**Drosophila melanogaster** linker histone dH1 is required for transposon silencing and to preserve genome integrity
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**ABSTRACT**

Histone H1 is an intrinsic component of chromatin, whose important contribution to chromatin structure is well-established in vitro. Little is known, however, about its functional roles in vivo. Here, we have addressed this question in *Drosophila*, a model system offering many advantages since it contains a single dH1 variant. For this purpose, RNAi was used to efficiently deplete dH1 in flies. Expression-profiling shows that dH1 depletion affects expression of a relatively small number of genes in a regional manner. Furthermore, depletion up-regulates inactive genes, preferentially those located in heterochromatin, while active euchromatic genes are down-regulated, suggesting that the contribution of dH1 to transcription regulation is mainly structural, organizing chromatin for proper gene-expression regulation. Up-regulated genes are remarkably enriched in transposons. In particular, R1/R2 retrotransposons, which specifically integrate in the rDNA locus, are strongly up-regulated. Actually, depletion increases expression of transposon-inserted rDNA copies, resulting in synthesis of aberrant rRNAs and enlarged nucleolus. Concomitantly, dH1-depleted cells accumulate extra-chromosomal rDNA, show increased γH2Av content, stop proliferation and activate apoptosis, indicating that depletion causes genome instability and affects proliferation. Finally, the contributions to maintenance of genome integrity and cell proliferation appear conserved in human hH1s, as their expression rescues proliferation of dH1-depleted cells.

**INTRODUCTION**

Linker histone H1 is a main component of eukaryotic chromatin. In vitro studies showed that histone H1 binds to nucleosome core particles near the exit/entry sites of linker DNA, determines nucleosome core particle spacing and facilitates folding of nucleosomes into a higher-order structure, the ~30 nm chromatin fibre (1–8). It has also been shown that histone H1 strongly inhibits in vitro nucleosome mobility and transcription (9–11).

In vivo analysis of histone H1 functions remains, however, elusive. In unicellular eukaryotes, histone H1 appears to be dispensable for cell division and growth. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, disruption of the ‘H1-like’ Hho1 gene does not significantly affect chromatin structure, showing no major growth effects (12–14). Similarly, histone H1 has also been found dispensable for cell growth and viability in other unicellular organisms containing more canonical histone H1s, such as *Aspergillus nidulans* and *Tetrahymena* (15,16). On the other hand, studying histone H1 functions in metazoans is especially difficult, as most species contain multiple variants (17), which play redundant as well as specific functions. For instance, mice contain at least eight non-allelic variants that are encoded by single-copy genes and show differential expression patterns during development and differentiation. Null mutants for one or two of the six somatic mice H1 variants develop normally (18,19), indicating...
Materials and methods

Antibodies

Rabbit zdH1 antibody was kindly provided by Dr Kadonaga. ZFibrillarin (Abcam, ab4566), ztaclin (Sigma, A 2066), ztubulin (Millipore, LV1770313), zγH2Av (Rockland, 600-401-914), zH3S10P (Millipore, LV1508850), zγHA (Roche, 3F10) and zæaspase-3 (Cell Signaling, Asp175) antibodies are commercially available.

Fly stocks and genetic procedures

hisΔRNAi was constructed by crossing lines 31617R-2 and 31617R-3 from NIG-FLY, which carry UASGAL4-hsRNA^His1 constructs inserted in the 2 and X chromosome, respectively. In some experiments, hisΔRNAi flies containing a single UASGAL4-hsRNA^His1 construct inserted in the X-chromosome (31617R-3) were used. To obtain lines expressing human hH1.0, hH1.2 and hH1.4 variants, the corresponding Ct-HA tagged constructs, kindly provided by Dr Jordan (23), were cloned into pUASAttb and transgenic flies were obtained by site-directed integration into chromosome 3 using 3R-86Fb embryos (31). GFP^RNAi line was provided by Dr Espinás. ptc-GAL4, nub-GAL4, Act5C-GAL4 and UASGAL4-Dcr2 lines are described in Bloomington Stock Center.

To induce dH1 depletion, appropriate crosses were kept at 25°C for 48–72 h and, then, transferred to 29°C, except for expression-profiling experiments, where crosses were kept at 29°C all the time. To visualize wings, adult flies were stored overnight in 75% ethanol, 25% glycerol solution, mounted to slides and visualized with a Nikon E600 microscope and Olympus DP72 camera.

