexes. All zygotes start with the X1X1X2X2 chromosome constitution plus the E chromosomes. These latter chromosomes are all eliminated from the nuclei that will form the somatic cells in both sexes. If a pair X1 and X2 chromosomes are also eliminated, the embryo will develop as male, whereas if no X chromosomes are eliminated, it will develop as female. The X chromosomes that are eliminated are those inherited from the father so that an imprinting process exists also in *Mayetiola*. Oogenesis is orthodox and the oocytes carry a haploid set of all normal chromosomes plus a haploid set of E chromosomes. Spermatogenesis, however, is aberrant: during the first meiotic division all the paternal derived normal chromosomes (X and autosomes) and all the E chromosomes are eliminated; and the second meiotic division is orthodox with the production of two sperm, each one carrying a haploid set of normal chromosomes (X and autosomes of maternal origin). Thus, both Cecydomyiidae and Sciaridae species share some features regarding their chromosomal cycles in relation to sex determination.

The models described above for the control of X chromosome elimination in Sciaridae can apply to Cecydomyiidae species. The main difference between these species is that in Sciaridae there is
always elimination of X chromosomes, either one (male) or two (female), whereas in Cecyomyiidae
there is elimination of one set X1X2 of chromosomes (male) or no elimination (female). Therefore,
following the models described above, in Cecyomyiidae species some oocytes will contain maternal
factor whereas others do not. Like in Sciaridae, imprinting would be established in the mother, and the
imprinted state would mean inability of the maternal-derived X1X2 chromosomes to bind the elimination
factor.

**Sex determination in Collembola**

Collembola (springtails) are one of the first insect orders that appeared on Earth [Walley and
Jarzembowski, 1981]. The genetics basis underlying sex determination of these insects is beginning to
be understood. Figure 3 shows the chromosomal cycle of the paradigmatic species *Allacma fusca* and
*Sminthurus viridis* in relation to sex determination [Dallai et al., 1999; 2000; 2001]. In these species,
males are XX0 and females XXXX. All zygotes start with the XXXX chromosome constitution. If no X
chromosomes are eliminated, the embryos develop as females, which have an orthodox oogenesis
producing XX oocytes. If two X chromosomes are eliminated from the nuclei of the future somatic and
germ cells, the embryo will become XX0 and will develop as male. Spermatogenesis is aberrant in that
the 0-bearing spermatocytes from the first meiotic division will degenerate, whereas the XX
spermatocytes follow a normal second meiotic division-giving rise to two XX sperm. The paternal origin
of the eliminated X chromosomes remains unknown and thus if an imprinting process occurs in these
insects. Notwithstanding, the Sciaridae models outlined above could apply to the Collembola
chromosome cycle in relation to sex determination.

**Sex determination mechanisms based on elimination or heterochromatisation of chromosomes: the coccid system**
Sex determination in primitive coccids is usually decided by the XX (female) – X0 (male) sex chromosome mechanism. However, in some groups the sex of an individual is not fixed at fertilisation — as in the Sciariidae, Cecyomyiidae and Collembola insects mentioned above. Sex determination in the coccids follows the haploid (male) and diploid (female) mechanism that results from the differential inactivation and/or elimination of chromosomes [Brown and Nur, 1964; White, 1973; Brown and Chandra, 1977; Miller and Kosztarab, 1979; Herrick and Seger, 1999]. Among the different sexual chromosome systems found in the coccids, the two most paradigmatic are shown in Figure 4.

The lecanoid system is based on a functional haploidy/diploidy mechanism. All the chromosomes of the zygote are euchromatic. The embryos in which the chromosome set inherited from the father becomes heterochromatic (inactivated) will develop as males, whereas the embryos in which all chromosomes remain euchromatic (functional) will develop as females. This decision occurs at the cleavage stages during embryogenesis. Therefore, the females are functionally diploid and males are structurally diploid but functionally haploid. Oogenesis is orthodox, whereas spermatogenesis is characterised by an inverse meiosis and the lack of chromosome pairing and genetic recombination. The first meiotic division is equatorial (disjunction of the sister chromatids), while the second is reductional (disjunction of the maternal and paternal homologs). The result is the formation of the expected four nuclei, two of which are euchromatic and two of which are heterochromatic. Only the euchromatic nuclei undergo spermiogenesis to form functional sperm, so that they carry the maternally inherited chromosomes. The heterochromatic nuclei do not form sperm and disintegrate.

The diaspidid system is also based on a haploid/diploid mechanism. It differs from the lecanoid system in that the chromosome complement inherited from the father is eliminated, instead of being inactivated by heterochromatisation. Hence, the males are structurally and therefore functionally haploid. Oogenesis is orthodox. Spermatogenesis, however, is unorthodox since the paternal chromosomes are absent and the second meiotic division does not occur. Sperm cells carrying a set of the maternal chromatids are therefore produced.
The Comstockiella have a similar mechanism to the lecanoid one. It differs in that one chromosome of the heterochromatic set remains heterochromatic during spermatogenesis; the remainder of the heterochromatic set is eliminated before prophase. This single heterochromatic chromosome is later eliminated so that the sperm only transmit maternal chromosomes [Brown, 1977; Miller and Kosztarab, 1979; Herrick and Seger, 1999].

The following summarises some features of the lecanoid and diaspidid mechanisms relevant to sex determination (for further details see [Brown and Nur, 1964; White, 1973; Brown and Chandra, 1977; Miller and Kosztarab, 1979; Herrick and Seger, 1999]):

1. Coccid chromosomes are holocentric. After irradiation of either male or female parents, all of the paternal and maternal chromosome fragments are, respectively, heterochromatic and euchromatic. Therefore, there cannot be a single locus or restricted region on each chromosome that regulates the induction of heterochromatisation [Brown and Nelson-Rees, 1961; Nur 1990].

2. The sex ratio of the offspring of female coccids can fluctuate widely and is subject to environmental influence [Nelson-Rees, 1960]. Aging the females before allowing them to mate alters the sex ratio in favour of males, which is not due to a differential increase in the mortality of female zygotes. Rather, it is explained by changes in sexual dichromism (i.e., the deposition of male and female embryos at different times during oviposition), the pattern of which can be altered by maternal aging [James, 1937, 1938; Brown and Bennett, 1957; Nelson-Rees, 1960].

3. Some parthenogenic coccids are known to produce male or female embryos depending upon whether or not the heterochromatisation of one chromosomal set occurs. Since heterochromatisation naturally occurs in these coccids, no prior passage of the chromosomes through spermatogenesis is required [Nur, 1963].

