A series of plasmids were constructed containing two unidirectional ColE1 replication origins in either the same or opposite orientations and their replication mode was investigated using two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. The results obtained showed that, in these plasmids, initiation of DNA replication occurred at only one of the two potential origins per replication round regardless of origins orientation. In those plasmids with inversely oriented origins, the silent origin act as a polar pausing site for the replication fork initiated at the other origin. The distance between origins (up to 5.8 kilobase pairs) affected neither the interference between them to initiate replication nor the pausing function of the silent origin. A deletion analysis indicated that the presence of a transcription promoter upstream of the origin was the only essential requirement for it to initiate replication as well as to account for its polar pausing function. Finally, in vitro helicase assays showed that Escherichia coli DnaB is able to melt DNA-DNA homoduplexes but is very inefficient to unwind RNA-DNA hybrids. Altogether, these observations strongly suggest that replication forks pause at silent ColE1 origins due to the inability of DnaB helicase, which leads the replication fork in vivo, to unwind RNA-DNA hybrids.

The difficulty to generate palindromes involving ColE1 origins is a well known paradox (1, 2). Indeed, co-orientation of replication origins is the most common organization found in nature for multimeric plasmids. Streptococcus pyogenes broad host range plasmid pSM19035 is one of the few exceptions (3, 4). pPI21, an Escherichia coli plasmid derivative of pSM19035 and pBR322, has two long inverted repeats, each one containing a potential active ColE1 unidirectional origin. It was recently shown that progression of the replication fork initiated at either of the two potential origins of pPI21 is transiently stalled at the other inversely oriented origin (5). This pausing leads to the accumulation of a specific replication intermediate (RI) containing an internal bubble that spans the distance between both origins. The accumulated RI exists as a series of stereoisomers that may have one or more knots with a different number of nodes within the replicated portion (5). Whether this peculiar replication behavior is specific for S. pyogenes pSM19035-derived plasmids or a general feature for all plasmids containing two inversely oriented ColE1 origins is still to be shown. Moreover, nothing is known about the mechanism responsible for the transient pausing of replication forks as they encounter another silent and inversely oriented ColE1 origin; and the palindromic structure of pPI21 made it difficult to find out whether or not both origins were equally competent to initiate DNA replication.

To answer all these questions, we constructed a series of E. coli plasmids containing two unidirectional ColE1 origins in either the same or opposite orientations. Two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis (6) was then used to study the replication mode of these plasmids in order to investigate the interference between the origins and the efficiency of complete or partially deleted origins to initiate replication as well as to stall replication forks initiated at the other origin. We hoped these experiments would shed new light on the mechanism responsible for the polar pausing of replication forks at other silent and inversely oriented ColE1 origins. In this way they would help us to understand why palindromes involving ColE1 origins are so rare in nature and even difficult to obtain in the laboratory.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Bacterial Strains and Culture Medium—The E. coli strain used in this study was DH5α F−. Competent cells were transformed with monomeric forms of the plasmids as described elsewhere (7). Cells were grown at 37 °C in LB medium containing 50 μg/ml ampicillin unless otherwise specified.

