2		
3 4	1	MECHANICAL AND VISCOELASTIC PROPERTIES OF YAM
5 6 7	2	(Dioscorea alata)
8 9	3	
10 11 12	4	Authors: ARMANDO ALVIS ¹ , MAR VILLAMIEL ^{2*} and MAITE RADA-
13 14 15	5	MENDOZA ³
16 17	6	
18 19 20	7	1 University of Córdoba, Cra 6 No. 76-103, Km. 3, vía Cereté. Córdoba, Colombia
21 22	8	2 Instituto de Fermentaciones Industriales (CSIC), c/ Juan de la Cierva, 3. 28006.
23 24 25	9	Madrid, Spain
25 26 27	10	3 Professor University of Cauca, Chemistry Department, Investigation Group
28 29 20	11	BICAMSA, c/ 5 No. 4-70. Popayán, Colombia
30 31 32	12 13	*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed
33 34 35	15	Author to whom correspondence should be addressed
36 37 38	14	Telephone number: (34) 91 562 29 00 Ext. 397
39 40 41 42	15	Fax number: (34) 91 564 48 53
43 44 45	16	e-mail: <u>mvillamiel@ifi.csic.es</u>
46 47 48	17	
49 50	18	
51 52 53	19	
54 55	20	
56 57 58	21	
50 59 60	22 23	

2
3
4
5
5
6
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 28 9 30 31 22 33 34
0
0
9
10
10
11
12
40
13
14
15
15
16
17
40
18
19
20
20
21
22
~~
23
24
25
20
26
27
21
28
29
20
30
31
32
52
33
34
25
35
36
37
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39
38
39
40
40
41
42
43
44
45
40
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
51
58

12

1

2

ABSTRACT

3 The main mechanical properties and viscoelastic characteristics of two different 4 cultivars of yam has been measured in this work. Using an Instron machine, some 5 different tests has been applied: puncture, uniaxial compression and bending in order to 6 calculate the parameters: maximum stress of penetration, stress and strain at fracture, 7 deformability modulus and the constants "a" and "b" from the Peleg model. It is 8 concluded that the Pico de Botella cultivar has a fracture stress higher (0.57 MPa) than 9 the Diamante 22 cultivar (0.31 MPa) and also the degree of deformation at fracture is 10 higher (32 % versus 21 %). In relation with the constants from the Peleg viscoelasticity 11 model, there are no differences between cultivars, both having the same value of the 12 asintotic stress (a = 0.43) and very similar for the relaxation stress rates (Pico de 13 *Botella*, b = 0.03 and *Diamante* 22, b = 0.02).

KEYWORDS

Yam, mechanical properties, viscoelasticity.

18

14

15

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

2		
3		
4		
Ļ		
5		
6		
<u> </u>		
7		
8		
9		
	_	
1	0	
4	4	
I	1	
1	2	
1	~	
1	3	
1	1	
I	4	
1	5	
Ĵ.	~	
1	6	
1	7	
•	'	
1	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
1	0	
I	9	
2	0	
~	4	
2	1	
2	2	
<u> </u>	<u>~</u>	
2	01234567890123456-	
\sim	1	
2	4	
2	5	
~	~	
2	6	
2	7	
_	'	
2	8	
ი	0	
~	9	
З	0	
~	- -	
3	1	
ર	2	
2	~	
З	3	
\circ	1	
J	4	
З	5	
~	~	
3	6	
•2	/	
3		
З	8	
3	٥	
4	0	
4		
4	2	
4	კ	
4	4	
4	5	
4	6	
	-	
4	7	
4		
4	9	
5		
5	1	
5	2	
5		
5	4	
5	5	
5		
Б	7	
J		
5	8	
5	8	
5 5	8	

INTRODUCTION

Among the main agricultural products of the Atlantic Coast of Colombia, yam is the most inexpensive and profitable product which is cultivated with corn, cassava or alone. Yam contains better nutritional value (proteins, essential amino acids and minerals) than other roots and tubers such as cassava and potato (Coursey and Ayensu 1972), and literature concerning production and processing is not commonly available (Morales 1992). World-wide production of yam has grown 4.7% annually for the last twenty six years from 1.34 million to 4.42 million Ha. The four major producers of yam are Nigeria, Ghana, Benin and Togo. Colombia is the fifth producer but its expansion was the highest (18.6% annual average) (Corporación PBA 2004).

