Entanglement of arbitrary spin fields in noninertial frames

Miguel Montero and Eduardo Martín-Martínez
Instituto de Física Fundamental, CSIC, Serrano 113-B, E-28006 Madrid, Spain
(Received 4 May 2011; published 29 July 2011)

We generalize the study of fermionic and bosonic entanglement in noninertial frames to fields of arbitrary spin and beyond the single-mode approximation. After the general analysis we particularize for two interesting cases: entanglement between an inertial and an accelerated observer for massless fields of spin 1 (electromagnetic) and spin 3/2 (Rarita-Schwinger). We show that, in the limit of infinite acceleration, no significant differences appear between the different spin fields for the states considered.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The novel field of relativistic quantum information has experienced a quick development in the recent past [1–24]. Among other topics, this field includes the study of quantum correlations affected by gravitational effects or a field state described by a noninertial observer. It has been recently shown in [22] that the so-called single-mode approximation [1,4] was misunderstood and, furthermore, does not hold in most of the cases. It was also shown that to properly take into account all the features of entanglement in noninertial frames it is necessary to go beyond such an approximation [22–25].

So far, most of the works have only considered spinless fields, either bosonic or fermionic [5–18,22]. Only a few works have considered fields of nonzero spin in this context, only in very specific cases (spin 1/2) [14,26,27], and always assuming the single-mode approximation. In this work we provide the tools necessary to extend these studies to fields of arbitrary spin and beyond the single-mode approximation. We do so via the explicit computation of the general expression for the vacuum and Unruh excitations in the Rindler basis for the arbitrary spin case. Given these expressions, the study of entanglement in any setting in which only a finite number of relevant modes play a role becomes straightforward. To illustrate, we explicitly study entanglement behavior as a function of acceleration for the particular case of fields of spin 1 and spin 3/2, cases that have not been properly studied before (see Sec. IV A).

In our setting, and for the sake of simplicity, we consider a (1 + 1)-dimensional space-time, although the results can be readily extended to higher-dimensional space-times as well. The spin-quantization axis is chosen along the acceleration direction so no Thomas precession occurs, which is common in relativistic quantum information literature [6,14,22]. Throughout our work, we refer to the causally disconnected left and right wedges of the flat space-time shown in Fig. 1 as regions I and II. The world line of a uniformly accelerated Rindler observer must lie in either region I or region II. Since both regions are globally hyperbolic, they admit independent quantum field theory constructions [28,29], each having its own set of creation and annihilation operators. If we want to build a quantum field theory for all of Minkowski space-time, both of these constructions have to be taken into account, and therefore the total Hilbert space factorizes as $\mathcal{H}_I \otimes \mathcal{H}_{II}$. As it can be seen elsewhere [5,6,14,22], entanglement effects in noninertial frames are, in fact, related to the nontrivial change from the Minkowski to the Rindler basis.

II. FERMIONIC FIELDS

In the context of fermionic fields, we can define a set of inertial modes that are expressed as monochromatic modes in the accelerated observer Fock basis. These modes are named “Unruh modes” [22], and the creation operators associated with them are defined by

$$C_{\omega,\sigma,R}^+ = \cos r_\omega c_{\omega,\sigma,1}^+ - \sin r_\omega d_{\omega,-\sigma,1},$$

$$C_{\omega,\sigma,L}^+ = \cos r_\omega c_{\omega,\sigma,1}^+ + \sin r_\omega d_{\omega,-\sigma,1}. \quad (1)$$

Here, the operator $c_{\omega,\sigma,1}$ corresponds to the Rindler mode of frequency $\omega$ and spin $\sigma$ in region I, and $d_{\omega,-\sigma,1}$ corresponds to its antiparticle; the same considerations apply to region II. The parameter $r_\omega$ is defined by

$$\tan r_\omega = e^{-\omega a}/a, \quad (2)$$

where $a$ is the proper acceleration of the observer. Notice that the extension to massive fields is direct if we replace $\omega/c$ by $|k|$ in (2) (see [22,30]).