Plasmid Constructions—To construct pHH0.5 and pHT0.5, the 759-bp-long AfIII-DraI fragment from pUC19 was blunt-ended with Klenow and cloned in both orientations into the Stnai site of pUC19 dephosphorylated with CIP. pHH2.0 and pHT2.0 were obtained by digesting pHH0.5 and pHT0.5 between the origins with HincII and CIP dephosphorylated. The 1,453-bp-long EcoRI fragment from YRp7 containing the ARS1-trp cassette was blunt-ended with Klenow and cloned into HincII-cleaved pHH0.5 and pHT0.5. pHH5.8 and pHT5.8 were obtained by cutting pHH2.0 and pHT2.0 between the origins with EcoRV and CIP dephosphorylated. A 3,851-bp-long EcoRI-KpnI fragment from human rDNA was blunt-ended with T4 polymerase and cloned into EcoRV-cleaved pBR-B2.29, the 1,165-bp-long PvuII-DraI fragment from pBR322 containing the complete ColE1 origin was cloned into EcoRV-cleaved pBR322 dephosphorylated with CIP. pHH(BR-B2.29) was constructed inserting the 820-bp-long Alul-NI-PvuII fragment from pBR322 into EcoRV-cleaved pBR322 that was previously made blunt-ended with T4 polymerase. To construct pHH(BR-B2.29) the 759-bp-long DraI-AfIII fragment from pBR322 was blunt-ended with Klenow and cloned into EcoRV-cleaved pBR322. Finally, pHH/
A salt gradient was run between buffers A and B (the same as buffer A but with 800 mM NaCl) was run. The DnaB protein of E. coli was induced with isopropyl-1-thio-
D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) in BL21(DE3) cells transformed with the DnaB overproducer (pET11b-DnaB) were added, and the mixture was incubated for 60 min at 37 °C. Reactions were terminated by addition of a dye mixture containing SDS-EDTA-sucrose and bromphenol blue. The end products were analyzed by electrophoresis through a 13-10% polyaacrylamide gel in Tris borate–EDTA buffer. Exposure of Curix RP2 (Agfa) films to the dried gels was carried out at −80 °C with two intensifying screens for 1-2 h. The percentage of oligonucleotide released from the substrate was determined using a PhosphorImager densitometer.

RESULTS

One of our primary goals was to find out whether or not the polar randomization of a replication fork at another origin was a general feature for all plasmids containing two inversely oriented ColE1 origins. We wanted to answer this question using two-dimensional gels to study the RIs of non-palindromic plasmids to determine if both origins were equally competent to initiate replication. Finally, we also wanted to know if the physical distance between the origins affected their capacity to interfere with each other and to act as a replication pausing site.

Two series of plasmids were constructed containing two potential active ColE1 origins either in the same or in opposite orientations. Each series comprised three different plasmids, where the distance between origins was approximately 0.5, 2.0, and 5.8 kb, respectively (Fig. 1). A small fragment of pUC19 containing the ColE1 origin was inserted into the Smal site of this same vector, in both orientations. We previously confirmed that the aforementioned DNA fragment was able to confer autonomous replication to plasmids lacking a replication origin (data not shown). In this way we obtained the first two plasmids of each series: pHII0.5 and pHII2.0. IH points to the head-to-head orientation, while HT indicates head-to-tail orientation. 0.5 refers to the distance between the origins (in kb). Next, pHII2.0 and pHIT2.0 were constructed where the distance between origins had increased from 0.5 to 2.0 kb. Finally, we built up pHII5.8 and pHIT5.8, the third member of each series, where the distance between origins was approximately 5.8 kb. Fig. 1 shows the restriction maps and the construction sequences followed to make the pHII series. As indicated before, the pHIT series was constructed in a similar fashion (for details, see “Experimental Procedures”).

We used a computer program (10) to predict the shape of the RIs as well as the two-dimensional agarose gel patterns expected for each of the experiments performed throughout this study. To illustrate its usefulness, Fig. 2 shows the predictions made by the program for the RIs corresponding to pHII2.0 after digestion with KpnI. Two series of RIs were drawn by the program depending on whether initiation occurs only at the
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**Initiation, Pausing, and Termination of DNA Replication Forks**

BR18-ΔRnas2.9 was constructed cutting pHII/BR-ΔRnas with EcoRI and HindIII to eliminate the tetracycline promoter, and this fragment was replaced with a 51-bp EcoRI-HindIII fragment from pUC19 containing the multiple cloning site.

