Effect of oxygen pressure on the optical and structural properties of Cu:Al₂O₃ nanocomposite films
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Cu:Al₂O₃ multilayer nanocomposite thin films have been produced by alternating pulsed laser deposition and analyzed by nondestructive synchrotron radiation techniques. The effect of growing in an oxygen atmosphere, or exposing the films to an oxygen atmosphere immediately after the copper deposition, on the formation and properties of Cu nanocrystals (NC’s) has been investigated. The optical absorption of the films with Cu deposited in vacuum shows a band with a maximum around 630 nm, which is related to a surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and is evidence of the presence of Cu NCs. When Cu is grown in an oxygen atmosphere, a decrease in the overall optical absorption and a damping of the SPR band are observed as the oxygen pressure is increased. Grazing incidence small-angle x-ray scattering results show that NC’s with an average size of ~5 nm are distributed within the Al₂O₃ matrix forming discontinuous periodic layers, except for the films with copper deposited at the highest oxygen pressure (6.6×10⁻³ mbar) which show no evidence of NC formation. X-ray absorption spectroscopy analysis shows that whereas the Cu NC’s produced have negligible oxidation when Cu is deposited in vacuum, Cu oxide appears for all the other films. The results suggest that small Cu₂O clusters coexist with large weakly oxidized Cu clusters for the films produced in oxygen ambient at intermediate pressures (up to 2.6×10⁻⁴ mbar). For the highest deposition oxygen pressure it appears that layers of highly disordered Cu oxides and AlCuO₂ have been produced. When Cu NCs are exposed to oxygen after deposition an oxide shell preserving the metallic Cu core is formed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Metal clusters, usually with a crystalline structure and with characteristic sizes of the order of a few nanometers, show special properties that make them interesting for many technological applications. Due to their large surface to volume ratio they show a high chemical reactivity in oxidation and catalysis processes which are of interest to industry. In nanocrystals (NC’s) the valence electrons are confined to regions that are smaller than their delocalization length (or mean free path), and this so-called quantum confinement effect modifies the quantum states of the electrons and their interaction with applied electrical and magnetic fields, and thus with light. When metal NC’s are embedded in a dielectric host, it is found that the optical response is modified in the medium, giving rise to an absorption band (attributed to the surface plasmon resonance) and to an intensity dependent refractive index. This latter property has attracted great attention as it can be exploited for the development of ultrafast optical switching devices. The optical response mainly depends on the dielectric properties of the matrix, usually a glass, and of the metal, but can be greatly influenced by the size, size distribution, and shape of the NC’s, and also by the matrix-NC interaction. Thus for the synthesis of a suitable material all these issues should be addressed.

Pulsed laser deposition (PLD) is a technique that has shown great potential for the development of thin films with good adhesion, high density, and excellent optical properties. The use of multiple-target sequential PLD permits an independent control of the synthesis of the NC’s and of the embedding matrix. We have shown that by alternating the targets in vacuum, metal (Bi, Cu, Ag, Fe) NC’s of appropriate dimensions can be synthesized. PLD in a gas environment has also been extensively employed to produce nanocrystalline Si, to prepare CdTe NC’s embedded in a glass, or Cu NC’s in amorphous Al₂O₃. In most of the cases an inert gas (He, Ar) has been used at high pressures (>0.13 mbar), but only in some cases has the effect of a reactive gas been studied.

In this work our aim is to investigate the effect of a controlled oxygen environment on the formation of Cu NC’s embedded in amorphous Al₂O₃ produced by PLD. The modifications in the microstructure of the nanocomposite films as well as in the matrix-NC interaction will be analyzed. This latter issue has not been addressed before in the case of NC’s produced by PLD, although the possible oxida-
tion by the oxygen of the Al₂O₃ matrix even when the metal NC’s are produced in vacuum has been questioned. In addition it should be noted that the use of a reactive environment can promote the formation of copper oxides such as Cu₂O and CuO. Both of these materials are semiconductors with direct band gaps of 2.2 eV and an indirect band gap of 1.4 eV, respectively. Moreover, compounds formed from Cu, Al, and O have attracted interest recently since they have band gaps of about 3.5 eV and thus a number of them form electrically transparent conductors. Hence, a detailed analysis of the structure and chemical environment of the Cu in NC’s is necessary in order to obtain a full understanding of the electrical and optical properties of nanocomposite films.

In the following we present a detailed study of Cu:Al₂O₃ nanocomposite films. By using nondestructive synchrotron radiation techniques, information about the structure and morphology, together with information on the local atomic order around the Cu nanocrystals has been obtained. Combined with optical absorption experiments, the results show the effect of the incorporation of oxygen on the growth, structure and optical response of these nanocomposite films.