When the ability of human hH1.0, hH1.2 and hH1.4 variants to rescue dH1 depletion was determined, appropriate crosses were kept at 25°C until hatching of adult flies. Wings were mounted and wing length calculated with the SZX16 stereomicroscope and XC50 camera (Olympus) using the cellID software (Olympus). Wing length was measured drawing a line from the ventral wing-edge to the dorsal edge of the L3 vein. When no veins were discernible, a line was drawn from the dorsal to the ventral wing border.

Expression profiling analysis

For expression profiling, Drosophila Genome 2.0 GeneChip (Affymetrix) were hybridized with cDNA prepared from total RNA obtained from wing imaginal discs of female blue staged third-instar larvae (33). Three replicates were processed for each of the following genotypes: (i) mutant hisΔRNAi; Act5C-GAL4; (ii) control hisΔRNAi and Act5C-GAL4, to account for unspecific effects due to the UASGAL4-hsRNA^His1 and Act5C-GAL4 insertions and (iii) control GFP^RNAi, Act5C-GAL4, to account for unspecific effects due to hyperactivation of RNAi. GeneChips were scanned in a GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix). We used Bioconductor (34) to perform RMA background correction, quantile normalization and RMA summarization using the rma function from the oligo-package to obtain probe-set expression estimates (35).

For differential expression analyses, a semi-parametric empirical Bayes procedure based on moderated t-tests (36) was performed, setting the Bayesian FDR at 5% (37). Four pair-wise comparisons were performed: M versus H1, M versus Actin, M versus GFP and M versus C, where M was the mutant sample, H1 was the hisΔRNAi control, Actin was the Act5C-GAL4 control, GFP was the GFP^RNAi; Act5C-GAL4 control and C accounts for the average probe-set expression estimates of H1, Actin and GFP samples. Additionally, we established fold change thresholds of [FC]MvsC > 2.5 and [FC]MvsH1, MvsActin, MvsGFP > 1.5. Finally, only probe sets showing also fold changes with the same sign in each pair-wise comparison were considered as...
differentially expressed. To assess evolution on the proportion of up- and down-regulated probe sets as a function of the change in expression, we also applied fold change thresholds of \( |FC|_{MvsC} > 2 \) and \( |FC|_{MvsH1, MvsActin, MvsGFP} > 1.4; |FC|_{MvsC} > 1.75 \) and \( |FC|_{MvsH1, MvsActin, MvsGFP} > 1.35; |FC|_{MvsC} > 1.5 \) and \( |FC|_{MvsH1, MvsActin, MvsGFP} > 1.3 \).

In order to explore patterns of deregulation around differentially expressed genes, results were first summarized to gene level by computing log2 fold change means and chromosomal locations for each gene were obtained using the biomaRt package (38) with \textit{D. melanogaster} gene information from the Ensembl gene mart (March 2010 archive). Fold changes were retrieved for each up- or down-regulated gene and also for each gene in an arbitrarily defined window of the 40 upstream and 40 downstream closest genes. GSEA was also used to determine enrichment in up- and down-regulated genes within 0.5, 1 and 5 kb of up-regulated genes (GSEA \( P\)-value \( < 0.001, \) FDR \( q\)-value \( < 0.005; \) see below). Genomic dH1 distribution was determined in S2 and BG3 cells using ChIP-chip data from modEncode (www.modencode.org). Positional information was annotated using the Bioconductor package ChIPpeakAnno (39) using the March 2010 archive of the Ensembl gene mart. This same source was used to map FlyBase gene IDs as returned by ChIPpeakAnno to Affymetrix Drosophila Genome 2.0 GeneChip probe sets.

**Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)**

The pre-ranked list GSEA tool was used to perform the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (40,41). In this type of analysis, all probe sets in the array are ranked according to their change in expression, from the most up-regulated to the most down-regulated. Then, an enrichment-score is calculated to measure the enrichment of a given gene set as a function of the change in expression. This enrichment score is a cumulative sum over ranked genes: as we walk down the ranked list, sum gets increased when a gene is in the gene set, and decreased otherwise. Magnitude of increment depends on correlation of gene with phenotype. The enrichment score is set as the maximum deviation from zero of the cumulative sum. In our case, all probe sets in the array were ranked according to their global-fold change (pair-wise comparison of mutant samples against the wild type). Pre-ranked list was used for GSEA to further assess regional up-regulation. Pre-ranked GSEA algorithm was run using 1000 permutations and the weighted statistic option.