**Initiation, Pausing, and Termination of DNA Replication Forks**

DNA—Cells from overnight cultures were diluted 40-fold into fresh LB medium, grown at 37 °C to exponential phase (A600 = 0.4–0.6), quickly chilled, and centrifuged. 1000 ml of cultured cells were washed with 20 ml of STE buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 1 mM EDTA), harvested by centrifugation, and resuspended in 5 ml of 25% sucrose and 0.25 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and keeping the lysate for 15 min on ice. The lysate was centrifuged at 26,000 × g for 45 min at 4 °C to pellet the chromosomal DNA and other bacterial debris. Plasmid DNA was recovered from the supernatant and precipitated by adding 2/3 volume of 25% polyethylene glycol 6000 and 1.25 mM NaCl in TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 1 mM EDTA) and kept overnight at 4 °C on ice. The precipitated DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 6,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C and the pellet resuspended and incubated in 5 ml of a preheated digestion buffer (100 μg/ml proteinase K in 1 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS), at 37 °C for 30 min. Proteins were digested twice with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) equilibrated with 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and then extracted once with chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1). The DNA was precipitated with 2.5 volumes of absolute ethanol overnight at −20 °C and resuspended in TE. The DNA was digested with restriction endonucleases (Boehringer Mannheim) as recommended by the manufacturer in the presence of 100 μg/ml RNase A and B.

**Two-dimensional Agarose Gel Electrophoresis—Analysis of RIs by two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis was performed as described elsewhere (7). The first dimension was in a 0.85–1% agarose gel in TBE containing 0.3 mM MgCl2, 200 μg/ml lysozyme, 1 mg/ml RNAse A, and 800 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, and 1 mM EDTA, and the dialyzed fraction was loaded onto a DEAE-Sephacel column equilibrated with 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and keeping the lysate for 15 min on ice. The lysate was centrifuged at 26,000 × g for 45 min at 4 °C to pellet the chromosomal DNA and other bacterial debris. Plasmid DNA was recovered from the supernatant and precipitated by adding 2/3 volume of 25% polyethylene glycol 6000 and 1.25 mM NaCl in TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 1 mM EDTA) and kept overnight at 4 °C on ice. The precipitated DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 6,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C and the pellet resuspended and incubated in 5 ml of a preheated digestion buffer (100 μg/ml proteinase K in 1 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS), at 37 °C for 30 min. Proteins were digested twice with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) equilibrated with 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and then extracted once with chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1). The DNA was precipitated with 2.5 volumes of absolute ethanol overnight at −20 °C and resuspended in TE. The DNA was digested with restriction endonucleases (Boehringer Mannheim) as recommended by the manufacturer in the presence of 100 μg/ml RNase A and B.

The DNA–RNA helicase substrate was obtained by an in vitro transcription reaction of HindIII-cleaved BlueScript KS+ and single-stranded DNA in 100 μl of total volume and purified again through a 4-CL–4B column.

The DNA–RNA helicase substrate was obtained by an in vitro transcription reaction of HindIII-cleaved BlueScript KS+. The transcription reaction mixture (20 μl) contained 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 6 mM MgCl2; 10 mM dithiothreitol; 2 mM spermidine; 500 μM each of ATP, CTP, and GTP; 100 μCi of [α-32P]UTP (800 Ci/mmol; Amer sham); 20 units of RNase inhibitor (Boehringer Mannheim); 10 units of T7 RNA polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim); 500 ng of DNA template. The reactions were terminated by addition of a dye mixture containing SDS-EDTA-sucrose and bromphenol blue. The end products were analyzed by electrophoresis through a 3–10% polyaacrylamide gel in Tris borate-EDTA buffer. Exposure of Curix RP2 (Agfa) films to the dried gels was carried out at −80 °C with two intensifying screens for 1–2 h. The percentage of oligonucleotide released from the substrate was determined using a PhosphorImager densitometer.

**RESULTS**

One of our primary goals was to find out whether or not the polar randomization of a replication fork at another origin was a general feature for all plasmids containing two inversely oriented ColE1 origins. We wanted to answer this question using two-dimensional gels to study the RIs of non-palindromic plasmids to determine if both origins were equally competent to initiate replication. Finally, we also wanted to know if the physical distance between the origins affected their capacity to interfere with each other and to act as a replication pausing site.

