Screening and collective modes in disordered graphene antidot lattices

Shengjun Yuan,1,* Fengping Jin,2 Rafael Roldán,3† Antti-Pekka Jauho,4 and M. I. Katsnelson1
1Radboud University of Nijmegen, Institute for Molecules and Materials, Heijendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands
2Institute for Advanced Simulation, Jülich Supercomputing Centre, Research Centre Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany
3Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, CSIC, Cantoblanco E28049 Madrid, Spain
4Center for Nanostructured Graphene (CNG), DTU Nanotech, Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark
(Received 23 September 2013; published 5 November 2013)

The excitation spectrum and the collective modes of graphene antidot lattices (GALs) are studied in the context of a π-band tight-binding model. The dynamical polarizability and dielectric function are calculated within the random-phase approximation. The effect of different kinds of disorder, such as geometric and chemical disorder, are included in our calculations. We highlight the main differences of GALs with respect to single-layer graphene (SLG). Our results show that, in addition to the well-understood bulk plasmon in doped samples, interband plasmons appear in GALs. We further show that the static screening properties of undoped and doped GALs quantitatively differ from SLG.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Graphene antidot lattices (GAL), regular nanoscale perforations of the pristine graphene sheet, offer a way of creating a band gap in graphene. Earliest investigations1 of these structures date in fact back to the dark ages before the graphene era,2 but new momentum was gained when Petersen et al.3 speculated in 2008 that these structures may have many interesting applications, even as a platform for spin-based quantum computation. Subsequently, scores of theoretical papers have addressed various properties of GALs using a variety of theoretical tools (e.g., Dirac cone approximation for the underlying graphene spectrum,3 density functional theory,4 or within the tight-binding model5). Rather than attempting to review this vast literature, we merely state that, in our opinion, the electronic structure and its dependence on the underlying lattice symmetry and shape of the antidots,6,7 as well as transport and optical properties of perfect GALs, are fairly well understood,8 and what remains to be investigated concerns the role of interactions, disorder, and extension of the present theoretical methods to systems with large unit cells, such as the ones encountered in the laboratory. What really has made GALs interesting is the rapid development in fabrication techniques, and today several methods exist to create (reasonably) regular structures with periods in low tens of nanometers—a length scale at which the created gaps are predicted to be in hundreds of millivolts, i.e., approaching the technologically relevant numbers. A short and incomplete catalog of fabrication methods includes block-copolymer masks,9–10 nanoimprint lithography,11 e-beam lithography (either using conventional masks12–15 or focused e-beam direct writing of holes16), ion beam etching,17 nanosphere masks,18,19 or nanoporous alumina membranes as etch masks.20–22

The extraordinary electronic and optical properties of graphene have recently brought a lot of attention to this material as an ideal candidate for plasmonics applications.23 Plasmons, which are collective density oscillations of an electron liquid,24,25 have been extensively studied in pristine graphene within the random-phase approximation (RPA), see, e.g., Refs. 26–29. In fact, understanding the screening properties of this material is essential in order to exploit its unique properties for plasmonic devices.23 However, we are aware of only one paper (of which one of us is a coauthor of) of screening in GALs;30 in that paper the polarization function and plasmons of perfect GALs were studied in the q → 0 limit (q is the wave vector and a is the graphene lattice constant). In the present paper we present extensive, and complementary, results for the finite qa case: Using a numerical method we study the screening properties of disordered GALs. The density of states (DOS) is obtained from a numerical solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,31 and the polarization function is calculated from the Kubo formula.31,32

The dielectric function is obtained within the RPA, using the numerically computed polarization functions. The presence of disorder is unavoidable in experimental realizations of GALs. Here, we consider the generic kinds of disorder found in these systems, such as a random deviation of the periodicity and fluctuations of the radii of the nanoholes from the perfect array, as well as the effect of resonant scatterers in the sample (such as vacancies, adatoms, etc.). We find that gapped and almost dispersionless plasmons may exist in GALs, due to interband transitions between the narrow bands characteristic of the GAL spectrum. However, these modes are expected to be highly damped. For doped samples, the classical plasmon mode with a dispersion relation proportional to √q is also present. However, we find that the dispersion of this mode differs substantially from the corresponding dispersion of the plasmon mode in SLG. Finally, we study the main characteristics of the static screening in GALs, paying special attention to their differences with respect to SLG.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the details of the method. The main characteristics of the excitation spectrum are discussed in Sec. III. The static dielectric screening is discussed in Sec. IV, and our main conclusions are summarized in Sec. V.
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PACS number(s): 73.21.La, 72.80.Vp, 73.22.Pr
II. MODEL AND METHOD

