Questioning the mutual benefit of myrmecochory: a stable isotope-based experimental approach
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Abstract. Mutualisms play a key part in ecological systems and drive the evolution of much of the world’s biological diversity. Among them, myrmecochory, seed dispersal by ants, is a worldwide mechanism throughout many ecosystems. However, the classic representation of myrmecochory as a mutualism could be put into question if one of the two players did not garner a real advantage.

1. A controlled diet experiment was conducted in which ants were given five diets (supplemented or not with elaiosomes). First, using an ant-seed mutualism system, the aim was to understand if elaiosome consumption could modify reproductive output and sex allocation. Second, nitrogen isotopic values were used as a nutrient tracer in the brood to estimate the consumption and selection of elaiosome in comparison to other diets.

2. A significant difference was found in the production of pupae between some diets, but pupae production was not linked to the elaiosome supplementation. Repartitions between pupa type and the effect of the diets were also not significantly different. Moreover, the nitrogen isotopic values of pupae differed among diets but not pupa types.

3. The mutualistic aspects of myrmecochory remain unclear, especially when it comes to estimating the benefits to ants. It is clear that ants assimilate the elaiosome nutrients, but the effect of this assimilation on the reproductive output or sex allocation appears limited. Elaiosomes could provide a nutritional advantage under certain conditions when resources are scarce (qualitative or quantitative), but they are not nutritionally required, and thus represent a food source with no specific advantage to the ant.
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Introduction

Seed dispersal by animals is an ecologically important phenomenon that contributes to the regeneration of many plant communities around the world (Wilson & Traveset, 2000). Most animal-assisted seed dispersal events consist of mutualistic interactions, whereby a food reward is tightly associated with the seed, so that animals that attempt to pick up the former also transport the latter. In short, plants and animals exchange food for dispersal (Herrera, 2005). In contrast to other mutualisms, tightly coevolved pair-wise seed dispersal interactions are not the rule. Moreover, seed-dispersal mutualisms are generally asymmetric in that plants depend exclusively on animals for dispersal, whereas animals may use a wide variety of alternative resources apart from the seed-associated rewards. Hence, many seed dispersal mutualisms involve guilds of consumers that have broad diets. This lack of specificity may make seed-dispersal mutualisms particularly susceptible to exploitative parasitism (Bronstein, 1994; Van
Ommeren & Whitham, 2002; MacRaid et al., 2010). For instance, animals may feed on both the reward and the seed, or eat the reward without transporting the seed. On the other hand, plants may cheat by manipulating animal behaviour, providing cheap cues that promote seed removal behaviour but that have a poor nutritional value (Pfeiffer et al., 2010; Vereeken & McNeil, 2010).

Myrmecochory, seed dispersal by ants, is a worldwide interaction involving more than 11 000 plant species (4.5% of all species) and many ant species across most terrestrial ecosystems (see review, Lengyl et al., 2010). Myrmecochorous seeds bear a small appendage, the elaiosome, which is attractive to ants. Often, ants gather the whole diasporas (i.e. seeds with elaiosomes), carry them to the nest, eat the elaiosome, and discard the seeds within or outside the nest (Giladi, 2006). Plant benefits as a result of myrmecochory are well documented and include dispersal from the parent plant, protection from predators, reduced seedling competition, avoidance of fire, or relocation of the seeds to more favourable microsites (i.e. the nest) for survival, germination, and plant establishment (reviewed in Giladi, 2006). Myrmecochory has traditionally been considered a widespread interaction involving hundreds of ant species (Lengyl et al., 2010). However, it was recently shown that, locally, plants often rely on a handful of ‘keystone’ mutualists because many ant species detach the elaiosomes at the site without transporting the seeds, thus acting as exploitative parasites (Manzameda et al., 2007; Boulay et al., 2007a; Zelikova et al., 2008; Ness et al., 2009; Aranda-Rickert & Fraccia, 2010).

