Towards a Description of the Kondo Effect Using Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory
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We demonstrate that the zero-temperature conductance of the Anderson model can be calculated within the Landauer formalism combined with static density-functional theory. The proposed approximate functional is based on finite-temperature density-functional theory and yields the exact Kohn-Sham potential at the particle-hole symmetric point. Furthermore, in the limit of zero temperature it correctly exhibits a derivative discontinuity which is shown to be essential to reproduce the conductance plateau. On the other hand, at the Kondo temperature the exact Kohn-Sham conductance overestimates the real one by an order of magnitude. To understand the failure of density-functional theory, we resort to its time-dependent version and conclude that the suppression of the Kondo resonance must be attributed to dynamical exchange-correlation corrections.

Despite the many successes of density-functional theory (DFT) \cite{1} in the description of the electronic structure of many-electron systems, the treatment of strongly correlated systems is a notoriously difficult challenge. However, the fundamental theorems of static and also time-dependent (TD) DFT \cite{2} are exact. Therefore, in principle, these systems should be accessible, and the failure to describe them within (TD)DFT has to be attributed to shortcomings of the approximations for the exchange-correlation (xc) functional used in practice.

An exact property of the static xc functional is the derivative discontinuity at integer occupancy \cite{3}. Recently, the crucial importance of this property in the description of strong correlations has been recognized in, e.g., the Hubbard model \cite{4,5}, gaps in strongly correlated molecules \cite{6}, Coulomb blockade (CB) \cite{7}, and the Mott transition \cite{8}.

For nonequilibrium situations, the development of TD xc functionals is still in its infancy. Typically, one uses the so-called adiabatic approximation by importing functionals constructed for static DFT to the time domain. In the context of quantum transport, one of the most debated questions is whether (or under which circumstances) static DFT within the nonequilibrium Green’s function (NEGF) approach can yield accurate conductances. In a seminal paper, Schmitteckert and Evers \cite{9} provided strong numerical evidence that the Kohn-Sham (KS) conductance of single channel correlated model junctions is in good agreement with the exact one. Later, the accuracy of the KS conductance was explained and assessed by using the Friedel sum rule \cite{10}. In general, however, the DFT + NEGF formalism is incomplete. A proper TDDFT treatment \cite{11,12} leads to dynamical xc corrections \cite{13}, the quantitative importance of which has yet to be clarified.

In this Letter, we show that the derivative discontinuity is the essential ingredient to describe the Kondo effect \cite{14} in the zero-temperature conductance using DFT + NEGF. We propose an analytic KS potential for the Anderson model based on finite-temperature DFT \cite{15} for an isolated impurity. For this approximate potential, the derivative discontinuity and, as a consequence, also the conductance plateau emerge naturally in the zero-temperature limit. At finite temperatures, instead, we demonstrate that the DFT + NEGF approach is not sufficient. Although our potential is exact at the particle-hole (ph) symmetric point, at the Kondo temperature the KS and the exact conductances differ by almost 1 order of magnitude. We thus give a first explicit example where the exact dynamical xc corrections can be quantified and show that they can be as large as the quantum of conductance.

We consider the Anderson model for a single nonmagnetic impurity attached to two noninteracting leads. The Hamiltonian of this system is given by

\begin{equation}
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_T + \sum_{\alpha=L,R} \hat{H}_\alpha + \hat{H}_F. \tag{1}
\end{equation}