We model a disordered GAL by the real space tight-binding Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_i v_i c_i^\dagger c_i + \mathcal{H}_{\text{imp}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $c_i^\dagger$ ($c_i$) creates (annihilates) an electron on site $i$ of the honeycomb lattice of graphene, $t_{ij}$ is the nearest-neighbor hopping integral, and $v_i$ is the on-site potential. The effect of isolated vacancies can be modeled by setting the hopping amplitudes to other sites to zero or, alternatively, with an on-site energy $v_i \to \infty$. Further, additional resonant impurities, such as hydrogen adatoms, can be accounted for by the term $\mathcal{H}_{\text{imp}}$ in Eq. (1):

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{imp}} = \varepsilon_d \sum_i d_i^\dagger d_i + V \sum_i (d_i^\dagger c_i + \text{H.c.}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\varepsilon_d$ is the on-site potential on the “hydrogen” impurity and $V$ is the hopping amplitude between carbon and hydrogen atoms.\textsuperscript{31,33,34} In our calculations, we fix the temperature to $T = 300$ K and use periodic boundary conditions for both the polarization function and the density of states. The size of the system used in our simulations is $6600 \times 6600$ atoms. Throughout this paper we ignore the effects due to spin (in our previous work\textsuperscript{5} we give a short discussion of this point), and thus the spin degree of freedom merely contributes a degeneracy factor 2 and is omitted for simplicity in Eq. (1).

Following Refs. 3 and 5, we model a GAL by creating a hexagonal lattice of (approximately) circular holes of a given radius $R$ and a separation $P = \sqrt{3}L$ between the centers of two consecutive holes, where $L$ is the side length of the hexagonal unit cell (see Fig. 1). GALs in this symmetry class can be labeled by the parameters $\{L,R\}$, in units of the graphene lattice constant $a = \sqrt{3} \tilde{a} \approx 2.46$ Å, where $\tilde{a} \approx 1.42$ Å is the interatomic separation. It should be noted that there are many other possible realizations of a GAL: Both the antidot shape and its edge structure, as well as the underlying lattice symmetry can be varied in a number of ways. The present model is chosen for several reasons: It is a generic model used in many previous studies, and it displays a gapped band model is chosen for several reasons: It is a generic model used in many previous studies, and it displays a gapped band structure,\textsuperscript{6,7,35} which is of special interest for the present study (see Fig. 2). Further, to the best of our knowledge, no experiments on plasmons in GALs have yet been reported, and in a proof-of-principle study (such as the present one) a thorough exploration of the vast parameter space does not seem warranted. On the other hand, when experiments emerge we can easily extend our calculations to any particular geometry.

We consider two kinds of geometric disorders in our systems, which lead to deviations of the GALs from perfect periodicity. First, we allow the center of the holes to float with respect to their position in the perfect periodic lattice $(x,y)$ around $(x \pm l_c, y \pm l_c)$. Second, we allow the radius of the holes to randomly shrink or expand within the range $[R - r_R, R + r_R]$. Throughout this paper, we express $l_c$ and $r_R$ in units of $a$.

The algorithm used in our numerical calculations is based on an efficient evaluation of the time-evolution operator $e^{-i\mathcal{H}t}$ (we use units such that $\hbar = 1$) and Fermi-Dirac distribution operator $n_F(H) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(H - \mu)} + 1}$ ($\beta = 1/k_B T$, where $T$ is the temperature, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\mu$ is the chemical potential) in terms of the Chebyshev polynomial representation.\textsuperscript{31,37} The initial state $|\psi\rangle$ is a random superposition of all the basis states in the real space, i.e.,\textsuperscript{31,38}

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i a_i c_i^\dagger |0\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $|0\rangle$ is the electron vacuum state and $a_i$ are random complex numbers normalized as $\sum_i |a_i|^2 = 1$. Since one initial state in our calculation contains all the eigenstates of the whole spectrum, averaging over different initial states is not required in our numerical computations.\textsuperscript{31,38} Moreover, since the system used contains millions of carbon atoms, and one specific disorder configuration contains a large number of different local configurations, there is no need to average over different realizations of the disorder.\textsuperscript{31} As shown in Refs. 38 and 31, the density of states (DOS) of the system can be
calculated from the Fourier transform of the overlap between
the time-evolved state \(|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi\rangle\) and the initial state
\(|\psi\rangle\) as follows:
\[
d(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\varepsilon t} \langle \psi | \psi(t) \rangle \, dt.
\] (4)