Two series of plasmids were constructed containing two potential active ColE1 origins either in the same or in opposite orientations. Each series comprised three different plasmids, where the distance between origins was approximately 0.5, 2.0, and 5.8 kb, respectively (Fig. 1). A small fragment of pUC19 containing the ColE1 origin was inserted into the Smal site of this same vector, in both orientations. We previously confirmed that the aforementioned DNA fragment was able to confer autonomous replication to plasmids lacking a replication origin (data not shown). In this way we obtained the first two plasmids of each series: pHII0.5 and pHII2.0. IH points to the head-to-head orientation, while HT indicates head-to-tail orientation. 0.5 refers to the distance between the origins (in kb). Next, pHII2.0 and pHIT2.0 were constructed where the distance between origins had increased from 0.5 to 2.0 kb. Finally, we built up pHII5.8 and pHIT5.8, the third member of each series, where the distance between origins was approximately 5.8 kb. Fig. 1 shows the restriction maps and the construction sequences followed to make the pHII series. As indicated before, the pHIT series was constructed in a similar fashion (for details, see “Experimental Procedures”).

We used a computer program (10) to predict the shape of the RIs as well as the two-dimensional agarose gel patterns expected for each of the experiments performed throughout this study. To illustrate its usefulness, Fig. 2 shows the predictions made by the program for the RIs corresponding to pHII2.0 after digestion with KpnI. Two series of RIs were drawn by the program depending on whether initiation occurs only at the
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FIG. 1. Construction strategy and restriction map of the plasmids derived from pUC19 containing two ColE1 origins. Only the pH series is presented in the figure. A similar strategy was used to construct the pH series (for details, see text). The restriction map to the left corresponds to the circular plasmid pUC19. The thick arrow on the circle indicates the location and orientation of the complete origin (Oriα). The thin arrow within the circle indicates the location and transcription direction of the ampicillin resistance gene. The hatched zone marks the polycloning site. The places for a number of restriction endonucleases with a single recognition site are indicated. The thick arrow outside the pUC19 map represents the DraI-AflIII minimal origin (Oriβ) that was cloned into the SmaI site of pUC19 to generate pHH0.5. The thick stippled arc below corresponds to an EcoRI fragment from S. cerevisiae that was cloned into the HincII site of pHH0.5 to generate pHH2.0. Finally, the thin black arc at the far right corresponds to a KpnI-EcoRI fragment from human rDNA that was cloned into the EcoRV site of pHH2.0 to generate pHH5.8. The names of the resulting plasmids and their sizes (in bp) are indicated.

FIG. 2. The mass and shape of some RIs and the corresponding two-dimensional gel patterns expected for pHH2.0 digested with KpnI are shown as diagrammed by the computer. Linear maps are shown at the top. To the left, the RIs and the pattern expected if initiation only occurs at Oriα. To the right, the RIs and the pattern expected if initiation only occurs at Oriβ.

native origin, hereafter defined as Oriα (shown to the left), or only at the new origin, named Oriβ (shown to the right). If initiation only occurs at Oriα, a bubble would be formed that would grow rightward until the replication fork reaches the right end of the fragment. At this point the molecular mass of the RI would be 1.54× the mass of the linear unreplicated form (between 1.43 and 1.58). When the replication fork reaches the right end of the fragment, the bubble would open up and the shape of the RIs would change abruptly from a bubble to a simple-Y. As the replication fork leaves the fragment by the right end, it re-enters by the left end still moving rightward, and the shape of the RIs changes again from a simple to a double-Y. If initiation only occurs at Oriβ, the shape of the RIs would be similar, although in this case the replicating fork would progress leftward. More importantly, when the bubble opens up as the replication fork reaches the left end of the fragment, the mass of the RI would be 1.85× (between 1.74 and 1.87) instead of 1.54×, as in the previous case. If the replication fork initiated at one origin pauses as it encounters the other silent origin, a specific RI would accumulate in both cases with a relative mass of approximately 1.4×. The predicted two-dimensional agarose gel pattern corresponding to each series of RIs are shown in the lower part of Fig. 2. As indicated earlier, a similar prediction was made for all the plasmids analyzed throughout this study before the two-dimensional agarose gel experiments were actually performed.

