Spin-charge separation of plasmonic excitations in thin topological insulators
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We discuss plasmonic excitations in a thin slab of topological insulators. In the limit of no hybridization of the surface states and same electronic density of the two layers, the electrostatic coupling between the top and bottom layers leads to optical and acoustic plasmons which are purely charge and spin collective oscillations. We then argue that a recent experiment on the plasmonic excitations of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ [Di Pietro et al., Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 556 (2013)] must be explained by including the charge response of the two-dimensional electron gas of the depletion layer underneath the two surfaces. We also present an analytic formula to fit their data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Typical three-dimensional (3D) topological insulators (TIs) like Bi$_2$Se$_3$ or Bi$_2$Te$_3$ are layered materials with repeating unit cells of hexagonal structure consisting of five layers. Due to the strong spin-orbit coupling, they display protected surface states that are characterized by a single Dirac cone, whereas the bulk states show a full insulating gap. Dirac carriers at the surface of a TI reminds one of graphene, but in graphene it is momentum and pseudospin that are correlated giving rise to phenomena such as Klein tunneling, whereas it is momentum and real spin which are locked in the case of these topologically protected edge states.

The collective modes of this “helical” metal were first discussed by Zhang and co-workers focusing on the curious fact that density fluctuations induce transverse spin fluctuations and vice versa. A transverse spin wave can be generated by a transient spin grating consisting of two orthogonally polarized noncollinear incident beams. To detect the induced charge density wave, one measures, e.g., the spatial modulation of the surface. Optical plasmon excitations have recently been detected using infrared spectroscopy, but no comment was made on their possible pure chargelike character.

The objectives of this paper are the study of the dispersion and the spin and charge character of the optical and acoustic modes of a thin slab of a TI. We also compare our results with the available experimental data. One of our main conclusions is that in order to explain the experimental data presented in Ref. 20, it is necessary to include, in addition to the response of the Dirac carriers, the charge response of the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) of the depletion layer underneath the TI surface.

The paper is organized as follows. We first present analytical results explicitly showing the spin-charge separation of the in-phase and out-of-phase mode in the case of equal charge density on the top and bottom layer. We then solve the plasmon dispersion numerically and analyze the spin-charge separation for general wave numbers. In Sec. III we compare our results with the available experimental data and close with conclusions.

II. PLASMONS OF A THIN TI SLAB

The Hamiltonians describing the top and bottom surfaces of a topological insulators slab are

$$H_{T/B}^{\uparrow/\downarrow} = \pm \hbar v_F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k_x - i k_y \\ -k_x + i k_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Note that the difference between the top and the bottom Hamiltonians is just the sign of the Fermi velocity $v_F$.
In topological insulators there is a constant between the response of the charge density \( \rho \) and the transverse spin susceptibility at low energy takes the form

\[
\chi_0(q,\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0^T(q,\omega) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_0^B(q,\omega) \end{pmatrix},
\]

(2)

with

\[
\chi_0^T/B(q,\omega) = \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi x} \right) \chi_{pp}^T/B(q,\omega),
\]

(3)

where \( x = \frac{\omega}{v_F q} \) and \( \chi_{pp}^T/B(q,\omega) \) is the (scalar) density-density correlation function of a single Dirac cone corresponding to the top/bottom surface. In the relevant region for plasmons \( (\omega > v_F q) \) and in the absence of dissipation \( (\text{Im} \chi_{pp}^T = 0) \), \( \chi_{pp}^T \) is given by

\[
\chi_{pp}^T = -\frac{\mu_{T/B}}{2\pi(\hbar v_F)^2} \frac{q^2}{16\hbar^2 \sqrt{\omega^2 - (v_F q)^2}} \left[ G \left( \frac{2\mu_{T/B} + \hbar \omega}{\hbar v_F q} \right) - G \left( \frac{2\mu_{T/B} - \hbar \omega}{\hbar v_F q} \right) \right],
\]

(4)

with \( G(x) = x \sqrt{x^2 - 1} - \cosh^{-1}(x) \) for \( x > 1 \) and \( \mu_{T/B} \) are the chemical potentials of the top/bottom surface, respectively. The nondiagonal terms in Eq. (3) appear because of the topological spin-charge coupling. These terms change sign for \( \chi_0^T \) and \( \chi_0^B \) because velocities on opposite surfaces have opposite signs.