The high moisture content of yam could be the cause of vulnerability to 13 mechanical damages during processing, handling and storage. Nwandikom (1990) 14 15 has carried out an extensive work with some cultivars of yam in Nigeria, by using 16 different mechanical techniques: impact load resistance, tissue fracture and 17 compression of tuber tissue. This author concluded that mechanical damage to yam tubers is the major limiting factor of the automatization of its production and 18 19 increased productivity. Also that mechanical properties (penetration, flexibility, 20 viscoelasticity and uniaxial compression) play a major role in predicting physical 21 damage to tubers and that tubers with more than 70% moisture content must be 22 placed singly. On the other hand, Aluko and Koya (2006), have pointed out that 23 adequate knowledge of their engineering properties is an essential prerequisite for 24 the scientific design and development of equipment for planting and handling yams 25 setts mechanically.

Calzada and Peleg (1978), have interpreted the relationship stress/deformation during the compression of solid foods (potato) and they explained that two antagonistic mechanisms which regulate the levels of stress exist: the internal fractures, that diminish the mechanical strength and the compactation that has the tendency to increase it. A simple model that only contains two constants (a and b) has been of great applicability to compare the form of the relaxation curves for different materials such as potatoes, apple, pear, etc., (Peleg 1979). Currently, there are not data in the literature of this type of measures in yam; for this reason, in the present work a study to characterize the main mechanical properties of two varieties of fresh yam cultivated in the Atlantic Region of Colombia has been carried out. **MATERIALS AND METHODS** Samples Two varieties of yam (Dioscorea alata): Diamante 22 and Pico de Botella were selected presenting the best characteristics in reduced sugar content to be used in the deep fat frying process (Alvis and Vélez 2006). These samples were acquired in the germoplasm bank of the University of Cordoba (Colombia) and were stored during 90 days to 28°C until its posterior analysis. **Mechanical determinations** The *"maximum penetration stress"* (σ_{max}) of the whole tuber with peel on, and of 3 cm thick slices was measured with two Magness-Taylor probes (7.9 and 4.6 mm diameter) mounted in an Instron machine.

Journal of Texture Studies

2		
3	1	The "fracture stress" (σ) was measured by a three-point bending test according
4	1	The fracture stress (0) was measured by a timee point bending test according
5	2	
6	2	to Bruns and Bourne (1975). The sample was cut into bars 0.8 x 0.8 x 40 mm long.
7	_	
8	3	The bars were placed on a bridge with the supports 20 mm apart and a descending
9		
10	4	bar in the center descended until the bar fractured. The fracture stress " σ " for a
11		
12	5	symmetrical beam of rectangular cross-section is:
13	5	symmetrical beam of rectangular cross-section is.
14		
15 16	6	$\sigma = \frac{3FL}{2bh^2} \tag{1}$
17	0	$0 = \frac{2bh^2}{2bh^2}$
18		
19	7	Where b and h are the dimension of the cross-section and L the length.
20	/	where b and h are the dimension of the cross-section and L the length.
20	0	
22	8	
23		
24	9	The uniaxial compression test compressed 20 mm cubes of yam until fracture
25		
26	10	and the deformability modulus, and stress and strain at fracture calculated.
27	10	and the deformation by modulus, and stress and strain at macture calculated.
28	11	
29	11	
30		
31	12	In all the previous cases, the tests were made at a deformation speed of 5
32		
33	13	cm/min, at room temperature (25°C) and taking 5 replicates (different batches of
34		
35	14	yam) of each experiment.
36	14	yam) of each experiment.
37	1.5	
38	15	
39		
40	16	The relaxation test was performed by compressing 20 mm cubes of yam by 20%
41 42		
42 43	17	and holding that degree of compression for 8 minutes while measuring the decay in
43 44		
44	18	force (see figure 1).
46	10	loice (see ligure 1).
47	10	
48	19	
49		
50	20	The viscoelastic properties of solid foods frequently have been demonstrated by
51		
52	21	relaxation curves (Peleg 1979). An ideal mathematical representation of a physical
53		in the second
54	22	phanomenon is based on the following:
55		phenomenon is based on the following:
56	• •	
57	23	a. The constants and the equations components, carry meaningful physical
58		
59	24	information; and
60		