Furthermore, the dynamical polarization can be obtained
from the Kubo formula\(^{39}\) as
\[
\Pi(q, \omega) = \frac{i}{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega t} \langle \rho(q, \tau) \cdot \rho(-q, 0) \rangle,
\] (5)
where \(A\) denotes the area of the unit cell, \(\rho(q) = \sum \epsilon_{i} c_{i} c_{i} \exp(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i})\) is the density operator, and the average
is taken over the canonical ensemble. For the case of the
single-particle Hamiltonian, the polarization function (5)
can be written as\(^{31}\)
\[
\Pi(q, \omega) = -\frac{2}{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega t} \text{Im} \langle \psi | n_{F}(H) e^{iH\tau} \rho(q) \langle \psi | -\varepsilon \rangle \langle \psi | 1 - n_{F}(H) \rangle \rho(-q) \langle \psi | \rangle.
\] (6)
By introducing the time evolution of two wave functions,
\[
|\varphi_{1}(\tau)\rangle = e^{-iH\tau} [1 - n_{F}(H)] \rho(-q) |\psi\rangle \tag{7}
\]
\[
|\varphi_{2}(\tau)\rangle = e^{-iH\tau} n_{F}(H) \rho(-q) |\psi\rangle \tag{8}
\]
we obtain the real and imaginary part of the dynamical
polarization as\(^{39}\)
\[
\text{Re} \Pi(q, \omega) = -\frac{2}{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \cos(\omega\tau) \text{Im} \langle \varphi_{2}(\tau) | \rho(q) | \varphi_{1}(\tau) \rangle,
\]
\[
\text{Im} \Pi(q, \omega) = -\frac{2}{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \sin(\omega\tau) \text{Im} \langle \varphi_{2}(\tau) | \rho(q) | \varphi_{1}(\tau) \rangle.
\] (9)
In the numerical calculation, the integral of Eqs. (5) and (9)
is replaced by the sum of the integrated function at finite
time steps. The value of the time step is set to be \(\pi/\varepsilon_{\max}\), which
is small enough to cover the whole spectrum. Here \(\varepsilon_{\max}\) is the maximum absolute value of the energy eigenvalues,
and for pristine graphene and GALs it is \(3\varepsilon_{\max}/\varepsilon_{\pi}\). The number of time
steps determines the energy resolution and typically we use
1024 time steps. We also use a Gaussian window to alleviate
the effect of the finite time used in the numerical integration
in Eqs. (5) and (9).

We notice here that the presence of the antidot array breaks
the translational invariance of the graphene layer. Hence, in
the most general case, the polarization function \(\Pi\) should be
a matrix in the \(G, G'\) space, where \(G\) and \(G'\) are reciprocal
lattice vectors associated to the new periodicity imposed in the
system by the GAL.\(^{30}\) Therefore, in writing the polarization
function in the form of Eq. (5), we are neglecting local field
effects, which is equivalent to assuming that the relevant wave
vectors \(q\) are small compared to the reciprocal lattice vectors
\(G \propto 1/L\). This approximation is justified for the small value
of \(L\) considered here, \(L = 10a\), although local field effects
should be taken into account for large values of \(L\). This effect
is beyond the scope of this paper, and it will be discussed
in a future work.\(^{34}\) Furthermore, the dynamical polarization
function is anisotropic and depends on the direction of \(q\) in the
Brillouin zone. In this paper, for simplicity, we fix the wave
vector \(q\) along the K-\Gamma direction.

The screening properties of the GAL are determined by the
dielectric function \(\epsilon(q, \omega)\), which we consider here within
the RPA:
\[
\epsilon(q, \omega) = 1 - V(q) \Pi(q, \omega),
\] (10)
where
\[
V(q) = \frac{2\pi e^{2}}{\kappa q}
\] (11)
is the 2D Fourier transformation of the Coulomb interaction and \(\kappa\) is the dielectric constant of the embedding medium
(we take in all our plots \(\kappa = 1\)). Although self-energy and
vertex corrections are not included within the RPA, this
approximation is sufficient to capture the main features of
the plasmon modes, which is the main focus of this work. From
the dielectric function, we can study the collective excitations
of the system. The dispersion relation for the collective modes
is obtained from the solution of
\[
\text{Re} \epsilon(q, \omega_{pl}(q)) = 0,
\] (12)
where \(\omega_{pl}\) is the energy of the collective (plasmon) mode.
The condition for those modes to be long-lived is that
\(\text{Im} \Pi(q, \omega_{pl}) = 0\), such that the plasmon cannot decay into
electron-hole pairs. Otherwise, there will be a finite damping
of the mode \(\gamma \propto \text{Im} \Pi(q, \omega_{pl})\).