II. EXPERIMENT

Nanocomposite thin films were grown by PLD using an ArF excimer laser (λ = 193 nm, τ = 20 ns) operating at 20 Hz. The laser beam was focused alternately onto high purity ceramic Al₂O₃ and metallic Cu targets at an angle of incidence of 45°. During the process of ablation the targets were rotated to prevent crater formation. The energy density of the laser on the surface of the targets was 2 J cm⁻². The films were deposited simultaneously on silicon and glass substrates located 32 mm in front of the targets, and held at room temperature. The synthesis procedure consisted of the growth of an amorphous Al₂O₃ layer (~10 nm thick) followed by the deposition of an amount of Cu (nominal thickness of ~1.2 nm) sufficient to form metal NC’s in vacuum, as already observed by TEM. This growth sequence was repeated ten times and was finished with an Al₂O₃ layer to prevent exposure of the last Cu deposit to the atmosphere. In order to analyze the effect of an oxygen environment during the deposition, samples were grown in a vacuum chamber either at the base pressure of 1 × 10⁻⁶ mbar (vacuum) or under an O₂ pressure of 2.6 × 10⁻⁴ or 6.6 × 10⁻³ mbar. It should be noted that the presence of oxygen during growth only affects the properties of the Cu NC’s, because it has been demonstrated that the properties of the Al₂O₃ are not modified by the presence of a gas in this pressure range.

The possibility of oxidizing Cu NC’s just after the deposition of the Cu was also explored. For this film Al₂O₃ and Cu were grown in vacuum and, just after the deposition of Cu, the chamber oxygen pressure was raised to 1.3 × 10⁻¹ mbar for 5 min. After that time, the chamber was evacuated and ablation started again. This process was repeated ten times. To ensure that it was only the effects of oxygen that were different between the samples, the metal content of the different films was kept constant. However, to observe the effect of oxidation on the size of the copper particles a set of samples was made at 6.6 × 10⁻³ mbar by allowing a different number of pulses to hit the Cu target.

The Cu content (Cu areal density per layer, [Cu]), the total thickness and the period of the layered films were determined by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) using a 2-MeV ⁴He⁺ beam. The experimental spectra were simulated by the RUMP program. The total thickness and the period of the films were deduced from the areal densities determined by RBS, assuming the density of the amorphous aluminum oxide estimated in an earlier work (8.72 × 10³ kg m⁻³) and that of bulk Cu (8.48 × 10²² at cm⁻³) for the Cu NC’s. Table I summarizes the experimental conditions of deposition and the results of RBS analysis. It can be seen that all the films have a similar total thickness (~100 nm) and a Cu content in the range of 10¹⁶ at cm⁻² per layer.

After deposition the absorption of the films prepared on glass substrates was recorded at normal incidence in the range 400–800 nm with a standard monochromator-photomultiplier setup. The coefficient of the linear optical absorption (α) was obtained as α = (Iₐ/I₀)ln(I₀/Iₐ), with I₀ and Iₐ being the transmitted intensities through the substrate and the film, respectively, and l the total thickness of the thin film as determined from RBS measurements.

The morphology of the NC’s (i.e., shape, center-to-center separation, average size, and size distribution) was investigated by means of GISAXS measurements carried out at the LURE synchrotron facility (Orsay, France), on beam-line D22 of the DCI ring. This technique has advantages over direct TEM observation as no specific sample preparation is needed and in a single measurement, a few square mm of film can be analyzed. The incident x-ray beam was collimated to a height of 0.1 mm and a width of 1 mm, and the photon energy was set at 8275 eV corresponding to a wavelength of λ = 0.15 nm. Good surface sensitivity was achieved by keeping the angle of incidence α ≈ 0.28°, slightly above the critical angle for a total external reflection of the analyzed films. Under these conditions, the penetration depth of the x-ray beam was approximately equal to the film thickness, so that the scattering signal originated from typically 10¹¹ nanoparticles. A two-dimensional (2D) gas-filled

---

**TABLE I.** The experimental deposition conditions and the results from RBS analysis. The table includes the information on the oxygen pressure in the chamber during or after the Cu deposition (P O₂), the Cu areal density per layer ([Cu]), the total film thickness, and the period of the multilayer, namely, the thickness of a Al₂O₃/Cu bilayer. The periods obtained from the GISAXS analysis are included for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P O₂ (mbar)</th>
<th>[Cu]/10₁⁵ (at cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Total thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Period RBS (nm)</th>
<th>Period GISAXS (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>107 ± 9</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>109 ± 9</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>92 ± 9</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>104 ± 9</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>95 ± 9</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Oxygen exposure after deposition for 5 min.
detector placed 665 mm behind the sample was used to collect the scattered intensity in the \((q_y, q_z)\) plane of the reciprocal space \((q_y\) and \(q_z\) are the horizontal and vertical components of the scattering vector \(\mathbf{q}\) with modulus \(q = (4\pi/\lambda)\sin \theta\), where \(2\theta\) is the scattering angle corrected for refraction and absorption). During the GISAXS experiments, a vertical beam stop located around \(q_y = 0\) masked the reflected beam as well as the major part of the diffuse scattering arising from surface and interface roughness. One-dimensional intensity plots were then obtained by integrating narrow stripes in the 2D intensity map. The scattered intensity was fitted using a theoretical model based on the local monodisperse approximation\(^{23}\) assuming a Gaussian distribution of ellipsoidal NC’s.

