Reference crop evapotranspiration estimated from geostationary satellite imagery

H. A. R. DE BRUIN¹, ISABEL F. TRIGO², P. GAVILAN³, A. MARTÍNEZ-COB⁴ & M. P. GONZÁLEZ-DUGO³

1 Emeritus Wageningen University, The Netherlands, Overboslaan 52, Bilthoven 3721, The Netherlands <u>hardb@xs4all.nl</u>

2 Instituto de Meteorologia, Lisbon 1749-077, Portugal

3 IFAPA, Centro "Alameda del Obispo" Avd. Menéndez Pidal s/n 14080 Cordoba, Spain

4 Estación Experimental de Aula Dei, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Avda. Montañana 1005, Zaragoza 50059, Spain

Abstract A revised Makkink formula is presented to estimate the Reference Crop Evapotranspiration (ET_o) , as defined by the FAO, requiring incoming solar radiation and air temperature only, and allowing operational ET_o mapping with geostationary satellite (MSG) imagery. For 2008, daily MSG- ET_o compare well with "ground-truth" data collected over well-watered "FAO-grass". The project is carried out in the context of the LSF SAF project (<u>http://landsaf.meteo.pt/</u>). It is argued that solar radiation must be preferred over measured net radiation as input variable for ET_o calculations.

Key words evapotranspiration; radiation; satellites

INTRODUCTION

The method proposed by Allen *et al.* (1998) to determine evaporative demands of agricultural crops is currently considered the most accurate. It is based on the concept that crop water requirements can be estimated from the reference crop evapotranspiration, ET_o , multiplied by a crop-factor. ET_o is the evapotranspiration under the same meteorological conditions from an extensive hypothetical grass crop with specified characteristics. The methodology requires input data gathered over extensive horizontal surfaces similar to the hypothetical grass. Such sites are rare in most semi-arid regions. The objective of this study is to present the first results of a project aiming to develop an alternative methodology to estimate ET_o from geostationary satellite images and additional information provided by operational weather forecasts from the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). Our methodology requires daily values of downward solar radiation (R_s) obtained from the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) radiometer onboard Meteosat Second Generation (MSG) and the air temperature at 2 m (T_2) extracted from ECMWF initial fields. It should be stressed that our method does not mean to replace that of Allen *et al.* (1998) but this approach is highly recommended if the appropriate input data are available.

Theory and approach

Per definition ET_o is the evapotranspiration under given meteorological conditions from a hypothetical grass reference crop with specific characteristics, namely a fixed surface resistance of 70 s m⁻¹, a height of 0.12 m and an albedo of 0.23. The reference surface closely resembles an extensive surface of green, well-watered grass of uniform height, actively growing and completely shading the ground. The fixed surface resistance of 70 s m⁻¹ implies a moderately dry soil surface resulting from approximately weekly irrigation frequency. Here we will deal with daily ET_o values. Allen *et al.* (1998) provide guidelines on how to calculate ET_o from standard weather data. This concerns an application of the Penman-Monteith equation (hereafter denoted as PMFAO). In this study we will adapt PMFAO by ignoring soil heat flux and using estimated net radiation with the expression proposed by Allen *et al.* (1998) from maximum and minimum temperature, water vapour and R_s . The reason why we prefer estimated R_n over direct measurements is explained in the Appendix.

The best-known approximation of ET_o is the Priestley-Taylor formula (PT) (Priestley & Taylor, 1972), requiring net radiation and temperature as input. Experience shows that for well-watered grass, daily net radiation is highly correlated with R_s . This leads to the revised Makkink equation (MAK) proposed by De Bruin (1987):

$$ET_{MAK} = c_{mak} \frac{86400}{\lambda} \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} R_s = \frac{86400}{\lambda} f(T, p) R_s \qquad (\text{mm day}^{-1})$$
(1)

where Δ is the slope of the saturation water vapour pressure – temperature curve (kPa °C⁻¹), γ the psychometric constant (kPa °C⁻¹), λ is the latent heat of vaporization and c_{mak} an empirical constant. For the growing season in moderate climate zones it is found that $c_{mak} \approx 0.7$ (rounded value). Choudhury & de Bruin (1995), Garatuza-Payan *et al.* (1998), Stewart *et al.* (1999), Watts *et al.* (2000), Schüttemeyer (2005), Schüttemeyer *et al.* (2007), Temesgen (2009) and De Bruin *et al.* (2010) report successful applications of MAK, also in semi-arid regions. But, other studies show that in arid and advective conditions both MAK and PT tend to underestimate *ET_o* (Allen *et al.*, 1998; Berengena & Gavilán, 2005; Irmak *et al.*, 2008).