**Immunostaining experiments**

Immunostaining of wing imaginal discs and salivary glands was performed as described earlier (42). Briefly, larvae were dissected in cold PBS and fixed for 20 min at room temperature in PBS, 4% para-formaldehyde. After washing and blocking with PBS, 0.3% Triton, 2% BSA, samples were incubated at 4°C over-night with the indicated antibodies. For visualization, slides were mounted in Mowiol (Calbiochem–Novabiochem) containing 0.2 ng/ml DAPI (Sigma) and visualized on a confocal microscope Leica SPE.

**Hirt eccDNA isolation and detection**

Hirt extracts were prepared from approximately 100 imaginal discs and salivary glands from third instar larvae. Dissected organs were frozen and collected in liquid nitrogen. Samples were resuspended in 250 μl Hirt buffer (0.6% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8) and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Afterwards, NaCl was added to a final 1 M concentration and incubated over-night at 4°C. Following centrifugation at 14 000 g for 40 min at 4°C, supernatants containing eccDNA were kept and DNA was extracted three times with phenol–chloroform and precipitated with ethanol. eccDNA content was determined by qPCR using appropriate primers (Supplementary Table S3).

**RESULTS**

**dH1 depletion has a dual effect on gene expression**

To analyse the contribution of dH1 to the regulation of gene expression, we performed RNAi knock-down experiments in flies. For this purpose, we used transgenic \textit{his1RNAi} flies carrying two UAS\textit{GAL4}-hsRNA\textit{His1} constructs of the coding region of \textit{His1} that, upon crossing to flies expressing GAL4, generate siRNAs to silence \textit{His1} expression. In some cases, a UAS\textit{GAL4}-\textit{Dcr2} construct expressing the RNAi component \textit{Dcr2} was used to increase siRNAs production and, therefore, depletion (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section for a description of the strains used). dH1 depletion was either induced ubiquitously, by crossing \textit{his1RNAi} flies to flies carrying an \textit{Actin5C}-\textit{GAL4} driver, or specifically at the anterior/posterior (A/P)-border and the pouch region of wing imaginal discs, by crossing to flies carrying \textit{ptc}-\textit{GAL4} and \textit{nub}-\textit{GAL4} drivers, respectively. Immunostaining experiments using specific dH1 antibodies showed strong dH1 depletion (Supplementary Figure S1A). As shown by western blot analyses, depletion induced by \textit{Actin5C}-\textit{GAL4} and \textit{nub}-\textit{GAL4} reduces dH1 content by \( \sim 90 \) and \( 60\% \), respectively (Supplementary Figure S1B). Over-expression of \textit{Dcr2} increases depletion by \textit{nub}-\textit{GAL4} to \( \sim 75\% \) (Supplementary Figure S1B). As reported earlier by others (26), dH1 depletion strongly compromises fly viability, as ubiquitous dH1-depletion induced by \textit{Actin5C}-\textit{GAL4} results in strong lethality at late larval stage (81%) and no adult flies are recovered. As it was also reported earlier (26), dH1 depletion severely perturbs the structure of polytene chromosomes, which lack the characteristic banding pattern, are thinner and lose adhesion at some regions, and show altered chromocentre organization, frequently containing multiple HP1 foci (Supplementary Figure S2).
Next, to determine the effects of dH1 depletion on gene expression, we performed expression-profiling experiments in wing imaginal discs from third-instar larvae, where ubiquitous dH1 depletion was induced by Act5C-GAL4 that, as mentioned above, reduces dH1 content by ~90%. This strong depletion affects expression of a relatively small number of genes, as ~850 genes are differentially expressed (DE-genes) by more than 1.5-fold (|FC| > 1.5) and only 250 genes show |FC| > 2.5 (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table S1). In addition, the number of DE-genes up-regulated in his1RNAi knockdown flies is similar to that of down-regulated ones (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table S1). From all DE-genes changing expression by |FC| > 1.5, ~55% are up-regulated and 45% are down-regulated. However, up-regulated genes change expression more strongly than down-regulated ones, as they account for ~92% of DE-genes that change expression by |FC| > 2.5 (Figure 1A). These effects are likely direct since dH1 is broadly distributed throughout the genome (43,44). As a matter of fact, ~97% of DE-genes detected in his1RNAi knockout flies (|FC| > 1.5) are enriched in dH1 in SL2 and/or BG3 cells that, on the other hand, show highly overlapping dH1 genomic distributions (Figure 1B). A main difference between up- and down-regulated DE-genes is their levels of expression in control wild-type flies, as down-regulated DE-genes are more expressed than up-regulated ones (Figure 1C). In fact, up-regulated DE-genes are enriched in silenced heterochromatic genes (Figure 2). In addition, dH1 depletion affects gene expression in a regional manner, as genes flanking strongly up-regulated genes (FC > 2.5) are also up-regulated (Figure 1D). This effect, which extends for ~40 genes (20 up- and 20 down-stream), is not observed with regular transcription regulators (Supplementary Figure S3). Altogether, these observations indicate that dH1 has a global contribution to the regulation of gene expression, affecting expression of both active and inactive genes.