The local atomic order around Cu atoms (i.e., interatomic distances, number and type of atoms around the metal species), and thus the oxidation state of Cu, was investigated by means of x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements. X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) data were recorded on beam line D42 of the DCF ring at LURE with a Si 111 channel cut monochromator. All spectra were recorded in conversion electron yield mode under helium flow with the electric field parallel to the surface and a beam incident at 15° from the surface.\(^{22}\) The samples were cooled to about 80 K in a liquid nitrogen cryostat. The spectra of pure samples of Cu and CuO were recorded under the same conditions to be used as references. The energy scale was calibrated on the Cu foil assigning 8980 eV to the half of the edge. The scans were performed from 8850 to 9980 eV with a constant 2-eV step and 2 s per point integration time. Two scans of each sample were obtained to obtain a good signal-to-noise ratio. The analysis of the EXAFS spectra was carried out with the UWXAFS (Ref. 23) and FEFF 7.02 codes.\(^{24}\) Background removal and normalization were performed by extrapolating the pre-edge with a straight line, the above-edge background with cubic splines in order to minimize the signal with frequencies below 0.9 Å, and the normalization was done using a constant value for the edge step. XANES spectra were normalized in the same way; the edge step was calculated by extrapolating a third degree polynomial that fits some 200 eV above the edge. EXAFS spectra refinements were done employing theoretical standards calculated with FEFF 7.02 on CuO and Cu metal structures. The refinements were performed in \(r\) space. The Fourier transform of the signal was applied between 2.5 and 13 Å\(^{-1}\), except for the sample deposited at 2.6×10\(^{-4}\) mbar for which it was performed between 2.5 Å\(^{-1}\) and 11.5 Å\(^{-1}\). The value of the many body amplitude reduction factor, \(S_0^2\),\(^{25}\) was obtained by fitting the Cu metal signal, \(S_0^2 = 0.73 ± 0.02\).

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Linear optical properties

Figure 1 shows the linear absorption of the films. For the film deposited in vacuum the spectrum shows a broad absorption band with a maximum at 630±5 nm, and a full width at half maximum (FWHM) ~195 nm, attributed to the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) of metallic Cu NC’s embedded in the amorphous \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) matrix.\(^{3,26}\) Note that the wavelength at which the SPR band occurs is characteristic of the dielectric functions of both the metal NC’s and the host material. The optical absorption for the film with the Cu exposed to an oxygen atmosphere just after deposition behaves similarly to that of the film grown in vacuum, since the SPR is well defined and its maximum position is at 635 ± 5 nm. The main difference is a decrease of the absorption. For the films in which the Cu was deposited in an oxygen environment the optical absorption spectra features change as the oxygen pressure is increased. For the film with Cu grown at 2.6×10\(^{-4}\) mbar pressure, the SPR band maximum is at 645±5 nm, slightly displaced to the red, and its intensity is reduced with respect to the film with Cu grown in vacuum. For the highest \(O_2\) pressure, the absorption spectra of the two studied films are very similar, irrespective of the Cu content. They show a decrease of the absorption as the wavelength increases, and there is no SPR band. In both spectra there is an inflection point around 640±5 nm instead of a maximum. The evolution of the absorption at 630 nm with the oxygen pressure for the films with equal Cu content ([\(Cu\)]\(\approx\)10\(^{16}\) at cm\(^{-2}\)) is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the absorption height decreases exponentially as the oxygen pressure during deposition increases, thus showing that the optical properties of the films are very sensitive to the presence of oxygen.

#### B. Morphological properties

Figure 3 shows the GISAXS pattern of the films deposited in vacuum (a) and in 6.6×10\(^{-3}\) \(O_2\) mbar (b). In the pattern of Fig. 3(a), a nonspecular diffuse scattering characteristic of rough layered systems is detected on both sides of the vertical beam stop. Three diffraction satellites (Bragg peaks) can be observed at \(q_z = 0.67, 1.31,\) and 1.97 nm\(^{-1}\) (very weak), from which the period of the multilayer can be deduced.\(^{27}\) It should be noted that such a diffuse intensity, indicating lay-

![FIG. 1. The optical absorption spectra for the Cu:Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocomposite films. The numbers on the curves make reference to the oxygen pressure, in mbar, at which the Cu was deposited, or was exposed to after deposition (curve marked post).](image-url)
erating, was observed in all the analyzed films, including those deposited in the highest oxygen pressure [see Fig. 3(b)]. Table I shows that the thickness of the Al₂O₃/Cu bilayers measured by GISAXS is consistent with that measured by RBS within the uncertainty of both techniques. Also clearly measured by GISAXS is consistent with that measured by RBS within the uncertainty of both techniques. Also clearly visible in Fig. 3(a) is a dominant signal symmetrical in relation to the \( q_z \) axis with interference maximum in the direction parallel to the \( q_y \) axis. Similar well-defined signals, evidencing the presence of scattering bodies with characteristic sizes of a few nanometers (i.e., NC’s distributed within the film), were observed in the other films, with the exception of those deposited at the highest oxygen pressure [see Fig. 3(b)]. The lateral and vertical extensions of the scattering enable the average lateral and vertical dimensions of the NC’s to be determined, respectively. Figure 4 shows a cross-section of the scattering pattern parallel to the \( q_x \) axis at the exit angle \( \alpha_y = 0.56° \) of the scattered beam (corresponding to \( q_y = 0.41 \text{ nm}^{-1} \)). The interference peaks observed in the 1D GISAXS profile are attributed to in-plane spatial correlation between isolated NC’s embedded in the Al₂O₃ matrix. Assuming an assembly of polydisperse rotational ellipsoids interacting with a hard-sphere potential, the GISAXS profile is expressed in the local monodisperse approximation (LMA) by