b. The equation is sensitive to physical changes in the system but insensitive to arbitrary parameters.
To apply these conditions to relaxation curves a convenient mathematical procedure has been tested.
(a) The relaxation curves have been normalized, i.e. the decaying parameter Y(t) was calculated as follows:

$$Y_{(r)} = \frac{F_c - F_c}{F_0} \qquad (2)$$
Where F_r is the force recorded after 1 min at relaxation.
(b) The typical shape of the function Y_r versus t suggests the simplified mathematical form of Mickley *et al.* (1957):

$$Y_{(r)} = \frac{abt}{1+bt} \qquad (3)$$
Where a and b are constants.
If $a = 0$ the stress does not relax at all (i.e. in an ideal elastic solid) and if $a = 1.0$ the stress level eventually reaches zero (e.g. in liquids). For 0Y_{(w)}. The constant b is the representative of the "rate" at which the stress relaxes (1/b is the time necessary to reach the level of $a/2$).
The of the mathematical characteristics of equation (4) is that it gives a straight line when plotted in the form:

$$\frac{t}{Y_{(r)}} = \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{t}{a} \qquad (4)$$

2 3 4	1
4 5 6	2
7 8	3
9 10 11	4
12 13	5
14 15 16	6
17 18	7
19 20	8
21 22 23	9
24 25	10
26 27 28	11
28 29 30	12
31 32	13
33 34 35	14
36 37	15
38 39 40	16
40 41 42	17
43 44	18
45 46 47	19
48 49	20
50 51 52	21
52 53 54	22
55 56	23
57 58 59	24
60	25

Statistical

A t-student test was used to detect significant differences of the data. The constants "a" and "b" were calculated by using an electronic Excel page (Microsoft office Excel 2003 program).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Puncture test. Sliced samples showed a decrease in the σ_{max} values in comparison with those of whole tuber samples. With the probe of 7.9mm, the σ_{max} values were lower for both cultivars (Table 1).

As it is shown from the results of the t-student test, the values of σ_{max} for the whole yam with peel, are not significantly differences ($p \le 0.05$) when using both probe, however when the puncture test is carried out on the tissues, the value of σ_{max} is different among varieties, being higher for Pico de Botella, due to that its peel is harder and has less sugar content (0.16) in comparison to Diamante 22 (0.46). These differences could be due to the structure and to the composition of the product or both (Mohsenin 1965).

2. Flexure. The Diamante cultivar has a lower fracture force (9.9 N) and lower deformability (5.5 mm) than the Pico de Botella cultivar (16.0 N and 6.1 mm). See Table 2. This indicates that the Pico de Botella cultivar fractures to much more stress than Diamante 22 and the latter is also something more flexible because it reaches higher values of deformation up to the fracture moment.

1	3. Uniaxial compression
2	These results (Table 2) confirm that the cultivar Pico de Botella is much
3	more resistant to the applied forces to deform the product and therefore, less
4	susceptible to mechanical damages occurring during the crop, manipulation, storage
5	and processing, in agreement with the results reported by Nwandikom (1990). For
6	the variety Pico de Botella, the fracture takes place at higher values of the stress and
7	it allows that the same one can be compressed to higher deformations, while the
8	variety Diamante 22 fractures at degree of compression around 20%. Diehl and
9	Hamann (1979), for fresh potatoes, have reported results close to 30%.
10	
11	4. Relaxation
12	Figure 1 shows the experimental curves obtained when the function force (t/Y)
13	(s) versus time (t) is plotted.
14	
15	The fixed normalized and linealized Peleg equation offers the following results:
16	Diamante 22: $t/Y = 2.34t + 110.68$ $\mathbf{R}^2 = 0.996$ (5)
17	Pico de Botella: $t/Y = 2.308t + 65.78$ $R^2 = 0.998$ (6)
18	
19	The coefficient of determination is highly significant (p>0.001) for both cases.
20	Right similar (Peleg 1979) has also obtained for fruits such as apple and pear as well
21	as some tubers like potato.
22	The Peleg "a" and "b" constants show hardly any differences between the two
23	cultivars (See table 2).
24	In agreement with the interpretation of these constants and the value of $a = 0.6$
25	reported by Peleg (1979) for potato, it can be said that both yam cultivars are closer

