Habitat, world geographic range, and embryonic development of hosts explain the prevalence of avian hematozoa at small spatial and phylogenetic scales
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ABSTRACT The factors explaining interspecific differences in prevalences of blood parasites in birds are poorly known. We simultaneously assessed 20 social, ecological, life history, and sampling-related variables that could influence hemoparasite prevalences among diurnal birds of prey in Spain. Our results show that multiple factors are responsible for the studied host–parasite association. We confirmed for the first time that prevalence is inversely correlated to the embryonic development period, and thus probably to immune performance, even among closely related birds. Macrohabitat features related to vector availability are also important, prevalences being higher in species breeding in forested habitats. Finally, prevalence is positively correlated with the host’s world geographic range. We hypothesize that larger geographic ranges offered more opportunities for host-vector-hemoparasite associations to become established. The results from our multivariate analyses differ from those obtained through univariate ones, showing that all potential factors should be assessed jointly when testing any ecological or evolutionary hypothesis dealing with parasites.

The study of avian blood parasites has received much attention during the last century. Bennett et al. (1) compiled 5,640 papers published on avian hematozoa since the discovery of these parasites in 1885, most of which deal with the taxonomy and distribution of blood parasites. More recently, Hamilton and Zuk (2) triggered a renewed interest in avian hematozoa among behavioral and evolutionary ecologists, who have greatly increased their research on the effects of these and other parasites on different traits of avian hosts (3, 4). However, relatively few studies examined the epizootiology of parasites and the factors explaining patterns in host–parasite associations, especially in the case of avian blood parasites (5).

Hamilton and Zuk (2) concluded that plumage brightness is positively correlated with rates of parasitism by hematozoa, but they did not attempt to explain why variability in blood parasitemias exists. However, the large number of conflicting results obtained during tests of this hypothesis prompted researchers to look for factors that could explain such variability, both at intra- and interspecific levels, and that could obscure the patterns originally showed by Hamilton and Zuk (2). The prevalence of hemoparasites is not a species-specific constant; in addition to seasonal variations associated with the host’s breeding cycle (6), blood parasitemias also vary between years (7, 8) and populations (9, 10), presumably because of ecological factors affecting both host condition and vector abundance. Interspecific differences in avian blood parasitemias, both at macrohabitat (11) and microhabitat scales (12), might be influenced by habitat-dependent distribution of vectors (hematophagous arthropods). Migratory bird species may have a higher risk of parasitization by being exposed to a wider array of parasites and vectors (13, 14). Plumage coloration could influence the risk of parasitization if vectors were differentially attracted by colors (15). Host immunity also could play an important role, as suggested by Ricklefs (16), who showed that prevalences of hematozoan infections among nonraptorial, altricial birds are inversely correlated with embryonic development period. Prevalences of blood parasites vary between taxonomic groups (17), mainly at the family level (16).

Most studies of differential prevalences of hematozoa in birds have considered only a few explanatory variables. When different variables have been included in multivariate analyses, inconsistent results were obtained (12, 18–21). Moreover, some factors that are known to affect other bird–parasite associations (5) have rarely or never been assessed in studies of blood parasites. For instance, the richness of helminth parasites in birds is positively correlated with their geographical ranges (22). A similar positive correlation with blood parasite prevalences is likely if larger ranges offer more opportunities for host–parasite associations.

Determining the sources of variability in blood parasitemias is important not only for the understanding of host–parasite associations, but also for identifying confounding variables when testing evolutionary hypotheses (9, 10, 15). The objective of this study was to assess simultaneously all factors that have been identified as possible causes of interspecific differences in the prevalences of blood parasites in birds. We sampled closely related birds (diurnal raptors) that breed in Spain to reduce the importance of geographic and phylogenetic confounding variables. We used an improved generalized linear model (GLM) procedure that permits analysis of data sets in which prevalences were obtained from unequal sample sizes, a widespread problem in the blood parasite literature (23).