Using a data set gathered near Cordoba (latitude: $37^{\circ}51'$ N; longitude: $4^{\circ}51'$ W; altitude: 110 m a.m.s.l.) over a well-watered "FAO-grass" plot (Berengena & Gavilán, 2005) we found a new revised MAK (MAKNEW) by replacing f(T,p) in equation (1) with:

$$f_2(T, p) = a(p)T + b(p)$$
 (2)

where $a(p) = 1.7 \left[\frac{d(MAK(T, p))}{dT} \right]_{T=12,p}$, i.e. the derivative of MAK to T at $T = 12^{\circ}$ C and the

prevailing pressure b(p) is chosen such that MAKNEW equals MAK at $T = 12^{\circ}$ C. The pressure dependency is due to the fact that γ is proportional to p (de Bruin *et al.*, 2010). For a reason why MAKNEW must be preferred over PT, see the Appendix.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure 1 shows ET_o estimated with MAKNEW using R_s and T obtained from MSG imagery and ECMWF fields (Trigo *et al.*, 2010), compared with the corresponding PMFAO values obtained with data collected over well-watered grass at the location near Cordoba. Results reveal a good agreement between the two time-series. Note that PMFAO compares very well with corresponding lysimeter data at this location (Berengena & Gavilán, 2005).



Fig. 1 ET_o estimated versus ET_o PMFAO: Cordoba, daily values, 2008.

Daily accumulated solar radiation, R_s , is obtained through the integration of instantaneous values, estimated every 30 min from SEVIRI/MSG data provided by the Satellite Applications Facility (LSA SAF, Trigo *et al.*, 2011). During the study period (2008), daily R_s values were still being provided as demonstration products by the LSA SAF, and since we restrict the analysis to days for which all 48 MSG slots were available, this study is based on a satellite-based ET_o timeseries with a total of 167 points. Daily R_s is currently produced, distributed and archived operationally by the LSA SAF, and therefore the number of missing data has been significantly reduced (missing rate <2.5%).

It can be concluded that the novel MAKNEW formula yields fairly accurate estimates of ET_o using MSG- R_s and ECMWF T2 as input. These results also reveal that reasonably accurate estimates of ET_o can be obtained from R_s and T only for strongly advective conditions. But it should be noted that we fitted the constants a and b using the same data set used in Fig. 1. More extensive tests of MAKNEW will be performed in the near future.

APPENDIX

 ET_o is defined for an hypothetical well-watered grass. Evaporation tends to lower the surface temperature and thus to reduce the outgoing longwave radiation. This explains why net radiation of an irrigated grass field is lower than that of an adjacent dry field. In tropical regions this difference can be significant.



Fig. 2 Test R_n Slob-de Bruin Zaragoza (left panel); diurnal course of R_n measured (•) and R_n estimated with Slob-de Bruin (Δ) and rainfall 2008 (black bars), Burkina Faso (right panel); daily values.

This feature is illustrated in Fig. 2: in the right panel, for a location in Burkina Faso (Ulrike Falk, personal communication), the annual cycle of the daily measured net radiation of bare soil is depicted together with rainfall and net radiation estimated for well-watered "FAO-grass" using a formula proposed by De Bruin (1987) as special application of the Slob-approximation for net longwave radiation requiring R_s as only input. A test of the latter is given in the left panel using data collected over a "FAO-grass" site near Zaragoza (Lecina *et al.*, 2003). It is seen that in the dry season the differences between measured and estimated net radiation are huge at the Burkina Faso site. This example shows that the (soil moisture) status of the surface affects net radiation. This motivated us to adopt PMFAO with estimated net radiation and to prefer MAKNEW over PT.