It can be easily proved that, regardless of the formal differences among inner products for different spin fields, Eq. (1) is valid for arbitrary spin following the analytical continuation arguments in [22,28,31] that also apply here. Analog expressions apply for the bosonic case (see Sec. III and [29]).

As (1) shows, there are two distinct kinds of Unruh modes, which we label as right (R) and left (L) modes. A general Unruh mode is therefore a linear combination of the form

$$C_{\omega,\sigma,U}^+ = q_R C_{\omega,\sigma,R}^+ + q_L C_{\omega,-\sigma,L}^+. \quad (3)$$

satisfying the obvious normalization condition $|q_R|^2 + |q_L|^2 = 1$. The single-mode approximation consisted in the assumption that the Unruh mode with $q_R = 1$ is a good approximation for a Minkowski monochromatic mode. This is not the case, as such modes, when expressed in terms of Unruh modes, have important contributions from modes (3) with $q_R \neq 1$ [22].
Therefore, considering arbitrary Unruh modes is necessary in general.

As shown elsewhere [28,32], the Minkowski vacuum can be factorized as a product of the vacua of all different Unruh modes,

\[ |0\rangle_M = \bigotimes_\omega |0\rangle_{\omega,U}, \]

where \( \omega \) is the Rindler frequency associated to the Unruh mode (see, among others, [22,29]). This means that each independent Unruh mode of Rindler frequency \( \omega \) can be studied separately.

In order to express the Minkowski vacuum state in terms of Rindler modes we take advantage of the fact that the Minkowski vacuum is annihilated by all the Unruh annihilation operators; that is, \( C_{\omega,\sigma,U}|0\rangle_M = 0 \). Since we work only with Unruh modes of a single Rindler frequency, we may drop the label \( \omega \) for the rest of the section. In other words, we only need to consider a single frequency sector of the vacuum state \(|0\rangle_{\omega,U}\).

Although the condition \( C_{\omega,\sigma,U}|0\rangle_{U} = 0 \) uniquely determines the vacuum state, we still have to specify a Hilbert space basis. We employ a number basis obtained by applying Rindler creation operators on the Minkowski vacuum, as is commonplace in the field. Nevertheless, due to the fermionic nature of the field, we also have to specify the order in which the operators act so as to completely specify the basis. The differences between these bases may have nontrivial effects on entanglement, a phenomenon thoroughly studied in [25].

We find that a specific fermionic operator ordering results is particularly useful to generalize the results for arbitrary spin, keeping in mind that changing to any other ordering is trivial once the state has been computed. Before we obtain the expressions for the vacuum and arbitrary excitations for fermionic fields, we introduce some notation. For a fermionic field of spin \( s \), there are \( 4(2^s + 1) \) modes of equal frequency (the factor of 4 takes into account particles and antiparticles in both regions I and II). To define our Fock basis we must select a specific operator ordering for the creation operators associated to these modes. A state with a definite number of particles in the Rindler basis is denoted by \( |\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2^s+1}\rangle \), where \( \alpha_i \in \{0, 1\} \) indicates whether the \( i \)th mode in the chosen fermionic operator ordering is populated. In other words, we can identify each number state by a certain binary number. This notation also applies to any factorization of the Hilbert space we may perform, as \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_I \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_s \). In this case, a state in \( \mathcal{H} \) may be obtained simply by concatenating the binary numbers for states in each \( \mathcal{H}_i \).