METHODS

We sampled diurnal birds of prey between 1993 and 1998 in 14 different areas of Spain. These areas are at least 150 km away from each other for populations of each sampled species and represent a wide array of habitats (see Fig. 1). We sampled as many species as possible to represent different life histories and ecological requirements. We captured birds by using bal-chatrí, do-ghaza, and padded leg-hold traps, cannon nets and mist-nets, and by hand at nests. Adult birds were sampled during their breeding seasons, when infections are expected to peak (24). We also sampled nestlings (39.4% of the individuals) because nestlings of at least two European raptors are...
parasitized at higher rates than adults (25, 26); several pseu-
dodermes are also highly infected in Spain at 13 days of age (ref. 
27; J. A. Fargallo, J.L.T., and G.B., unpublished data). In addition, 
some birds (13.6% of the total) were sampled when they 
arrived at rehabilitation centers (Fig. 1). Birds sampled during 
a previous study of blood parasites at a rehabilitation center 
([n = 25 birds (28)]) are included in our analyses because 
prevailences within species did not differ from those found by 
us (y² tests, P > 0.05). Because we could not sex most birds, 
we cannot determine whether parasitism was sex-biased (ref. 
29; but see ref. 8 for sex-biases inverted between years). 
Because no yearly or geographic differences in hematozoan 
prevailences were detected (y² tests, P > 0.05), individuals 
within species were pooled in all analyses.

**Blood Parasites.** A drop of blood was taken from the 
brachial vein and was smeared, air-dried, ethanol fixed, and 
Giemsa stained. We searched for extracellular parasites (try-
panosomes, microfilariae) by scanning whole smears under low 
magnification (×40), and then we examined 100 microscopic 
fields under oil immersion (×1000) for intraerythrocytic 
hematozoa, going from one end of the slide to the other to 
compensate for differences in blood thickness (30). This 
method clearly underestimates the presence of trypanosomes, 
but the bias is consistent among all samples (9, 10, 27). 
Prevalence is expressed as the percentage of birds that are 
infected by any hematozoan species.

**Variables.** We included all variables that could possibly 
cause interspecific differences in prevalences of avian hema-
toza or other parasites, whether or not previous studies 
reported significant results (for justification of the chosen 
variables, see references above and in this section). The variables we used are (i) phylogeny, family level comparison 
[Falconidae or Accipitridae (31)]; (ii) brightness, scored in 
three categories (12) but also including the color of bare parts 
(32); (iii) plumage color attractiveness for vectors, the scoring 
method of Yezerinac and Weatherhead (15); (iv) egg size 
(from ref. 33); (v) incubation period (from ref. 33); (vi) 
incubation period index, I (16), the residuals from a log-log 
regression analysis of the length of the incubation period on 
the size of the egg (r = 0.95, P < 0.0001); (vii) body size, the 
average body mass (from ref. 33); (viii) habitat, forest, open 
woodland, or open habitats; (ix) nest stratum, ground or above 
ground [we did not consider nest-height above ground (12) 
because it is highly variable within the species sampled whereas 
the former categorical variable clearly separates nest stratum 
for each species]; (x) nest substrate, ground, trees, cliffs, or 
buildings (34); (xi) nest reuse (35); (xii) sociability, territorial, 
clumped, or colonial breeders (5, 35); (xiii) migrator y status, 
sedentary, partially migratory, or migratory (if a species 
occurred in more than one category for variables vii–xiii, we 
placed it in the one in which it occurred most frequently in our 
study area); (xiv) breeding geographic range in our study area 
(Spain), the number of 1:50,000 Lambert squares occupied by 
each species (36); (xv) world breeding geographic range, 
measured with a grid 2 × 2 mm on maps provided by Cramp 
and Simmons (33) and considering the most recent classifica-
tion of raptor species (31); and (xvi) world geographic range, 
combined breeding and wintering ranges. We did not include 
some variables analyzed by other authors, such as diet and 
breeding sex-roles (15), because they do not vary significantly 
among the species studied here (33). Regarding variability in 
mating systems (18, 19), all species we analyzed are monoga-
mous, or alternative mating systems are shared by <5% of the 
individuals in Spain (37–49). Finally, a set of variables was 
defined to control for potential sampling biases: (xvii) sample 
size (although the GLM procedure we used actually controlled 
for sample sizes, this variable was included for assessing 
possible interactions with other variables); (xviii) number of 
sampling sites; (xix) percentage of birds sampled as adults; and 
(xx) percentage of birds sampled at rehabilitation centers.

