Intrinsic Fluid Interfaces and Nonlocality
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We present results of an extensive molecular dynamics simulation of the structure and fluctuations of a liquid-gas interface, close to its triple point, in a system with cutoff Lennard-Jones interactions. The equilibrium density profile, averaged and (shape dependent) constrained intrinsic density profiles together with the fluctuations of the interfacial shape are extracted using an intrinsic sampling method. The correlation between fluctuations in the interfacial shape and in the intrinsic density show that the latter is not due to rigid translations of some underlying profile, as is most commonly assumed. Instead, over the whole range of wavelengths from the system size down to the molecular diameter, we see wave-vector dependent behavior in good agreement with a nonlocal interfacial Hamiltonian theory specifying the shape dependence of the intrinsic profiles.
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A detailed understanding of the interface between coexisting fluid phases requires us to model physics at different length scales [1–8]. First, there is a microscopic range spanning the molecular size to the bulk correlation length, which determines the surface tension γ. This range is often associated with an intrinsic interfacial density profile g(z), which describes how the density changes across an instantaneous interfacial configuration. Second, there is a mesoscopic range from the bulk correlation length to the system size. At this scale, the interface resembles a taut drumskin described by a height variable ℓ(x), and one often considers the intrinsic density profile to be a sharp kink from liquid to gas [9]. The capillary wave fluctuations of the interface are characterized by a parallel correlation length and a roughness, which are much larger than the bulk correlation length, and indeed diverge in the absence of pinning fields (gravity, walls, or finite size). Almost all the controversies surrounding the physics of fluid interfaces arise directly from the uneasy marriage between these two descriptions. This marriage is often forced by supposing that the intrinsic profile g(z) rigidly shifts with the undulations of the interfacial fluctuations. Then, the equilibrium profile ρ(z) is obtained by a simple convolution

$$\rho(z) = \int d\ell g(z - \ell)P(\ell),$$

where P(ℓ) is the probability distribution function for the interfacial height, which is determined by an interfacial Hamiltonian $H[\ell]$ describing the energy cost of a given interfacial shape $\ell(x)$. In the standard capillary wave theory of a free interface, $P(\ell)$ is a Gaussian of square width $\xi_\perp^2 = (k_BT/2\pi\gamma)\log(\Lambda L)$, where $L$ is the system size and $\Lambda$ is a microscopic cutoff [9]. Thus, capillary wave fluctuations dramatically broaden the interface and, indeed, in the absence of pinning fields, wash out any structure in the equilibrium $\rho(z)$ [10].

In this Letter, we show that this concept of a rigidly shifted intrinsic interfacial profile is inconsistent with two very different recent developments in the theory of interfacial phenomena. Each of these have set out to precise the physics associated with the microscopic intrinsic interface and the mesoscopic interfacial Hamiltonian descriptions: The first one, called the intrinsic sampling method (ISM), gives a precise definition of the interfacial shape $\ell(x)$ for any given molecular configuration, and allows one to obtain an intrinsic profile $g(z)$ computed by molecular dynamics [11–14]. The second is the derivation of a nonlocal interfacial Hamiltonian from a continuum microscopic model in the manner of van der Waals, which accurately models the energy of long wavelength interfacial configurations and, in particular, the interaction with nearby walls [15,16]. The nonlocal nature of this Hamiltonian has proved to be essential to overcome several long-standing problems associated with the order of wetting transitions in the Ising model [17–19]. Using the ISM, we show here that the correlation between the intrinsic profile and the interfacial position obtained in a simulation of a molecular fluid with Lennard-Jones interactions matches precisely the predictions of the nonlocal theory, and is different to the standard capillary wave assumption of a rigidly shifted profile.

Consider the interface between bulk liquid and gas localized near the $z = 0$ plane by a suitable external field.
In classical statistical mechanics, the equilibrium density profile $\rho(z)$ is obtained via the ensemble average $\langle \hat{\rho} \rangle$ of the density operator $[3]$

$$\hat{\rho}(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(x - x_i) \delta(z - z_i),$$

where we have split the usual positional delta function $\delta(r - r_i)$ into contributions perpendicular and parallel to the interface. Thus, $\{x_i = (x_i, z_i)\}$ denote the positions of our $N$ particles, and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ is the ensemble average over all molecular configurations, which are weighted by the Boltzmann factor $\exp(-\mathcal{H} / k_B T)$. Here, $\mathcal{H}$ is the microscopic Hamiltonian, specified by the intermolecular forces and external field.