These results suggest that the genome of the mother determines the heterochromatisation (lecanoid) or elimination (diaspidid) of the inherited paternal chromosomes in coccid embryos. In addition, both the lecanoid and diaspidid mechanisms have an associated imprinting process to
distinguish between the maternal and paternal chromosomes. This chromosome behaviour is similar to that seen with respect to the elimination of paternal X chromosomes in sciarid flies. It has been proposed that the model for the control of differential X-chromosome elimination in the sciarids mentioned above can be applied to the heterochromatisation or elimination of paternal chromosomes in coccids [Sánchez 2008]. According to this model, heterochromatisation or elimination is controlled by a maternal factor, with the maternally derived chromosomes imprinted so that they do not suffer either fate.

In primitive coccids, gender is determined by the conventional XX/X0 system, and in more evolved coccids – lecanoids, comstockiellids and diaspidids - on a haploid/diploid system. It appears that the evolutionary sequence is lecanoid-comstockiellid-diaspidid [Brown and McKenzie, 1962; Haig, 1993a; Herrick and Seger, 1999]. If the sex-determining mechanism of primitive coccids was not working with the haploid/diploid strategy evolved in the lecanoid/diaspidid coccids, a new primary genetic signal had to evolve. Alternatively, the primary genetic signal that determines gender in both primitive and lecanoid/diaspidid coccids could be the same. In this case, during the evolution of the lecanoid/diaspidid systems the only thing that changed was the appearance of a mechanism that brings about either diploidy or haploidy –whether structural or functional– of the embryo; the primary zygotic genetic signal determining gender in the primitive coccids would remain the same. To this respect, the only primary sex-determining signal compatible with the XX/X0 system of primitive coccids and the haploid/diploid system of more evolved lecanoid/diaspidid coccids is that in which gender depends on the number of copies of an X-linked gene (for a detailed discussion see [Sánchez, 2008]).

The Maternal Effect Genomic Imprinting Sex Determination (MEGISD) model

Maternal imprinting appears to be also involved in sex determination mechanisms that are not based on elimination or inactivation of chromosomes, as described above, but it affects the expression of single genes. Beukeboom et al. (2007) proposed the so-called Maternal Effect Genomic Imprinting
Sex Determination (MEGISD) model to explain sex determination in the parasitoid hymenopteran *Nasonia vitripennis*. In brief, this model proposes that the sex of the zygote depends on the activity of the *zygotic sex determiner (zsd)* gene, whose function determines female development. A *maternal effect gene (msd)* causes imprinting of the *zsd* gene during oogenesis so that the female-inherited *zsd* allele is not active in the zygote. Consequently, haploid zygotes develop as males because they carry the imprinted *zsd* allele inherited from the mother. Diploid zygotes develop as females because the paternally inherited *zsd* is not imprinted and then becomes expressed. For more details see article ? in this issue. Whether or not there are mechanistic similarities and similar genes involved in this form of sex determination and sex determination by chromosome elimination remains to be seen.

**Imprinting: General considerations**

Three main features characterise the imprinting process:

1. It is a sex- and a germ line-specific process; that is, imprinting occurs during gametogenesis in one of the two sexes. The establishment of imprinting is the result of a two-step process. There must be a “signal” determining its specificity (first step) that triggers the formation (second step) of the “imprinting mark”.

2. The imprinted state is maintained during development; that is, it is passed from a cell to its two daughter cells in each cell division.

3. The imprinted state is reversible; that is, the imprinting mark is erased, in the following generation, during the gametogenesis process in the opposite sex where imprinting occurs.

Nothing is known about the molecular nature of the “imprinting signal”. However, during the last years, knowledge on the molecular basis of the “imprinting mark” revealed that this is mainly formed by modifications at the DNA and/or chromosomal levels. The main DNA modification associated with imprinting is methylation; in particular, 5-methyl-cytosine methylation of CpG islands. At the chromosomal level, imprinting is predominantly associated with histone modification, mainly acetylation,
methylation and phosphorylation, resulting in chromatin conformation that promotes gene inactivation or the formation of facultative heterochromatin. Non-coding RNAs and RNAi have been also involved in imprinting.

These features can be applied to imprinting affecting to entire chromosomes or even to haploid sets of chromosomes, as described above. In what follows, the modifications affecting differently the maternal and paternal chromosomes in relation with sex determination will be reviewed.

Imprinting in Sciara: modifications that differently affect maternal and paternal chromosomes

In sciarids, imprinting is intimately linked to the sex determination process, being associated with the elimination of chromosomes in the somatic and germ line cells. There are three elimination processes in sciarids: elimination of one or two paternal X chromosomes from the nuclei that will form the somatic cells during the pre-blastoderm stage, elimination of one paternal X chromosome from the germ cells during embryogenesis, and the elimination of the whole paternal haploid set of chromosomes during spermatogenesis. The histone modifications that have been observed in the maternal and paternal chromosomes of sciarids are summarised in Table 1.

Elimination of X chromosomes in somatic cells

Briefly, the zygote starts with three X chromosomes; two of them are iso-chromosomes of paternal origin corresponding to the two chromatids of the maternally inherited X chromosome. In monogenic species, such as S. coprophila, all L chromosomes are the first being eliminated in both sexes during 5th-6th nuclear cleavage divisions. The paternal X chromosomes are eliminated later, during the seventh-ninth nuclear cleavage divisions. Elimination in females occurs preferentially in the ninth division. In digenic species, such as S. ocellaris, lacking L chromosomes, the paternal X chromosomes are mainly eliminated during the ninth nuclear cleavage division (reviewed in [Goday and Esteban, 2001]).
It was initially described that the eliminated X chromosomes separate more slowly than the rest of the chromosomes during anaphase and that the two chromatids never achieved complete separation. This led to the proposal that the elimination was a consequence of the centromeres being affected [Dubois, 1933]. More recently, however, de Saint-Phalle and Sullivan [1996] analysed X chromosome elimination in S. coprophila by using confocal microscopy and FISH methodology, and concluded that the centromeres of the eliminated X chromosome remain active during anaphase, being the elimination caused by a failure of the X chromatids to separate each other []. These authors proposed that elimination was determined by alteration of the proteolytic machinery that acts for chromatid separation during anaphase.