Elaiosomes mainly contain lipids, some proteins, carbohydrates, and vitamins (Fischer et al., 2008; Pfeiffer et al., 2010). Behavioural bioassays conducted on various ant species indicate that 1,2 diolein and, to a lesser extent, oleic acid trigger the removal behaviour by ants (e.g., Pizzo & Oliveira, 2001; Gammans et al., 2005; Boulay et al., 2006; Pfeiffer et al., 2010). These compounds are also present in insect corpses, suggesting that the chemical composition and the behavioural releaser in elaiosomes had converged to be similar to that of ant invertebrate prey (Hughes et al., 1994). If so, the elaiosome would simply be a ‘dead insect analogue’ (Carroll & Janzen, 1973). Attracting carnivorous and omnivorous ants may represent an advantage for the plant because, unlike granivorous ants, they are less likely to damage seed embryos (Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990; Gammans et al., 2006).

What happens once the diasporas have been transported to the nest has been investigated in only a few studies, and the observed effects vary greatly (Table 1), leading some authors to compare the ant nest to a ‘black box’ (Servigne & Detrain, 2010). Elaiosomes are mostly offered to larvae. When they were added to Aphaenogaster rudis’ Enzmann diet in the field, their consumption was shown to increase the proportion of diploid larvae developing into queens instead of workers (Morales & Heithaus, 1998; but see Bono & Heithaus, 2002). Other previous studies conducted in the laboratory have shown an increase in the production of workers but not sexuals in Myrmicaruginodis Nylander and M. rubra Linnaeus (Gammans et al., 2005; Fokuhl et al., 2007). In Temnothorax crassispinus, the elaiosome supply increased female weight and decreased male weight (Fokuhl et al., 2011). Finally, adding Datura elaiosome-bearing seeds to an artificial standard diet had no effect on queen survival or brood production in Pogonomyrmex californicus Emery (Marussich, 2006).

The previous results raise the question of the possible manipulation of ant behaviour by plants. Gomez et al. (2005) have shown that the elaiosome structure can serve as a handle improving seed manipulation by ants. Pfeiffer et al. (2010) confirmed these results and demonstrated that chemical mimicry and deception also occur in myrmecochorous plants. In the present study, we aimed to elucidate (i) if the elaiosome is really consumed and assimilated by the ants, (ii) if the elaiosome is preferred and selected compared with two other diets, and (iii) if the assimilation of elaiosomes could modify the colony reproductive output and sex allocation. We conducted a controlled diet experiment using a common Mediterranean ant-seed mutualism system (Aphaenogaster senilis Mayr – Helleborusfoetidus) and analysed both larval development and elaiosome consumption using stable isotopes. Stable isotope analysis has proven to be a useful tool in reconstructing diets, characterising trophic relationships, elucidating patterns of resource allocation, and constructing food webs (see review Caut et al., 2009; Feldhaar et al., 2010). Using this approach, we could trace the level of isotopic assimilation of elaiosomes by the brood to estimate their general contribution to both castes’ diet and assess if there was differential assimilation between larvae destined to become queens versus workers. Finally, we examined the effect of elaiosome supplementation on reproductive output to elucidate its possible influence on the colony.

**Materials and methods**

**Studyspecies**

Helleborusfoetidus L. (Ranunculaceae) is a rhizomatous perennial herb distributed across Western Europe. In the Iberian Peninsula, it grows on mountains from middle to high elevations. The plants produce inflorescences after several seasons of vegetative growth. Flowers have 1–5 carpels (most commonly 2–3), each of which develops 10–12 elaiosome-bearing seeds. Diaspore (seed+elaiosome) fresh mass ranges from 5 to 23 mg, and the elaiosome comprises between ~3 and 15% of the total diaspor fresh mass. Diaspores are released from the end of June to early July. In the Iberian Peninsula, nearly 40 ant species interact with H.foetidus (Manzameda et al., 2007). Ant species belonging to the genus Aphaenogaster, and more specifically A. senilis, behave as legitimate dispersers (i.e. transporting the entire diaspor to the ant nest; Fig.1). Aphaenogaster senilis is a strictly monogynous ant species distributed around the Western Mediterranean basin. Males and queens are produced throughout the year, with a production peak in early summer, but the species produces most of its brood in spring (Boulay et al., 2009). At least three larval instars have been identified for the diploid larvae. First and second instars are totipotent and can develop either into workers or queens. However, when
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors and Heithaus</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ant species</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Diet comparison</th>
<th>Days (d)</th>
<th>Diaspore species</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morales and Heithaus</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Aphenogaster rudis</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Natural diet with seed-pods removed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sanguinaria canadensis</td>
<td>Production of queens</td>
<td>Y +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonn and Heithaus</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Aphenogaster rudis</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Natural diet + diasporic supply</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sanguinaria canadensis</td>
<td>Qualitative role in queen determination</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer et al. (2005)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Myrmica rubra</td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Bhaktar diet + diasporic supply, radio-labelled (fatty acid solution)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Corydalis cava</td>
<td>1 Consumption of elaiosomes by larvae</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammans et al.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Myrmica ruginodis</td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Ample standard Myrmica diet</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Ulex sp.</td>
<td>Sexual production</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marussich</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Pogonomyrmex Californicus</td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Kentucky Bluegrass (KBG) seeds</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Datura wrightii</td>
<td>Foundress survival</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fokuhl et al. *</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Myrmica rubra</td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Honey and cockroaches</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Scilla bifolia</td>
<td>Number of adult workers</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fokuhl et al. (2011)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Temnothorax crassispinus</td>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Honey and cockroaches</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Scilla bifolia</td>
<td>Number of workers</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The effect was considered significant (in the effect section) only when statistical corrections were used.