For systems with short-ranged forces, density functional theory (DFT) predicts that $\rho(z)$ decays into the liquid bulk as $\rho(z) - \rho_{\text{liq}} = a e^{-\alpha z} + b e^{-\beta z} + \cdots$, where we have assumed that liquid occupies the region $z > 0$. The same decays but with different amplitudes describe the radial distribution function $(g(r) - 1) r = d e^{-a r} + b e^{-\beta r} + \cdots$. One usually finds a real exponent $\alpha = \kappa$ and a complex pair $\beta = \kappa_{\text{osc}} \pm i q_{\text{osc}}$, representing the molecular layering $[20]$. For $\rho(z)$ near a wall and $g(r)$, one typically finds $b, b' \gg a, a'$, so that the oscillatory effects dominate. However, $a \gg b$ for the liquid-vapor interface, even at DFT level, which ignores capillary waves larger than 10 molecular diameters $[21]$. This means that the density profile shows a smooth decay over these distances, irrespective of being above or below the Fisher-Widom line, that separates regions with smooth or oscillatory asymptotic decay $[22]$. The inclusion of capillary waves serves to emphasize the importance of the smoothly decaying contribution, since they amplify the coefficient $a \rightarrow a e^{k z} \xi / 2$, but suppress the oscillatory coefficient $b \rightarrow b e^{-(q_{\text{osc}} - k_{\text{osc}})^2 / 2} / 2$.

Our first challenge is to determine the position of the interface $\ell(x)$ at any point $x = (x, y)$ parallel to the interface from our particle positions $x_i = (x_i, z_i)$. Various approaches are available $[11,23-29]$, a critical revision of which has been reported in two recent reviews $[30,31]$. For example, one may use a local Gibbs dividing surface, of which has been reported in two recent reviews $[31,32]$. Alternatively, we can use $\rho_{\ell}(x, z)$ to obtain the intrinsic profile by shifting the $z$ coordinate:

$$\rho(z) = \langle \rho_{\ell}(x, z + \ell(x)) \rangle_{\ell}.$$  

These final two formulas involve the average $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\ell}$ which can also be written in terms of a functional integral over all interfacial shapes, each with a Boltzmann factor $\exp(-H(\ell)/k_B T)$. Thus, we may rewrite (5) as

$$\rho(z) = \frac{1}{Z} \int D\ell \rho_{\ell}(x, z) e^{-H(\ell)/k_B T}.$$  

Here, $H(\ell)$ is the mesoscopic interfacial Hamiltonian and $Z$ is the partition function. Note that, in general, the exact evaluation of (7) requires knowledge far beyond the one-point distribution $P(\ell)$ and, therefore, $\rho(z)$ cannot be expressed as the convolution (1) without additional assumptions.

It is clear from Eq. (7) that the constrained density $\rho_{\ell}(x, z)$ is key to the connection between the equilibrium and intrinsic structure, since it contains information about
the interfacial shape. Unfortunately, a direct measurement
of this function, even in a molecular simulation, is prohibi-
tively difficult. However, the information about the shape
dependence can be obtained from analysis of the two-point
correlation function between the constrained density at
position \(\mathbf{r} = (x, z)\) and the interfacial height at \(\mathbf{x}'\):

\[
C(x, z) = \langle \rho_{\ell}(x, z) \ell(\mathbf{x}') \rangle_{\text{all } \ell}
\] 

(8)

where \(x = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|\). To see this, we recall that in standard
descriptions of intrinsic profiles, it is assumed that the
constrained interfacial profile is a local rigid shift of the
intrinsic profile

\[
\rho_{\ell}(x, z) = g(z - \ell(x))
\]

(9)

With this assumption, Eq. (7) reduces identically to the
standard convolution of Eq. (1). In fact, Eq. (9) is explicit
in the derivation of an interfacial Hamiltonian \(H[\ell]\) in
the important work of Fisher and Jin, in the context of wetting
theory [34,35]. These authors start, not from a molecular
description but rather, from a coarse-grained continuum
Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) model, and the assumption
(9) leads directly to a local interfacial Hamiltonian.
For a free interface, this has the standard form

\[
H[\ell] = \int d\mathbf{x} \gamma(\nabla \ell)^2/2,
\]

where \(\gamma\) is the surface tension.