dH1 depletion preferentially affects heterochromatic genes

Approximately 92% of DE-genes that change expression by |FC| > 2.5 are up-regulated (Figure 1A), suggesting that dH1 has an important contribution to gene silencing. Consistent with this hypothesis, several genes located in peri-centromeric heterochromatin are strongly up-regulated (|FC| > 2.5; Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1). As a matter of fact, dH1 depletion preferentially affects expression of heterochromatic genes. Approximately 45% of all heterochromatic genes/sequences analysed in the Affymetrix array are detected differentially expressed by |FC| > 1.5, which is in contrast with the reduced percentage of euchromatic genes that are affected to the same extent (Figure 2A, left). A similar situation is observed at
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**Figure 2.** dH1 is required to maintain silencing of heterochromatic genes and transposons. (A) The percentages of heterochromatic and euchromatic genes analyzed in the array that are found differentially expressed in mutant his1RNAi; Act5C-GAL4 flies are presented for the indicated FCs. Up- (red) and down-regulated genes (blue) are indicated. (B) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) for heterochromatic genes. The distribution of heterochromatic genes (black lines) is presented as a function of the change in expression in mutant his1RNAi; Act5C-GAL4 knockdown flies, from highly up-regulated (red) to highly down-regulated (blue). Enrichment score is calculated as described under ‘Materials and Methods’ section. (C) As in B but for transposons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FC</th>
<th>&gt; 1.5</th>
<th>&gt; 2.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B**

**C**

**dH1 is required to maintain silencing of transposons**

Among up-regulated DE-genes, we also identified several TE, which include representative examples of all main TE classes of *Drosophila* (Supplementary Table S1). For instance, 10 retrotransposons (7 LTR and 3 LINE-like elements) are detected up-regulated by |FC| > 2.5 (Table 2), and qRT-PCR analyses confirmed these results (Supplementary Figure S4). Furthermore, GSEA shows that TEs are strongly enriched amongst up-regulated genes (Figure 2C). Altogether, these observations indicate that dH1 regulates TEs silencing.

TEs are distributed all across the genome, comprising 4% of euchromatin and ~20% of heterochromatin (45–47). dH1 likely mediates silencing of heterochromatic TEs since, as discussed above, it has a general contribution to silencing of genes located in heterochromatin. However, increased TEs expression detected in the absence of dH1 must also reflect a major contribution to silencing of euchromatic TEs, as ~90% of all functional full-length TEs copies are inserted in euchromatin. As a matter of fact, only ~2% of heterochromatic TEs are full-length, compared to 21% in euchromatin (46,47). Consistent with this hypothesis, dH1 is specifically enriched at euchromatic TEs (43). Moreover, dH1 depletion strongly up-regulates expression of R2 (Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S4), a LINE-like retrotransposon that integrates sequence-specifically in the rDNA locus (28–30).
Table 1. List of heterochromatic genes differentially up-regulated by FC > 2.5 in his1RNAi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>Localization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG40467</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>3LHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40270</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40211</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40155</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>3RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40116</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG41057</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>3RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dbp80</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>3LHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l(2)41Ab</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scp1</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG41056</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG17374</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3LHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40062</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40120</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3LHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40383</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3LHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40216</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40211</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG2293</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>XHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG17478</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>Het(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40153</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40396</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>Het(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40001</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>3LHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40130</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>Het(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG17702</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2RHet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG40182</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3RHet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Not mapped.