Interference between Origins and Polar Pausing of the Replication Fork at the Silent Origin Are General Features for All Plasmids Bearing Two Inversely Oriented ColE1 Origins—Plasmid DNA was isolated from exponentially growing cells that had been transfected with each of the six previously described plasmids. pHH0.5 and pHH0.5 DNAs were digested with SacI, pHH2.0 and pHH2.0 DNAs were digested with KpnI, and pHH5.8 and pHH5.8 DNAs were digested with AluNI. Finally, the RIs of all six plasmids were independently analyzed by two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis (6). Fig. 3 shows the autoradiograms corresponding to pHH0.5, pHH2.0, pHH2.0, and pHH5.8. pHH0.5 was hybridized with the entire pUC19 DNA, used as a probe. pHH2.0 and pHH2.0 were hybridized with the entire pHH0.5 DNA. Finally, pHH5.8 was hybridized with the 3,851-bp EcoRI-KpnI fragment from human rDNA. As in all these cases, initiation of DNA replication could occur at either the native (Oriα) or the new (Oriβ) origin; the patterns observed in the autoradiograms were expected to be a mixture of both populations. In Fig. 3A, corresponding to pHH2.0 digested with KpnI (see Figs. 1 and 2), the lower diagonal arc
corresponded to linear forms (6, 7, 11, 12). The prominent spot at the far right end of the linear forms corresponded to the unreplicated linear form of the plasmid (1.0 ×). The other spot on the linear forms, to the left, corresponded to partial digestion of dimeric forms (2.0 ×). The prominent spot above the 1.0 × linear form to the right was probably due to OC forms. The continuous signal extending upward from the 1.0 × linear form to the upper part of the autoradiogram corresponded to a bubble arc. A discrete spot was observed on top of the bubble arc to the left of the OC forms. This spot was due to accumulated bubbles (5). A small arc formed by a series of spots with decreasing intensity was observed between the accumulated bubbles and the OC forms. This series of spots corresponded to knotted bubbles (5). Another faint and continuous arc occurred just underneath the bubble arc (only visible in very long exposed autoradiograms). This faint arc corresponded to simple-Ys and was probably due to the RI s of multimeric forms of the plasmid (6, 7, 11–14). Two discrete spots were clearly visible on the faint simple-Y arc. The relative mass of the molecules responsible for the smaller of these spots was slightly bigger than 1.5 × as it occurred just to the left of the inflection point of the simple-Y arc. The other spot was due to molecules with a relative mass between 1.5 and 2.0 ×. A double-Y signal emerged from each one of these spots and extended upward and tilted to the left toward the signal corresponding to X-shaped recombinants. The intensity of both signals was very similar, and they became progressively stronger as they got closer to the X-shaped recombinants.

Fig. 3B shows the autoradiogram corresponding to pHT2.0 digested with Kpnl. In this plasmid, both origins were co-oriented. The patterns observed were completely different from those corresponding to pH2.0 digested with the same restriction enzyme (Fig. 3A). No signal indicative for accumulated bubbles or knotted bubbles were detected.

Altogether, these results demonstrated that two-dimensional gels can be effectively used to monitor the efficiency of each origin to initiate replication by estimating the relative intensity of the double-Y signals corresponding to each origin. They also showed that initiation occurred at only one origin per replication round. The detection of accumulated bubbles and knotted bubbles in all the plasmids of the pH series demonstrated that the peculiar replication behavior originally described for pPI21 was not specific for S. pyogenes pSM19035-derived plasmids but a general feature for all plasmids containing two inversely oriented ColE1 origins. Finally, the differences observed between the pH and pHIT series demonstrated that stalling of the replication fork at the other silent origin was polar since it only happened if both origins were in opposite orientations.

The Distance between Origins (Up to 5.8 kb) Affected Neither the Interference between Them to Initiate Replication Nor the Pausing Function of the Silent Origin—Fig. 3C shows the autoradiogram corresponding to pHH0.5 digested with ScaI. The accumulated bubbles and two different termination signals were clearly distinguished. In this case the accumulated bubble had a relative mass of 1.15 ×. For this reason and due to its proximity to the prominent spot generated by OC forms, knotted bubbles were not clearly identified in the autoradiogram. In this particular plasmid, the termination signal for oriα started on the simple-Y arc with a relative mass of 1.53 ×, whereas the termination signal for oriβ started with a relative mass of 1.61 ×. Despite their proximity, both signals were clearly distinguished.