Here, \( \hat{H}_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} (V_{\iota+1,\alpha,\sigma}^* \hat{c}_{\iota,\alpha,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) \) describes, in standard notation, the tight-binding lead \( \alpha = L, R \), while \( \hat{H}_T = \sum_{\alpha,\sigma} (V_{\text{link}} \hat{c}_{\alpha,\sigma}^* \hat{d}_{\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) \) accounts for the (symmetric) coupling between the impurity and the leads. In this work, we focus on the half filled system and take \( V_{\text{link}} \ll V \). In this parameter range, the only relevant energy scale for electron tunneling is \( \gamma = 2V_{\text{link}}^2/V \) (wide-band limit). The impurity Hamiltonian is
\[ \hat{H}_I = v_0 \hat{n} + U \hat{n}_I \hat{n}_I, \quad (2) \]

where \( v_0 \) is the on-site energy (or gate voltage), \( U \) is the charging energy, \( \hat{n}_\sigma = \hat{d}_\sigma^\dagger \hat{d}_\sigma \) is the number operator for electrons of spin \( \sigma \) at the impurity, and \( \hat{n} = \hat{n}_+ + \hat{n}_- \).

For a DFT treatment of the problem, the first task is to construct an approximation to the xc potential. Here we propose a KS potential based on an isolated impurity Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_I \) in contact with a thermal bath at inverse temperature \( \beta \) and chemical potential \( \mu \) [16]. The non-interacting KS Hamiltonian then reads

\[ \hat{H}_I^* = v_0 \hat{n}. \quad (3) \]

For both Hamiltonians \( \hat{H}_I \) and \( \hat{H}_I^* \), the eigenstates for electron occupation zero, one, and two are, respectively, \( |0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle \), and \( |\uparrow\rangle \) with eigenvalues \( 0, v_0, v_0 + U \) for \( \hat{H}_I \) and \( 0, v_0, v_0 + 2U \) for \( \hat{H}_I^* \). The density of the interacting impurity is

\[ n = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_I - \mu \hat{n})}] / Z, \quad (4) \]

where \( Z = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta(\hat{H}_I - \mu \hat{n})}] \) is the grand-canonical partition function. Equation (4) depends only on \( \tilde{\nu}_0 = v_0 - \mu \), and the function \( n(\tilde{\nu}_0) \) can be inverted explicitly as

\[ \tilde{\nu}_0(n) = -U - \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{\delta n + \sqrt{\delta n^2 + e^{-\beta U}(1 - \delta n^2)}}}{1 - \delta n} \right), \quad (5) \]

with \( \delta n = n - 1 \). The Hartree-exchange-correlation (Hxc) part of the KS potential can then be obtained from

\[ v_{\text{Hxc}}(n) = \tilde{v}_x(n) - \tilde{\nu}_0(n) = \frac{U}{2} + g(n-1), \quad (6) \]

where \( \tilde{v}_x(n) \) can be obtained from Eq. (5) by setting \( U = 0 \) and \( g(x) = \frac{U}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left( [x + \sqrt{x^2 + e^{-\beta U}(1 - x^2)}]/(1 + x) \right) \). This is an odd function of its argument, \( g(-x) = -g(x) \), and therefore \( v_{\text{Hxc}}(n) = \frac{U}{2} \) for all temperatures. We note in passing that Eq. (6) can also be obtained by differentiation of the Hxc part of the grand-canonical potential with respect to \( n \). The Hxc potential (6) is shown in the left panel of Fig. 1 for different values of the temperature \( T = 1/\beta \). In the limit \( T \to 0 \) it becomes a simple step function with a step of height \( U \) at \( n = 1 \). Thus, the \( T = 0 \) discontinuity of the xc potential emerges naturally from our grand-canonical DFT treatment.

For the Anderson Hamiltonian (1), we make a local approximation and assume that the KS potential vanishes in the leads while on the impurity is given by \( v_x(n) = v_0 + v_{\text{Hxc}}(n) \). We expect this approximation to be accurate for \( U/\gamma \gg 1 \). The KS Hamiltonian then reads \( \hat{H}^* = \hat{H}_I^* + \hat{H}_L + \hat{H}_R + \hat{H}_T \) with \( \hat{H}_I^* \) from Eq. (3). By using standard embedding techniques, the solution of the KS equations is reduced to the self-consistent solution of a single equation for the density \( n = n_0 \):

\[ \frac{G}{G_0} = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega T(\omega) \frac{\partial f_{\beta}(\omega)}{\partial \omega}, \quad (8) \]

where

\[ T(\omega) = \frac{\gamma^2}{[\omega - v_0(n_0)]^2 + \gamma^2} \quad (9) \]

is the zero-bias KS transmission function and \( G_0 = 1/\pi \) is the quantum of conductance.