Table 2. List of transposons differentially up-regulated by FC > 2.5 in his1RNAi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transposon</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GYPY6</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROGGER</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>LINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCORD2</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>LINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1731</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYPY5</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVK</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>LINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATE</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVER2</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>LTR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Up-regulation of R2 is particularly interesting, as ~11% of rDNA units contain R2 inserted at a specific site of the 28S gene. A second closely related transposon, R1, also integrates sequence-specifically at a different site in ~44% of the 28S rDNA units (30,48). In addition, ~5% of 28S rDNA units contain both R1 and R2. As shown above, R2 is strongly up-regulated upon dH1 depletion (Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S4), and, although probes to detect R1 expression were not present in the Affymetrix array, qRT-PCR experiments showed that R1 is also strongly up-regulated in the absence of dH1 (Figure 3A). rDNA units containing inserted R1 and/or R2, which altogether account for 60% of all rDNA units, are expressed about 5- to 10-fold less than uninserted copies (48). Therefore, we wondered whether dH1 depletion only affects expression of the R1/R2 transposons or the whole inserted rDNA units are up-regulated. For this purpose, we performed qRT-PCR experiments using primers flanking the 5’-insertion sites of R1 and R2. As shown in Figure 3A, dH1 depletion increases expression of 28S rDNA copies containing R1 and/or R2 by ~4-fold, indicating that rRNA synthesis is strongly up-regulated in the absence of dH1. In good agreement with these results, nucleolar morphology is strongly altered in cells lacking dH1 (Figure 3B and C). In these experiments, nucleolar morphology was analysed by immunostaining with antibodies against fibrillarin, a nucleolar component involved in rRNA processing (49). In comparison with control wild-type cells, depleted cells show enlarged nucleoli both in salivary glands (Figure 3B) and wing imaginal discs (Figure 3C). Consistent with these results, western blot analyses show that, in salivary glands, fibrillarin content increases by ~50% in his1RNAi flies (Figure 3D).

**dH1 depletion induces genomic instability and affects proliferation**

Results reported above indicate that dH1 depletion relieves transposon silencing. In particular, transposons inserted at the rDNA locus are strongly up-regulated, resulting in deregulated expression of the locus and synthesis of aberrant rRNA transcripts. Deregulation of the rDNA locus is known to induce hyper-recombination, causing profound genomic rearrangements that, most often, result in the excision of genomic rDNA copies and the production of extra-chromosomal rDNA circles (eccrDNA) (50,51). In fact, eccrDNA are readily detectable in dH1-depleted cells. In these experiments, Hirt extracts were prepared from salivary glands and imaginal discs, and assayed by qPCR for the presence of eccrDNA. As shown in Figure 4A, in comparison with control wild-type larvae, eccrDNA strongly increases in extracts prepared from dH1-depleted larvae, while no eccrDNA is detected from other loci, indicating that dH1 depletion causes genome instability. Note that a similar increase in eccrDNA is detected in su(var)3–906 mutants, which are known to strongly affect genome stability (51,52). Consistent with these results, dH1 depletion causes DNA damage, as judged by immunostaining with antibodies against γH2Av, a specific phosphorylation of histone H2Av that occurs at sites of double-stranded breaks (DSB) (53). As shown in Figure 4B, significant γH2Av is observed in the pouch after dH1 depletion.

It is well established that DNA damage stops cell proliferation and, ultimately, induces apoptosis (53). In fact, as determined by immunostaining with zH3S10P antibodies, which mark cells undergoing mitosis, the frequency of mitotic cells detected in the pouch is strongly reduced upon dH1 depletion (Figure 5A). Moreover, strong caspase-3 reactivity is observed (Figure 5B), indicating that depleted cells activate apoptosis. Concomitantly, dH1 depletion in the pouch, which gives rise to the wing, results in strong phenotypes in adult flies that show very small malformed wings (Figure 5C). In good agreement with these results, proliferation of dH1-depleted cells is rescued when apoptosis is blocked by over-expressing caspase inhibitor in nematode, **Drosophila**, and mammalian cells (54–57). For this purpose, **nub-GAL4** was used to...
Figure 3. dH1 depletion deregulates expression of the rDNA locus. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the levels of expression of R1 retrotransposon, and R1- and R2-inserted 28S rRNA copies in mutant his1RNAi; Act5C-GAL4 and control GFPRNAi; Act5C-GAL4 larvae. Total RNA was prepared from wing imaginal discs. Relative expression levels were determined in relation to Rp49 mRNA. Fold change of expression in his1RNAi versus control is presented. See Supplementary Table S3 for primers used in these experiments. (B) Nucleolar morphology was determined by immunostaining with fibrillarin (1:1000; green) in whole salivary glands prepared from mutant his1RNAi; Act5C-GAL4 and control GFPRNAi; Act5C-GAL4 larvae. DNA was stained with DAPI. (C) As in B, but immunostaining was performed in wing imaginal discs prepared from mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 and control GFPRNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 larvae. The region corresponding to the pouch is indicated. DNA was stained with DAPI. Enlarges images of the indicated regions are shown on the right for easier visualization. (D) Fibrillarin content was analyzed by Western blot using fibrillarin antibodies (1:1000) in extracts prepared from mutant his1RNAi; Act5C-GAL4 and control GFPRNAi; Act5C-GAL4 salivary glands. Two increasing amounts of extract were analyzed in each case (lanes 1, 2). The signal obtained with Actin antibodies (1:750) was used as loading control for normalization.