Acetylation of histones H3 and H4 has been studied in somatic cells of early Sciara embryos. The first somatic chromosomes (following chromatin organisation after fertilisation) showed high acetylation in H3 at lysine 9 (H3K9Ac) and lysine14 (H3K14Ac), and in histone H4 at lysine 8 (H4K8Ac) and lysine 12 (H4K12Ac). Furthermore, this acetylation pattern was homogeneous in the interphase nuclei during the nuclear cleavage divisions, suggesting that no significant differences in H3 and H4 acetylation occur between maternal and paternal chromosomes [Goday and Ruiz, 2002].

Elimination of one X chromosome in embryonic germ cells

During the nuclear cleavage stage, when the nuclei migrate to the cortex of the egg, two of the nuclei that move towards the posterior and enter the pole plasma will form the germ cells, which remain with the zygotic XXX chromosome constitution. These germ cells subsequently will travel to the gonad site, where they remain undivided until the beginning of the second larval instar. This period is known as the “resting stage” (reviewed in [Goday and Esteban, 2001]). It is during this period when one paternal X chromosome is simultaneously eliminated from the germ cells in both sexes so that these cells become now XX cells. The paternal X chromosome is expelled from the nuclei through a mechanism not well understood that involves the nuclear membrane [Berry 1939; 1941; Perondini and Ribeiro, 1997]. Since
the two paternally inherited X chromosomes are iso-chromosomes, the question arises as to what determines which of the two will be eliminated. In other words, is there any difference between the two X chromosomes at the time when the elimination occurs? It has been observed that the future eliminated X chromosome seems to be slightly more condensed than the other chromosomes and in addition it is intimately attached to the nuclear membrane [Perondini and Ribeiro, 1997].

More recently, acetylation and methylation patterns of histones have been studied in germ cells during the resting stage and until gonadal mitotic divisions are initiated. The paternal chromosomes presented higher levels of modified histones H3K9Ac, H3K14Ac, H4K8Ac and H4K12Ac than maternal chromosomes in both *S. ocellaris* and *S. coprophila* [Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. Very interestingly, the X chromosome that will be excluded from the germ cells during the resting stage did not appear to have significant levels of H3/H4 acetylation, in contrast to the other paternal chromosomes including the second paternal X chromosome [Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. These results led Goday and Ruiz [2002] to propose that this different degree of acetylation might constitute a signal to determine which of the two paternal X chromosomes will be eliminated in the embryonic germ cells: the lower degree of acetylation might be required for the eliminated X chromosome to interact with the inner nuclear membrane. The same mechanism for the elimination of one paternal X chromosome appears to be also involved in the elimination of L chromosomes in *S. coprophila* germ cells, these chromosomes also being under-acetylated [Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. At later larval stages, preceding the initiation of mitotic gonadal divisions, all chromosomes of the germ line exhibited similar levels of histone H3/H4 acetylation [Goday and Ruiz, 2002].

In *S. ocellaris*, germ cells during the early embryonic development and during the “resting stage” showed a high level of di-methylated (H3K4Me2) and tri-methylated (H3K4Me3) forms of histone H3 at lysine 4 in the maternally derived chromosomes and in the paternal X chromosome that becomes eliminated, whereas the rest of the paternally derived chromosomes including the second paternal X chromosome did not show significant levels of methylation [Greciano and Goday, 2006]. This different
degree of methylation, like in the case of acetylation, might also contribute to the specification of which paternal X chromosome is eliminated from the germ cells during the “resting stage” [Greciano and Goday, 2006].

**Elimination of paternal chromosomes during spermatogenesis**

During the first meiotic division, when the whole paternal chromosomal set is eliminated in both *S. ocellaris* and *S. coprophila*, the acetylation pattern is the reverse of that seen in early germ cells; namely, the whole paternal chromosomal set is now under-acetylated for H3K9Ac, H3K14Ac, H4K8Ac and H4K12Ac, whereas the maternal chromosomal set is acetylated [Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. In addition, the L chromosomes are also under-acetylated [Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. During the second meiotic division, weak and irregular acetylation of the maternal inherited chromosomes was reported [Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. During spermiogenesis, round and elongated spermatids showed nuclear staining for acetylated H3 and H4 [Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. All these results led to the hypothesis that elimination of chromosomes in the germ cells of *Sciara* might require that these chromosomes are under-acetylated for histones H3 and H4 [Goday and Ruiz, 2002].

Recently, a new study of male meiosis has been performed by immunostaining analyses regarding the location and the timing distribution of modified histone H3 phosphorylated at four N-terminal residues: phosphorylated histone H3 on serine 10 (H3S10P), phosphorylated histone H3 on serine 28 (H3S28P), phosphorylated histone H3 on threonine 3 (H3T3P) and phosphorylated histone H3 on threonine 11 (H3T11P) [Escribá et al., 2011a].

In *S. ocellaris*, at the prophase stage of the first meiotic division, both paternal and maternal chromosomal sets presented similar substantial degrees of H3 phosphorylation and condensation. Later, during the anaphase-like stage, the paternal but not the maternal chromosomal sets showed high levels of H3S10P and H3S28P phosphorylation, which positively correlates with the degree of chromatin condensation. Thus, in the transition from prophase to anaphase, the paternal chromosomes remained
phosphorylated and condensed, whereas the maternal chromosomes, which are associated with the polar complex, become de-condensed and H3 de-phosphorylated [Escribá et al., 2011a].

The second meiotic division of spermatogenesis is orthodox except for the behaviour of the maternal inherited X chromosome, which does not move to the equatorial plate but remains with the polar complex formed during the first meiotic division [Metz, 1925; Crouse, 1943; Goday and Esteban, 2001]. The transition from first to second meiotic division is characterised by a significant H3 phosphorylation of the maternal autosomes located in the metaphase plate (at this stage the paternal chromosomes have been already lost in the cytoplasmic bud). H3 phosphorylation persists during anaphase until the sister chromatids of these autosomes are completely separated. Subsequently, H3 phosphorylation starts to decline in a progressive way from the centromere towards telomeres. Interestingly, the maternal X chromosome, which remained associated to the polar complex of the first meiotic division, is also H3 phosphorylated except in the centromere region, and during the anaphase of second meiotic division it shows a progressive de-phosphorylation towards the telomeres. In male meiosis of *S. coprophila*, which carries L chromosomes, the H3 phosphorylation pattern mimics that of *S. ocellaris* not only for ordinary but also for L chromosomes [Escribá et al., 2011a].