Acknowledgements Dr Ulrike Falk of the Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn, Germany, is thanked for making the data of Burkina Faso available. The first and second

authors carried out this work in the context of the EUMETSAT LAND-SAF Visiting-Science project no. VS0802.

REFERENCES

- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. A., Raes, D. & Smith, M. (1998) Crop Evapotranspiration: Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper no. 56. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Berengena, J. & Gavilán, P. (2005) Reference evapotranspiration estimation in a highly advective semiarid environment. J. Irrig. Drain. Div. ASCE 113(2), 147–163.
- Choudhury, B. J. & De Bruin, H. A. R (1995) First order approach for estimation unstressed transpiration from meteorological satellite data. *Adv. Space Res.* 16(10), 167–176.
- De Bruin, H. A. R. (1987) From Penman to Makkink. Comm. Hydrol. Res. TNO, Den Haag. Proc. and Information 39, 5-30.
- De Bruin, H. A. R., Trigo, I. F., Jitan, M. A., Temesgen Enku, N., van der Tol, C. & Gieske, A. S. M. (2010) Reference crop evapotranspiration derived from geostationary satellite imagery: a case study for the Fogera flood plain, NW-Ethiopia and the Jordan Valley, Jordan. *Hydrol. Earth System Sci.* 14, 2219–2228.
- Garatuza-Payan, J., Shuttleworth, W. J., Encinas, D., McNeil, D. D., Stewart, J. B., De Bruin, H. & Watts, C. (1998) Measurement and modelling evaporation for irrigated crops in north-west Mexico. *Hydrol. Processes* 12(9), 1397–1418.
- Irmak, A, Irmak, S. & Martin, D. L. (2008) Reference and crop evapotranspiration in South Central Nebraska. I: Comparison and analysis of grass and alfalfa-reference evapotranspiration. J. Irrig. Drain. Div. ASCE 6, 690–699.
- Lecina, S., Martínez-Cob, A., Pérez, P. J., Villalobos, F. J. & Baselga, J. J. (2003) Fixed versus variable bulk canopy resistance for reference evapotranspiration estimation using the Penman-Monteith equation under semi-arid conditions. *Agric. Water Manage.* 60(3), 181–198.
- Priestley, C. H. B. & Taylor, R. J. (1972) On the assessment of surface heat flux and evaporation using large scale parameters. *Monthly Weather Rev.* 100(2), 81–92.
- Schüttemeyer, D. (2005) The surface energy balance over drying semi-arid terrain in West Africa. PhD thesis, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Schüttemeyer, D., Schillings, Ch., Moene, A. F. & de Bruin, H. A. R. (2007) Satellite-based actual evapotranspiration over drying semiarid terrain in West Africa. J. Appl. Met. 46, 97–111.
- Stewart, J. B., Watts, C. J., Rodriguez, J. C., De Bruin, H. A. R., van den Berg, A. R. & Garatuza-Payán, J. (1999) Use of satellite data to estimate radiation and evaporation for north-west Mexico. *Agric. Water Manage.* 38, 181–193.
- Temesgen Enku, N. (2009) Estimation of evaporation from satellite remote sensing and meteorological data over the Fogera flood plain, Ethiopia. MSc thesis, ITC, Enschede, The Netherlands.
- Trigo, I. F., DaCamara, C. C., Viterbo, P., Roujean, J. L., Olesen, F., Barroso, C., Camacho-de Coca, F., Carrer, D., Freitas, S. C., García-Haro, J., Geiger, B., Gellens-Meulenberghs, F., Ghilain, N., Meliá, J., Pessanha, L., Siljamo, N. & Arboleda, A. (2011) The Satellite Application Facility on Land Surface Analysis. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 32, 2725–2744, doi: 10.1080/01431161003743199.
- Watts, C. J., Rodríguez, J. C., Payán, J. G., Bruin, H. A. R. & Stewart, J. B. (2000). Estimación de evaporación y radiación solar en el valle del Yaqui, Sonora, usando datos de satélite. *Ingeniería Hidráulica en México* 14(3), 45–53.