III. DIELECTRIC FUNCTION AND COLLECTIVE MODES

In this section we present the results for the polarization and
dielectric function of GALs, analyzing their main differences
with respect to SLG. For completeness, we summarize the
salient results for the effect of the antidot lattice on the DOS
(both pristine and disordered systems), as discussed in our
earlier papers.\(^{35}\) The DOS of a \([10,6]\) GAL follows from
Eq. (4), and the results are shown in Fig. 3. The lattice of
antidots splits the broad \(\pi\) and \(\pi^{*}\) bands of SLG into a number
of gapped, narrow, and flat bands, modifying the DOS of SLG
[given by the red line in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)] into a set of peaks
associated to the Van Hove singularities of the subbands of the
antidot lattice [black lines in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]. Figures 3(c)
and 3(d) show the modification in the DOS of GALs due to
gemetrical disorder, associated to irregularities in the antidot
lattice, such as changes in the center-to-center distance of the
etched holes or to variations in the size of the holes. In general,
the gaps are rather robust against geometrical disorder, and
only after a large deviation of the GAL array from the perfect
periodicity do the gaps close. We further show the effects
of resonant impurities, such as vacancies or adatoms, in the
DOS of GALs [Figs. 3(e) and 3(f)]. This kind of defects leave
the structure of the DOS practically unchanged, apart from
the creation of a midgap band associated to localized states around
the impurities, which leads to the peak at \(E \approx 0\) in the DOS.

A. Electron-hole continuum and collective modes

The structure of the DOS discussed above will be useful to
understand the polarization and dielectric functions of GAL.
We first consider the case of a clean GAL. Our results for
FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) DOS of a {10,6} GAL (black lines) and of SLG (red lines). (b) Same as (a) but zoomed in at low energies. (c) Random shift of the center of the antidots within the range \((x \pm l_c, y \pm l_c)\). (d) Random variation of the antidot radius within the range \([R - r_R, R + r_R]\). (e) Random vacancies for four concentrations. (f) Random hydrogen adsorbants for four coverages. The dotted vertical lines in panel (b) correspond to the two different Fermi energies considered in this work: undoped GAL with \(\mu = 0\) and doped GAL with \(\mu = 0.115\).

\(\Pi(q,\omega)\) and \(\varepsilon(q,\omega)\) as a function of frequency \(\omega\) are shown in Fig. 4. In the undoped regime [Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)] we obtain an Im\(\Pi\) which consists of a series of peaks [the black line in Fig. 4(a)], which indicate the electron-hole continuum in GALs, defined as the region of the energy-momentum plane where particle-hole excitations are possible. For comparison, we also show the polarization function for undoped SLG from a \(\pi\)-band tight-binding model\(^{30}\) (blue line) and within the Dirac cone approximation (red line). In both cases, undoped graphene and undoped GAL, only interband transitions are possible. However, there are strong differences in the two spectra. On the one hand, the interband electron-hole excitation
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Figure 4. (Color online) Comparison between SLG and GALs. Polarization function [(a) and (c)] and dielectric function [(b) and (d)] for a [10,6] GAL. Black lines correspond to GAL, whereas the blue lines correspond to SLG within the \( \pi \)-band tight-binding model, and the red lines correspond to SLG using the Dirac cone approximation. Panels (a) and (b) are for undoped samples (\( \mu = 0 \)), and panels (c) and (d) are for doped samples with \( \mu = 0.115 \). The insets in (a) and (c) give the spectra in a broader range of energies.

Excitations, which are obtained from Eq. (12), we observe that Re\( \epsilon \) vanishes for undoped GALs at least once, whereas there is no solution of Eq. (12) for undoped graphene [the blue and red lines in Fig. 4(b)]. This is the well-known result about the absence of plasmons in undoped SLG within the RPA. 26–29

The situation differs somewhat for GALs, whose peculiar band structure and DOS lead to a collective mode which is associated to interband transitions between the valence and conduction bands, with energies (for the [10,6] structure) \( E \approx \pm 0.12 \), as shown in Fig. 3(b). However, this mode cannot be considered a fully coherent plasmon since its dispersion lies in a region of the spectrum where Im\( \Pi_{\omega} \neq 0 \). Therefore, such a mode will be damped, decaying into electron-hole pairs. It is interesting to notice that this mode has a similar origin as the so-called \( \pi \)-plasmon mode in graphene, 40 which is a \( \pi \)-mode in single-layer and multilayer graphene originated from particle-hole transitions between the Van Hove singularity of the valence band at \( E \approx -t \sim -2.7 \) eV and the Van Hove singularity of the conduction band at \( E \approx +t \sim +2.7 \) eV and which has been observed by electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) experiments. 42