**The X chromosome Controlling Element**

Work done on the control of X chromosome elimination during spermatogenesis in *S. coprophila* identified a cis-acting locus, named as the “Controlling Element” (CE), in the X chromosome region containing three heterochromatic blocks proximal to the centromere [Crouse, 1960; Gerbi, 1986]. The analysis of translocations between the X chromosome and autosomes involving the CE element revealed that the presence of this element in an autosome causes its elimination, even when CE is located far from the centromere of the autosome [Crouse, 1979], suggesting that this element controls the function of the centromere in the X chromosome [Gerbi, 1986]. The molecular nature of CE and how this exerts its function on the X centromere remain unknown. Nevertheless, the results of Escribá et al.
[2011a] on the lack of histone H3-phosphorylation in the centromere of the maternal X chromosome during spermatogenesis led these authors to hypothesise that the CE element might affect the function of this centromere by preventing its phosphorylation with the consequent non-disjunction of the two X chromatids.

_Intra-nuclear clustering of chromosomes of the same parental origin_

Cytological analysis in _S. coprophila_ revealed that paternal and maternal chromosomal sets form two separated aggregates in male germ cells during meiosis [Rieffel and Crouse, 1966; Kubai, 1982; 1987; Goday and Ruiz, 2002]. It has been suggested that this chromosome compartmentalisation may be already established in pre-meiotic germ cells allowing the non-random chromosome segregation and the corresponding elimination of the paternal set during the first meiotic division [Kubai, 1987]. On the other hand, a sort of compartmentalisation has been also described for the activity of the histone acetyl-transferases (HATs) and histones de-acetylases (HDACs), which appear to be localised into discrete nuclear regions [Kruhlak et al., 2001]. These results together with the observed differences in the degree of acetylation in histones H3 and H4 for the sciarid chromosomal sets depending on their parental origin, led Goday and Ruiz [2002] to propose a model that integrates acetylation of H3 and H4, intra-nuclear clustering of chromosomes depending on its parental origin, and chromosome elimination in _Sciara_ germ cells during the “resting stage” and during the first male meiotic division. This model proposes that histone acetylation levels and a specific intra-nuclear arrangement of chromosomes might be linked; that is, the distinct histone acetylation levels between maternal and paternal chromosomal sets is the result of their different nuclear localisation and the localised distribution of HATs and HDACs. This proposal would imply that chromosome location within the nucleus of germ cells is a key component of the imprinting process related to chromosome elimination in _Sciara_.

Imprinting in Coccids: modifications that differentially affect the maternal and paternal chromosomes

In coccids, imprinting is also intimately linked to the sex determination process, being associated with the inactivation (Lecanoid mechanism) or the elimination (Diaspidoid mechanism) of the whole chromosomal set inherited from the father. Different from the sciarids, in the coccids the inactivation/elimination of all paternal chromosomes affect both somatic and germ cells, so that both tissues have the same chromosome constitution. Much of the work about imprinting on coccids has been done with *Planococcus citri* (mealybugs), which belongs to the Lecanoid mechanism of sex determination. Investigation of the molecular basis underlying imprinting has been mainly focused on DNA methylation, specifically 5-methyl-cytosine methylation, and on proteins such as HP1 and histone modifications that determine chromatin structure (reviewed in [Sha, 2008; MacDonald, 2012]). The modifications associated with the maternal and paternal chromosomes are summarised in Table 2.

**DNA methylation of maternal and paternal chromosomes in coccids**

In coccids, the existence of methylated-cytosine DNA has been demonstrated [Achwal et al., 1983] and of cytosine-specific DNA methyltransferases [Devajiyothi and Brahmachari, 1992]. Bongiorni et al. [1999] analysed the methylation pattern of maternal and paternal chromosomes at the chromosomal level in *P. citri* with the restriction enzymes *Hpall* and *MspI*. These two isoschizomers recognise the same restriction DNA sequence but have a different effect: *Hpall* does not cut the DNA when the cytosine in the restriction sequence is methylated, whereas *MspI* does. They reported differences in methylation between the maternal and paternal chromosomes, with the latter being hypo-methylated. X-rays irradiated males were used in the crosses with non-irradiated virgin females. Irradiation causes fragmentation of the chromosomes, which were not lost during spermatogenesis due to their holocentric feature. It was observed in the embryo that the chromosome fragments were the ones that presented hypo-methylation, thus confirming that the hypo-methylated chromosomal set was
the one inherited from the father. Bongiorni et al. [1999] concluded that methylation of DNA is used as the "imprinting mark" for embryonic facultative heterochromatisation of chromosomes after the 7th cleavage division but not for heterochromatisation itself. The different methylation level between the maternal and paternal chromosomes would constitute the signal that the heterochromatisation machinery would recognise so that the hypo-methylated paternal chromosomes become inactivated.

**HP1 in maternal and paternal chromosomes in coccids**

Contradictory results have been reported regarding HP1 and heterochromatisation of paternal chromosomes in mealybug males. The genes encoding HP1 have been characterised in *P. citri*: two sequences were identified, *pchet*-1 and *pchet*-2, encoding the putative coccid HP1 (PCHET1) and HP2 (PCHET2) proteins [Epstein et al., 1992]. These authors produced a polyclonal antibody against PCHET1. This protein was only present in males but no correlation appeared to exist between the localisation of the protein and the heterochromatic chromosomes in the mealybug males.

Bongiorni et al. [2001] reported a different result regarding the localisation of the HP1 in mealybug males and females. They used a monoclonal antibody against the *Drosophila* HP1 protein that recognises the ortholog HP1-like protein in the coccids. They found that the HP1 antibody specifically stained the paternal but not the maternal chromosomes in males. In females, however, the HP1 antibody showed a dispersed distribution in both euchromatin and constitutive heterochromatin. Interestingly, there is a correlation between the presence of HP1-like in the paternal chromosomes and their heterochromatic state. In mealybugs, the paternally inherited chromosomal set starts to become inactivated (facultative heterochromatisation) after the 7th cleavage division [Bongiorni et al., 2001]. In male embryos, HP1-like concentrates in discrete spots on the paternal chromosomes before heterochromatisation, and later HP1-like is vastly distributed along the whole chromosomes when these become heterochromatic [Bongorni et al., 2001]. These authors concluded that binding of HP1-like to the paternal chromosomes caused their heterochromatisation, in agreement with the observation that
that HP1-like is required in mealybugs for inactivation of the paternal chromosome complement.

