Design Issues and Experimental Characterization of a Continuously-Tuned Adaptive CMOS LNA
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Abstract—This paper presents the design implementation and experimental characterization of an adaptive Low Noise Amplifier (LNA) intended for multi-standard Radio Frequency (RF) wireless transceivers. The circuit —fabricated in a 90-nm CMOS technology—is a two-stage inductively degenerated common-source topology that combines PMOS varactors with programmable load to make the operation of the circuit continuously tunable. Practical design issues are analyzed, considering the effect of circuit parasitics associated to the chip package and integrated inductors, capacitors and varactors. Experimental measurements show a continuous tuning of NF and $S$-parameters within the 1.75-2.23GHz band, featuring $\text{NF} < 3.7$dB, $S_{21} > 19.6$dB and $IIP3 > -9.8$dBm, with a power dissipation $< 23$mW from a 1-V supply voltage.

I. INTRODUCTION

The integration of increasingly complex Radio Frequency (RF) front-end circuits into mainstream nanometer CMOS technologies imposes a number of challenges and trade-offs that makes their design a key issue to guarantee the quality of service of the resulting wireless hand-held terminals. Among other RF building blocks, the design of the Low Noise Amplifier (LNA) is particularly critical due to its early position at the very beginning of the receiver chain, what makes this circuit a limiting factor in the overall system performance [1].

The difficulty of designing nanometer CMOS LNAs is aggravated in the case of multi-standard applications, in which these circuits must operate over different frequency ranges, whereas keeping reduced number of passive circuit elements to increase integration [2]. As a consequence, most reported multi-standard LNAs increase the number of integrated passive elements (basically capacitors and inductors) as compared to their mono-standard counterparts [3]–[5]. Therefore, the silicon area – mainly occupied by those elements – is not clearly reduced as compared to using switchable mono-standard LNAs [6].

The work in this paper contributes to this topic and presents the practical implementation and experimental measurements of a continuously reconfigurable CMOS LNA. The circuit adapts its performance to the requirements of different standards without increasing the number of inductors as compared to a typical mono-standard LNA. It employs a two-stage topology with programmable load to separately control the input impedance, Noise Figure (NF) and signal gain. A PMOS-varactor based tuning network is used in both stages in order to make the resonance frequency continuously programmable without penalizing the LNA noise performance. Experimental measurements demonstrate a correct operation of the circuit.

II. LNA TOPOLOGY AND CIRCUIT ANALYSIS

Fig. 1 shows the complete schematic of the proposed LNA, which consists of a two-stage topology with separate tuning networks. The input stage is an inductively degenerated common-source structure — using bonding inductor $L_b$— to provide a specified real part for the input impedance and signal gain at a given frequency. Programmable biasing is used to separately control the real part of the load of both stages, implemented by transistors $M_{pNF1}$ and $M_{pGain1}$. In this way, NF and forward gain, $S_{21}$, can be individually controlled by diode-connected transistors $M_{pNF2}$ and $M_{pGain2}$, respectively. Thus, the drain current of these transistors, $I_{DNF}$ and $I_{DGAIN}$, are adapted to properly biasing the gate of $M_{pNF2}$ and $M_{pGain2}$, with reduced power dissipation.

The noise factor (F) and input impedance, $Z_{in}$, of the LNA are approximately given by [1]:

![Fig. 1. Schematic of the proposed adaptive LNA.](image-url)
\[ F \simeq 1 + \left( \frac{\omega_0 \gamma}{\omega T \alpha Q} \right) \left[ 1 - 2|c|Q \sqrt{\frac{\delta \alpha^2}{5 \gamma}} + \left( \frac{\delta \alpha^2}{5 \gamma} \right) (1 + Q^2) \right] \]  

(1)

\[ Z_{\text{in}} \simeq \frac{C_1 + C_{gsn1}}{sC_1 C_{gsn1}} + s(L_g + L_s) + \omega_T L_s [1 - s \omega_T L_s C_g] \]  

(2)

where

\[ \alpha \simeq \frac{g_{mn1}}{g_{dsn1}} \quad Q \simeq \frac{\omega_T}{\omega_0 R_{RF} g_{mn1}} \]

\[ \omega_0 \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_{gsn1}(L_g + L_s)}} \quad \omega_T \simeq \frac{g_{mn1}}{C_{gsn1}} \]  

(3)

with \( c \) being the correlation factor; \( \delta \) and \( \gamma \) are technology parameters; \( R_{RF} \) is the RF source resistance; \( g_{dsn1} \) and \( g_{mn1} \) and \( C_{gsn1} \) are respectively the small-signal drain-source conductance, transconductance and gate-source capacitance of \( M_{n1} \).