The condition column describes the type of study: in the field or laboratory (Lab). The diet comparison column describes the different types of diet used to estimate the effect of elaiosomes on ants. The ‘Days’ column indicates the number of days (d) ants were on the elaiosome diet. The parameter column describes the different parameters compared between diets in the study. The effect column indicates if the authors found a significant effect of the elaiosome-supplemented diet: Y = yes, and + if it was positive or N = no.
a queen is present in the colony, almost the entire diploid brood develops into workers. Queen death or experimental removal yields the production of one or a few queens from the totipotent larvae. The exact mechanism by which the current queen inhibits the production of other queens is still unknown (Boulay et al., 2007b, 2009).

Control diet study

All experiments were conducted on 15 medium-sized A. senilis colonies collected in Southern Spain in July 2011 and kept in the laboratory. Prior to performing the laboratory experiment, 10 workers and 10 first instar larvae of each colony were collected to analyse their initial isotopic value. Then, each colony was divided into 5 orphan groups of 200 workers, which were kept in artificial nests with a 2 × 20-cm (diameter × length) glass tube half-filled with water for drinking and connected to a 9 × 7-cm (diameter × height) foraging area, the internal wall of which was painted with Fluon to prevent ants from escaping. Each worker group was provided with 20 first instar totipotent larvae. All groups were kept at 28 °C ± 1 °C in complete darkness. The five orphan groups for each colony were fed every second day and each group received a different diet in a non-limiting quantity: W = three sliced Tenebrio molitor mealworms; S = 10 peeled seeds of Sesamum indicum; E = 6 diasores Helleborus foetidus; WE = diet W + E; or SE = diet S + E. When the ants were offered two composed diets, both foods were presented simultaneously without masking them up. The consistency of the isotopic composition of the diet was measured on random samples of the individual diets (W, S, and E) five times throughout the experiment.

The presence of queen, worker, and male pupae was checked every second day for 42 days. All the pupae were immediately removed from the groups, dried, weighed, and prepared for isotopic analyses. The remaining adult workers were counted at the end of the experiment. A sample of 10 workers and the remaining larvae were collected to check whether isotopic values had drifted over the course of the experiment.

Isotopic analyses

All ant samples (larvae, pupae, and workers) were dried at 60 °C for 48 h, ground to a fine powder, weighed in tin capsules, and stored in a desiccator until isotopic analyses took place. Adult gasters were removed to reduce possible contamination by recently ingested food. Individual measurements of male, worker, and queen pupae from each group were analyzed when available. Adult workers and larvae were pooled per colony (at the beginning of the experiment) and from each colony and grouped at the end of the experiment to obtain sufficient material (0.5 mg dry weight) for accurate isotope ratio determination.