Introducing the long-ranged Coulomb interaction within the random-phase approximation (RPA), only the charge sectors of the opposite surfaces will be coupled and the system factorizes. But in order to make the spin-charge separation more explicit, we will keep the full tensor structure. The RPA susceptibility is given by

\[
\chi_{\text{RPA}} = \chi_0(q,\omega)[1 - v(q)\chi_0(q,\omega)]^{-1},
\]

(5)

with

\[
v(q) = \begin{pmatrix} v_T(q) & 0 & v_T B(q) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v_T B(q) & 0 & v_B(q) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(6)

where \( v_T B(q) \) and \( v_T B(q) \) are the intra- and interlayer Coulomb interaction, respectively. Above we have neglected the finite width of the TI surface states which are spread over the uppermost (lowermost) quintuple layer. Still, it serves as a first approximation and compared to the experimental slab width, the spread of the surface state is about two orders of magnitudes smaller, which justifies our approach.

For different dielectric media on the top \( (\epsilon_T) \), center \( (\epsilon_{TT}) \), and bottom \( (\epsilon_B) \), the general expressions for the intra- and interlayer are given by\(^{18,16,21,22}\)

\[
v_T B = \frac{(\cos(qd) + (\epsilon_B / \epsilon_T) \sinh(qd))v_T B(q)}{2}, \quad v_T B = \frac{\epsilon_T / (\epsilon_T q N)}{2}, \quad \text{with } N = \epsilon_T (\epsilon_T + \epsilon_B) \cosh(qd) + (\epsilon_T \epsilon_B + \epsilon_T^2) \sinh(qd).
\]

The width of the topological insulator is denoted by \( d \) and it is obvious that the small parameter is given by \( qd \), i.e., there will always be hybridization for small enough \( qd \).

The collective excitations are determined by the zeros of the determinant

\[
det[1 - v(q)\chi_0] = 0.
\]

(7)

For the full response function [Eq. (4)] the above equation needs to be solved numerically. But we will show that many results relevant for experiments can also be obtained analytically.

### A. Analytical results

To proceed analytically we use the long wavelength \( (q \to 0) \) or local approximation of the charge response

\[
\chi_{pp}^T/B = \frac{\mu_{T/B}}{4\pi \hbar^2 \omega^2},
\]

(8)

valid for \( \omega \gg v_F q \) and \( \mu_{T/B} \gg \hbar \omega \). Assuming also \( qd \ll 1 \), we obtain two modes, the optical (in-phase) and acoustic (out-of-phase) mode

\[
\omega_T^2 = \frac{\alpha_d v_F (\mu_T + \mu_B)}{\hbar (\epsilon_T + \epsilon_B)} q, \quad \omega_A^2 = \frac{\alpha_d v_F \mu_{T/B}}{\hbar \epsilon_{TT}} \mu_T + \mu_B \cdot dq^2 \equiv v_A^2 q^2,
\]

(9)

(10)

where we introduced the fine structure of a Dirac system \( \alpha_d = c_s^2 \hbar v_F / q \) and defined the sound velocity in case of the acoustic mode \( v_A \).

Note that the optical mode only depends on the outer dielectric media \( \epsilon_T + \epsilon_B \), whereas the acoustic sound velocity only depends on the dielectric medium in the center \( \epsilon_{TT} \). This is generally true since for the optical (in-phase) mode the interfaces have the same homogeneous charge density in the limit \( qd \to 0 \), thus not polarizing the inner medium. For the acoustic (out-of-phase) mode in the same limit, there are opposite homogeneous charge densities on the two sheets.
just like for a capacitor which in turn does not polarize the surrounding media. This is shown schematically in Fig. 2.