To calculate the vacuum state and excitations in terms of Rindler modes, we choose the specific operator ordering defined by the fully excited state

\[ |1 \cdots 1\rangle = \prod_\sigma (c_{\sigma,1}^\dagger d_{-\sigma,1}^\dagger c_{-\sigma,1}^\dagger)|0\rangle. \]

Here, \( \sigma \) is a label running over the \( 2s + 1 \) values of the spin \( z \) component. The ordering (5) groups together all the region I operators of a given spin \( z \) component with all the region II operators with the reverse spin \( z \) component. It therefore suggests a factorization of the Hilbert space as

\[ \mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_\sigma \mathcal{H}_\sigma, \]

where the vacuum state of each \( \mathcal{H}_\sigma \), \( |0\rangle_\sigma \), satisfies \( C_{\sigma,R}|0\rangle_\sigma = C_{-\sigma,L}|0\rangle_\sigma = 0 \). These relations for any fixed \( \sigma \) are exactly those found for the Grassman scalar field which is ubiquitous in the relativistic quantum information literature [6,22,33–36]. Therefore, the problem of finding the vacuum and excitations for arbitrary spin is formally equivalent to \( 2s + 1 \) copies of the Grassman scalar case.

We make another factorization of \( \mathcal{H}_\sigma \) into left and right sectors, as is implied by the ordering (5) where, for any \( \sigma \), the first two operators correspond precisely to the right Unruh mode and the other two correspond to the left Unruh mode. The vacuum for the right sector now obeys the single condition \( C_{\sigma,R}|0\rangle_{\sigma,R} = 0 \) and involves only region I particle modes and region II antiparticle modes. Using (1), it is straightforward to verify that

\[ |0\rangle_{\sigma,R} = \cos r_{\omega}|0\rangle + \sin r_{\omega}|1\rangle = (\cos r_{\omega}1 + \sin r_{\omega}c_{\sigma,1}^\dagger c_{-\sigma,1}^\dagger)|0\rangle_{\text{Rin}}, \]

where \(|0\rangle_{\text{Rin}}\) is the Rindler vacuum.

Similarly, for the left sector, one finds

\[ |0\rangle_{\sigma,L} = \cos r_{\omega}|0\rangle - \sin r_{\omega}|1\rangle = (\cos r_{\omega}1 - \sin r_{\omega}c_{\sigma,1}^\dagger c_{-\sigma,1}^\dagger)|0\rangle_{\text{Rin}}, \]

where the extra minus sign comes from the reversed operator ordering. (We take the criterion of having region I operators appear before region II operators within a given sector; however, this is purely conventional.)

Grouping results (7) and (8) together we find the vacuum for a single \( \sigma \) to be

\[ |0\rangle_{\sigma} = \cos^2 r_{\omega}|0000\rangle - \sin r_{\omega}\cos r_{\omega}|0011\rangle + \sin r_{\omega}\cos r_{\omega}|1100\rangle - \sin^2 r_{\omega}|1111\rangle, \]
where the notation is implicitly defined by grouping the operators in (7) and (8) as

\[ |1111⟩ = c^+_ωσ_1d^+_σ_1|0⟩σ_1d^+_ωσ_2|0⟩σ_2d^+_σ_2|0⟩σ_3d^+_ωσ_3|0⟩σ_4d^+_σ_4|0⟩σ_5R_{in} \]  

(10)

The one-particle excitations are obtained straightforwardly by applying Eqs. (3)–(9),

\[ |1⟩σ = (q_RC^+_σ R + q_LC^+_σ L)|0⟩σ \]

\[ = q_R[\cos r_ω(|10⟩ - |01⟩)] + q_L[\sin r_ω(|11⟩ + |00⟩)]. \]  

(11)

With these, we are nearly done: The vacuum state for a single Unruh mode of arbitrary spin in the operator ordering (5) is given by

\[ |0⟩U = \bigotimes_σ |0⟩σ. \]  

(12)

where we remind the reader that the tensor product of two states in different spin sectors in our notation is obtained simply by concatenating their expressions.

In order to compute an arbitrary Unruh excitation of the form

\[ |σ_1, \ldots, σ_N⟩U = C^+_σ_1U \cdots C^+_σ_NU|0⟩U, \]  

(13)

we only have to rearrange the operators \( C^+_σ U \) so that they have the same ordering as the product in (5), and then substitute the factors \( |0⟩σ \) by \( |1⟩σ \) in (12). This is possible because the vacuum states for each sector \( |0⟩σ \) are superpositions of terms with an even number of particles and therefore no anticommutation signs appear when the operator \( C^+_σ U \) “goes through” the operators in sector \( σ \).