Isotopic analyses were performed using a continuous flow isotope–ratio mass spectrometry system by means of a Flash HT Plus elemental analyser coupled to a Delta-V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer via a CONFLO IV interface (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) at the Laboratorio de Isótopos Estables of the Estación Biológica de Doñana (LIE-EBD). Ratios are presented as δ values (‰), expressed relative to the vPD (Vienna PeeDee Belemnite) standard and to atmospheric N2 for carbon and nitrogen, respectively. Stable N isotopic ratios are expressed as: δ15N = [(Rsample/Rstandard) − 1] × 1000, where R is 15N/14N. Reference material was IAEA-N1 (+ 0.4‰). One hundred replicate assays of internal laboratory standards indicate measurement maximum errors (SD) of ± 0.2‰ for stable nitrogen isotope measurements. The C/N ratio was calculated as the total percentage of carbon divided by the total percentage of nitrogen.

Statistical analyses

To compare the effect of diet on larval development, we performed a generalised linear model for each pupae type (worker, queen or male). The dependent variable was the percentage of the selected pupa type (number of pupae/20 × 100), and the independent variables were treatment and the number of adult workers that remained at the end of the experiment (as a covariant). The models were fitted with the binomial error distribution and logit link function. We also compared the dry weights of male, worker, and queen pupae across diets. We used linear models in which diet (E, S, W, SE, and WE) was included as a fixed effect (the orphan group was included as a random factor). We used contrast analysis to compare each treatment.

To compare the time to first pupae production among diets and pupa types, we used linear models in which diet (S, W, SE, and WE) and pupa type were included as fixed effects (the orphan group was included as a random effect).

The differences in δ15N and C/N values among the different diets (W, S, and E) were analysed using linear models. When the effect was significant, we used a post hoc Tukey’s test to compare diets to each other. To test the isotopic differences between developmental stages at the beginning of the experiment, we compared the δ15N and C/N values of workers versus larvae. We used linear models in which the stage was included as a fixed effect.

At the end of the experiment, we compared the δ15N and C/N values of the remaining larvae and workers that were fed the different diets. We used linear models in which diet (E, S, W, SE, and WE) was included as a fixed effect (the orphan group was included as a random effect). We then added the δ15N and C/N values of workers and larvae at the beginning of the experiment to the models, in order to compare their values before and after the dietary treatment began. We used contrast analysis to compare results within each treatment.

Diet assimilation was compared between pupa types by means of a linear model in which diet (S, W, SE, and WE) and pupa type (worker, male, and queen) were included as fixed effects (the orphan group was included as a random effect). We removed the diet E from the analysis because the production for that diet was very low compared with the other four diets.
Results

Pupae production

The time to first pupae production was significantly different for different pupa types ($F_{2,109} = 14.80, P < 0.005$), but not for different diet treatments ($F_{4,109} = 0.54, P = 0.705$). The queens were produced significantly earlier than workers and males (queens = 19.8 ± 0.5, workers = 25.6 ± 0.9, and males = 25.6 ± 1.5 days, *post hoc* $P < 0.05$, Fig. 2). On average, 34 ± 5% (mean ± SE) of the 20 larvae that were initially provided reached the pupal stage in the W, S, and respective elaiosome-supplemented groups. Larval development was significantly lower when only elaiosomes were provided (Diet E = 13 ± 2%; $\chi^2_{4.69} = 73.56, P < 0.001$). The number of adult workers that remained at the end of the experiment had no effect on larval survival ($\chi^2_{1.69} = 2.63, P = 0.105$).

The production of pupae of diploid castes (worker and queen) showed the same general shape for all diets except the elaiosome diet E (Fig. 2). Moreover, while production followed the same pattern in the S diet, fewer individuals were produced in that treatment compared with the W, SE, and W diets, which were very similar to each other (Fig. 2a). The number of adult workers who remained at the end of the experiment had no effect on the percentage of pupae of different types produced (worker; $\chi^2_{1.69} = 1.62, P = 0.204$; queen; $\chi^2_{1.69} = 0.00, P = 0.959$, and male; $\chi^2_{1.69} = 1.94, P = 0.164$).

The percentage of total pupae production was significantly different between diets (W: 38 ± 5%, WE: 43 ± 7%, S: 22 ± 4%, and SE: 33 ± 4%), and the number of adult workers that were still alive at the end of the experiment had no effect on it ($\chi^2_{1.69} = 2.63, P = 0.105$). We observed a general trend of pupae production such that E < S < W; no difference was seen between diets with and without elaiosomes (W vs. WE), and only a small difference in the production of male pupae was detected between S versus SE diets (pair-wise comparison tests $P < 0.05$).