The expression for the out-of-phase mode [Eq. (10)] is only valid for \( k_F^2 + k_d^2 \approx 1, k_F^2 = \mu_{T/B}/v_F \) being the Fermi wave number of the top and bottom surfaces. For the general case, the acoustic mode must be obtained in terms of a Laurent-Taylor expansion including the full expression of the response function \( \chi_{pp}(\omega, q) \). The square root singularity of \( \chi_{pp} \) at \( \omega = v_F q \) guarantees that \( v_i > v_F \). The general analytical expression has been obtained in Ref. 15 and for a system with equal density at the top and at the bottom, \( k_F^2 = k_d^2 \equiv k_F \), this reads

\[
v_i = \frac{1 + \alpha_d k_F d / \epsilon_{TI}}{\sqrt{1 + 2 \alpha_d k_F d / \epsilon_{TI}}} v_F.
\] (11)

In the case \( k_F d / \epsilon_{TI} \ll 1 \), we can approximate \( v_i = v_F \) such that in this limit the spinlike excitations are merging into the particle-hole continuum and the spectral weight of this mode is strongly suppressed. But for large TI widths and chemical potential is obtained from the single layer density

\[
\mu_T = \mu_B \equiv \mu.
\] (15)

For the acoustic mode \( v_o \), we get

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\rho_T^T \\
\rho_T^S \\
\rho_B^T \\
\rho_B^S
\end{pmatrix} = \rho_T \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (14)

From the induced potentials, we can obtain the charge and spin densities through the relation \( \rho = \chi_0(q, \omega) V^{ind} \).

For the optical mode \( \omega_o \), we get in the limit \( q \rightarrow 0 \),

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\rho_T^T \\
\rho_T^S \\
\rho_B^T \\
\rho_B^S
\end{pmatrix} = \rho_T \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (16)

In general, the wavelength of the exciting light \( \lambda \) is far greater than the slab thickness \( d \) such that the effective charge and spin densities are given by the sum of the two surfaces \( \rho_c = \rho_T^T + \rho_B^T \) and \( \rho_s = S_T^T + S_B^T \). This proves the above statement that the collective excitations of thin homogeneously charged TI slabs are purely charge- or spinlike, see Fig. 1. Still, they are not comparable with spin collective modes of a clean two-dimensional electron gas with Rashba spin-orbit coupling. For larger TI slabs, the energy difference between optical and acoustic mode vanishes and the usual spin plasmon of one layer is recovered.

We finally note that, for the optical mode, the potential \( V \) of the two layers is always in-phase independent of the relative chemical potential. For the acoustic mode, it is the spin density which is always in-phase independent of the relative chemical potential due to perfect screening of the corresponding charge density. This means that the acoustic mode will show spinlike behavior independent of \( \mu_{T/B} \), whereas the optical mode will lose its pure charge character for unbalanced charge densities \( \mu_T \neq \mu_B \).

### C. Numerical results

We will now solve Eq. (7) numerically, using the full response function of Eq. (4). We choose \( v_F = 6 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s} \) and the chemical potential is obtained from the single layer density of \( n_{T/B} = 1.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). We further set \( \epsilon_T = 10 \) and \( \epsilon_{TI} = 100 \).

In Fig. 3 we plot the in-phase (black) and out-of-phase (red) plasmonic mode for two different slab widths \( d = 6 \text{ nm} \) (\( k_F d = 8.2 \)) (left) and \( d = 120 \text{ nm} \) (\( k_F d = 165 \)). These curves are compared to the analytical formulas for the optical mode of

---

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Schematic picture of the electric field distribution. For the optical mode, there is no electric field inside the TI (upper panel). For the acoustic mode, there is no electric field outside the TI (lower panel).
Eq. (9) (black dashed line) and acoustic mode of Eq. (11) (red dashed line). Also shown is the analytical formula of Eq. (12) as a blue dotted-dashed line. Finally, the gray region indicates the regime of intraband excitations bounded by $\omega = v_F q$.