Figure 4. dH1 depletion causes genomic instability. (A) Quantitative PCR analysis of the levels of eccDNA originated from the rDNA, Rp49 or stellate loci in mutant his1RNAi; Act5C-GAL4 and control GFPRNAi; Act5C-GAL4 larvae. Hirt extracts were prepared from imaginal discs (top) and salivary glands (bottom). Fold increase in mutant his1RNAi versus control is presented. Similar analyses performed using homozygous su(var)3-906 larvae are presented as positive controls for comparison. See Supplementary Table S3 for primers used in these experiments. (B) γH2Av levels were determined by immunostaining using γH2Av antibodies (1:1000; red) in wing imaginal discs prepared from mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 and control GFPRNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 larvae. The region corresponding to the pouch is indicated. DNA was stained with DAPI.
induce dH1 depletion in the pouch and, at the same time, over-expression of p35. Under these conditions, cells in the pouch show significant zH3S10P reactivity (Figure 6B), and wing size is largely recovered (Figure 6C, right). In addition, though reduced with respect to cells capable of undergoing normal apoptosis, depleted cells retained significant γH2Av (Figure 6A), suggesting that they proliferate despite accumulating DNA damage. Actually, reflecting their genomic instability, blocking apoptosis results in over-proliferation of dH1-depleted cells, as a high proportion of wing discs show multiple cell layers in the pouch (Supplementary Figure S5) and tumour-like outgrowths are frequently observed in wings of adult flies (Figure 6C, red arrows). It is well established that p35 over-expression by itself does not affect cell proliferation (58). As a matter of fact, control flies over-expressing p35 in the absence of dH1-depletion show normal wings (Figure 6C, left) and no significant γH2Av is detected in the pouch region (not shown). Similar results were obtained when dH1 depletion was specifically induced at the A/P border, using a ptc-GAL4 driver (Supplementary Figure S6). Also in this case, dH1 depletion induces γH2Av (Supplementary Figure S6A), and depleted cells show decreased zH3S10P reactivity (Supplementary Figure S6B) and are positive for caspase-3 (Supplementary Figure S6C). Furthermore, in adult flies, the size of the ptc-region is strongly reduced (Supplementary Figure S6D), containing significantly fewer cells than in control flies (Supplementary Figure S6E). Altogether, these results indicate that dH1 depletion induces DNA damage and genomic instability, affecting normal cell proliferation.

Expression of human histone H1 variants partially rescues proliferation of cells lacking dH1

Results discussed above indicate that dH1 depletion causes genomic instability, preventing normal cell proliferation. Next, we asked whether the contribution of dH1 to cell proliferation and the maintenance of genome integrity are conserved functional properties in human hH1s. To address this question, we performed complementation assays, where several hH1 variants were expressed in cells lacking dH1. In these experiments, we used his1RNAi flies carrying a UAS-construct expressing human hH1.0, hH1.2 or hH1.4. These flies were crossed to nub-GAL4 flies to simultaneously induce dH1 depletion and expression of the corresponding hH1 variant in the pouch of wing imaginal discs. Western blot analyses show that, though to somehow different levels, all three hH1 variants are expressed without significantly affecting dH1-depletion (Figure 7A). To assess complementation, we determined the extent to which their expression rescues reduced wing size associated with dH1 depletion. As shown in Figure 7B and C, expression of any of the hH1 variants tested rescues wing defects of his1RNAi flies, increasing significantly the average wing length. For instance, very small wings (<0.5 mm) detected in about 30% of his1RNAi flies, are not observed in flies expressing hH1 variants (Figure 7D). In addition, depending on the hH1 variant expressed, about 30–75% of flies have long wings (>1.0 mm), which are very infrequent in his1RNAi flies (<5%) (Figure 7D). Expression of hH1 variants also rescues lethality associated to dH1 depletion (Supplementary Table S2). Altogether, these results indicate that, though partially, hH1 variants rescue proliferation of cells lacking dH1, suggesting that the contribution of histone H1 to maintenance of genome integrity and cell proliferation are conserved functions in human hH1s.
DISCUSSION