The spectrum in SLG is a continuum which (near the Dirac point) corresponds to the region in the \( q-t \) plane in which \( \omega > v_F q \), where \( v_F \) is the Fermi velocity of graphene near the Dirac point. In fact, Im\( \Pi(q,\omega > v_F q) \) is a monotonic function for a broad range of energies, Fig. 4(a). At high energies, of the order of \( \omega \approx 2t \sim 5 \) eV, the spectral function shows a peak which is associated to interband transitions between states of the Van Hove singularities of the valence and of the conduction bands [see inset of Fig. 4(a)]. Notice that this effect is not captured by the Dirac cone approximation (red line), which is valid only for \( \omega < v_F q \).

Most saliently, there are regions of zero spectral weight in Im\( \Pi(q,\omega) \) which are associated to the interband gaps opened in the GAL band structure, as compared to the continuum spectrum associated to the broad \( \pi \) and \( \pi^* \) bands in graphene [see, e.g., Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) for the corresponding DOS].

In addition, the dielectric function of undoped GALs and SLG qualitatively differ, as shown in Fig. 4(b). The oscillatory DOS of the GAL leads to a dielectric function with an oscillatory behavior, too. Second, focusing on collective excitations.
The results for doped GALs are shown by the black lines in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), which are compared to the results of SLG from a π-band tight-binding model (blue lines) and from the Dirac cone approximation (red lines). The chemical potential \( \mu \approx 0.115t \) is such that the Fermi energy lies within the first peak in the DOS, as shown by the corresponding dotted black line of Fig. 3(b). Here we define the chemical potential as measured from the \( E = 0 \) Dirac point energy in graphene. In GAL, since it is a semiconductor, it is useful to define \( \mu \approx \Delta / 2 + E_F \), where \( \Delta \) is the gap energy and \( E_F \) is the Fermi energy measured, as usual, from the bottom of the conduction band. One first notices that \( \text{Im} \Pi_1(q, \omega) \) has two different contributions: one at low energies, which is due to intraband excitations, and which is very narrow due to the small bandwidth \( W \) of the doped band in GAL. This bandwidth \( W \) imposes a limit for the energy of intraband transitions. The second contribution emerges (for \( q = 0 \)) at \( \omega \approx \Delta + 2E_F \) and is due to interband excitations across the band gap. This is the counterpart of the interband electron-hole continuum in SLG, and it is the only contribution in the \( \mu = 0 \) case, as discussed above. Interestingly, doping leads to a new plasmon mode in the spectrum, as seen by the zeros of \( \text{Re} \Pi(q, \omega) \) in Fig. 4(d). This solution of Eq. (12) corresponds to the usual plasmon mode which, in this case, is long-lived due to the fact that it disperses (for a certain range of energies) in the region of the \( (q, \omega) \) space where \( \text{Im} \Pi(q, \omega) = 0 \). In fact, such a mode is present also in SLG, as seen by the zero-energy cut of the blue line in Fig. 4(d). However, for a given wave vector, the energy at which \( \text{Re} \Pi(q, \omega) = 0 \) differs for GAL and for SLG, and, hence, the dispersion of the plasmon differs markedly in the two cases. In particular, from our results one can expect that the velocity of the mode (given by the slope of the band dispersion) will be lower in GAL than in SLG. Considering that in 2D the dispersion relation of the plasmons at low energies can be approximated by

\[
\omega_{pl}(q) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2\pi n \hbar^2 q}{m_b}},
\]

(13)

where \( n \) is the carrier density and \( m_b \) is the effective mass of the band, our numerical result for the existence of slow plasmons in GALs is expected due to the flatness of their bands [see Fig. 2(b)], which typically implies large effective
masses. Furthermore, we notice that the theoretical studies for the band structure of GALs show that they have a direct gap, located in the $\Gamma$ point of the Brillouin zone. The minimum of the conduction band and the maximum of the valence band can be well approximated, in leading order, by a parabolic band dispersion, whose effective mass is simply related to the DOS, $d(\mu)$, by the usual relation $m_\text{eff} = 2\pi\hbar^2 d(\mu)/g_\sigma$, where $g_\sigma = 2$ is the spin degeneracy. Therefore, taking into account that the nanoperforation of the graphene lattice allows us to manipulate the DOS of the system, the corresponding dispersion relation of the plasmon mode will be specific and differ for each pair $\{L,R\}$ that characterizes a given GAL.