**Analyses.** First, we analyzed the data univariately. Each 
variable was related to the prevalence of blood parasites 
through Spearman correlations for continuous variables and y² 
tests with Yates correction for categorical ones (12). Second, 
we used GLM modeling to assess simultaneously which ex-
planatory variables and/or their interactions better explain 
the interspecific differences in hematozoan prevalences. For 
analyzing prevalence data, a GLM with binomial error and a 
logistic link function is the most appropriate statistical tool (12, 
40). Instead of using the percentage of infected birds, as is 
usually done, which loses information on the sample size from 
which the proportion was estimated, this procedure uses the 
umber of infected birds as the response variable and the 
number of birds examined as the binomial denominator (40). 
We fitted each explanatory variable to the observed data by 
using the program GLIM (40), following the Forward Stepwise 
Branching Modeling Procedure (41). When data suggested no 
linear trends, explanatory variables were transformed and 
fitted again trying to improve their contribution to the models. 
The robustness of the final model was assessed following 
Crawley (40).

**RESULTS**

A total of 1,264 different individuals, representing 20 of 24 
species of diurnal birds of prey breeding in Spain, was exam-
ined for blood parasites. We found only three hemoparasite 
species, and their prevalences were low, ranging between 0 and 
40% for different host species (see Table 1). Univariate 
analyses showed that prevalences were related only to 3 of the 
20 examined variables. Individuals of solitary species were 
slightly more parasitized (3.27%) than semicolonial (0.64%) 
and colonial (1.95%) species (y² = 5.82, df = 2, P = 0.05) 
whereas those of sedentary species were more parasitized 
(4.7%) than migratory (1.48%) and partially migratory (0%) 
(y² = 13.58, df = 2, P = 0.001). A stronger trend was 
associated with habitat; individuals of species breeding in 
forested habitats were more parasitized (37.5%) than those.
breeding in woodland (4.25%) and open habitats (1.31%) \((y^2 = 59.55, df = 2, P < 0.0001)\). The same trend was marginally significant when considering species instead of individuals \((y^2 = 5.24, df = 2, P = 0.07)\).

The multivariate analysis showed a very different picture. We obtained a unique GLM model in which only three variables entered: habitat, the log-transformed world geographic range, and the incubation period index \((I)\). However, the factor levels 1 (open areas) and 2 (open woodland) of the variable habitat were not significantly different from one another in their parameter estimates. We derived a simplified model by grouping both levels that was not statistically different from the first one \((change in deviance = 2.4, df = 1, P > 0.1)\). This final model (Table 2) indicated that the prevalence of blood parasites in Spanish raptors increased as its world geographic range increased \((31.85% \text{ of the explained deviance})\) and decreased as its embryonic development period was shorter \((i.e., \text{smaller } I)\ \(39.65\% \text{ of the explained deviance})\). Both trends were stronger in species breeding in forested habitats \(\text{habitat accounting for } 28.5\% \text{ of the explained deviance})\) (Fig. 2).

Despite the small number of host species studied, most of which were not parasitized by hematozooa, our model was very robust. The appearance of many zeros in the response variable could reduce the original deviance, thereby reducing the likelihood of detecting significant explanatory variables. However, in our case (Table 2), the inclusion of a large number of zeros was not a statistical problem. None of the variables that could reflect potential sampling biases, nor their interactions with other variables, entered even in the first steps of the GLM modeling procedure. Considerable care must be exercised when interpreting binomial GLM models based on marginally significant parameters or when they explain a very small fraction of the total deviance \((40)\). However, the three variables in the final model entered at \(P < 0.001\). This model accounted for most of the original deviance \((75\%\), without evidence of overdispersion \(\text{residual deviance/residual df } = 1\) \(= 0.85)\). Finally, omitting the only potentially influential point \((Periss apivorus)\) did not change the model \(\text{change in deviance } = 2.13, df = 1, P > 0.1\), and the parameter estimates only changed by \(0.02 \text{ to } 4.45\%\).