However, recent developments have improved the deri-
vation of the interfacial Hamiltonian by explicitly allowing
for the shape dependence of \(\rho_{\ell}(x, z)\) [16]. For example,
within the continuum LGW description, the constrained
intrinsic density decays as

\[
\rho_{\ell}(x, z) \sim \int d\mathbf{x}' K(\sqrt{[\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}]^2 + (\ell(x') - z)^2})
\]

(10)

where we have substracted the bulk liquid density. Here,
\(d\mathbf{x}' = \sqrt{1 + (\nabla \ell(x'))^2} d\mathbf{x}'\) is the local interfacial area
element, and \(K(r) = \kappa \exp(-\kappa r)/2\pi r\) is essentially the
bulk correlation function of the LGW model. In this non-
local description, the constrained density depends on the
full interfacial shape \(\ell\), in contrast to the local description
(9) for which the decay is simply \(\rho_{\ell}(x, z) = e^{-\kappa|z - \ell(x)|}\).
This local assumption only emerges in the long wavelength
limit of (10). Not surprisingly, allowing for the full shape
dependence of \(g_{\ell}\) leads to an effective Hamiltonian \(H[\ell]\)
containing many-body interfacial interactions. Note that,
with the nonlocal constrained density (10), the expression
(5) does not reduce to the simple convolution (1), implying
that the intrinsic density and equilibrium profile are not
related directly.

Importantly, the local and nonlocal theories predict dif-
ferent behavior for the decay of the correlation function
\(C(x, z)\), which is most easily seen in its 2D Fourier trans-
form \(\tilde{C}(q, z)\) w.r.t. \(x\). According to the nonlocal descrip-
tion, this exhibits a wave-vector dependent decay length,

\[
\tilde{C}(q, z) \sim e^{-\sqrt{\kappa^2 + q^2} z},
\]

(11)
in contrast to the local assumption (9), which predicts
\(\tilde{C}(q, z) \sim e^{-\kappa z}\). Of course, at \(q = 0\) these theories are
identical but, for any nonzero \(q\), they are very different
for sufficiently large values of \(z\).

To illustrate this, we present in Fig. 1 the results of a toy
calculations which compares the local and nonlocal profiles
\(\rho_{\ell}(x, z)\) for a pure harmonic interfacial shape \(\ell(x) = \ell_q \cos(qx)\)
with \(\ell_q = 0.1\sigma\) and \(q\sigma = 2\). Here, the local
density is a rigid shift of the free interface profile, and the
nonlocal one is obtained from a simple LGW calculation
(see Supplemental Material [36]). It is clear from the
contour plots that the densities are increasingly different
away from the interface. While this does not visually mani-
ifest itself in the \(x\) averaged profile \(\rho_x(z)\) which mimics a
thermal average), there is a very clear distinction in the
decays of \(\tilde{C}(q, z)\).

To test the validity of these ideas, we have performed
large scale molecular dynamics (MD) \(NVT\) simulations of a
Lennard-Jones (LJ) fluid, using the software package
LAMMPS [37]. With direct numerical access to molecular
configurations and the interfacial shape \(\ell(x)\) defined via
the ISM, we have computed \(\rho(z), g(z),\) and \(\tilde{C}(q, z)\). The LJ
potential is truncated at \(r_c = 4.4\sigma\), where \(\sigma\) is the standard
LJ length scale. This choice of cutoff, larger than the usual

![FIG. 1 (color online). Bottom: Density distributions \(\rho_{\ell}(x, z)\)
obtained with the local (right) and nonlocal (left) assumptions
for a toy harmonic corrugation, \(\ell(x) = \ell_q \cos(qx)\). The thin lines
are contour lines, the black one being the location of the inter-
face \(z = \ell(x)\). Top: The local and nonlocal \(x\)-averaged profiles
\(\rho_x(z)\) (red line) are indistinguishable on this scale. However,
the local (light blue) and nonlocal (blue) \(\tilde{C}(q\sigma = 2.0, z)\) are
markedly different.](image-url)
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wave theory based on Eq. (1). In the inset, the empty circles 
applying a Gaussian filter with width $2k_BT/\zeta_1$. Indeed, $L_z$ (See Supplemental Material [36]).

