Effects of Soil Characteristics on Metribuzin Dissipation Using Clay–Gel-Based Formulations
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Metribuzin (MTB) is a herbicide widely used for weed control in growing soybeans and other crops and has been identified in many parts of the world as a groundwater contaminant. To prepare controlled-release formulations (CRFs) of MTB, it was entrapped within a sepiolite-gel-based matrix with one of two proportions of clay/herbicide and used as either a gel or powder after freeze-drying. To determine how its persistence in soil is affected by formulation and soil type, MTB was applied as a CRF or commercial formulation (CM) to soils with different properties. MTB dissipation in all soils investigated was reduced when the herbicide was applied as CRFs, especially in the case of sandy soil and the freeze-dried formulations, with DT_{50} values of 57.5 and 104.1 days, respectively, versus 24.8 days for CM. A positive relationship between degradation rates, bioactivity, and soil pH was found. MTB adsorption–desorption studies on these soils were also performed, and no relationship between adsorption–desorption and the degradation rate of MTB was found, possibly because of the low adsorption capacity of the studied soils. MTB when applied as a CRF remains active longer than CM, avoiding the need to use more frequently herbicide applications.
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INTRODUCTION

Metribuzin (MTB) is a herbicide widely used for weed control in growing soybeans, potatoes, tomatoes, and other crops. Because of its relatively high water solubility (1050 mg L^{-1}), MTB may leach into the soil and eventually into the groundwater (1, 2). In addition to leaching, MTB may be dissipated in the soil via its degradation through chemical and biological processes. In general, the degradation of herbicides is influenced by many factors, such as chemical concentration, diffusion, adsorption–desorption, soil type, and climatic conditions, while microbial breakdown is the main cause of MTB dissipation in soils (3). On soil surfaces under natural light conditions, the half-life of MTB is 14–25 days (3).

Unlike classical formulations, controlled release formulations (CRFs) gradually release pesticides, which permits a lower concentration of active ingredient to be released into the environment, while at the same time, provide enough to maintain biological efficacy. The use of CRFs would be expected to improve environmental safety and perhaps efficacy as well (4–7). Previous studies have reported the preparation of MTB CRFs through the use of granular formulations of alginate beads and/or alginate–kaolin–linseed oil, from which the MTB release rate was considerably reduced (8–11). CRFs based on the intercalation of polymeric MTB into montmorillonite have also been carried out by Rehab et al. (12).

Clay minerals have been used for the CRFs of several herbicides. Numerous studies have focused on the use of modified montmorillonite by pre-adsorbing organic cations as a support system for slow release; however, the organic cations used in the synthesis of organo-montmorillonite are not considered to be of minimal toxicological risk (13, 14).

Sepiolite is a microfibrous hydrated Mg phyllosilicate with a theoretical unit cell formula Si_{12}O_{30}Mg_{8}(OH,F)_{4}(OH$_2$)$_4$8H$_2$O (15). It is formed by the alternation of blocks and tunnels growing in the directions of the fibers. Each structural block is composed of two tetrahedral silica sheets and a central octahedral sheet containing magnesium. The discontinuity of the silica sheet gives rise to the structural tunnels. Silanol groups (Si–OH) are on the external surface of the silicate particles and are thus accessible to reagents. Sepiolite has a high Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area (300 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) that allows for the adsorption of molecules such as pesticides (16).

Most published studies addressing the influence of soil characteristics on MTB persistence have been carried out on pure or commercial formulations of the chemical (17, 18). However, little data have been reported about the behavior of MTB CRFs in soil (9, 10), especially with regard to their persistence. To address this issue, MTB was entrapped within a clay–sepiolite–gel to prepare CRFs having one of two clay/herbicide proportions (loaded at 28.6 or 16.7% of herbicide) using a novel, useful, and economic ultrasound-based technique. In the current work, we study the influence of soil characteristics on the
Persistence of MTB derived from the new clay–gel-based formulations versus the commercial formulation. Additionally, a study of MTB adsorption–desorption in soil was performed to investigate the potential link between these processes and MTB degradation, as well as to study examining the influence of the type of MTB formulation on soil dissipation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** MTB (4-amino-6-tert-butyl-3-methylthio-1,2,4-triazin-5-(4H)-one) (technical grade, 93%) and commercial formulation (ECLIPSE) as a wettable granulate were provided by Presmar S.L. Sigma Aldrich (Madrid, Spain) was the source of 2,3,5-triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride (TTC), 1,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium formazane (TPF), and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris). All solvents used were of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade.

The clay mineral used was sepiolite of Vicalvaro obtained from Tolsa. The structural formulas of the herbicide and sepiolite structure are shown in Figure 1.