Fig. 3D shows the autoradiogram corresponding to pHH5.8 DNA digested with AlwNI. In this particular case, the accumulated bubbles had a relative mass of 1.89 ×. The prominent spot generated by these accumulated bubbles and the small arc formed by a series of spots with decreasing intensity, corresponding to knotted bubbles, were clearly identified. Since the fragment analyzed was palindromic (see Fig. 1), the termination signals corresponding to initiation of DNA replication at oriα and oriβ co-migrated in this autoradiogram.
Detection of the signals corresponding to accumulated bubbles and knotted bubbles in all three plasmids containing inversely oriented origins indicated that the distance between them (up to 5.8 kb) affected neither their capacity to interfere with each other nor their ability to act as a replication pausing site.

Partially Deleted Origins Are Less Efficient Than a Complete Origin to Initiate Replication—Fig. 4 highlights some structural elements and the most important events going on at ColE1 origins as initiation of DNA replication progresses (15–20). The ColE1 replication origin of the pUC vectors, oriC in our pHH and pHHT series, has the lacZ gene with the polylinker inserted between pasH and pasL; the minimal origin we used as oriL lacked both pasH as well as pasL. Nevertheless, both origins initiated replication quite efficiently (see Fig. 3). We ignored, however, whether or not these partially modified origins were as competent as a bona fide origin to initiate replication.

To find out more about the role of the pas sites and the promoters for RNAI and II in the competence of ColE1 origins to initiate replication and to act as a pausing site, we constructed three new plasmids: pHH/BR-BR2.9, pHH/BR-Δpass2.9, and pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9. HH refers to the head-to-head configuration of the origins. The following first BR indicates that OriC is a complete origin. Next, the nature of Oriβ is indicated; it could be either BR (a complete origin), Δpass (where pasL and pasH were deleted), or ΔRNAs (where the promoters for RNAI and RNAII were deleted). Finally, 2.9 indicates that both origins are 2.9 kb apart. To build up the first of these plasmids, the 1,166-bp DraI/PvuII fragment of pBR322, containing both promoters as well as both pas sites (see Fig. 4), was inserted into the EcoRV restriction site of this same vector. In this way we obtained a plasmid containing two complete ColE1 origins in opposite orientations. pHH/BR-Δpass2.9 was constructed by inserting the 759-bp DraI/AIIH fragment from pBR322 into the EcoRV site of this same vector. In this way we obtained a plasmid containing one complete origin and another origin lacking the pas sites, in opposite orientations (see Figs. 4 and 5). Finally, the 823-bp AlwNI/ PvuII fragment of pBR322 was inserted into the EcoRV site of this same vector to obtain pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9. The latter plasmid contained one complete origin and another origin lacking the promoters for RNAI and RNAII, in opposite orientations (see Figs. 4 and 5). In all these three plasmids, the distance between origins was approximately 2.9 kb. Fig. 5 shows the restriction maps corresponding to these plasmids.

Plasmid DNA was isolated from exponentially growing cells that had been transfected with each of these three new plasmids. The DNAs were digested with HindIII and independently analyzed by two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. Fig. 6 shows the autoradiograms corresponding to pHH/BR-BR2.9, pHH/BR-Δpass2.9, and pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9. They were all hybridized with pBR322 DNA used as a probe.

In Fig. 6A, corresponding to pHH/BR-BR2.9 digested with HindIII (see Fig. 5), the lower diagonal arc corresponded to linear forms. The prominent spot at the far right end of the linear forms corresponded to the unreplicated linear form of the plasmid (1.0×). The continuous signal extending upward from the 1.0× linear form to the upper part of the autoradiogram corresponded to a bubble arc. A distinct spot, due to accumulated bubbles (5), was observed on top of the bubble arc. The small arc formed by a series of spots with decreasing intensity observed to the right of the accumulated bubbles extending downward corresponded to knotted bubbles (5). Two discrete double-Y signals were clearly visible to the left of the accumulated bubbles. Both signals emerged from the simple-Y arc (not visible in the autoradiogram) toward the signal of X-shaped recombinants. The relative mass of the molecules where the upper double-Y started was 1.6× the mass of the unreplicated linear form, whereas that one corresponding to the lower double-Y signal was 1.8×. As in all previous cases, the intensity of these double-Y signals became progressively stronger as they got closer to the X-shaped recombinants. Overall, the intensity of both signals was similar, indicating that in this particular plasmid initiation of DNA replication at oriC and oriβ occurred with a similar frequency.