Some final considerations are in order. As mentioned above, only Dirac fermions have been considered so far. The translation of these results to Majorana fermions is straightforward since, although the distinction between particle and antiparticle modes of the same helicity is lost, the Unruh modes (1) mix particles of different helicities. The Majorana case is therefore analogous to that of the Grassman scalar field, with particles of negative helicity playing the role of antiparticles.

Finally, we remark that the state coefficients in the basis related to any other operator ordering different from (5) can be readily obtained from the above expressions by simply rearranging the operators. Therefore, the coefficients in any ordering differ from those computed above at most by a sign.

III. BOSONIC FIELDS

The notation and arguments employed in the previous section for fermionic fields can be carried over to the bosonic case almost without modification. The main differences are that in the bosonic case no sign ambiguity concerning operator ordering may appear, that the number of excitations in each mode is unbounded due to the lack of any Pauli exclusion principle (and thus the states can no longer be labeled by a binary number), and that in the bosonic case the Unruh modes are given by

\[ A^+_ωσ_1 = \cosh r_ω a^+_ωσ_1 + \sinh r_ω a_ωσ_1. \]

\[ A^+_σ_1 = \cosh r_ω a^+_σ_1 - \sinh r_ω a_ωσ_1. \]

(14)

where the parameter \( r_ω \) is now defined by \( \tanh r_ω = e^{-ω/|ω|}. \) Note that no distinctions are made between particle and antiparticle modes since, contrary to the case of Dirac fermion fields, antiparticles are not a necessity of the formalism. Should we want to treat a complex field with distinct particles and antiparticles, we would merely add another subscript indicating particle species to the operators. As all the magnitudes that change under time reversal, this label should change in the second term of the Unruh modes (14) just like spin does. The Unruh mode under consideration, analogous to (3), is

\[ A^+_ωσ_1 U = q_RA^+_ωσ_1 R + q_LA^+_ωσ_1 L. \]  

(15)

As in the previous section, we henceforth drop the frequency label \( ω \) since it plays no role in our calculations.

As before, we can factor the Hilbert space in a product of the different degrees of freedom of the field

\[ \mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_σ \mathcal{H}_σ, \]  

(16)

where \( σ \) takes \( 2s + 1 \) distinct values for a massive field. Although all the operator orderings lead to the same basis in the bosonic case, it is still important to specify the notation we use for the field excitations. We employ the ordering analogous to (5),

\[ |1 \cdots 1⟩ = \prod_σ (a^+_σ U a^+_σ U) |0⟩ U. \]  

(17)

The vacuum and arbitrary particle excitations are given, as in the fermionic case, by the expressions

\[ |0⟩U = \bigotimes_σ |0⟩σ \]  

(18)

and

\[ \otimes_σ |n⟩σ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! \cdots n_k!}} (A^+_σ U)^{n_1} \cdots (A^+_σ U)^{n_k} |0⟩U. \]  

(19)

Notice that the complete state is obtained by concatenating all the different spin sectors.

Therefore, all that remains is to find the vacuum and arbitrary excitation in the Rindler basis for any fixed \( σ \) subspace. In other words, we only need to compute the vacuum and arbitrary excitation for the scalar field.