**Table 1.** Physicochemical Properties and Bioactivity of the Soils Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>CaCO₃ (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>OM (%) (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>sand (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>silt (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>clay (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>DA (mg of TPF kg⁻¹ day⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>silt loam</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sandy</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clay</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>13.6 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Organic matter content. b Dehydrogenase activity.

**Figure 1.** Structural formulas of the (a) herbicide MTB and (b) sepiolite structure.

**Freeze-Dried Herbicide Clay–Gel Formulations.** Part of the obtained clay–gel formulations were placed in a freezer for 24 h and then freeze-dried (formulations denoted as LF0.5 and LF1, corresponding to 28.6 or 16.7% of a.i.). After the formulations were freeze-dried, MTB was extracted with methanol (1:2, w/v), with the aim of checking the possible herbicide losses. More than 95% of MTB was recovered. The extraction efficiency of the method was 95.8 ± 1.5%. MTB extraction was carried out in triplicate.

**Analytical Methods.** HPLC Analysis. HPLC was employed for the analysis of MTB. The conditions were as follows: mobile phase, acetonitrile/water (60:40); flow, 0.6 mL/min; temperature, 30 °C; chromatographic columns kromasil C18 reverse phase; and for detection, a Shimadzu 2010 AHT series UV detector with a wavelength of 254 nm.

**Microbiological Analysis of Soil.** Soil bioactivity was evaluated by measuring the dehydrogenase activity (DA) for all soils used in the dissipation experiments (Table 1). This enzyme is active only in living organisms and is an indicator of soil microbial activity.

The DA assay was performed in triplicate after 2 weeks of incubation. Soil samples (5 g) were incubated at 30 °C with 5 mL of colorless TTC solution (0.5% by weight) in 0.1 M Tris buffer adjusted to pH 7.6 with HCl. TTC was reduced by dehydrogenase enzymes to become the red, water-insoluble TPF, which was extracted with 25 mL of acetone after 24 h of incubation. The samples were shaken for 1 h and centrifuged at 5000g for 12 min. The intensity of the red color of the supernatant was measured by spectrophotometry at 485 nm and converted to bioactivity [mg of TPF (kg of soil)⁻¹] based on a set of TPF standards.

**Experiments.** MTB Adsorption–Desorption Studies on Soil. Adsorption experiments were performed in triplicate by mixing 10 g of the soil with 20 mL of 0.01 M Ca(NO₃)₂ solution containing various concentrations (1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 mg L⁻¹) of MTB, in 50 mL polypropylene centrifuge tubes. The samples were shaken for 24 h at 20 ± 1 °C. This reaction duration was chosen from preliminary kinetic studies (not shown), which showed that, by this time, adsorption had reached pseudo-equilibrium. After shaking on a platform shaker, the suspensions were centrifuged and the extraction of MTB in the supernatant was determined by HPLC. The difference in pesticide concentration between the initial and final equilibrium solutions was assumed to be due to sorption, and the amount of MTB retained by the soil was calculated.

Desorption experiments were performed after adsorption equilibrium was reached for the points corresponding to initial MTB concentrations of 1, 5, and 10 mg L⁻¹, by removing half of the supernatant after centrifugation, replacing it with 10 mL of 0.01 M Ca(NO₃)₂ solution, allowing equilibration for an additional 24 h period, and then proceeding as in the adsorption experiment. This process was repeated twice.

Sorption–desorption isotherms were fitted to the logarithmic form of the Freundlich equation

\[
\log Cs = \log K_f + n \log Ce
\]

where Cs (µmol kg⁻¹) is the amount of herbicide sorbed at the equilibrium concentration Ce (µmol L⁻¹) and \( K_f \) and \( n \) are constants that characterize the relative sorption capacity and the sorption intensity, respectively, of the herbicide.

Hysteresis coefficients, \( H \), for the sorption–desorption isotherms were calculated according to

\[
H = na/nd
\]

where na and nd are the Freundlich \( n \) constants obtained from the sorption and desorption isotherms, respectively. This \( na/nd \) ratio has also been used by other authors to describe the hysteretic behavior of desorption from soils (23–26).