Fig. 6B shows the autoradiogram corresponding to the two-dimensional agarose gel analysis of pHH/BR-Δpass2.9 digested with HindIII. The signals for accumulated bubbles and knotted bubbles were clearly visible. Surprisingly, however, only one termination signal was detected. It corresponded to an initiation event at oriC. This observation demonstrated that in this particular plasmid initiation of DNA replication occurred almost exclusively at the complete origin. Even more important was the observation that despite the fact initiation occurred rarely at oriβ, the replication forks that initiated at oriC stalled as they reached oriβ. This clearly indicated that the element or elements responsible for the polar pausing of replication forks were fully active even when oriβ lacked both pas sites.

Fig. 6C shows the autoradiogram corresponding to pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9 digested with HindIII. The complete bubble arc was obvious as well as the signal for accumulated bubbles. The latter, however, appeared less prominent than in the two previous cases. More importantly, no signal for knotted bubbles was observed. Besides, only one prominent termination signal was detected, although in this case it corresponded to the other origin, indicating preferential initiation of DNA replication at oriβ. This was the origin lacking the RNA promoters (see Figs. 4 and 5).

It has been reported that, in primer promoter deletions, the origin can presumably be primed by promoters located further upstream (21–23). In pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9, the origin lacking the RNA promoters had been inserted into the EcoRV restriction site of pBR322, interrupting the tetracycline resistance gene but without inactivating its promoter (see Figs. 4 and 5). Therefore, it was possible that the preferential initiation of DNA replication at oriβ in pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9 was driven by the constitutive promoter for the tetracycline resistance gene.

To check this hypothesis, we deleted the 29-bp EcoRI-HindIII
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fragment of pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9 containing the tetracycline resistance gene promoter and replaced it with the polylinker of pUC18. In this way we obtained a new plasmid named pHH/BR18-ΔRNAs2.9. It was almost identical to pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9, except that it lacked the promoter for the tetracycline resistance gene located upstream oriβ.

Fig. 6D shows the autoradiogram of the two-dimensional gel analysis corresponding to the RIs of pHH/BR18-ΔRNAs2.9 digested with HindIII. It was obvious that in this new plasmid initiation of DNA replication switched back to oriα. As in the previous case, however, no signal for knotted bubbles was detected even after longer exposures of the autoradiogram and the signal for accumulated bubbles was not as prominent as in pHH/BR-BR2.9 (Fig. 6A) or pHH/BR-Δpass2.9 (Fig. 6B). The speck above the signal for accumulated bubbles was a blotting artifact, and the faint signal below the bubble arc corresponded to broken bubbles (7, 12, 24, 25). This experiment demonstrated that in the absence of an upstream promoter, initiation at the origin lacking the RNA promoters was negligible. Pausing of the replication forks initiated at the other origin and formation of knotted bubbles were also significantly reduced.

Table I summarizes the results obtained for the last series of plasmids. It should be clearly pointed out, however, that throughout this study comparison of the relative intensities of specific products among different autoradiograms is not meant to be quantitative. In pHH/BR-BR2.9 (containing two complete origins), both origins appeared able to initiate replication as well as to stall replication forks initiated at the other origin. Accumulated and knotted bubbles also were evident. In pHH/BR-Δpass2.9 (containing one complete origin (oriα) and another origin lacking the pas sites (oriβ)) initiation of DNA replication occurred primarily at the complete origin (oriα), although oriβ was still able to stall replication forks initiated at the other origin. Accumulated and knotted bubbles also were evident. In pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9 (containing one complete origin (oriα) and another origin lacking the RNA promoters (oriβ)) initiation occurred primarily at oriβ, the origin lacking the RNA promoters. No knotted bubbles were detected, and the signal for accumulated bubbles appeared significantly weaker than in the two previous cases. Finally, in pHH/BR18-ΔRNAs2.9 (containing one complete origin (oriα) and another origin lacking the RNA promoters (oriβ) where the upstream promoter for the tetracycline resistance gene had been deleted), initiation of DNA replication occurred almost exclusively at the complete origin (oriα). As in the previous case, however, no knotted bubbles were detected and the signal for accumulated bubbles was less conspicuous than in the first two cases.