In the left panel of Fig. 2, we plot \( G \) as a function of \( \nu_0 \) by using the Hxc potential of Eq. (6) for \( T = 10^{-2} T_K \), with the Kondo temperature \( T_K = \sqrt{2U/\gamma} \exp(\pi n_0 U) \) [18]. For comparison we also report the results within the Hartree approximation, i.e., for \( v_x = v_0 + U n_0/2 \), and the accurate numerical renormalization group (NRG) results from Ref. [19]. The first remarkable feature is the plateau in the Hxc conductance with value \( G_0 \) in the range \(-U \leq v_0 \leq 0\), in good agreement with the exact result. This is a direct consequence of the discontinuity of the proposed \( v_{\text{Hxc}} \) at \( n = 1 \) which guarantees that \( v_x \) is pinned.
to the Fermi energy. In contrast, any continuous potential, like the Hartree potential, cannot capture the plateau. Physically, the plateau cannot be explained solely in terms of CB, according to which $G$ should be peaked at the end points of the plateau and should instead be small at the ph symmetric point $v_0 = -U/2$. The value $G = G_0$ at $v_0 = -U/2$ is due to the formation of a resonant many-body singlet state between the spin of the impurity electron and the spin of the screening cloud, i.e., the Kondo effect. The first important finding of this Letter is therefore that the Kondo effect in $G(T = 0)$ is within reach of the DFT + NEGF approach provided that the approximate $v_{\text{Hxc}}$ has the proper discontinuity at integer $n$.

The theoretical possibility of describing the conductance in the Kondo regime in the Anderson impurity model within DFT + NEGF can be understood in two ways. (i) For proportional coupling the Meir-Wingreen formula [20] at $T = 0$ yields

$$\frac{G}{G_0} = \frac{\gamma^2 |G(\mu)|^2}{\gamma - \text{Im}[\Sigma(\mu)]},$$

where $G^{-1}(\omega) = [\omega - v_0 - \Sigma(\omega) + i\gamma]$ is the impurity Green’s function and $\Sigma$ is the many-body self-energy. Since quasiparticles at the Fermi energy have an infinitely long lifetime, then $\text{Im}[\Sigma(\mu)] = 0$. From Eq. (10), we thus see that it is possible to reproduce the exact conductance in a KS system with $v_t = v_0 + \text{Re}[\Sigma(\mu)]$. (ii) From the Friedel sum rule, we know that at $T = 0$ the conductance of the Anderson model is completely determined by the density at the impurity [10], i.e., $G = G(n_0)$. Since exact DFT yields the exact density, then it must also yield the exact conductance. It is also worth stressing that the equality between the exact and KS conductances does not follow from an equality between the corresponding spectral functions [9,10]. The latter are completely different, although the Kondo peak (of width $T_K$) and the KS peak (of width $\gamma$) both occur at the Fermi energy.

At finite temperature, $G$ does not depend on $n_0$ alone. In the right panel of Fig. 2, we display the NRG, Hxc, and Hartree conductances as a function of $v_0$ for $T = 6 \times 10^4 T_K$. The failure of the Hxc and Hartree approximation in reproducing both the dip at $v_0 = -U/2$ as well as the CB side peaks is evident. In Fig. 3, we compare the Hxc conductance with the exact conductance [19,21] for different temperatures at the ph symmetric point. While the Kondo peak is strongly suppressed for $T \gtrsim 10 T_K$, the DFT + NEGF approach predicts a conductance $G_0$ up to much higher temperatures. For $T \geq U$, thermal fluctuations destroy the CB and the KS, and exact conductances merge and approach zero for $T \to \infty$.