Our results show that, despite dH1 is uniformly distributed throughout chromatin (43,44), its depletion affects gene expression only moderately, as <5% of genes change expression by $|\text{FC}| > 1.5$. In addition, dH1 depletion has a dual effect on gene expression: up-regulates inactive genes and down-regulates active ones. It could be argued that these relatively mild effects are due to incomplete dH1 depletion. It must be noticed, however, that our expression-profiling experiments were performed under conditions of very strong depletion (90%). Actually, depletion is likely to be even higher over most of the genome since, under similar conditions, others have reported that residual dH1 is unevenly distributed in polytene chromosomes, being concentrated over short genomic regions (26). Furthermore, in vertebrates, histone H1 depletion affects only a small number of genes, which are both up- and down-regulated (23,59,60), and, in S. cerevisiae, deletion of Hho1 causes only a slight decrease in expression of very few genes (12–14). On the other hand, it is well established that histone H1 plays a major structural role in chromatin, as it mediates folding of nucleosomes in vitro (1–8), and is required for normal nucleosome spacing and chromatin condensation in vivo (23,26,59,61). Altogether, these observations strongly suggest that, rather than as a classical transcription factor, histone H1 acts as a structural factor that organises genes in an appropriate configuration for their proper regulation. Consistent with this hypothesis, dH1 affects gene expression in a regional manner. In the absence of dH1, many low-expressed/inactive genes are up-regulated. At this respect, dH1 occupancy appears to be low around transcription start sites (TSS) of active genes (43,44), suggesting that, at promoters, chromatin unfolds to allow access of the transcription machinery. In this context, dH1 depletion could destabilise folding of the nucleofilament at promoters of low-expressed/inactive genes, rendering them more accessible for transcription, and thus accounting for the general up-regulation observed in the absence of dH1. On the other hand, dH1 depletion down-regulates expression of active genes. At this respect, it must be noted that, though

Figure 6. Blocking apoptosis by p35 over-expression rescues proliferation of dH1-depleted cells. (A) γH2Av levels were determined by immunostaining using γH2Av antibodies (1:1000; red) in wing imaginal discs prepared from mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2; UASGAL4-p35. The region corresponding to the pouch is indicated. DNA was stained with DAPI. (B) The frequency of mitotic cells was determined by immunostaining using H3S10P antibodies (1:1000; red) in wing imaginal discs prepared from mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2; UASGAL4-p35 larvae. The region corresponding to the pouch is indicated. DNA was stained with DAPI. (C) Wings from adult mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4-Dcr2; UASGAL4-p35 flies (right) and control GFPRNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2; UASGAL4-p35 flies (left) are presented. Red arrows indicate tumour-like outgrowths. In these experiments, his1RNAi flies carry a single UASGAL4-hsRNAHis1 construct inserted in the X-chromosome.
Figure 7. Expression of human hH1 variants rescues proliferation of dH1-depleted cells. (A) Levels of expression of HA-tagged hH1.0, hH1.2 and hH1.4 were determined by Western blot using αHA antibodies (1:500) in wing imaginal disc extracts prepared from mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 larvae carrying UASGAL4-constructs to over-express the indicated hH1 variant. Two increasing amounts of extract were analyzed in each case (lanes 1, 2). The signal obtained with αTubulin antibodies (1:2000) was used as loading control for normalization. The extent of dH1 depletion (%) determined by Western blot analysis is indicated. (B) Wings from adult mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4, UASGAL4-Dcr2 flies carrying UASGAL4-constructs to express the indicated hH1 variant or none (no hH1) are presented. (C) Average wing length is presented for mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 flies carrying UASGAL4-constructs to express the indicated hH1 variant or none (no hH1), and for control GFP-RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 flies. (D) Percentage of flies showing wings of the indicated lengths is presented for mutant his1RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 flies carrying UASGAL4-constructs to express the indicated hH1 variant or not (no hH1), and for control GFP-RNAi; nub-GAL4; UASGAL4-Dcr2 flies. In these experiments, his1RNAi flies carry a single UASGAL4-hsRNAhiRNA construct inserted in the X-chromosome.