Following [22], we make a squeezed vacuum state ansatz for \( |0⟩σ \),

\[ |0⟩σ = \sum_{n=0}^{∞} f(n)|n⟩σ, \]  

(20)

where, following our notation, we have

\[ |n⟩σ = \frac{1}{n!} (a^+_σ U)^n (a^+_σ U)^n |0⟩R_{in}. \]  

(21)

If we now impose the obvious conditions \( A^+σ R|0⟩σ = A^+σ L|0⟩σ = 0 \), we get the recurrence relation

\[ \cosh r_ω f(n) - \sinh r_ω f(n - 1) = 0 \]  

(22)
with solution \( f(n) = C_N \tan^2 r_\omega \). The constant \( C_N \) can be found from the normalization condition

\[
C_N^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tan^2 n r_\omega = 1. \tag{23}
\]

The geometric series is readily evaluated as

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tan^2 n r_\omega = \frac{1}{1 - \tan^2 r_\omega} = \cosh^2 r_\omega \tag{24}
\]

and therefore \( C_N = 1/ \cosh r_\omega \). The vacuum state is then

\[
|0\rangle_\sigma = \frac{1}{\cosh r_\omega} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tan^n r_\omega |n n\rangle. \tag{25}
\]

Hence, the one-particle excitation is

\[
|1\rangle_\sigma = (q_R A^\dagger_{\sigma, R} + q_L A^\dagger_{\sigma, L})|0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\cosh r_\omega} |\Phi^n\rangle,
\]

\[
|\Phi^n\rangle = q_L |n(n+1)\rangle + q_R |n(n+1)\rangle. \tag{26}
\]

With these results, the higher spin analogs of all the states previously considered in the literature can be readily studied. For higher excitations, a recurrence relation can be found: If we write the excitation as

\[
|n\rangle_\sigma = \sum g_n(k,l) |k l\rangle, \tag{27}
\]

then applying the Unruh creation operator and dividing by \( \sqrt{n+1} \) to normalize we obtain the recurrence relations

\[
g_{n+1}(k+1,l) = \frac{q_R}{\sqrt{n+1}} \left[ \sqrt{k+1} \cos r_\omega g(k,l) + \sqrt{l+1} \sinh r_\omega g(k+1,l+1) \right],
\]

\[
g_{n+1}(k,l+1) = \frac{q_L}{\sqrt{n+1}} \left[ \sqrt{l+1} \cos r_\omega g(k,l) + \sqrt{k+1} \sinh r_\omega g(k+1,l+1) \right]. \tag{28}
\]

These relations, together with expression (25) for the vacuum state, uniquely determine the arbitrary particle excitations.

**IV. ENTANGLEMENT IN FIELDS OF HIGHER SPIN**

In this section we study entanglement in bipartite field states of arbitrary spin of the form

\[
|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A |A\rangle_R + |0\rangle_A |B\rangle_R \right), \tag{29}
\]

where \(|0\rangle_A, |1\rangle_A\rangle is a qubit Hilbert space basis for Alice, who is customarily taken to be watching an inertial field mode (i.e., Alice is an inertial observer) and \(|A\rangle_R, |B\rangle_R\) are two states obtained by applying an arbitrary linear combination of products of Unruh creation operators to the Minkowski vacuum, at a frequency very different from Alice’s modes so that their overlap is negligible. These states compose the second part of the system, which is watched by a uniformly accelerated observer (Rob) moving in region I of Minkowski space-time. Since Rob is noninertial, the natural coordinates to describe the field from his viewpoint are Rindler coordinates and, thus, their associated Rindler basis.

Also, since Rob is unable to access the field outside region I, he must trace over region II modes to obtain a physical mixed state which describes the correlations in the Alice-Rob bipartite system. It is in this reduced state where we study entanglement. We employ the negativity [37], an entanglement measure suited for the study of mixed states. It is defined as the absolute value of the sum of the negative eigenvalues of the partial transpose matrix.