**Histone modifications in maternal and paternal chromosomes in coccids**

It has been shown in mammals that the interaction between HP1 and histone H3 tri-methylated on lysine 9 (H3K9Me3) and histone H4 tri-methylated on lysine 20 (H4K20Me3) is essential for the chromatin to acquire the conformation causing gene silencing (reviewed in [MacDonald et al., 2012]).

Studies on the distribution of HP1-like, H3K9Me3 and H4K20Me3 in mealybugs showed that HP1-like and the two methylated-histones co-localised and were specifically associated with the paternal chromosomes in males [Bongiorni et al., 2001; 2007; Cowell et al., 2002; Kourmouli et al., 2004]. Remarkably, the elimination of HP1-like affected heterochromatisation of the mealybug paternal chromosomes. By using dsRNAi methodology, Bongiorni et al. [2007] interfered the expression of gene *pchet-2* encoding the HP1-like protein PCHTE-2 in mealybug. When this interference was done in male embryos undergoing facultative heterochromatinisation, the staining of the paternal chromosomes with the corresponding antibodies for HP1-like, H3K9Me3 and H4K20Me3 was lost, together with the lack of heterochromatinisation. These data support the involvement of HP1, H3K9Me3 and H4K20Me3 in the heterochromatinisation of paternal chromosomes in male mealybugs and confirm, in addition, that gene *pchet-2* encodes the HP1-like protein PCHTE-2 in mealybug.

The other modification of histones involved in chromatin structure is acetylation [MacDonald et al., 2012]. Studies on acetylated-histone H4 (H4Ac) revealed that the heterochromatic (inactivated) paternal chromosomes of mealybug males are hypo-acetylated with respect to the non-heterochromatic (active) maternal chromosomes [Ferraro et al., 2001].

Contradictory results have been reported regarding the analysis of HP1-like, H3K9Me3 and H4K20Me3 during male and female gametogenesis in mealybugs. Buglia and Ferraro [2004] reported that the two functional sperms from each male meiotic event showed a different level of both HP1 and H3K9Me3. They postulated that this difference constitutes the “imprinting mark” (paternal imprinting)
related to the heterochromatinisation of the paternal chromosomes in male embryos: the chromosomes showing higher levels of HP1 and H3K9Me3 would be “imprinted” to become heterochromatinised by the recruitment of additional cytoplasmic factors supplied by the oocyte.

The presence of H3K9Me2, H3K9Me3, H4K20Me3, PCHET2 (the mealybug HP1-like) and HP2-like protein [Volpi et al., 2007] have been analysed during male and female gametogenesis [Bongiorni et al., 2009]. The five proteins were present at all stages but their distribution patterns vary depending on the spermatogenic stage: spermatogonias presented co-localised H3K9Me2, H3K9Me3 and PCHET2 in the heterochromatic paternal chromosomes and H4K20Me3 and HP2-like co-localised in the euchromatic maternal chromosomes. At the spermatid stage, H3K9Me2, H3K9Me3, PCHET2 and HP2-like were found in both heterochromatic spermatids (containing the paternal chromosomes) and euchromatic spermatids (containing the maternal chromosomes), and no differences were observed for H3K9Me3 between the two sperm-forming euchromatic spermatids. Sperms exhibited H3K9Me2, H3K9Me3 and HP2-like but not PCHET2. The presence of these proteins was also studied in the male and female pro-nuclei following fertilisation of the oocyte by the sperm at two stages: during progression and completion of the second female meiotic division, and during the restructuring of both the male and female gamete-nuclei into the male and female pro-nuclei, respectively, before they become fused to form the diploid zygotic nucleus. In addition, the presence of histone H4 acetylated on lysine 16 (H4K16Ac) was also monitored, as an indicator for de-condensation of the male pro-nuclei that takes place during progression and completion of the second female meiotic division [Adenot et al., 1997]. H4K20Me3, PCHET2 and HP2-like were detectable neither in female nor in male gamete-nuclei and pro-nuclei, whereas AcK16H4 was observed in the male pro-nucleus and H3K9Me3 was detected only at the earlier stage of de-condensation. No presence of those modified histones and proteins were observed when the male and female pro-nuclei fused to form the zygotic nucleus. Thus, Bongiorni et al. [2009] failed to discover any difference in the two spermatozoids originated in each male meiotic event regarding the presence of HP1 and H3K9Me3 reported by Buglia and Ferraro [2004]. Very interestingly,
none of the modified histones as well as PCHET2 and HP2-like proteins analysed in spermatogenesis were detected during oogenesis.

**Discrimination between maternal and paternal imprinting: General considerations**

The elimination/inactivation process of imprinted chromosomes in relation to sex determination involves three actors in the play (whatsoever their molecular nature): an *elimination/inactivation factor* that recognises the *imprinted mark* in the imprinted chromosome either causing or preventing its elimination/inactivation and an *imprinter factor* that causes the imprinted state of the chromosome; that is, it “marks” the chromosome. The criterion to discriminate between maternal and paternal imprinting should be based on where the *imprinter factor* functions: if it functions during oogenesis, we speak of maternal imprinting, whereas if it functions during spermatogenesis, we speak of paternal imprinting.

Figure 5 presents a simple scheme showing the difference between maternal and paternal imprinting in relation to chromosome elimination in the case where the elimination factor promotes chromosome elimination and imprinting is needed for that elimination. For simplification, \( C_m \) and \( C_p \) stand for the set of maternally and paternally derived chromosomes, respectively, and it is assumed that females are diploid (CC) and males haploid (C). Notice that the different effect between maternal and paternal imprinting depends on which of the two sexes expresses the imprinter factor (IF). In case of maternal imprinting (Figure 5A), the gene encoding the imprinter factor is specifically expressed in the female during oogenesis and this factor imprints (green mark, IM) the maternal chromosomes. In the case of paternal imprinting (Figure 5B), the gene IF is specifically expressed in males during spermatogenesis and this factor imprints (green mark, IM) the paternal chromosomes. The elimination factor (EF) is exclusively produced in the female during oogenesis. In the zygote, this factor interacts specifically with the imprinting mark (green) causing elimination of the chromosome. It is assumed that half of the oocytes receive elimination factor and the other half do not. Hence, chromosome
elimination takes place only in the zygotes derived from EF-bearing oocytes, which generate the males of the next generation, whereas the females derived from the oocytes lacking the elimination factor. Notice that the males produced in the case of maternal imprinting are patroclinous, whereas those produced in case of paternal imprinting are matroclinous.