For a small slab width with $d = 6$ nm, the acoustic mode is pinned to the electron-hole continuum and Eq. (11) is almost indistinguishable from the exact solution. But for $d = 120$ nm, it is already well separated from $\omega = v_F q$ and Eq. (11) starts to differ from the exact solution for $q/k_F \lesssim 0.01$. For the optical mode, Eq. (9) is valid only for small $q$ vectors, but Eq. (12) agrees well for $dq \lesssim 0.2$ which represents the upper bound of wave numbers in typical experiments.

For $qd \approx 1$, the top and bottom surfaces are almost decoupled and the optical and acoustic plasmon mode begin to approach the (optical) plasmon mode of the decoupled top and bottom surface, respectively. In the case $\epsilon_T = \epsilon_B$, they would merge to the same plasmon mode.

In Fig. 4 we analyze in more detail the spin-charge separation beyond the analytical solution of Eqs. (15) and (16) (full vs dashed). We plot the total spin density of the optical mode $\rho_s^D = |S^T_s + S^B_s|/(|S^T_s| + |S^B_s|)$, and the total charge density of the acoustic mode $\rho_s^A = |\rho^T + \rho^B|/(|\rho^T| + |\rho^B|)$. For equal densities on the two surfaces ($\zeta = 0$), we find $\rho_s^D = \rho_s^A$ and there remains approximate spin-charge separation up to $q k_F \lesssim 0.005$. Wave numbers in typical experiments are limited by $q k_F \lesssim 10^{-3}$, such that spin-charge separation should be observable in this range. For different electronic densities in the top and bottom layer with $\zeta = (n_T - n_B)/(n_T + n_B) = 1/3$, the optical mode is accompanied by a suppressed, but finite spin density. The acoustic mode, nevertheless, remains spinlike for the experimentally relevant regime with $\rho_s^D \lesssim 1\%$. Finally, we note that for the symmetric case with $\zeta = 0$ and $\epsilon_T = \epsilon_B$, there is perfect spin-charge separation independent of $q$.

III. COMPARISON TO EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Due to their large momentum well in the evanescent spectrum, longitudinal plasmons do not couple to propagating electromagnetic radiation. In order to satisfy the conservation of momentum in the photon absorption process, the translational symmetry has to be broken. The necessary momentum is thus provided by patterning the two-dimensional (2D) surface with a subwavelength periodic structure. For graphene, this has been achieved with a grated geometry showing plasmon resonances with remarkably large oscillator strengths at room temperature. It was shown that the absorption spectrum displays the usual Drude peak if the electric field was polarized parallel to the grating, whereas it showed a plasmon resonance in the case of perpendicular polarization.

Recently this strategy was used to measure plasmonic resonances of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Here we will compare the analytical formulas for the optical mode [Eqs. (9) and (12)] with the experimental data. Parameters for the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ family are used with $v_F = 6 \times 10^5$ m/s leading to $\alpha_d = 3.7$. The chemical potential is obtained from the total electron density of $n = n_T + n_B = 3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ and the density asymmetry of the top and the bottom layer is parametrized by $\zeta = (n_T - n_B)/(n_T + n_B)$. Furthermore, Bi$_2$Se$_3$ was grown on a sapphire substrate ($\text{Al}_{2}\text{O}_3$) with bulk dielectric constant $\epsilon_B = 10$, and we set $\epsilon_T = 1$ and $\epsilon_{1T} = 100$.

On the left-hand side of Fig. 5 we plot the in-phase mode of Eq. (12) for slab widths $d = 60$ nm (black) and $d = 120$ nm.
(red). A more general analytic formula was used to describe the case of asymmetric layer densities ($d = 120$ nm and $\xi = 0.9$). In the shown regime of small wave numbers $q d \lesssim 0.2$, the analytic curves are indistinguishable with the exact numerical solution, but already depend on the slab width $d$. They further lie well below the experimental data which were taken for slab widths $d = 60$ nm (circles) and $d = 120$ nm (squares). Let us emphasize that the good agreement with the slab width independent optical mode of Eq. (9) (dashed line) is pure coincidence.