The results obtained in Secs. II and III allow us to express any field state in the Rindler basis and also provide new tools which make the study of entanglement in some settings trivial. For instance, looking at (18) or (12) we see that, if we have a state in which only a single \( \sigma \) is excited, say \( \sigma_i \), then the state factors as

\[
|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{\sigma_i} \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j \neq i} |0\rangle_{\sigma_j} \right). \tag{30}
\]

If state (30) is entangled, all of the entanglement must be in the factor \(|\Psi\rangle_{\sigma_i}\), which implies that the entanglements in the states \(|\Psi\rangle_{\sigma_i}\) and \(|\Psi\rangle\) are the same. Thus, the existence of this spin factorization explains the universality phenomenon found [14,26] where the Grassman field state

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A |0\rangle_R \pm |1\rangle_A |1\rangle_R \right) \tag{31}
\]

and the Dirac field state

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A |0\rangle_R \pm |1\rangle_A |\sigma\rangle_R \right) \tag{32}
\]

with \( \sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\} \) were found to have exactly the same entanglement. Notice that this argument requires the use of a Hilbert space basis associated with a specific operator ordering. However, as seen in Sec. II and studied in detail in [25], entanglement changes when different operator orderings are chosen. Nevertheless, it can be shown that the equality remains true for any other ordering.

The same arguments hold for bosonic fields even more directly, as in this case there is no operator ordering ambiguity. This means that the massless spin 1 state

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A |0\rangle_R \pm |1\rangle_A |p\rangle_R \right), \tag{33}
\]

where \( p \in \{L,R\} \) describes helicity, has the same entanglement properties as the scalar field state

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A |0\rangle_R \pm |1\rangle_A |1\rangle_R \right). \tag{34}
\]

We now study entanglement in slightly less trivial states, using the results of Secs. II and III to express Rob’s part of the state in the Rindler basis. We consider both the massless spin-1 case and the massive spin-3/2 case.

**A. Spin 1**

This is a very interesting case since it corresponds to the electromagnetic field. Noninertial entanglement for the electromagnetic field has been examined before [38]. However, several technical misconceptions invalidate those previous
null
(1) the “spin” ordering (5) used in Sec. II to obtain the expressions for the excitations in arbitrary spins;
(2) a generalization of the “canonical” ordering employed in [22], which exploits the tensor product structure of the whole space in terms of left and right sectors, rather than the spin structure; and
(3) the physically preferred class of operator orderings discussed in [25], namely, those orderings in which all region I operators appear to the left of all region II operators. All these orderings result in the same negativity. The last curve in Fig. 3 represents this “physical” negativity class.

Note that the physical and canonical negativities lie very close to each other for all values of \(q_R\); this is but a quirk of the state (36) and does not happen in general. The spin ordering in this case happens to deviate significantly from the other two curves. Nevertheless, all three curves present a qualitatively similar behavior: A maximum entanglement is reached and afterward it is degraded up to a finite limit, a characteristic which is the hallmark of fermionic statistics [6]. This finite limit is independent of \(q_R\) for both the physical and the canonical negativities, but not so for the spin one.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have found expressions for the vacuum and Unruh excitations beyond the single-mode approximation for fields of arbitrary spin. By taking advantage of an appropriate tensor product structure of the Hilbert space, the problem was reduced to computing these quantities for spin 0, a case well known in the literature.

The expressions derived here therefore make it straightforward to extend all the previous studies in quantum information to fields of arbitrary spin, both under and beyond the single-mode approximation. The formalism developed here can be also used to study other internal degrees of freedom that were not affected by the kinematical state of the observer.

We have applied our formalism to study the most accessible quantum field for performing quantum information, the electromagnetic field, which is of spin 1. Some entanglement amplification was found in the spin-1 singlet state for some values of \(q_R \neq 1/\sqrt{2}\).

We also considered a representative state for the spin-3/2 field. We studied the negativities in the bases associated to three different operator orderings: the spin ordering used in Sec. II to easily compute the vacuum and excitations, the canonical ordering used in previous literature [22], and the physical ordering as developed in [25]. The entanglement behavior was qualitatively similar in all these cases.

All our considerations can be of course exported to a setting consisting of two observers in the vicinity of a black hole, one standing still close to the horizon and the other free-falling. The details of this correspondence can be found in [19]. These results, along with the banishment of the single-mode approximation in [22], provide a fully general formalism to analyze the entanglement of quantum fields in noninertial frames.
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