The alternative situation to that described above is when the elimination factor interacts with the chromosomes causing their elimination and the imprinting mark prevents that interaction so that imprinting is needed to prevent chromosome elimination; that is, the chromosomes that are eliminated are those that were not imprinted. In this scenario, the result is the opposite to the scenario above: matroclinous males will be produced in case of maternal imprinting, while patroclinous males will be generated in case of paternal imprinting. The imprinted pattern of the chromosomes is the same in both scenarios, yet the effect on which chromosomes will be eliminated, and then not propagated to the next generation, is the opposite. Therefore, it is not possible to discriminate between maternal and paternal imprinting based solely in the identification of imprinted-chromosome differences in the zygote. This discrimination can only be solved after identification of the sex where the imprinter factor functions to produce the imprinted state.

To this respect, it is pertinent to discuss the most studied Lecanoid mechanism of the coccids, looking for *imprinted marks* on the paternal chromosomes for these being identified as those to be heterochromatinised. As previously described, differences in DNA-methylation and modified histones were found in paternal versus maternal chromosomes that might constitute the *imprinted marks*. On the other hand, it was before indicated that evidences exist for a maternal component to participate in the specific heterochromatisation of paternal chromosomes. As an example, the distinct degree of DNA methylation in paternal versus maternal chromosomes will be used to discuss the logical considerations mentioned above. It is considered that the function of the maternal factor is to carry out chromosome heterochromatisation so that the female produces two classes of oocytes either containing or lacking the maternal factor: the first class will give rise to males, whereas the second
class will produce females. Two possibilities exist regarding the interplay between the maternal factor and the imprinting mark for chromosome heterochromatisation in the male embryos. In one case, the hypo-methylated status of the paternal chromosomes constitutes the imprinted mark, which is recognised by the maternal factor that triggers their heterochromatisation; and in the other case, the highly methylated status of the maternal chromosomes constitutes the imprinted mark that prevents their heterochromatisation by the maternal factor. In the first case, the hypo-methylated condition might be considered to reflect a decrease in the function of a DNA methyltransferase during spermatogenesis (paternal imprinting), compared to its normal function during oogenesis. Consequently, the imprinter factor that lowers the function of the DNA methyltransferase would function in spermatogenesis but not in oogenesis. It is because of this that we can speak of paternal imprinting. In the second case, in contrast, the highly methylated condition is considered to reflect an activation of the function of a DNA methyltransferase during oogenesis (maternal imprinting), compared to its basal function during spermatogenesis. Consequently, the imprinted factor that increases the function of the DNA methyltransferase would function in oogenesis but not in spermatogenesis. It is because of this that we can speak of maternal imprinting. In conclusion, it is not possible to infer straightforward whether maternal or paternal imprinting exists in the coccids from the different degree of methylation shown by the paternal and the maternal chromosomes; that is, we cannot discriminate between the two kinds of imprinting based solely in the identification of chromosome differences. The same applies to histone-modifications affecting in a different way to maternal and paternal chromosomes.

From the conceptual point of view, further alternative scenarios can be visualised depending on the role-played by the elimination/inactivation factor and the imprinted mark in the chromosome elimination/inactivation process. For explanation purposes, the elimination of the X chromosome in *Sciara* somatic cells will be used as a further example.
Recall that in *Sciara* all zygotes start with the XXX chromosome constitution, two of which are inherited from the father. It is the elimination of either one or the two paternal X chromosomes what causes the final chromosome constitution XX or X0 that determines the female or male sexual development, respectively. In the case of *Sciara*, it has been demonstrated that the factor controlling the number of X chromosomes to be eliminated is of maternal origin. Different scenarios can be visualised depending on the relationship between this *maternal factor* and the *imprinting mark* determining that only the chromosomes inherited from the father are the ones that can be eliminated:

**Scenario 1**

The maternal factor functions to *promote* X chromosome elimination. Under this scenario, this maternal factor is the *elimination factor* that directly recognises the *imprinting mark* on the imprinted chromosome. The amount of *elimination factor* determines the number of X chromosomes to be eliminated. Thus, the *maternal factor* shows a dual role: it causes X chromosome elimination and it controls the number of X chromosomes that become eliminated. This scenario corresponds to the 1-factor model of Sánchez and Perondini [1999].

**Scenario 2**

The maternal factor functions to *prevent* X chromosome elimination. Under this scenario, it is necessary to postulate the existence of an *elimination factor* that directly recognises the *imprinting mark* on the imprinted chromosome, and the *maternal factor* would counteract the function of the *elimination factor*. The *maternal factor* is always functional and has a single function; namely, to control the number of eliminated X chromosomes by controlling the amount of active *elimination factor*. This scenario corresponds to the 2-factor model of Sánchez and Perondini [1999].

For each of these two scenarios, two situations can be encountered:
Situation A. The default state of the elimination factor is to be active; that is, it interacts with the ordinary X chromosome causing its elimination whatsoever its parental origin. In this case, the imprinting mark refers to the inability of the imprinted chromosome to interact with the elimination factor. This would imply that imprinting occurs in the mother, since the chromosome that becomes eliminated is the one inherited from the father: the imprinter factor functions during oogenesis to mark the maternal X chromosome so that this will not interact with the elimination factor.

Situation B. The default state of the elimination factor is to be inactive; that is, it does not interact with the ordinary X chromosome whatsoever its parental origin. In this case, the imprinting mark refers to the ability of the imprinted chromosome to interact with the elimination factor. This would imply that imprinting occurs in the father, since the X chromosome that becomes eliminated is the one inherited from the father: the imprinter factor functions during spermatogenesis to mark the paternal X chromosome so that this will interact with the elimination factor. A modified version of this situation is to consider that the elimination factor is always functional and the imprinting mark would cause an advantage to the paternally imprinted X chromosome against the maternally inherited one for binding the elimination factor, as if the paternal X chromosome would sequester this factor.

These logical considerations can be applied to the elimination of all paternal chromosomes during spermatogenesis in sciarid males, although the molecular mechanisms underlying chromosome elimination in the soma and in the germ line are different. Similarly, it can also be extended to the elimination (Diaspidoid mechanism) or heterchromatisation (Lecanoid mechanism) of all paternal chromosomes in the coccids.

Evolutionary considerations

A set of hypothesis has been proposed to understand the evolution of genomic imprinting: its origin and its maintenance (see reviews by Hurst [1997] and by Spencer [2000], and cites therein). On the other hand, many theoretical studies have been done to understand the driving forces operating on the
evolution of sex determination mechanisms (see Werren and Beukeboom, 1998, Kozielska et al., 2010, and cites therein). To my knowledge, however, theoretical studies on imprinting in relation to sex determination systems based on either elimination or inactivation of chromosomes has received little attention.