**Water Release Studies.** To compare the release behavior of the different formulations obtained, dissolution tests of the commercial MTB formulation (CM) and all clay–gel-based formulations (G0.5, G1, LF0.5, and LF1) were performed in triplicate with a rotate paddle apparatus (Sotax). Formulations containing 10 mg of MTB were added to 500 mL of deionized water. The gel formulations were placed in
order rate of degradation and the DT adequately (and often better than the other two models). The first-order kinetic model always described the data accurately (and often better than the other two models). Parameters were optimized according to recommendations by FOCUS (Gustafson and Holden), and a first-order sequential model (Hockey Stick) was then extracted from the soil samples with methanol and analyzed by HPLC. Three kinetic models were fitted to the degradation curves: a simple first-order equation, a first-order multicompartment model (Gustafson and Holden), and a first-order sequential model (Hockey Stick). Parameters were optimized according to recommendations by FOCUS (27), using the least-squares method with Microsoft Excel Solver. The simple first-order kinetic model always described the data adequately (and often better than the other two models). The first-order rate of degradation and the DT50 (time required for 50% of the initial dose of herbicide to be degraded) of each formulation in each soil were determined with the following equations:

\[
\ln C = \ln C_0 - kt \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{DT50} = \ln \frac{2}{k} \quad (4)
\]

where \(C\) is the concentration of herbicide remaining in the soil (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) after \(t\) days, \(C_0\) is the initial concentration of pesticide (mg kg\(^{-1}\)), and \(k\) is the rate of degradation (day\(^{-1}\)).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Adsorption—Desorption Experiments.** Figure 2 shows the sorption—desorption isotherms of MTB in the three soils studied. The isotherms were L type (concave initial curvature) according to the classification of Giles et al. (28). In all cases, MTB adsorption isotherms were well-described by the linearized Freundlich equation (Table 2). The sorption isotherms were compared using the \(K_f\) parameter of the Freundlich equation. The constant \(K_f\) is the amount of pesticide sorbed for an equilibrium concentration of 1 \(\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}\) and, hence, represents adsorption at low adsorbate concentrations. \(K_f\) values can be used to compare the adsorption capacity of the different soils studied. The reported values indicate a low retention of MTB in the samples, and, then, a high potential mobility in the soil. Soil adsorption capacity increased in the following order: sandy < silt loam < clay

MTB adsorption has been previously related to the OM content of soil (29), and the \(K_f\) value of our isotherms indicated that the affinity of the clay soil for MTB was related to the OM content, because the soil with higher \(K_f\) is the soil with more OM. However, strong correlations have sometimes been found between the clay content and the adsorption of MTB. For instance, Harper (30) studied the behavior of the adsorption of MTB on a silt clay loam soil with a low level of OM and observed that the clay content was the single best predictor of its adsorption. Indeed, in low OM soils, the contribution of the inorganic constituents to pesticide retention can be significant (31). The soils in the current study have low OM contents (Table 1), and therefore, the clay content in these soils would also influence the MTB adsorption prediction.

MTB desorption from two of the investigated soils (clay and silt loam) was hysteretic (Figure 2); that is, the desorption behavior deviated markedly from that of the adsorption isotherms, indicating that MTB adsorption in these soils was not completely reversible. This failure to completely recover adsorbed MTB has also been reported by Selim (32) for silt loam soil. Desorption isotherms were also described by the Freundlich equation (eq 1), and hysteresis coefficients were calculated according to eq 2. Hysteresis coefficients (\(H\)) and the percentage of MTB desorbed with respect to that previously adsorbed during the adsorption process (\%D) are shown in Table 3.

Of particular note is the case of sandy soil, where 100% of the MTB was desorbed for all equilibrium concentrations, leading us to conclude that soil properties should be taken into consideration to predict the soil desorption capacity, especially OM and clay content. For the other two soils, similar %D values were obtained for all initial MTB concentrations used. Likewise,
the lowest $H$ values were observed for the sandy soil, indicating the reversible adsorption behavior of this herbicide in the sandy soil.

### Influence of MTB Formulation on Its Dissipation in Soil.

Parts a and b of Figure 3 show the influence of the formulation type and formulation loading on the MTB release into water. The release of MTB from CRFs was retarded in comparison to the commercial formulation, except for the G0.5 formulation, which showed retarded release only in the first few hours after the experiment was started, with a final release similar to that of CM (∼100%). The release rates of the gel formulations were dramatically affected by the clay/MTB ratio, as shown in Figure 3a.

The gels prepared using 0.5 g of sepiolite (G0.5) showed lower viscosity than those prepared with 1 g of sepiolite, which would imply higher diffusion rates in the case of G0.5 formulation over that from the higher viscosity formulation G1. However, the freeze-dried formulation prepared with 0.5 g of sepiolite (LF0.5) showed a slow release similar to that of the corresponding gel formula, because of the collapse during this treatment of the fibers, which would have strongly entrapped the herbicide and impeded its release into water. The data show that the type of formulation affects the release of MTB into water more than herbicide loading.

### Influence of Soil Type on MTB Dissipation in Soils.

Table 3 shows the degradation rate and DT$_{50}$ for the soils studied. Overall, the MTB dissipation in all soils investigated was reduced when the herbicide was applied as lyophilized-gel—clay-based formulation, especially in the case of LF1. When using CRFs, the soil where the decrease in degradation was most favorable was sandy soil with values of 104.1 and 57.5 days for LF1 and LF 0.5, respectively, versus 24.8 days for CM. These results are very important because sandy soils present more problems from an environmental point of view.