The percentages of each pupa type produced were significantly different for different diets (worker; $\chi^2_{4.69} = 43.42, P < 0.001$; queen; $\chi^2_{4.69} = 13.16, P = 0.011$; and male; $\chi^2_{4.69} = 20.76, P < 0.001$, Fig. 2). Pair-wise comparison tests were not significant when elaiosome-supplemented and non-supplemented diets were compared (W vs. WE and S vs. SE), except in the case of males (Fig. 2, $P < 0.005$).

The dry weights of individual worker and queen pupae differed significantly among diets ($F_{4.127} = 3.52, P = 0.009$ and $F_{4,111} = 8.49, P < 0.001$, respectively). Again, this was mostly as a result of the lower weight of pupae in the elaiosome-only diet (*post hoc* $P < 0.05$, Fig. 2). Male weight was not significantly different between diets ($F_{4,38} = 2.62, P = 0.059$).

Diet assimilation

All diets were consumed but not in their totality, indicating that the amount of resources was not limiting. Moreover, only the elaiosome part of the diaspores was consumed. $\delta^{15}N$ and C/N values differed significantly among diets ($\delta^{15}N$: $F_{2,12} = 92.62, P < 0.001$ and C/N: $F_{2,12} = 256.84, P < 0.001$). *Post hoc* Tukey’s tests were significant for all combinations ($P < 0.05$), meaning that each diet was different from the others (diet W: $\delta^{15}N = 5.35 ± 0.39‰$, C/N = 4.19 ± 0.12; diet S: $\delta^{15}N = 3.05 ± 0.66‰$, C/N = 14.96 ± 0.66, and diet E: $\delta^{15}N = −3.32 ± 0.27‰$, C/N = 28.35 ± 1.12, mean ± SE).

At the beginning of the experiment, the larvae had a significantly lower $\delta^{15}N$ value and a significantly higher C/N ratio than the adult workers (Fig. 3; $F_{1,28} = 8.36, P = 0.007$ and $F_{1,28} = 22.06, P < 0.001$, respectively). At the end of the experiment, $\delta^{15}N$ and C/N values for larvae and workers differed significantly among diets (we added the initial values as a diet variable–larva: $\delta^{15}N$: $F_{5,48} = 5.42, P < 0.001$ and C/N, $F_{5,48} = 4.10, P = 0.004$, and worker: $F_{5,67} = 2.62, P = 0.032$ and C/N, $F_{5,67} = 12.29, P < 0.001$, Fig. 4). The *post hoc* Tukey’s test showed that for both larvae and workers, $\delta^{15}N$ did not differ significantly between the beginning and

---

**Fig. 1.** (a) Infructescence of *Helleborus foetidus*. Fruit maturation and seed shedding take place in June–July. (b) *Aphaenogaster senilis* is a disperser of *Helleborus foetidus* diasporas. The brown appendage in the diaspore is the lipidic-elaiosome, which is attractive to ants. Photo credits: Fernando Amor.
the end of the experiment for the various diets, except in the case of the SE diet for larvae (P < 0.05, Fig. 3). We also observed that the C/N ratios at the beginning and the end of the experiment were not significantly different between diets, except for S and SE workers.

The $\delta^{15}$N and C/N values of pupae produced during the experiment differed significantly between pupa types ($\delta^{15}$N; $F_{2,184} = 14.13$, $P < 0.001$, and C/N; $F_{2,184} = 32.22$, $P < 0.001$) and diets ($\delta^{15}$N; $F_{3,184} = 25.38$, $P < 0.001$, and C/N; $F_{3,184} = 12.01$, $P < 0.001$). Variations in $\delta^{15}$N values between diets followed the same trend for the three pupa types, such that S < SE < WE < W, as confirmed by the non-significance of the diet x pupa type interaction ($F_{6,184} = 0.99$, $P = 0.069$). The interaction was significant for the C/N ratio ($F_{6,184} = 6.10$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 4) without showing a clear pattern. Post hoc analysis of the effect of diet on $\delta^{15}$N values showed that all diets were significantly different. As for the effect of pupa type, the mean $\delta^{15}$N value of queens was significantly higher than that of workers or males ($P < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Does elaiosome supplementation affect larval development?