Haig, who presented evolutionary models for the sciarid [Haig, 1993b] and coccid [Haig, 1993a] mechanisms, has studied the evolution of the type of sex determination systems treated in this review. He proposed that these mechanisms are the outcome of intragenomic conflict between male- and female-biased sex ratio effects of the gene functions arising in the population. He suggested the following evolutionary scenario for the sciarids. A driving X chromosome that gained a transmission advantage causing female-biased sex ratio arose in the population. This produced a situation that was exploited by the maternal autosomes to segregate with the X chromosome at spermatogenesis. The female-biased sex ratio was counteracted by selection of maternal-effect genes causing the transformation of the XX zygotes into X0 males by the lost of the derived paternal X chromosome together with the transformation of some X chromosomes into the germ-limited L chromosomes that produced a male-biased sex ratio. Finally, an X’ chromosome came into existence that suppressed the effects of the L chromosomes. In the case of the coccids, his evolutionary scenario from the ancestral XX/X0 mechanism comprises the following steps. Firstly, a meiotic-driving X chromosome in XO male that causes female-biased sex ratio was originated. Secondly, this X-driving effect created a situation that was exploited by the maternal autosomes to evolve effective sex linkage. Finally, maternal-effect genes were selected that transform some XX zygotes into males.

Haig [1993b] gave an evolutionary role to the L chromosomes in shaping the sciarid sex determination mechanism. He assumed that the original L chromosome originated from a paternal X chromosome (X* in his terminology) that behaved as a maternal X during spermatogenesis, causing male-biased sex ratio by killing XXX* daughters, so that at the beginning the X* was transmitted from father to son. Later, the X* became heterochromatic (lost gene functions) and could survive in females.
and consequently pass to them, increasing its number and acquiring the present L-status. A clear prediction of this proposal is that all the L chromosomes are descendants from that modified X* chromosome. Recent molecular data challenged this contention. Escribá et al. [2011b] micro-dissected and micro-cloned the pericentromeric region of the S. coprophila X chromosome, where the rDNA is located. They identified and characterised repeated DNA sequences, a RTE element and an AT-rich satellite, and as expected rDNA clones were also recovered. In situ chromosome hybridisation of these sequences revealed that some of them hybridised to some but not all L chromosomes, while others do not hybridised to any of the L chromosomes. All of them showed positive hybridisation to the X chromosome. In addition, the rDNA only hybridised to the X and not to any of the L chromosomes. These results suggest that not all L chromosomes derived from a modified X* chromosome. Therefore, the role of L chromosomes in the evolution of the sciarid sex determination system remains open. Recall that not all sciarid flies carry germ-line limited L chromosomes. Why were the L chromosomes maintained? A possibility is that they exert a necessary structural or functional role in germ line development.

The germ-line E chromosomes in Cecyomyiidae parallel the sciarid L chromosomes in that they are eliminated from the somatic cells and maintained in the germ line but, in contrast to Sciara, the E chromosomes are also eliminated during the first meiotic division in spermatogenesis, so that they showed female-biased transmission. It may well be that E chromosomes are maintained because of their requirement for normal development of the female germ line.

None of the studies mentioned above explicitly considered genomic imprinting. An evolutionary population genetic approach, where maternal versus paternal imprinting has been explicitly included, is underway to study the evolutionary steps from the ancestral XX/X0 mechanism of sex determination to the extant one present in sciarids and coccids [Sánchez, 2012; analysis in progress]. Preliminary results showed that the elimination of paternal chromosomes was compatible with maternal but not paternal imprinting in both sciarids and coccids. This theoretical result confirms the intuition that there is a link between maternal versus paternal imprinting and elimination/inactivation of maternal versus paternal
chromosomes. It is important to keep in mind that the own *imprinter gene* (encoding the *imprinter factor*) that determines the chromosome imprinting is also subject to the elimination process. Intuitively, when talking about maternal imprinting in relation to elimination of paternal chromosomes, it is expected that the *imprinter gene* that acts during oogenesis can be fixed in the population because the imprinting effect is “not to be eliminated”. In contrast, when talking about paternal imprinting in relation to elimination of paternal chromosomes, it is expected that the *imprinter gene* that acts during spermatogenesis being lost from the population because the imprinting effect is “to be eliminated”. It is proposed that maternal and paternal imprinting in relation to chromosome elimination/inactivation constitute the two faces of the sex determination “coin”: elimination/inactivation of paternal chromosomes being associated with maternal imprinting and elimination/inactivation of maternal chromosomes being associated with paternal imprinting. Recall that heterochromatic (inactivated) nuclei do not form sperm and disintegrate so that males only transmit the maternally inherited chromosomes to the next generation.

**Perspectives**

There is a plethora of sex determination mechanisms [Bull, 1983]. The comprehension of how these different sex determination mechanisms evolved requires the knowledge of the genetic basis underlying the sex determination pathways in different species. Due to the plethora of different mechanisms controlling sex determination in insects, these are a particularly favourable group of animals in which to study this problem. The search in different insects for the sex determination genes is underway (reviewed in [Sánchez, 2008; Verhulst et al., 2010; Gempe and Beye, 2010]). The genetic basis underlying sex determination mechanisms based on elimination/inactivation of chromosomes has received little attention. This is mainly due to these insects being genetically less tractable because of their aberrant chromosome cycles. However, the development of new Molecular Biology techniques, such as the analysis of transcriptomes, make it now possible to undertake the characterization of genes involved in controlling sex determination in these insects. To this respect, it has been proposed Sciara as
an experimental model for studies on the evolutionary relationships between the zygotic, maternal and environmental primary signals for sexual development, since in this dipteran the zygotic signal is a consequence of the maternal signal, and this in turn can be a consequence of the environmental signal (a detailed justification can be found in [Sánchez, 2010]).