Consider the intrinsic interface. The tail of a delta function contribution corresponding to the outmost layer of the intrinsic interface. The tail of $g(z)/\sigma^3$ is well fitted by $y = 0.805 - 0.4exp(-1.52x) + 0.92exp(-1.03x)\cos(6.58(x - 1.13))$ (red line), showing a monotonically decaying term with the same $\kappa_\sigma \approx 1.50 \pm 0.05$ as in $\rho(z)$ (see inset), and a slower decaying oscillatory term with inverse length scale $\kappa_{osc}\sigma \approx 1.03$. Also shown (dashed line) is the prediction of the standard capillary wave theory based on Eq. (1). In the inset, the empty circles show the raw data while the full circles are the results after applying a Gaussian filter with width $\Delta = 0.5\sigma$, which extends the range of $z$ over which the exponential decay may be observed (See Supplemental Material [36]).

2.5$\sigma$, reduces the vapor density and improves the accuracy of the ISM. For the same reason, simulations are performed close to the triple point temperature, corresponding to $k_B T/\epsilon = 0.763$, where $\epsilon$ is the LJ interaction strength. Our system consists of 176441 particles forming a liquid slab surrounded by vapor in a box of size $L_x = L_y = 41.83\sigma$ and $L_z = 250\sigma$ with periodic boundary conditions. Very large simulation times were necessary to ensure a good average of the thermal fluctuations for small $q$. For this reason, systems were initially equilibrated for $2 \times 10^6$ MD steps. 5000 measurements were taken every 5000 MD steps, with time steps of $dt = 5 \times 10^{-3}\sigma \sqrt{m/\epsilon}$.

Figure 2 shows the equilibrium profile $\rho(z)$ and intrinsic profile $g(z)$ obtained from the MD simulations. Over the range of $z$ considered, the equilibrium profile is monotonically and decays exponentially into the liquid with inverse decay length $\kappa_\sigma = 1.5 \pm 0.05$ (see inset). In contrast, the intrinsic profile shows oscillations arising from packing effects, and its decay is well fitted by a mix of a pure exponential decay, as in the mean density, and an oscillatory decaying term: $g(z) = \rho_{eq} + a e^{-k\sigma z} + b e^{-k_{osc} z} \cos(q_{osc} z + \theta)$. Here, the value of $\kappa$ is the same as obtained from $\rho(z)$, while $k_{osc}\sigma \approx 1.03 \pm 0.01$ is the same inverse length describing the decay of oscillations in the radial distribution function $g(r)$. The fact that $k_{osc} < \kappa$ indicates that we are below the Fisher-Widom line. Indeed, $g(r)$ is itself very well fitted by $(g(r) - 1)r = b' e^{-k_{osc} r} \cos(q_{osc} r + \theta)$. As discussed earlier, the equilibrium profile must also show oscillations at very large distances, but their amplitude is near negligibly small due to the capillary wave broadening. Also shown is the prediction of the standard capillary wave theory based on Eq. (1) using the microscopic cutoff determined by the ISM. As can be seen, the convolution washes out the oscillatory structure of $g(z)$ but does not lead to an entirely satisfactory description of the equilibrium profile. Figure 3 and the inset illustrate the main numerical results of our Letter concerning the properties of $\hat{C}(q, z)$. The main figure shows the measured values of $\kappa_{MD}(q)$ as a function of the wave number, obtained from the fit $\hat{C}(q, z) \propto e^{-\kappa_{MD}(q)z}$. The inset shows two examples of this fit for $q\sigma = 0.15$ and $q\sigma = 0.9$. For $q\sigma < 0.4$ the local and nonlocal predictions for $\kappa_{MD}(q)$ cannot be distinguished, and both recover the same exponential decay extracted from the equilibrium density. However, for larger values of $q$, the measured $\kappa_{MD}(q)$ is in excellent agreement with the prediction of the nonlocal theory $\kappa(q) = \sqrt{k^2 + q^2}$ (continuous line), and differs markedly from the prediction of the local theory $\kappa(q) = \kappa$ (dashed line).

In summary, the central result of our Letter is the agreement between the MD simulation results and the nonlocal interfacial Hamiltonian theory for the decay of $\hat{C}(q, z)$ over the whole range of wavelengths from system size to molecular size. This demonstrates that the constrained profile $\rho_I(x, z)$ is shape dependent, beyond what is allowed for in the approximation (9) of standard capillary wave theory. The robust agreement strongly suggests that the important shape dependence of $\rho_I(x, z)$ is determined by the smoothly decaying exponential contribution, which is correctly described by Eq. (10), rather than by oscillatory terms arising from molecular layering, which are entirely absent in the LGW model that underlies the NL theory.
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See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.096104 for a more detailed explanation about how the density plotted in Fig. 1 is calculated, and for description of the Gaussian filter used in order to reduce the noise and to improve the accuracy of our simulations results.