Few studies have assessed the potential positive effect of elaiosome consumption in relation to colony productivity (Table 1), and no consensus has been found in their results.
The general idea is that elaiosome consumption could increase the productivity of the colony and skew the sex ratio towards queens. However, it is very difficult to determine the specific role of elaiosomes in such sex ratio variation. All changes in colony production (e.g. larval production, queen production, and larval weight) could be a consequence of either (1) elaiosomes’ specific nutritional contribution or (2) a non-specific increase of food availability. Although we found that pupae production was progressively greater for certain diets (E < S < W), the effect of elaiosome supplementation on pupae production and the percentage of different pupa types (for diets W vs. WE and S vs. SE) was not clear.

According to the first possibility, changes in sex allocation induced by elaiosome consumption would be as a result of the quality of their composition. In this case, elaiosome-specific nutrients might have changed the development of diploid larvae into a worker or queen. This is supported by the results of Morales and Heithaus (1998) who found a higher proportion of diploid larvae developed into queens when they were provided with elaiosomes (Table 1). In contrast, the present results do not support this hypothesis; sexual production, allocation, and weight did not differ significantly between elaiosome-supplemented and unsupplemented diets (diets W vs. WE and S vs. SE). If elaiosome-specific constituents play a role in caste determination such that diets containing elaiosomes favour the production of queens, it would imply that queens consume more elaiosomes than do other casts. We found no significant difference between the isotopic values of queens and workers fed elaiosome-supplemented versus unsupplemented diets. Consequently, we suggest that in this study system there is no relation between specific elaiosome consumption and caste determination.

The genetic versus environmental determination of larval caste fate has been a long debate in social insect studies. Although a few recent studies have clearly shown a genetic base for worker-queen differentiation, the environment is still considered a major cue inducing queen development (Leniaud et al., 2012; Schwander & Keller, 2012). Queens are generally bigger than workers and must receive a larger food intake. Recently, Smith and Suarez (2010) distinguished pupae developing into males, queens, larger workers, and smaller workers based on nitrogen isotopic values, with higher values being seen for sexuals (about 1‰). However, this result does not show that larval caste fate is determined by food. Hence, the observed differences could be a consequence, and not a cause, of larvae developing into queens. Workers could recognise queen-developing larvae (e.g. Wheeler, 1986; Passera et al., 1995) and feed them a different diet, which would increase size differences. In this case, a difference in diet would not determine whether a larva develops into a queen or worker.

**What is the importance of elaiosome assimilation?**

In spite of direct observations of elaiosomes entering and exiting the nest, the actual fate of the diaspores in the colony remains largely unknown. Fischer et al. (2005) tested elaiosome consumption and assimilation by *M. rubra* larvae using *Corydaliscava* elaiosomes enriched in 15N. The results showed an important enrichment of larvae receiving an elaiosome-supplemented diet. However, the artificial 15N enrichment (<sup>15</sup>N = 11.737‰ against ~10‰ in the wild) may have complicated the interpretation of the results and contaminated the samples (e.g. larvae gut content or diet dust was present on the individuals). In this study, we used different dietary treatments that incorporated three resources demonstrating a natural gradient in 15N (E < S < W) and C/N (W < S < E). Thus, if ants consumed elaiosomes, their
isotopic values would be much lower and their C/N values much higher than if they had consumed the W and S diets. Adult workers did not differ isotopically between the beginning and the end of the experiment, except for some in the SE diet (Fig. 3). These small differences in isotopic values between workers could be as a result of the longer isotopic turnover time in workers’ tissues or worker transfer of tissues synthesised with anterior diet, as consumption in these stock tissues. For pupae, all these tissues were synthesised during the experiment, and significant differences were found among diets that followed a general isotopic trend (S < SE < WE < W; we excluded the diet E, see results section, Fig. 4). The isotopic values of pupae on single component diets (S and W) were logically explained by isotopic incorporation theory. The isotopic value of pupae = the isotopic value of their diet + the discrimination factor (+3‰ by Feldhaar et al., 2010). However, when we compared the isotopic values of pupae single component diets with those of their elaiosome-supplemented counterparts (S vs. SE and W vs. WE), the results were more complex. Surprisingly, SE pupae were slightly more enriched in 15N than S pupae. This alteration could result from indirect diet transfer by differences in workers owing to SE diet (e.g. stock tissues, Caut et al., unpubl. data). Nevertheless, it is clear that the assimilation of elaiosomes occurred, although it was low. Indeed, in the event of high elaiosome assimilation, the δ15N values of pupae would tend to resemble those of elaiosomes and the discrimination factor would be more negative. We observed an increase in the C/N ratio, confirming a modest assimilation. Inversely, WE pupae were less enriched in 15 N and had a higher C/N ratio than the W pupae. Thus, here too, an indirect consumption via worker diet transfer could complicate the interpretation of our results (e.g. trophic eggs), but, although low, elaiosome assimilation did happen. Hence, it is important to note that stable isotopes provide information on assimilated foods in addition to ingested foods, and, although the relationship between the consumption and assimilation of elaiosomes was not simple, our results confirm the direct observations of consumption.