\[
I(q_y) \approx \int_0^\infty P(q_y,D)S(q_y,D_{hs},\eta_{hs})N(D,\bar{D},W_D)dD
\]  

(1)

where \( P(q_y,D) \) is the form factor of ellipsoids with diameter \( D \), \( S(q_y,D_{hs},\eta_{hs}) \) is the structure factor for the hard spheres with diameter \( D_{hs} = CD \) and volume fraction \( \eta_{hs} \), \( N(D,\bar{D},W_D) \) was taken as a Gaussian function specified by the average in-plane diameter \( \bar{D} \) of the ellipsoids and the FWHM \( W_D \) of the size distribution. The solid line in Fig. 4(a) is the best fit of the experimental points using \( \bar{D}, W_D, C, \) and \( \eta_{hs} \) as fitting parameters. The agreement with the experimental data is satisfactory except for \( q_y \rightarrow 0 \) due to the presence of the vertical beam-stop. The signal modeled by using the LMA also yields the correlation length \( \Lambda \) between NC’s (average interparticle distance) as \( \Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\pi C^3 D^3}{6 \eta_{hs}}} \).

Figure 4(b) shows a cross-section parallel to the \( q_x \) axis at \( q_y = 0.81 \text{ nm}^{-1} \) corresponding to the position of the interference peak in Fig. 4(a). For a perfect reproduction of the 1D GISAXS profile seen in Fig. 4(b), dynamical calculations should be performed on the basis of the distorted-wave Born approximation applied to rough multilayers. However, such a treatment is not easy to apply in this case because the Cu layers are discontinuous and the interfaces with the Al₂O₃...
TABLE II. The results from the GISAXS analysis: average in-plane NC’s diameter ($D$), FWHM of the size distribution ($w_D$), correlation length ($\Lambda$), average height ($\bar{H}$), average size ($\bar{\phi}$), aspect ratio ($\bar{H}/\bar{\phi}$) to estimate the ellipticity of the NCs, estimated Cu areal density calculated from the GISAXS data ([Cu]*), and ratio [Cu]*/[Cu] for comparison with RBS analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P$ O$_2$ (mbar)</th>
<th>$D$ (nm)</th>
<th>$w_D$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\Lambda$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\bar{H}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\bar{\phi}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\bar{H}/\bar{\phi}$</th>
<th>[Cu]*/10$^{15}$</th>
<th>[Cu]*/[Cu] (at cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>5.5±0.5</td>
<td>2.2±0.2</td>
<td>9±2</td>
<td>3.7±0.3</td>
<td>4.8±0.4</td>
<td>0.67±0.11</td>
<td>7±2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>5.6±0.5</td>
<td>2.3±0.2</td>
<td>9±2</td>
<td>3.3±0.3</td>
<td>4.7±0.4</td>
<td>0.59±0.10</td>
<td>6±2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6×10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>5.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.1±0.2</td>
<td>8±2</td>
<td>3.5±0.3</td>
<td>4.5±0.4</td>
<td>0.69±0.11</td>
<td>5.7±1.8</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3×10$^{-1}$</td>
<td>5.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.1±0.2</td>
<td>8±2</td>
<td>3.5±0.3</td>
<td>4.5±0.4</td>
<td>0.69±0.11</td>
<td>5.7±1.8</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Oxygen exposure after deposition for 5 min.

layers are difficult to model. Accordingly, adjustment of the scattered signal in Fig. 4(b) (solid line) was simplified by taking into account only the form factor of the NC’s and therefore the scattered intensity, $I(q_z)\propto P(q_z, \bar{H})$ with $\bar{H}$ being the average height of the ellipsoids, was used as the unique fitting parameter.