Journal of Texture Studies

1 2		
2 3 4	1	to a Hookean solid than potato, that which belongs together with the relative little
5 6	2	moisture that presents the yam (70%) and their high content of starch (28%) with
7 8 9	3	regard to the potato. Potato is very moisture (75.5%), therefore, viscoelastic, less
10 11	4	solid and less starches (22%) that are degraded into sugar.
12 13 14	5	It seems to be that definitively the mechanical properties of yam and their
14 15 16	6	texture, are mainly governed by the composition of the product which it is in
17 18	7	agreement with the conclusions pointed out by (Onayemi et al. 1987; Afoakwa and
19 20 21	8	Sefa-Dedeh 2001).
22 23	9	
24 25	10	CONCLUSIONS
26 27 28	11	The flexion test results are higher for Pico de Botella. Pico de Botella variety is
29 30	12	less susceptible of being damaged by effect of manipulation during harvest and
31 32 33	13	storage because it is much more resistant to the applied forces to deform the product
33 34 35	14	and viscoelastic properties of both varieties are next to zero. For this reason, the
36 37	15	product tends to behave close to the Hooke solid.
38 39 40	16	
41 42	17	
43 44	18	Acknowledgements
45 46 47	19	This work has been funded by Ministry of Education and Science of Spain
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	20	(project AGL2007-63462, and by Consolider CSD2007-00063 INGENIO 2010).
57		

1 2		
2 3 4	1	REFERENCES
5 6	2	
7 8 9	3	AFOAKWA, E.O. and SEFA-DEDEH, S. 2001. Chemical composition and
10 11	4	quality changes occurring in Dioscorea dumetorum pax tubers after harvest. Food
12 13	5	Chem. 75, 85-91.
14 15 16	6	ALUKO, O.B. and KOYA, O.A. 2006. Some engineering properties of yam
17 18	7	setts from two species of yams. J. Food Eng. 76, 396-401.
19 20 21	8	ALVIS, A. and VÉLEZ, C. 2006. Determinación del coeficiente de transferencia
22 23	9	de calor del ñame (Dioscorea alata) durante un tratamiento térmico por inmersión.
24 25	10	Doctoral Proposal, Food Engineering Department, Universidad del Valle, Cali,
26 27 28	11	Colombia.
29 30	12	BRUNS, A.J. and BOURNE M.C. 1975. Effects of sample dimensions on the
31 32	13	snapping force of crisp foods. J. Texture Studies 6, 445-448.
33 34 35	14	CALZADA, J.F. and PELEG, M. 1978. Mechanical interpretation of
36 37	15	compressive stress-strain relationships of solid foods. J. Food Sci. 43, 1087-1092.
38 39 40	16	CORPORACIÓN PBA. 2004. Estrategias de comercialización de plátano, yuca
40 41 42	17	y ñame, Bogotá, Colombia.
43 44	18	COURSEY, D.G. and AYENSU, J. 1972. Post harvest problems of yam
45 46 47	19	(Dioscorea spp). In 1 st . International symposium on tropical roots crops. St
48 49	20	Augustine, Trinidad.
50 51	21	DIEHL, K.C. and HAMANN. D.D. 1979. Relationships between sensory profile
52 53 54	22	parameters and fundamental mechanical parameters for raw. Potatoes, melons and
55 56	23	apples. J. Texture Studies 10, 401-420.
57 58	24	MICKLEY, H., SHERWOOD, T.K. and REED, C.E. 1957. Applied
59 60	25	Mathematics in Chemical Engineering, Mc Graw-Hill Book Co, New York.