The tuning mechanism of the LNA is achieved by varying the resonance frequencies of the passive input- and output-tuning networks, respectively given by:

\[ w_{\text{in}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_{gsn1} \left[ L_g + L_s - (\omega_T L_s)^2 C_g \right]}} \]  

(4)

\[ w_{\text{out}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_{C1} C_1}} \]  

(5)

where \( C_g \) and \( C_l \) are implemented by accumulation PMOS varactors.

III. PRACTICAL DESIGN ISSUES

The above analysis assumed ideal circuit elements, particularly varactors and inductors. In practice, circuit parasitics cause these elements to behave as RLC filters that may severely degrade the performance of the LNA [1]. This is specially important in the case of reconfigurable/adaptive RF circuits like the one reported in this paper, because of the many different specifications to be covered by the same circuit.

A. Analysis of Circuit Parasitics

In order to evaluate the impact of circuit parasitics, main figures were analyzed replacing every inductor and varactor with the equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 2 [1]. As a result, a more realistic expression for the input impedance is found,

\[ Z_{\text{in}} \simeq \frac{Z_{C_g} Z_{3l_g} Z_{3l_g} (sC_1 Z_{C_g} + 1) + Z_{C_g}}{Z_{2l_g} sC_1} \]  

(6)

where

\[ Z_{ib} \simeq \frac{1}{sC_{gsn1}} + (\omega_T + 1) s L_s \]

\[ Z_{2l_g} \simeq R_{5g} + \frac{1}{sC_{5g}} \quad Z_{C_g} \simeq 2 R_{7g} + \frac{1}{s(C_{cg} + C_{pg})} \]  

(7)

\[ Z_{3l_g} \simeq \frac{R_{1g} + s L_{3g}}{1 + sC_{2g}(R_{1g} + s L_{3g})} \]

Replacing the inductors and varactors in Fig. 1 with the circuits shown in Fig. 2, the expression of \( S_{21} \) results in:

\[ S_{21} \simeq \left( \frac{4 g_{mn1} Z_{2l_g} Z_{1ld} Z_{C_g}}{s L_s g_{mn1} Z_{1ld} + s^2 C_{gsn2} L_s Z_{1ld} Z_{C_g}} \right) \]

\[ \left( \frac{g_{mn2} R_L Z_{2l_d} Z_{C_l}}{2 Z_{1ld}^2 Z_{2l_d} Z_{C_l} + Z_{2l_d}(R_L + Z_{C_g})} \right) \]  

(8)

where

\[ Z_{1ld} \simeq R_{3d} + \frac{1}{sC_{1d}} \quad Z_{1lo} \simeq R_{3o} + \frac{1}{sC_{1o}} \]  

\[ Z_{2l_d} \simeq R_{5d} + \frac{1}{sC_{5d}} \quad Z_{C_l} \simeq 2 R_{7l} + \frac{1}{s(C_{cl} + C_{pl})} \]  

(9)

\( R_L \) is the load resistance; \( g_{mn2} \) and \( C_{gsn2} \) are respectively the small-signal transconductance and gate-source capacitance of \( M_{n2} \).