### DISCUSSION

Ricklefs (16), by analyzing blood parasites in a large number of nonraptorial, altricial birds, found that prevalence was inversely related to the relative length of the incubation period. He argued convincingly that such a correlation could arise from a direct relationship between immunocompetence and period of embryonic growth. Species with longer incubation periods with respect to egg size might have more cycles of proliferation of B stem cells in the bursa of Fabricius during embryonic development, allowing for a greater diversification of the variable region of Ig light chain genes before their expression as antibody. The resulting enhanced immune system should help to prevent and/or control hemoparasite infections during postnatal development and probably even throughout life (16). Our study extends the scope of Ricklefs’ results. First, we confirmed the hypothesis by using a group of birds (diurnal raptors) not studied by Ricklefs (16). Second, the relationship remains significant even after controlling for a larger number of potential confounding variables. Finally, in addition to the relationship found by Ricklefs (16) by comparing families of birds, we have shown that this trend is also evident even at a smaller phylogenetic scale \(i.e., \text{within two closely related families})\).

The prevalence of blood parasites in Spanish birds of prey also was related to macrohabitat characteristics, being highest in species breeding in forested habitats. This finding agrees with previous studies suggesting that the likelihood of hemoparasite infections varies among habitats, probably because vector availability is lower in treeless habitats and areas that are heavily human-transformed (10). Our multivariate analysis suggests that, at least in our study area, macrohabitat...
constraints on hematozoan transmission may be more important than some potential microhabitat effects (e.g., nest-stratum within habitats; see ref. 12) or local differences between sampling areas (9, 10). Macrohabitat differences at a larger scale also could explain satisfactorily the overall low prevalence of blood parasites in Spain, where habitats are drier, less forested, and thus less suitable for hemoparasite vectors than in temperate or boreal forested areas, where the same or closely related host species are heavily parasitized (8, 43, 44). Finally, our study offers a scenario for a potential habitat segregation by birds in relation to their immunocompetence in addition to that recently suggested by Piersma (11). If blood parasites impose important costs for their avian hosts (although their degree of pathogenicity is variable and still debated; see refs. 1 and 45–48), species with poor immunocompetence may be selected for, or limited to, open habitats in which the prevalence of hematozoa is low.

Another result is the positive relationship we found between hematozoa prevalence and the world geographic range of hosts. A positive correlation between the number of parasite species per host and host geographic range is known for some parasite–host associations (4, 49); however, there are not previous reports dealing with prevalences. The fact that prevalence is related to the world-wide range of the host but not to the host’s range in Spain may indicate that the evolutionary history of hosts and parasites, rather than current conditions, governs this relationship. Hemoparasite–host associations are largely mediated by complex vector–host interactions (45). Apart from ecological and geographical barriers (48), avian hosts have a variety of physiological, immunological, and behavioral mechanisms that act as barriers to vectors and parasites (50, 51), thus limiting both host’s susceptibility and exposure. It is plausible that avian species with larger geographic ranges have more variation in their “barriers,” offering greater opportunities for fitting together the life cycles of parasites, vectors, and hosts. Once the host–parasite association has been established, it could expand geographically over time and be expressed at reduced spatial scales. The evolution of host–parasite systems, however, is highly complex (52, 53), and the interactions between phylogeographic host, temporal association, and ecological factors complicate the development of causal explanations (53). Furthermore, the feeding activities of vectors and life cycles of both vectors and parasites are unknown for most hematozoa–bird associations (45, 51). Clearly no simple mechanistic explanation exists; however, our intention in presenting these results and speculations is to stimulate further research on this surprising relationship between host range and parasite prevalence.

Because a combination of life history traits (embryonic development) and present (habitat) and, presumably, historic (world geographic range) conditions influence the observed patterns of parasite distribution among hosts, host–parasite associations can be determined by a wide array of ecological and evolutionary forces. Ecological pressures and host life histories can vary greatly among host–parasite associations, and other variables may be important for other avian lineages. Including many potential factors in multivariate analyses, instead of testing hypotheses on a one-at-a-time basis, should be necessary to identify these relationships. Univariate analyses may mask a genuine relationship or provide incorrect results. GLM modeling is a powerful tool for simultaneously assessing multiple socioecological and life history traits of birds that may affect their blood parasitemias while controlling for potential sampling biases, even when studying small numbers of host species that show low overall prevalences.
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