The results for all the films showing a GISAXS signal are reported in Table II together with the NC “average size” $\bar{\phi}$ (i.e., the diameter of a sphere having the same volume as the average NC calculated as $\bar{\phi}=(\pi/6)^{2/3}D^2\bar{H}$, the NC “aspect ratio” $\bar{H}/\bar{\phi}$, and the “apparent Cu content” given by [Cu]* = $(\pi\bar{\phi}^3\rho_{Cu})/(6\Lambda^2)$ where $\rho_{Cu}$ is the bulk metal density. As a general comment, it is demonstrated that the films deposited in vacuum consist of isolated oblate NC’s (i.e., rotational ellipsoids with the large axis laying on the film plane) homogeneously distributed in the plane of each Cu layer. Furthermore, the NC morphology is not dramatically affected by the deposition conditions, since the size and shape of the NC’s formed at 2.6×10$^{-4}$ O$_2$ mbar and subjected to an oxygen atmosphere after metal deposition are similar to those in vacuum. However, the amount of Cu atoms as deduced from GISAXS is systematically smaller than the one deduced from RBS (as shown by the [Cu]*/[Cu] ratio being smaller than 1) and the difference is larger in the presence of O$_2$. Finally, for the films deposited in 6.6×10$^{-3}$ mbar, no scattering signal from NC’s within the Al$_2$O$_3$ matrix was detected.

C. Cu local structure

Figure 5 shows the XANES spectra of the Cu:Al$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite films and of the Cu and CuO references, for comparison. In the following, only one of the films grown at the pressure 6.6×10$^{-3}$ mbar, the one with the highest Cu content (1.58×10$^{16}$ at cm$^{-2}$), has been analyzed, since both showed similar optical and morphological properties. The comparison of the absorption edges of the spectra in Fig. 5 shows that a net distinction can be made between the film deposited at 6.6×10$^{-3}$ mbar and the other samples. Qualitatively the XANES spectrum of the film grown at the highest O$_2$ pressure is similar to that of CuO, and therefore the Cu appears to be highly oxidized. In contrast, for films deposited in vacuum, at 2.6×10$^{-4}$ mbar O$_2$, or exposed to an oxygen atmosphere after metal deposition, the XANES spectra resemble that of metallic copper. As a first approximation, we have assumed that the total absorption is the sum of the absorption of oxide and metallic phases. Then a linear combination can be used to estimate the composition of the Cu system in terms of the relative amount of oxide and metal phases. The result of this analysis is shown in Table III. The trend is that the amount of oxidized phase in the films increases as O$_2$ is introduced in the chamber either during or after deposition. It should be pointed out that since the energy resolution of the D42 S111 monochromator and of the optical setup does not allow CuO and Cu$_2$O to be distinguished we have only stated the presence of oxidized and metallic copper.

A quantitative analysis of the EXAFS oscillations (Fig. 6) has been performed by taking into account the presence of oxygen as a Cu neighbor as deduced from the XANES features and confirming the tendency shown in Table III. Figure 7 shows the Fourier transform (FT) (imaginary parts and modulus) calculated from the EXAFS oscillations. The FT spectrum for metallic Cu shows a peak at the apparent (not phase corrected) distance of almost 2.1 Å, which is the first Cu–Cu distance in the metal. The FT spectrum of the CuO shows a broad peak at an apparent distance of 1.5 Å which corresponds to the Cu-O contribution (the Cu-O average distance in CuO is 1.959 Å: 1×1.907 Å, 1×1.932 Å, 1×1.977 Å, and 1×2.019 Å). Using these features as a reference, it is easy to attribute the film grown in a vacuum to the metallic phase, the peaks at higher distances also corresponding well to those of the Cu foil. Similarly, the film grown at the highest O$_2$ pressure most probably includes a Cu oxide phase. The films grown at intermediate O$_2$ pressures exhibit features similar to that of the metallic foil that decrease as the O$_2$ increases. At the same time, a peak at the distance corresponding to the Cu-O appears. The refinements of the EXAFS spectra provided the structural parameters that are presented in Table IV together with data from literature for Cu$_2$O and CuAlO$_2$ references. It should be noted that the weaker the contribution to the total signal, the larger the error affecting the corresponding structural parameter value. Usually the Debye-Waller factor is the parameter affected by...
the largest uncertainty and this explains the peculiar value reported for the weak Cu-O contribution in the vacuum grown film ($\sigma^2 = 0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Å}^2$). The results presented in Table IV reveal that the sample grown in vacuum has a metallic Cu structure with a very small Cu-O contribution that stays at the Cu-O distance of CuO. In the film deposited at $2.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ O}_2 \text{ mbar}$ and the one subjected to an oxygen atmosphere after metal deposition, the oxidized Cu contribution is well defined and the Cu-O distance is shorter $\approx 1.88$ and $1.85 \text{ Å}$, respectively. A Cu-Cu contribution is still present with a distance close to the metallic one. Hence the systems are made either of (i) Cu NC’s with an oxidized surface or (ii) Cu NC’s coexisting with Cu atoms in an oxide phase. In the EXAFS spectra of the film grown at 6.6 $\times 10^{-3} \text{ O}_2 \text{ mbar}$, a rather broad and weak Cu-Cu contribution at $2.85 \text{ Å}$ and a Cu-O contribution at $1.94 \text{ Å}$ are found, while the metallic Cu-Cu contribution at $2.55 \text{ Å}$ is not detected. The Cu-O distance and coordination number are similar to that in CuO, but the Cu-Cu distance is significantly shorter: $2.85 \text{ Å}$ in the sample versus an average value of $3.03 \text{ Å}$ in CuO. This system is thus clearly different from the two previous ones.