lower than at intergenic regions and inactive genes, dH1 content across transcribed regions is significantly higher than at TSS (43,44), suggesting that during transcription elongation chromatin is subjected to folding/unfolding cycles. At present, it is well established that chromatin structure regulates RNA splicing (62). Therefore, it is possible that, at transcribed genes, folding of chromatin facilitates RNA processing. It is also possible that folding of chromatin prevents, and/or destabilizes, interactions between nascent transcripts and chromatin that might disturb transcription. Actually, strand displacement by nascent RNA has been shown to result in the formation of R-loops and, most remarkably, mutations that stabilise such structures cause DNA damage and genomic instability, like dH1 depletion does (63–67).

Our results also show that dH1 depletion preferentially affects silencing of genes embedded in peri-centromeric heterochromatin as well as TEs. It is interesting to note that dH1 is enriched at both heterochromatin and TEs (26,43). These observations strongly suggest a specific contribution of dH1 to gene silencing. Consistent with a role in gene silencing, dH1 is a strong suppressor of position-effect variegation and, in addition, its depletion abrogates H3K9me2 at heterochromatin (26). However, the molecular mechanisms of the contribution of dH1 to silencing are not fully understood, as dH1 depletion does not significantly diminish binding of HP1 to heterochromatin (26), and, on the other hand, transcriptional silencing of TEs in somatic cells appears to involve both HP1-dependent and -independent mechanisms (68–71).

dH1 depletion causes DNA damage and genomic instability that, at least in part, is the consequence of deregulated expression of the rDNA locus due to activation of R1/R2 retrotransposons that are inserted in around 60% of rDNA units (30,48). rDNA replication is tightly regulated to prevent collision with transcription running in the opposite direction. Deregulated transcription of the rDNA locus results in frequent collisions that block replication-fork progression, inducing hyper-recombination, and causing DNA damage and genomic instability (50,51,72,73). It is unlikely that genomic instability of dH1-depleted cells is constrained to the rDNA locus, as dH1 depletion promotes general TEs activation, which induces mutations and can result in genomic instability. In addition, dH1 depletion alters heterochromatin structure (26), which is also known to induce genomic instability (74). Therefore, it is possible that dH1 depletion also induces genomic instability at heterochromatin and TEs. Interestingly, like the rDNA locus, heterochromatin and TEs are regions where DNA replication is more difficult, suggesting that, also at these loci, instability is linked to problems during DNA replication. Actually, in fission yeast, γH2A accumulates during DNA replication...
at rDNA, TEs and heterochromatin (75), and mutations that affect TEs expression cause genomic instability (76). In this context, it is tempting to speculate that genomic instability induced by dH1 depletion is mainly associated with DNA replication. It is possible that, at regions where DNA replication slows down, increased transcription due to dH1 depletion blocks replication-fork progression, causing DNA damage and genomic instability. Interestingly, genomic instability caused by R-loop formation is mainly a consequence of replication-fork collapse (63–66).

Cells lacking dH1 stop proliferating and die through apoptosis. Our results show that, at least in part, these effects are the consequence of DNA damage. We have also shown that expression of three different human hH1 variants rescues proliferation defects associated with dH1 depletion, strongly suggesting that the contributions to proliferation and genome stability are conserved functional properties of histone H1 in metazoans. Actually, depletion of specific human hH1 variants has also been shown to affect proliferation in various human cancer cell lines (23). Interestingly, in these cases, the actual outcome appears to depend on the presence of an intact p53 pathway. For instance, depletion of hH1.2 induces apoptosis in MCF7 cells, which are p53-positives, while it results in G1 arrest in T47D cells, which are p53-negative. Similarly, H1-null chicken DT40 cells, which are also p53-negative, show only minor proliferation defects (61). Altogether, these observations are consistent with a model by which histone H1 depletion induces p53-dependent apoptosis in response to DNA damage. However, we cannot exclude that other factors also contribute to apoptosis induced by dH1 depletion. As a matter of fact, dH1 depletion significantly up-regulates expression of reaper, a pro-apoptotic gene (Supplementary Table S1) (77).
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