### Influence of MTB Formulation on Its Dissipation in Soil.

The CRFs used in the dissipation experiments (G1, LF0.5, and LF1) were selected because of the slow-release experiments in comparison to the release from the CM formulation.

The experimental data on MTB degradation from all of the formulations studied (commercial and CRFs) on the three soils assayed showed an excellent fit to the single first-order (SFO) kinetic model. SFO degradation curves are shown in Figure 4. There was no initial lag phase, normally attributed to the adaptation of the microbial population, on any degradation curves. This behavior is often observed in the laboratory studies, where faster degradation is often followed by a slower decline in pesticide residues.

SFO degradation constants ($k$) and half-lives (DT$_{50}$) deduced from the kinetic model are reported in Table 4. MTB degradation rates in all soils investigated were similar regardless when the herbicide was applied as gel—clay-based formulation or commercial formulation. This similarity was observed despite the fact that the water release of G1 was 60% compared to 100% for the CM and 65 and 58% for LF0.5 and LF1, respectively. The behavior of G1 could be due to the fact that the gel could increase the level of microbial activity in the formulation surroundings. The order of the MTB dissipation rate was G1 ≥ CM > LF0.5 > LF1. These results indicate the great influence of the formulation type on MTB dissipation in soil. The percentage of MTB degraded from the freeze-dried formulations LF 0.5 and LF1 was related to the rate of MTB release into water.

### Influence of Soil Type on MTB Dissipation in Soils.

Table 4 shows the degradation rate and DT$_{50}$ for the soils studied. Overall, the MTB dissipation in all soils investigated was reduced when the herbicide was applied as lyophilized-gel—clay-based formulation, especially in the case of LF1. When using CRFs, the soil where the decrease in degradation was most favorable was sandy soil with values of 104.1 and 57.5 days for LF1 and LF 0.5, respectively, versus 24.8 days for CM. These results are very important because sandy soils present more problems from an environmental point of view.

Many studies have demonstrated a positive influence of pH, microbial biomass, and microbial metabolic diversity on the degradation rate. In our case, a direct relationship was observed between the bioactivity (DA) of the soils and the degradation rate (Table 1). The lowest rate of degradation was observed in the soil exhibiting the weakest bioactivity (silt loam soil), whereas clay soil showing the highest dehydrogenase activity was the soil with the highest MTB degradation and the lowest DT$_{50}$ (Table 4).

A positive relationship was not found between the degradation rates and the OM content for all of the tested formulations, including the commercial MTB formulation as well. However, other authors showed a positive relationship because of the enhanced bioactivity in soils having a larger OC content.
The soils studied here show very low OM content and do not present a direct relationship between soil bioactivity (dehydrogenase activity) and OM content.

In conclusion, MTB degradation from all clay–gel-based formulations studied was influenced by pH and bioactivity of the investigated soils.

**Link between Sorption–Desorption and Degradation Processes.** The processes of adsorption and degradation have often been found to be correlated (37); however, there was no clear relationship between degradation \( K_d \) and sorption \( K_f \) for MTB in our selected soils. It is well-recognized that degradation processes can be restricted to the soil solution, and those sorbed molecules may be protected from microbial attacks (38). However, the clay soil that presents the highest adsorption capacity of the studied soils showed the highest degradation rate, while the sandy soil and the silt loam soil showed opposite trends. The soils of the present study showed low adsorption capacity, and it is difficult to distinguish the influence of this process on degradation, because OM has a positive effect on sorption and degradation through the increase of bioactivity of soil. Therefore, it is difficult to distinguish the effect of the adsorption process on degradation. In addition, the percentage of MTB desorbed with respect to that which had been previously adsorbed during the adsorption process \((\%D)\) is large, with values from 65 to 100% (Table 3).

MTB seems to be primarily degraded by microorganisms because of the relationship between the degradation rate and bioactivity.

Kah et al. (18) carried out sorption–degradation studies with six acidic pesticides and four basic pesticides, including MTB; they did not find a significant correlation between the sorption coefficient and degradation rates for MTB and for most of the other pesticides studied. On the contrary, Villaverde et al. (39) carried out adsorption and degradation experiments with four acidic pesticides and significant positive correlations were obtained between the adsorption coefficients and the degradation rate constant for three of the pesticides investigated, which was attributed to the higher number of microorganisms that could be formed near the adsorption point.

A relationship between degradation and adsorption is more likely for soils with similar properties (18); however, the soils of the current study present large differences in their physicochemical characteristics, and in consequence, there is a great influence of the different soil properties on the degradation processes. Therefore, a link between sorption and degradation processes is difficult to establish.
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