### IV. DISCUSSION

We have synthesized composite nanostructured films formed by amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ containing embedded layers of Cu with a period of $\approx 10 \text{ nm}$, and by varying the preparation conditions we have been able to change the morphology and chemical composition of the Cu deposits from pure metallic NC’s to a complex layered oxide structure. Thus the optical and structural properties of the films exhibit a strong dependence on the presence of oxygen applied either during or after Cu deposition. We will start the discussion with the films showing a SPR band, i.e., those with the Cu deposited at oxygen pressures lower than $2.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mbar}$ and those exposed to oxygen after Cu deposition.

GISAXS and XAS measurements show that the film with the Cu deposited in vacuum has NCs formed by metallic Cu. This is in agreement with previous TEM observations and results from a Volmer-Weber growth mechanism. From the GISAXS data it has been determined a NC average size of $\bar{\delta} = 4.8 \text{ nm}$ and an aspect ratio $\bar{H}/\bar{D} = 0.67$ corresponding to an oblate shape (see Table II). It has been reported by D’Acapito and co-workers that Cu NC’s prepared by ion implantation in glasses display a spherical shape due to a three-dimensional and isotropic atom diffusion process. For nanocomposite films prepared by PLD, different nucleation and growth processes occur by surface diffusion of the incoming species. Thus the oblate shape of our NC’s is due to the preparation technique used here where the large interfa-
The coordination number for Cu in the Cu oxide, and line B indicates the Cu-Cu distance in the metallic Cu. The numbers on the curves make reference to the oxygen pressure, in mbar, at which the Cu was deposited, or was exposed to after deposition (curve marked post). Note the difference in the imaginary part for films deposited at $6.6 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar compared to the other films.

GISAXS results show that the apparent Cu atomic content, $[\text{Cu}]^*$, determined from the measured average volume of the NCs and assuming the Cu bulk density, gives a value lower than that measured by RBS, $[\text{Cu}]: [\text{Cu}]^* / [\text{Cu}] \approx 0.71$. This suggests that there is a fraction of Cu that is not contributing to the analyzed GISAXS signal. It should be noted that the scattered intensity is proportional to the sixth power of the NC radius, and thus the larger NCs dominate the scattering pattern. Therefore, the lower amount of Cu detected by GISAXS suggests the presence of isolated Cu atoms dissolved in the matrix or small NCs coexisting with larger detectable ones. Regarding the local atomic environment of Cu, it can be observed from the EXAFS analysis of the film grown in vacuum, that there is a very slight decrease of the Cu-Cu coordination number compared to that of the Cu reference $(10.7 \pm 12$; see Table IV). In the case of NCs, it has been shown that there are size-dependent effects and that the coordination number depends on the diameter through structure-dependent formulas. GISAXS measurements give an average particle size of 4.8 nm which implies a reduction of the coordination number to about 11. This is in good agreement with the EXAFS finding of $10.7 \pm 0.6$. Nevertheless it can be observed that the predicted reduction in the coordination number is small due to the relatively large size of the NCs, and it should be noted that it is within the same order of magnitude as the EXAFS error. Therefore it is not reasonable to speculate on the coordination number reduction due to NC size effects. In addition, there are a few Cu-O bonds (the coordination number is low, 0.8) corresponding to the Cu-O distance for the first shell in CuO. Thus the weak Cu-O contribution in the EXAFS signal can be related mainly to the Cu atoms located at the NC-matrix interface, although the existence of some small CuO clusters that are not contributing to the GISAXS intensity cannot be ruled out. These results suggest that it is most likely that a large fraction of the Cu is forming pure Cu NCs. The apparent weak reactivity of Cu NCs with the Al$_2$O$_3$ host is not surprising, since it has been reported that Al$_2$O$_3$ acts as a suitable diffusion barrier for Cu at low temperatures creating a well-defined interface.

For the films with Cu deposited at $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar and with Cu exposed to oxygen after deposition, the effect of the oxygen on the morphology of the NCs is not very strong, although it can be noticed that the average sizes are slightly smaller than the ones found in the film grown in vacuum. Nevertheless, the aspect ratio $(H/D)$ of the ellipsoidal NC’s produced at $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar is smaller than that of NCs produced in vacuum. It should be noted that such an effect is not observed in the Cu NC’s exposed to O$_2$ after deposition. In this latter case the reduction in size occurs in both dimensions. This means that the oxygen environment during deposition tends to favor the growth of the NC’s in the film plane compared to that in the perpendicular direction. The observed change in the morphology of the NC’s could be due to a reduced adatom mobility caused by the presence of oxygen, not only in the atmosphere, but also at the Al$_2$O$_3$ surface where the Cu NC’s are being formed. This process is similar to that reported for Cu NC’s deposited on Al$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surfaces with different degrees of oxidation: the Cu NC sizes were smaller on oxidized than on reduced surfaces. In contrast, when the oxygen is introduced after the deposition of the NC’s, surface diffusion of Cu is unlikely. The most likely process is that oxidation is initiated at the surface of pure Cu NC’s (having characteristics similar to those in the film grown in vacuum) without significant changes in the original NC aspect ratio.