It is very difficult to determine the importance of elaiosomes in an ant’s diet in the wild. We have no information on how elaiosomes may ‘compete’ with the natural prey (such as insects) exploited by ants. From their laboratory study, Fischer et al. (2005) concluded that the ants preferred elaiosomes to an artificial diet. In our experiment, diet constituents were not limiting and we did not observe a preferential assimilation of elaiosomes as compared with seeds or worms. Elaiosomes are nutrient rich and contain lipids, proteins, starch, sugars, vitamins, and essential nutrients that cannot be synthesised by ants, such as linoleic acid and sterols (Gammans et al., 2005), yet ants do not base their main diet upon them. Moreover, if ants used only these resources, worker survival and brood production would be severely reduced compared with that of ants eating a worm or seed diet. Marussich (2006) found the same trend for the survival and brood production of semi-claustral foundresses. Thus, it might be more accurate to consider the elaiosome as just an alternative resource, rather than an essential part of the ant diet, providing specific nutrients.

Mutualism?

Although elaiosomes are nutrient rich, the present results have failed to show a preference of ants for them (especially when ants are manipulated, because then it is not a real choice). Their specific constituents are also known to be inferior compared with other potential resources, such as prey (Hughes et al., 1994). These results tend to confirm the preference for insect items over elaiosomes found in field studies (e.g. Heithaus et al., 2005). Elaiosome consumption alone does not result in a normal level of colony production (Marussich, 2006; this study). In association with other dietary components, it neither increased total larval production nor the proportion of queens. Therefore, the qualitative hypothesis could probably be dismissed. On the other hand, elaiosomes could represent a basic dietary addition that could modify the production of the colony (the quantitative hypothesis). In this case, it is not the elaiosome constituents themselves that are important, but rather the increase in overall resource availability that they represent. Many previous studies have shown that many alterations in colony output occur subsequent to an increase in resources (e.g. Deslippers & Savolainen, 1994, 1995; Herbers & Banschbach, 1998; Aron et al., 2001; Bono & Herbers, 2003; Brown & Keller, 2006). Moreover, in the presence of a mutualistic interaction, elaiosomes should represent a less cost-effective dietary strategy. Indeed, ants transport diaspores, but only a small part is consumed, the elaiosome.

In conclusion, the mutualistic aspects of myrmecochory remain unclear, especially with regards to the estimated benefits to ants. Even although it does not provide a clear advantage for both partners, myrmecochory may persist as a by-product mutualism (like the symbiosis between ants and litter trapping epiphytes, Fayle et al., 2012). Indeed, by-product benefits are derived from self-serving behaviours (Connor, 1986): behaviours or other attributes of A designed to benefit A incidentally benefit B. The benefit to B comes at no additional cost to A (Connor, 1995). Thus, the production of elaiosomes by plants represents a benefit to the plants themselves and, for ants, elaiosomes represent a resource among others, without really being selected or causing specific effects. This pervasive category of cooperation encompasses an astonishing range of inter- and intra-specific phenomena in the wild (e.g. group formation and Mullerian mimicry; Connor, 1995, 2010). Thus, there is an important bias in the studies addressing mutualistic interactions, which focus more on the plant rather than the ant benefits, consequently limiting the understanding of the evolutionary mechanism. We encourage scientists studying plant–ant interactions to develop more experimental studies to address the real benefits of elaiosomes for the ant colony. In this context, we demonstrate that the use of stable isotopes represents an interesting tool, which unfortunately remains underused for these taxa.
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