From the above discussion we conclude that the experimental data cannot be fitted by only assuming Dirac carriers. But a single Dirac cone comprising the topological state can coexist with a two-dimensional spin-degenerate electron gas (2DEG). Accordingly, two conducting channels were identified, one corresponding to the above mentioned Dirac electrons and the other to the 2DEG that develops due to band bending at the two surfaces.27 The channels behave independently and the depletion layer extends only within a few nanometers of the surface.27

Taking the depletion layer into account, changes in number and behavior of plasmon modes might occur because one now has to consider a response matrix twice as big as the initial one of Eq. (5), i.e., an $8 \times 8$ instead of a $4 \times 4$ matrix. But due to the closeness of the depletion layer to the surface, the only newly emerging modes would be chargeless acousticlike excitations formed by superpositions of the Dirac carriers and the depletion layer on the same (top or bottom) TI surface. These modes are thus closely pinned to the particle-hole continuum and probably not observable. Furthermore, do not affect the modes obtained by the initial $4 \times 4$ matrix.

In the following we will thus neglect the possibility of particle exchange between the Dirac carriers and depletion layer and also the emerging chargeless acoustic modes. The total charge response can then be taken as the sum of the charge response of the Dirac system and the 2DEG, $\chi_{\mu}^{\text{total}} = \chi_{\mu}^{\text{Dirac}} + \chi_{\mu}^{\text{2DEG}}$. The full density response of a 2DEG was derived by Stern,31 but to describe typical experiments, the local approximation is sufficient which reads

$$\chi_{\mu}^{\text{2DEG}} = \frac{\mu^{\text{2DEG}} q^2}{\pi \hbar^2 \omega^2}. \quad (17)$$

There is a unique Fermi energy for both Dirac and 2DEG fermions, $\mu^{\text{2DEG}}$ and $\mu^{\text{Dirac}}$ give its position with respect to the bottom 2DEG bottom band and to the Dirac point, respectively. To describe the above experiment, we can thus use Eq. (12) with $\mu \rightarrow \mu^{\text{Dirac}} + 4 \mu^{\text{2DEG}}$. The chemical potential of the Dirac system is obtained from the sheet density leading to $\mu^{\text{Dirac}} = 542$ meV, and not from the slightly curved energy dispersion which would yield the lower value $\mu = 450$ meV.27 With $\mu^{\text{2DEG}} = 60$ meV,27 we now obtain a reasonable fit to the experimental data for low wave numbers $q \lesssim 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$. This can be seen on the right-hand side of Fig. 5, where we plot the resonant plasmon frequencies $\nu_p = \omega_p/2\pi$ for slab widths $d = 60$ nm (black) and $d = 120$ nm (red) for a dielectric substrate with $\epsilon_B = 10$ (full lines).

The two high-energy plasmon resonances with $q > 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$ cannot be well described by our fit and are blue shifted. This is in contrast with our expectations because the dipole-dipole interaction between the patterned nanowires should lead to an additional redshift compared to the analytic curves for samples with small periodicities.32,33 This shift can be as large as 20%.34 A possible blue shift could be provided by including the frequency dependence of $\epsilon_B$, which might lead to smaller values $\epsilon_B(\omega) < 10$. We, therefore, also show curves with $\epsilon_B = 6$ (dashed lines) which was measured for thin (15 nm) Al$_2$O$_3$ films.35 Also a decrease of $\epsilon_B$ would lead to a blue shift for larger frequency since the high-frequency dielectric constant has a value of $\epsilon_B^{\infty} \sim 25$.36,37

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have analytically and numerically demonstrated how Dirac plasmons in thin TI samples hybridize and that the different sign of the Fermi velocity of the two Dirac cones leads to the curious fact that the in-phase and out-of-phase collective modes can be purely charge- and spinlike, respectively. The peculiar nature of the collective oscillations of TI might have interesting consequences such that thin nanowires should behave as helical Luttinger liquids.38

We have also analyzed recent experiments measuring plasmonic resonances in Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and argued that it is necessary to include the 2DEG in the depletion layer in order to fit the experimental data. Nevertheless, a proper treatment of the dipole-dipole interaction between the patterned nanowires on top of the TI surface is still missing, which is planned for future work.
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