Compared to the vacuum films, the local Cu structure is clearly affected by the presence of oxygen in the films grown at $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar and in the films exposed to oxygen after deposition. The presence of the SPR in the absorption spectra of these films, together with the evidence of Cu-Cu metallic bonds by EXAFS, suggests that there are still metallic NC’s in these films. Nevertheless, XANES results show that a non-negligible amount of Cu is oxidized. The presence of oxidized Cu is confirmed by the EXAFS study which not only reveals a reduction in the Cu-Cu coordination number, but also the existence of Cu atoms bonded to O with an increase in the Cu-O coordination number. By using the film grown in vacuum as a reference, we can evaluate the relative amount of oxidized Cu in the other films from the ratio between the number of Cu neighbors: we obtain $100 \times \frac{1 - (9.1/10.7)}{ \frac{1 - (6.5/10.7)}{1 - (9.1/10.7)}} = 15\%$ for the film grown at $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar and $100 \times \frac{1 - (9.1/10.7)}{ \frac{1 - (6.5/10.7)}{1 - (9.1/10.7)}} = 39\%$ for the film with Cu NC’s exposed to oxygen after deposition.
oxygen after deposition. In a similar manner, by using the film grown in vacuum as a reference, we can calculate the reduction in the relative amount of Cu not detected by GISAXS from the $\frac{[\text{Cu}]}{[\text{Cu}]}$ ratios displayed in Table II. We obtain $100 \times \left[ 1 - (0.60/0.71) \right] = 15\%$ for the film grown at $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar and $100 \times \left[ 1 - (0.55/0.71) \right] = 23\%$ for the film with Cu NC’s exposed to oxygen after deposition. The comparison of the relative amount of oxidized Cu as determined from EXAFS to the reduction in the $\frac{[\text{Cu}]}{[\text{Cu}]}$ ratios measured from GISAXS suggests that most of the oxidized Cu is not detected by GISAXS in the film grown at $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar, while a large fraction of the oxidized Cu phase is detected by GISAXS in the film with Cu NC’s exposed to oxygen after deposition. In addition, where the Cu NC’s were exposed to oxygen after deposition, the Cu-O distance determined from EXAFS is between those of Cu$_2$O and CuO. Consequently, it can be suggested that when O$_2$ is introduced in the chamber during deposition, there is a metal-oxide phase separation and the oxide phase grows at an expense of extracting Cu from the metallic Cu NC’s. According to this interpretation, there are (i) small Cu$_2$O NC’s distributed in the matrix (not seen by GISAXS) that give rise to a Cu(I)-O (Cu$_2$O) contribution in the EXAFS signal and, (ii) large metallic Cu NC’s (very similar to those detected by GISAXS in the film grown in vacuum) with Cu-O bonds located at the surface, giving rise to a weak Cu(II)-O (CuO) contribution. In contrast, when O$_2$ is introduced after deposition, in addition to the small Cu$_2$O NC’s not seen by GISAXS, a large fraction of the oxidized Cu might form a Cu$_2$O shell around the large NC’s detected by GISAXS. It should be noted that GISAXS is sensitive to electron density contrast between the NC’s and the matrix. By considering NC’s made of a Cu core surrounded by a Cu$_2$O shell embedded in an Al$_2$O$_3$ matrix with interdiffusion at the Cu/Cu$_2$O and Cu$_2$O/Al$_2$O$_3$ interfaces, the electronic density decreases toward the surface of the NCs. Therefore, the GISAXS signal is measuring a NC size slightly larger than that of the core, but smaller than that of the core plus the Cu$_2$O shell, leading to an underestimation of the NC size.

Regarding the optical response, the reduction in the relative amount of metallic Cu in the films deposited at $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar or exposed to oxygen at $1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ mbar after deposition, compared to that of the film deposited in vacuum, can qualitatively explain the observed reduction of absorption of the SPR band in these films. The negligible displacement of the SPR maximum for both films with respect to that in vacuum is also in agreement with the small variations in the NC’s size determined from GISAXS analysis, since it has been observed experimentally that for particles with average diameters between 2 and 15 nm, the SPR peak position is independent of particle size.$^{4,8,26}$ For the film with Cu NC’s exposed to oxygen at $1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ mbar after deposition, the optical response seems still to be dominated by the metallic Cu core of the NC’s. Lorentzian fits to the experimental spectra have been performed to analyze the evolution of the width of the SPR band. It is found that the band shifts from 195 nm for the films with the Cu deposited in vacuum to 220 nm for the film with Cu exposed to oxygen at $1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ mbar after deposition. This increase could be related

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_2$ O$_2$ (mbar) /sample</th>
<th>Type of neighbor</th>
<th>Coordination number</th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ $(10^{-3} \text{ Å}^2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.02</td>
<td>6 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>20 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu reference</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.3 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO reference</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.5 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.01</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.03 $^b$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.90 – 3.17)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cu$_2$O               | O                | 2.0                 | 1.85         | —                        |
| Cu                   | 12                | 3.02                | —            |
| CuAlO$_2$            | O                | 2                   | 1.86         | —                        |
| Cu                   | 6                 | 2.86                | —            |