Is it possible to reproduce the temperature behavior of the exact $G$ by improving the quality of the KS potential? The answer to this question is negative, since at the ph symmetric point our Hxc potential is exact. For $v_0 = -U/2$ the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is invariant under the transformation $\hat{c}_{i\sigma,\alpha} \to (-1)^{\alpha+1}\hat{c}_{i\sigma,\alpha}$ and $\hat{d}_{\sigma} \to \hat{d}_{\sigma}$, and hence the density is unity for all sites at all temperatures. The KS potential which reproduces this density is zero everywhere (in leads and impurity) for all $T$ and $V_{\text{link}}$.

If one cannot reproduce the exact $G$ by using the exact KS potential, we must conclude that the DFT + NEGF approach is not sufficient. To trace back the origin of the problem, we resort to TDFT [22] and its lattice version [23]. In TDFT it is possible to reproduce the exact TD longitudinal current in a system of noninteracting electrons. In Ref. [11], it was shown that if a steady state is reached in the long-time limit, then the current is given in terms of a Landauer-like formula with KS bias $V_a + V_{a,\text{xc}}$, where $V_a$ is the physical bias and $V_{a,\text{xc}}$ is the xc correction. Then, to first order the current becomes (see also Ref. [13])
where the sum runs over all sites $r$ of the model, $\delta n_r$ is the first-order density change in site $r$, and $f_{xc}(r, r')$ is the zero-frequency xc kernel of TDDFT. In most commonly used local approximations, $f_{xc}(r, r') \approx \delta_{rr'}$, and hence $V_{a, xc}$ vanishes, since $\delta n_r = 0$ deep inside the leads; as a result, it is often neglected altogether. The relevance of the dynamical xc correction has long been debated [9,24]. The second important finding of this Letter is that at a finite temperature the dynamical xc corrections are absolutely essential. They are important not only for the correct suppression of the Kondo peak but also for a quantitative description of the CB side peaks.

For the Anderson model, we can find an explicit form of the dynamical xc correction to $G$ in terms of the xc kernel. The linear density change at site $r$ is

$$\delta n_r = \sum_{r' \in L} P_{rr'} V_k + \sum_{r' \in R} P_{rr'} V_r + P_0 \frac{U}{2} \delta n_0,$$

where $P$ is the zero-frequency polarization. In linear response TDDFT, $P$ can be calculated from the xc kernel as $P = P_0 + P_0 f_{xc} P$, $P_0$ being the noninteracting polarization. Substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (12) and exploiting the symmetry of the $L$ and $R$ leads, we find from Eq. (11) that the exact conductance at any temperature reads

$$G = G_0 (1 + Q_{xc}) \int d\omega \frac{\partial f_{xc}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \mathcal{T}(\omega).$$

Here $\mathcal{T}(\omega)$ is the KS transmission of Eq. (9) and

$$Q_{xc} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{r' = 0} (1 - \epsilon(r')) f_{xc}(i\epsilon, r) P_{rr'},$$

with $\epsilon(r') = 0$ if $r' \notin \alpha$ and 1 otherwise. At $T = 0$ we have $Q_{xc} = 0$, but for $T \approx T_K$ it must be $Q_{xc} \approx -1$. The quantity $1 + Q_{xc}$ can be interpreted as a KS dielectric function as it measures the ratio between the KS and the Hartree screening.

In conclusion, we proposed a finite-temperature DFT scheme to construct approximate xc functionals for correlated systems weakly connected to leads. The resulting KS potential in the zero-temperature limit exhibits a discontinuity at an integer number of particles, as it should. We showed that the discontinuity is essential to reproduce the conductance plateau due to the Kondo effect within the DFT + NEGF approach [25]. For temperatures larger than $T_K$, however, the exact KS conductance overestimates the exact conductance by an order of magnitude. We traced back the origin of this problem to the lack of dynamical xc corrections which we expect to be relevant not only in this context but also in the description of finite temperature and finite bias transport experiments of weakly coupled molecular junctions.
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