1		
2 3 4	1	MOHSENIN, N.N. 1965. Physical Properties of Agricultural Products.
5 6 7	2	Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers 8, 25-29.
8 9	3	MORALES, T.L. 1992. El Cultivo del Ñame y su Potencialidad en el Caribe
10 11	4	Colombiano. C. I. ICA, Carmen de Bolívar, Cartagena, Colombia.
12 13 14	5	NWANDIKOM, G.I. 1990. Yam tuber resistance to mechanical damage.
15 16	6	Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America 21, 33 -36.
17 18 19	7	ONAYEMI, O., BABALOLA, R.O. and BADANGA, A. 1987. Textural
20 21	8	properties of cooked tropical yam (Dioscorea spp). J. Texture Studies 18, 17-29.
22 23 24	9	PELEG, M. 1979. Characterization of the stress relaxation curves of solid foods.
24 25 26	10	J. Food Sci. 44, 277-281.
27 28 20	11	
29 30 31	12	J. FOOD SCI. 44, 277-281.
32 33	13	
34 35 36	14	
37 38	15	
39 40 41	16 17	
42 43	18	
44 45 46	19	
47 48	20	
49 50 51	21	
52 53	22	
54 55 56	23	
57 58	24	
59 60	25	

EXPERIMENTAL CURVES FORCE (t/Y) VERSUS TIME (t) ACCORDING TO

2	
3 4	1
5 6	2
7 8 9	3
9 10 11	4
12 13	5
14 15	6
16 17 18	7
19 20	8
21 22 23	9
23 24 25	10
26 27	11
28 29 30	12
30 31 32	13
33 34	14
35 36 37	15
38 39	16
40 41	17
42 43 44	18
45 46	19
47 48	20
49 50 51	21
52 53	22
54 55	23
56 57 58	24
59 60	25

Figure captions

PELEG MODEL FOR BOTH CULTIVAR

FIGURE 1.

1	TABLE 1.				
2	RESULTS OF THI	E MAXIMUM PI	UNCTURE STR	ESS (σ_{max}) EXP	RESSED IN M
3		IEAN VALUE			ATION) OF
4	DETERMINATIO	NS.			
5					
	. <u></u>	Whole	e tuber	SI	ice
	Cultivar		Probe Diar		
		4.6	7.9	4.6	7.9
	Diamante 22	$3.72^{a} \pm 0.68$	$2.18^{a} \pm 0.17$	$1.27^{a} \pm 0.09$	$1.11^{a} \pm 0.0$
	Pico de Botella	$3.30^{a} \pm 0.30$		1.27 ± 0.09 $1.66^{b} \pm 0.16$	
6					
6	Confidence level at p	≤0.05; Means follo	wed by different le	tters in each colun	nn were signific
7	different p≤0.05)				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
1 4					
14					
15					
15 16					
15 16 17					
15 16 17 18					
14 15 16 17 18 19					
15 16 17 18					

1 TABLE 2.

2 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES (FLEXURE, UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION AND 3 RELAXATION) FOR BOTH YAM CULTIVARS. DATA ARE MEAN VALUES (± 4 STANDARD DEVIATION) OF 5 DETERMINATIONS.

	Cultivar		
Mechanical Property	Diamante 22	Pico de Botella	
FLEXURE			
Fracture force (N)	9.9 ± 0.7	16.0 ± 1.2	
Deformability (mm)	5.5 ± 0.3	6.1 ± 0.3	
UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION			
Fracture stress (MPa)	0.31 ± 0.02	0.57 ± 0.04	
Fracture strain (mm)	0.21 ± 0.02	0.32 ± 0.02	
Deformability modulus (MPa)	1.48 ± 0.13	$1.78~\pm~0.14$	
RELAXATION			
Peleg "a"	0.43 ± 0.1	$0.43~\pm~0.1$	
Peleg "b"	0.02 ± 0.0	0.03 ± 0.0	