TABLE IV. The results from the EXAFS analysis: type of neighbor (Cu or O), number of nearest neighbors (coordination number), corresponding distance and Debye-Waller factor, $\sigma^2$, giving the mean square relative displacement. Data for Cu$_2$O and CuAlO$_2$ have been obtained from Refs. 32 and 33, respectively. Error bars are calculated using the procedure described in Ref. 23 and do not take directly into account systematic errors.
to a core/shell structure with blurred interfaces. However, the effect of the oxide shell on the optical properties of the Cu NCs is not clear and should be the subject of further investigation.

The films with Cu deposited at $6.6 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar clearly show a different behavior from the films discussed previously in both their structural and optical properties. In this case, the SPR associated with the presence of metallic Cu NC's has completely disappeared. The features of these spectra are characteristic of a material showing an optical band gap. A plot of $(ah\nu)^2$ as a function of $h\nu$ (not shown), where $a$ is the absorption coefficient and $h\nu$ is the photon energy in eV, allows the optical band-edge absorption to be determined to be 2.8 eV. This optical band gap falls between those of crystalline bulk CuO and Cu$_2$O (1.4 and 2.2 eV, respectively) (Ref. 13) and that reported for CuAlO$_2$ (3.5 eV). This might be an indication of a mixture of several oxides. The analysis of the structural properties of these films by GISAXS shows no small-angle scattering signal, and thus suggests the absence of NC’s and the formation of a continuous layer giving rise to a nonpeculiar diffuse scattering along the vertical beam stop [see Fig. 3(b)]. The XANES spectra of the films resemble that of the CuO reference, and the EXAFS analysis shows a Cu-O distance and a number of neighbors compatible with CuO. However, the EXAFS results also show the presence of a signal corresponding to Cu-Cu distances at 2.85 Å, which are significantly larger than the Cu-Cu distances in metallic Cu (2.55 Å) and shorter than those in CuO (3.03 Å) and Cu$_2$O (3.02 Å). This intermediate Cu-O distance value and the large Debye-Waller factor suggests a mixture of disordered oxides, eventually mixed with disordered AlCuO$_3$ and is in agreement with the result of the optical band gap value. Indeed, around Cu in CuAlO$_2$ there are 6 Cu neighbors at 2.86 Å, which compares quite well with the corresponding values found experimentally. To justify the Cu-O average distance found, we must invoke the presence of both Cu(II) and Cu(I) species, where Cu(II) species are in an amorphous CuO form and Cu(I) species are probably in a CuAlO$_2$-like form. In fact, the formation of a Cu–Al bond might occur at the Cu oxide/Al$_2$O$_3$ interface when the Al$_2$O$_3$ is subsequently deposited, by a reaction that can be simply described as: Al$_2$O$_3$ + Cu$_2$O $\rightarrow$ 2CuAlO$_2$. Nevertheless, for the films deposited at $6.6 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar, it is difficult to determine which is the exact structure and a nonstoichiometric Cu-Al-O compound cannot be excluded.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Nanocomposite Cu:Al$_2$O$_3$ films have been produced by PLD, and the effect of oxygen pressure during and after Cu deposition has been studied. It has been found that when Cu is deposited in vacuum, Cu NC’s of average size $\sim 4.8$ nm and oblate in shape (aspect ratio $\sim 0.7$) are formed and embedded in the Al$_2$O$_3$ matrix. In that case, the oxidation of Cu is negligible and the optical properties are dominated by metallic Cu, suggesting a very limited interaction at the Cu NCs/Al$_2$O$_3$ matrix interface. The interface seems to be formed by Cu-O bonds at the average distance of CuO. When the Cu NC’s are prepared in vacuum and then exposed to oxygen after deposition the presence of metallic Cu is detected by XAS and the optical absorption features are still dominated by the metallic Cu NC’s. Nevertheless, oxidation of the Cu is also observed and this is consistent with an oxidation process initiated at the surface of the NC’s and probably leads to the formation of a Cu$_2$O shell.

When oxygen is introduced in the chamber during deposition at intermediate pressures, the morphology of the NC’s is similar to that in vacuum and the presence of metallic Cu still dominates the optical response and the XANES/EXAFS signals. However, the presence of oxidized Cu is evidenced by XAS in the form of small Cu$_2$O NCs not detected by GISAXS, giving rise to a decrease of the optical absorption and a damping of the SPR band. For the NC’s prepared at the highest oxygen pressure ($6.6 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar), Cu reacts with O$_2$ to form a continuous layer of disordered CuO and Cu$_2$O, and upon the subsequent deposition of Al$_2$O$_3$ there is most probably a reaction at the interface forming AlCuO$_3$. The formation of this mixed oxide layer is in agreement with the absence of the GISAXS signal and the SPR band, and with the optical bandgap calculated from the absorption measurements.
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