Two main features of its sex determination mechanism endow Sciara its status of reference species for sex determination mechanisms based on elimination/inactivation of imprinted chromosomes: the existence of monogenic species and the knowledge about the chromosomal location of the cis-acting controlling element (CE) that participates in the control of X chromosome elimination. The monogenic species offer the unique possibility of analysing males versus females at any developmental stage: any desired quantity of male and female embryos, larvae or pupae could be separately collected for transcriptome analysis to identify sex-specific expressed genes and/or sex-specific splicing mRNAs isoforms. Gynogenic (female-producers) and androgenic (male-producers) females differ in the production of the maternal factor that controls the number of X chromosomes that become eliminated in the XXX zygote. Therefore, the comparison of transcriptomes and/or 2D-gels of total protein extracts (reverse genetics) from oocytes of both types of females would a priori allow to identifying the maternal factor, whether this is present in gynogenic oocytes and absent in the androgenic ones, or if it is in both although at different amounts. With respect to the molecular nature of the CE element, this is located in the middle heterochromatic block of the pericentromeric region of the X chromosome of S. coprophila [Crouse, 1960; 1977; 1979]. Micro-dissection and micro-cloning of this region has already been performed [Escribá et al, 2011b] so that the molecular characterisation of this region is now possible.

Once it is known the molecular basis of the imprinting mark, the identification of the imprinter factor is a priori feasible, based on where the gene encoding this factor is expressed: either in ovaries (maternal imprinting) or in testis (paternal imprinting). The comparison of transcriptomes and/or 2D-gels of total protein extracts from ovaries and testis would help to identify the imprinter factor. It is expected to find many differences between ovaries and testis, yet the molecular nature of the imprinting mark will help
to finding the imprinter factor. For explanation purposes, let us consider that the imprinting mark is implemented by methylation of the maternal chromosomes and that the imprinted factor is a specific DNA methyltransferase that is exclusively expressed in the mother during oogenesis. Among the differences between ovary and testis transcriptomes, attention should be paid on methyltransferases specifically expressed in the ovaries.

An important aspect of this type of analyses is to have a functional test to ensure that what has been isolated and characterized really corresponds to what was being sought, for example, the maternal factor. However, the standard methodology for the functional tests are not applicable to Sciara because this has not a well-developed genetics. Moreover, production of transgenic Sciara flies is a technically difficult task since the eggs are very fragile so that the microinjection of dsRNA/dsDNA is not technically useful (Perondini ALP and L Sánchez, personal observations). Instead, other methodologies such as electroporation, which has been successfully used for introduction of DNA into Drosophila [Kamdar et al, 1992] and Bombyx [Shamila et al, 1998; Guo et al, 2004] eggs, can substitute the more standard methodologies. Thus, for instance, let us imagine that a gynogenic-specific mRNA has been identified after comparison of the transcriptomes of ovaries from gynogenic and androgenic females, and let us assume, for explanation purposes, that it corresponds to the gene encoding the wanted maternal factor. If this mRNA is introduced into eggs from androgenic females through electroporation, before the X chromosome elimination process starts, this mRNA will be translated when the zygotic transcription is initiated so that maternal factor will be produced in eggs devoid of this factor. Inspection of these eggs at the syncytial blastoderm stage will show two classes of somatic nuclei: those eliminating two X chromosomes, as expected for coming from androgenic females, together with nuclei eliminating one X chromosome by the action of the exogenous maternal factor. The same logic applies if the maternal factor was not a protein by a non-coding RNA. Electroporation, including the use of dsRNA to impair endogenous genetic functions, may constitute a useful tool for functional studies in insects where the most standard methodologies are not straightforward applicable.
Acknowledgments. I thank C. Goday, M.F. Ruiz and L. Beukeboom for their comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References


Esteban MR, Campos MCC, Perondini ALP, Goday C: Role of microtubules and microtubule organizing


Greciano PG, Goday C: Methylation of histone H3 at Lys4 differs between paternal and maternal


Liu PY: Estudo biologico de cultura de Bradysi tritici (Diptera, Sciaridae) parasitada por gregarina. Master Dissertation. Departamento de Biologia, Instituto de Biociencias, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil (1968).


Moses MS, Metz CW: Evidence that the female is responsible for the sex ratio in Sciara (Diptera). Proc
Natl Acad Sci UAS 14: 928-930 (1928).


Perondini ALP: Elimination of X chromosomes. In: Chatterjee, R., Sánchez, L. (Eds.), Genome Analysis in Eukaryotes: Developmental and Evolutionary Aspects. Narosa Publishing House (Springer-Verlag), India,


Sánchez L: Sciara as an experimental model for studies on the evolutionary relationships between the zygotic, maternal and environmental primary signals for sexual development. J Genet 89: 325-331 (2010).

Sánchez L: Evolutionary considerations on sex determination mechanisms based on imprinting an elimination of the X chromosome. 16th Evolutionary Biology Meeting, Marseilles, France, p. 29 (2012).


Stuart JJ, Hatchett JH: Genetics of sex determination in the Hessian fly, Mayetiola destructor. J. Heredity

Verhulst EC, van de Zande L, Beukeboom LW: Insect sex determination: it all evolves around


Walley P, Jarzembowski EA: A new assessment of *Rhyniella*, the earliest known insect, from the


Figure legends

Figure 1. The chromosomal cycle of Sciaridae species. X and A refers to sexual and autosomal chromosomes, respectively. L stands for germ line-limited chromosomes. The maternal and paternal origin of the chromosomes is indicated by m and p, respectively. Modified from Sánchez and Perondini [1999] and from Goday and Esteban [2001].

Figure 2. The chromosomal cycle of Cecydomyiidae species. X and A refers to sexual and autosomal chromosomes, respectively. E stand for germ line-limited chromosomes. The maternal and paternal origin of the chromosomes is indicated by m and p, respectively. Modified from Stuart and Hatchett [1991].

Figure 3. The chromosomal cycle of Collembola species. X and A refers to sexual and autosomal chromosomes, respectively. Modified from Dallai et al. [2000].

Figure 5. Differences between maternal (A) and paternal (B) imprinting in relation to chromosome elimination. Cm and Cp stand for the maternal and the paternal chromosome set, respectively. It is assumed that females are diploid (CC) and males haploid (C). ef stands for the gene encoding the elimination factor (EF), which is specifically expressed in the female during oogenesis; it is assumed that only half of the oocytes received EF. if stands for the gene encoding the imprinter factor (IF), which acts specifically on the chromosomes to mark them with the imprinting mark (IM). See insert for further details. Notice that the gene if is specifically expressed during oogenesis in the case of maternal imprinting and is specifically expressed during spermatogenesis in case of paternal imprinting. In any case, imprinting is reversible in the next generation (see text).
Footnotes to Tables


**Table 2.** H4K16Ac = acetylated histone H4 on lysine 16, H3K9Me2 = di-methylated histone H3 on lysine 9, H3K9Me3 = tri-methylated histone H3 on lysine 9, PCHET2 = HP1-like protein of coccids.