These features are more clearly seen in Fig. 5, where we show a density plot of Re$\varepsilon(q,\omega)$ in the $\omega$-$q$ plane. Consider first the undoped $\mu = 0$ case, Fig. 5(a). In the absence of charge carriers, the GAL does not exhibit any low-energy plasmon mode, and the first line of zeros of the dielectric function corresponds to the gapped plasmon which is associated, as discussed above, to interband transitions between states of the bands adjacent to the Fermi level $\mu = 0$. The energy of the mode at $q \to 0$ coincides with the gap opened in the band structure, $\Delta \approx 0.24t$ for the present case, and it is almost dispersionless. The reason for this weak dispersion of the gapped mode is the narrowness and flatness of the bands involved in the interband transitions. Apart from this well-defined mode with a gap $\Delta \approx 0.24t$, Fig. 5(a) also shows several resonances at higher energies. They are signatures of electron-hole transitions of higher energies, associated to the bands which lead to the different peaks in the DOS of Fig. 3. Similarly as in SLG, this structure should be accessible by means of EELS experiments, which could be useful to determine the main gap, as well as the characteristic energies of the other flat bands, which would lead to further resonances in the EELS spectrum.

The situation differs for doped GALs [Fig. 5(b)]. Apart from the gapped mode (with $\Delta \approx 0.24t$) discussed above, we observe a strong feature at low energies which corresponds to the gapless classical plasmon, with dispersion relation given by Eq. (13). The low-energy and small-$q$ region of the spectrum of doped GAL is shown for clarity in Fig. 5(c), where we observe the existence of a collective mode in a $\omega$-$q$ region of the spectrum which, for the corresponding undoped case of...
Fig. 5(a), is entirely featureless. This collective mode is the counterpart of the well-known plasmon mode characteristic of a 2DEG or doped SLG. For comparison, we show a density plot of Re $\epsilon(q,\omega)$ for doped SLG in Fig. 5(d), where one can clearly observe the classical plasmon mode with $\omega(q) \propto \sqrt{q}$ at small wave-vectors $q$. By comparing Fig. 5(d) to Fig. 5(c), we observe that the slope of the plasmon mode in SLG is much higher than the corresponding slope of the low-energy plasmon in doped GAL. The qualitatively different band structures of SLG and GAL explain the different behaviors of the plasmon mode in the two systems. Furthermore, notice that the $\omega(q) \sim \sqrt{q}$ plasmon is the only active mode in doped SLG [Fig. 5(d)], which does not present additional optical resonances at higher energies, as the ones present in the spectrum of GALs [Fig. 5(b)].

B. Effect of disorder

We next address the effects due to disorder. We have considered two main sources of disorder: geometrical disorder, which accounts for deviations of the GAL from the perfect periodicity, and resonant impurities, which can be associated to vacancies in the graphene lattice or to adatoms which can be adsorbed by the surface. The results are shown in Fig. 6. The modification of the band structure and DOS due to geometrical disorder, as shown by Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), leads to a dramatic effect on the structure of the spectrum, as can be seen by comparing Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) to Fig. 5(a). Changes in the center-to-center separation of the antidots leads to a blurring of the resonance peaks in the spectrum, as seen in Fig. 6(a), where the feature associated to the gapped plasmon with energy $\Delta_1 \approx 0.24t$ has practically disappeared. In fact, no zeros are present in the dielectric function after this kind of disorder is considered, which means that the plasmon mode has disappeared.

In Fig. 7 we show results for the polarization and dielectric function of GAL, obtained from Eqs. (5) and (10), in the presence of geometrical disorder for the wave vector $\mathbf{q} = 0.05a^{-1}$. The presence of geometrical disorder can lead to the disappearance of the plasmon mode. This can be seen in
Figs. 7(b) and 7(d), where there is an absence of zeros for the dielectric function of disordered GALs (blue and red lines), whereas Re\(\varepsilon\) for clean GAL clearly shows a solution for the plasmon equation (12). Furthermore, the well-separated bands of clean GAL leads to a discretization of the electron-hole continuum at low energies. This can be seen by looking at the black line in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), which shows an absence of spectral weight between the well-defined peaks of Im\(\Pi\) at low energies. However, the presence of geometrical disorder strongly modifies the band structure, and, as a consequence, there is a transfer of spectral weight to the gapped regions of the spectrum.