B. Chip package parasitics

The above expressions have been used in combination with electrical simulations using Cadence SpectreRF to design the LNA. For that purpose, the circuit in Fig. 3 was used. This circuit includes the package and the external components to be included in the PCB. A 4mmx4mm 12-pin QFN plastic package has been used. This package has been modeled using Cadence PKG tool in order to take into account their associated parasitics during the design process.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The LNA has been designed to fulfill the requirements of a multi-standard wireless direct-conversion receiver considering a continuously-tuned operating frequency within the 1.75-2.23 GHz band. The circuit was implemented using a 90-nm CMOS technology with a single 1-V supply voltage. Fig. 4(a) shows a microphotograph of the chip highlighting their main parts.
namely integrated inductors, capacitors, PMOS varactors and CMOS active area. Integrated inductors have octagonal shape with a patterned ground shield. Input/output capacitors are implemented by M-O-M structures, which are based on the combination of stacked and finger metal-metal capacitors. All pads are Electrostatic Discharged (ESD) protected. The die area, including pads, is 1.8 mm$^2$, with the core occupying 1.0 mm$^2$. As usual, a significant portion of this area is used by integrated inductors. However, in this circuit, and contrary to most reported multi-standard LNAs, the number of inductors is not increased as compared to the mono-standard case, with the subsequent area saving. The chip has been tested using the PCB shown in Fig. 4(b), that includes the necessary filtering for biasing and power supplies as well as off-chip resistors and connectors for the instruments.

Fig. 5 shows the measured NF vs. input frequency corresponding to different values of tuned frequencies, demonstrating the reconfigurability capability of the proposed circuit with a programmable NF within the band of interest. The overall minimum value is 2.5dB, obtained at 1GHz. Reconfiguration of $S$-parameters is illustrated in Fig. 6, whereas input reflection coefficient, $S_{11}$ (Fig. 6(a)) and forward gain, $S_{21}$ (Fig. 6(b)) are shown. The value of $S_{21}$ varies from 19.8dB at 2.23GHz to 23.4dB at 1.9GHz, whereas $S_{11}$ is always below $-10$dB. Note that —although not shown in Fig. 6 for the sake of clarity— the operation of the circuit can be continuously tuned within the band of interest, 1.75-2.23GHz. This feature is a direct consequence of using PMOS varactors in the resonant tank, providing approximately 500-MHz tuning range. Indeed, this is a peculiarity of the presented chip as compared to previously reported multi-standard LNAs, mostly based on using switchable LC tanks to select the operating frequency in a discrete way and using varactors to fine tuning the selected band. On the contrary, the proposed LNA achieves a coarse continuous frequency tuning capability, just using varactors. Indeed, this is a first step towards using a tuning frequency range of several GHz —required in future software radios.

The operation of the circuit has been tested for a couple of standard specifications within the band of interest, namely: GSM and WCDMA. As an illustration, Fig. 7 shows $S$-parameters for both standards, highlighting the values at the center frequency of each standard band.

Finally, Table I sums up the measured performance of the LNA by showing their main figures of merit. This performance is compared with reported multi-standard CMOS LNAs. Note that the circuit in this paper compares favorably to previous LNAs while showing continuous frequency tuning capability.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The experimental performance of a continuously-tuned CMOS LNA has been presented. The chip has been fabricated in a 90-nm CMOS technology and uses a single 1V supply.
voltage. The combination of adaptive biasing and PMOS-varactor based tuning networks allows us to adapt the performance of the circuit to a number of specifications in a continuous way. Laboratory measurements show a competitive behavior as compared with the state-of-the art on multi-standard LNAs, whereas keeping a reduced number of inductors — similar to the mono-standard case. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, the chip presented in this brief, constitutes one of the first experimental evidences of real digitally-controlled LNAs, showing a frequency tuning range of approximately 500-MHz around a 2GHz operation frequency.

Fig. 6. Illustrating the reconfiguration of $S$-parameters: (a) $S_{11}$ (b) $S_{21}$.

Fig. 7. Measured NF and $S$-parameters for (a) GSM and (b) WCDMA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>$f_1$ ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>NF (dB)</th>
<th>$P_{DCL}$ (mW)</th>
<th>$S_{11}$ (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>GSM 900</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLAN b/g</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLAN a</td>
<td>-14.3</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>WLAN b/g</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLAN a</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNII</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>WLAN b/g</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLAN a</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>CDMA</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WCDMA</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>WLAN b/g</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLAN a</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>2.1GHz-3.5GHz</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WCDMA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLAN b/g</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WLAN a</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>19.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>