Therefore, the impurity bands which emerge in the spectrum due to the presence of geometrical disorder, leads to a significant modification of the electron-hole continuum as well as the dielectric function, and the results for GAL with this kind of disorder does not show any signature of plasmons. Nevertheless, notice that a resonance is still visible in the spectrum of a GAL in which the size of the holes vary within some range, at an energy which is smaller than the energy of the plasmon mode in the clean GAL sample. This is shown in Fig. 6(b), where a pronounced resonance is still observed at an energy \(\Delta \approx 0.1t\). The reason for the low-energy feature is clearly understood by looking at the green dashed line of Fig. 3(d), which corresponds to the DOS of a GAL sample with this kind of geometrical disorder and where we see that two new peaks have emerged in the DOS at energies \(E \approx \pm 0.05t\). Therefore, the resonance in Fig. 6(b) at \(\omega \approx 0.1t\) has its origin in electron-hole transitions between those bands created in the spectrum by the effect of random radius geometrical disorder. We emphasize that this is not a plasmon, since it does not correspond to a zero of the dielectric function.

We have also considered the effect of resonant impurities in the spectrum. This kind of disorder has a less dramatic effect on the excitation spectrum of the system, as shown in Fig. 6. Both random distribution of vacancies and random distribution of hydrogen impurities in the sample have a similar effect on the dielectric function of GAL, leading to a broadening of the plasmon modes, which are more efficiently damped due to the presence of this kind of impurities. However, these features still correspond to a plasmon mode: This is proved by looking at the Re\(\varepsilon\) plots of Figs. 8(b) and 8(d), which present well-defined

![Graphical representation of Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)](image)

**Fig. 8.** (Color online) Same as shown in Fig. 4 but in the presence of resonant impurities. Panels (a) and (b) correspond to Im\(\Pi(q,\omega)\) and Re\(\varepsilon(q,\omega)\), respectively, for a \(\{10,6\}\) GAL with a random distribution of vacancies. The different colors correspond to different amounts of missing dangling bonds, as denoted in the inset of the figures. Panels (c) and (d) corresponds to a GAL with hydrogen adatoms. Different colors correspond to different percentage of adatoms in the sample. The insets in panels (a) and (c) show the polarization in a broader range of energies.
zeros for both clean and disordered samples. Furthermore, the energies of the gapped modes remain almost unchanged as compared to the spectrum of a perfect and clean GAL [Fig. 5(a)]. However, there is an additional transfer of spectral weight to the low-energy region of the spectrum, associated to the impurity bands which have been created and which account for localized states around the impurities.\(^5\) This feature in the spectrum is especially visible in Figs. 8(a) and 8(c), where we observe a contribution to the polarization function in the low-energy region of the spectrum which increases with the concentration of impurities in the sample.

### IV. STATIC SCREENING

In this section we focus on the static dielectric screening of a GAL. We calculate the polarization and dielectric function in the \(\omega \to 0\) limit, using the Kubo formula [Eq. (5)]. The results for clean and disordered GALs, as compared to the corresponding polarization and dielectric function for SLG, are shown in Fig. 9. We start by reviewing the main characteristics of the static screening in SLG. As is well known,\(^{26-28,45,46}\) undoped SLG shows a linear increase of the static polarization function with \(q\), \(\Pi_{\text{int}}(q) = -q/(4\nu F)\), associated to interband transitions. Such a contribution is shown by the solid black line in Fig. 9(a). For doped SLG, there is an extra contribution due to intraband excitations, which can be written as

\[
\Pi_{\text{int}}(q < 2k_F) \approx -d(E_F)(1 - q/(2k_F)),
\]

where \(d(E_F) = E_F/(2\pi \nu^2_F)\) is the DOS at the Fermi level. Both contributions lead to a constant static polarization function at small wave vectors, \(\Pi(q < 2k_F) = -d(E_F)\), as is shown in Fig. 9(c), typical of metallic screening,\(^25\) whereas the interband linear term leads to an insulating like screening. The above polarization function leads to a static dielectric function for SLG as shown by the solid black lines of Figs. 9(b) and 9(d). Undoped SLG has a constant dielectric function, \(\varepsilon(q) = 1 + \pi e^2/(2\kappa \nu F) = \varepsilon_{\text{SLG}} \approx 4.9\) for the parameters used in this work, and it corresponds to the black horizontal line of Fig. 9(b). Doping a SLG leads, as we have mentioned above, to a metallic like screening with the corresponding \(1/q\) divergence as \(q \to 0\), as it is shown by the continuous black line in Fig. 9(d).

The situation differs in GALs. First, Fig. 9(a) shows a static polarization function that grows with \(q\), as in undoped SLG, signaling a semiconducting type of screening. However, by...
comparing the results of undoped GAL of Figs. 9(a) and 9(b) to the corresponding results of undoped SLG, we observe a transition from a region where $\varepsilon_{GAL}(q) < \varepsilon_{SLG}$, at long wavelengths, to a region where $\varepsilon_{GAL}(q) > \varepsilon_{SLG}$ at shorter wavelengths. Therefore, we can expect a poorer screening at long wavelengths in undoped GALs, as compared to undoped SLG, whereas this tendency is inverted for shorter wavelengths, for which our results suggest a more efficient screening in GALs than in SLG. The effect of different kinds of disorder in the GAL tends to reinforce this tendency, as we can see by corresponding curves for disordered GAL in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b), although the qualitative behavior of the polarization and dielectric function is not modified by disorder.

The differences in the static screening between GAL and SLG are even stronger in the doped regime. As we can see in Figs. 9(c) and 9(d), $\Pi(q)$ for a GAL first grows linearly with $q$, until it reaches a maximum for a characteristic wave vector. This clearly differs from the polarization function of doped SLG, which is constant with a magnitude given by the DOS at the Fermi level. At large values of $q$, $\Pi(q)$ is again linear with a slope similar to that of SLG, indicating a semiconductor-like screening at large values of $q$. This difference is seen also in the dielectric function of doped GALs, Fig. 9(d), which does not show the $q^{-1}$ divergence at $q \to 0$, representative of metallic screening, but instead it presents a maximum at a given wavelength, and then it decreases for smaller wave vectors. Notice that no disorder is considered in this calculation for doped GAL. We point out here that this behavior of clean doped GAL is similar to the polarization and dielectric functions obtained for disordered undoped SLG in the presence of resonant scatterers. In Ref. 47 this bad metal behavior in SLG below a characteristic length scale was identified with Anderson localization. It is important to notice that, whereas the localized states in SLG with resonant impurities are concentrated around the impurities, in clean GAL the corresponding states are localized at the edges of the antidots. A more rigorous study should be made in order to confirm if the reason for the dielectric properties observed here for GALs might have the same origin as in chemically functionalized graphene studied in Ref. 47.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have performed a systematic study of the dielectric properties of GALs. Toward this aim, we have used a tight-binding model in a perforated honeycomb lattice of carbon atoms. The DOS, which has been calculated from a numerical solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, shows a dramatic modification of the $\pi$ and $\pi^*$ bands of SLG into a set of narrow and flat bands. We have further considered the most generic sources of disorder in this kind of samples: geometrical disorder such as random deviation of the periodicity and of the radii of the nanoholes from the perfect array, as well as the effect of resonant scatterers in the sample (e.g., vacancies, adatoms, etc.). The polarization function has been obtained by using the Kubo formula for noninteracting electrons, and electron-electron interactions have been considered within the RPA. We have analyzed the main differences between the electron-hole continuum, which limits the phase space available for particle-hole excitations, of a GAL as compared to SLG. The conditions for the existence of plasmon modes have been identified, and we find that damped and gapped plasmons may exist in undoped GALs, associated to intersubband transitions between the flat bands with a large DOS due the antidot array. Those modes have a similar origin as the so-called $\pi$ plasmons in SLG, which are due to interband transitions between states of the Van Hove singularities of $\pi$ and $\pi^*$ bands in SLG. Furthermore, the intersubband plasmons in GALs are found to be almost dispersionless and, in principle, they should be accessible by means of EELS experiments, which could give information about the size of the gap opened in the sample. For a doped GAL, when the chemical potential crosses one of the subbands in the spectrum, we find that a classical plasmon with a dispersion $\omega(q) \propto \sqrt{q}$ is present. However, in a GAL the dispersion is much weaker than for a SLG (in agreement with Ref. 30).

Finally, we studied the static screening in a GAL, by calculating the $\omega \to 0$ limit of the polarization and dielectric function. Undoped GAL shows a mostly semiconductor-like screening but with a much rich structure as an undoped SLG. In the case of doped GAL, we find $\varepsilon(q) \propto q^{-1}$ only up to a characteristic wave vector, for which the dielectric function has a maximum after which it decays, showing a bad metal behavior. The qualitative behavior found here for doped and clean GAL is similar to that for an undoped disordered SLG in the presence of resonant impurities. In both cases, there is a characteristic length scale which separates two different regimes in terms of screening. However, whereas in the SLG systems studied in Ref. 47 the localized states are due to the presence of resonant scatterers, in the present case they are rather associated to localization at the edges of the antidots.
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Notice that all our plots start at a finite wave vector $q=0$ long wavelength limit is due to the fact that we perform a tight-binding calculation in real space. As a consequence, the $q \to 0$ long wavelength limit can be reached only for an infinite lattice.
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