These results, together with the observation that in all plasmids bearing two inversely oriented ColE1 origins only one origin fires per replication round as no termination signal can be detected along the region between origins (5), indicated that the presence of a transcription promoter upstream of the origin was the only essential requirement for it to stall other replicating forks traveling in the opposite direction. This observation strongly suggests that when a replication fork encounters the 3' RNA end of an RNA-DNA hybrid, it pauses. The question is why. In E. coli, the DnaB protein is responsible for unwinding duplex DNA ahead of the replisome (26–28), and helicase inhibition is known to cause the arrest of replication forks (8, 9, 29, 30).

**DnaB Helicase Is Unable to Dissociate RNA-DNA Hybrids**—To test whether or not DnaB helicase was competent to dissociate DNA-DNA homoduplexes as well as RNA-DNA hybrids, two different substrates were constructed. To prepare the DNA-DNA homoduplex, a 32P-end-labeled, 45-nucleotide oligonucleotide was partially annealed to a large ssDNA circle.
This oligonucleotide contained a 12-nucleotide-long tail that was not complementary to the DNA circle and remained free at its 3' end. It was repeatedly shown that the efficiency of E. coli DnaB to unwind DNA-DNA duplexes increases significantly when the duplex contains a 3' hanging tail (31). Nevertheless, other similar DNA-DNA constructs were prepared containing no 3' hanging tail, where the duplex was 45 to 400 nucleotides long (data not shown). On the other hand, to prepare the RNA-DNA hybrid, a 32P-uridine-labeled, 51-nucleotide RNA was completely annealed to the same region of the ssDNA circle.
used before. This RNA-DNA substrate contains no 3' hanging tail, since this is precisely the structure DnaB would encounter in vivo as the replicating fork advances toward the R-loop of an inversely oriented origin. Then, different amounts of E. coli DnaB and helicase II were tested for their ability to unwind the homoduplex as well as the hybrid in vitro and the products were analyzed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The autoradiograms and their corresponding phosphorimager densitometry are shown in Fig. 7. As shown previously by others, both helicases were able to dissociate the DNA-DNA homoduplex in a concentration-dependent manner, albeit helicase II was significantly more effective (9, 29, 32). Additionally, the ability of DnaB to unwind the DNA-DNA duplex was inversely proportional to the size of the duplex (Refs. 9, 29, and 32, and data not shown) and increases significantly when it contained a 3' hanging tail (31). However, while helicase II was also able to dissociate the RNA-DNA hybrid, DnaB was clearly unable to do so.

Altogether these results strongly suggest that replication forks stall at silent ColE1 origins because the DnaB helicase is unable to unwind the RNA-DNA hybrid at the silent origin in a polar-dependent manner (Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

One of our first goals was to find out whether or not two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis (6) could be used to determine the efficiency to initiate replication in non-palindromic plasmids containing two origins. A series of plasmids containing two ColE1 origins were constructed where both origins were in the same or in different orientations (Fig. 1). Taking advantage of the computer program designed by Viguera et al. (10), we predicted the shape of the RIs as well as the two-dimensional agarose gel patterns expected for different restriction fragments of these plasmids assuming that initiation occurred at only one of the origins per replication round (Fig. 2). The results obtained clearly indicated that two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis can be readily used to determine the efficiency to initiate replication for both origins in these plasmids (Fig. 3). The relative intensity of the termination signals reflected the efficiency of each origin to initiate replication.

The observation of prominent signals corresponding to accumulated and knotted bubbles (see Fig. 3) demonstrated that this peculiar replication behavior was not specific for S. pyogenes pSM19035-derived plasmids (5), but is a general feature for all plasmids containing two inversely oriented ColE1 origins. The finding that pH70.5, pH2.0, and pH5.8, all containing two ColE1 origins in the same orientation, showed neither accumulated nor knotted bubbles (Fig. 3D and data not shown) confirmed previous observations indicating the polar nature of this phenomenon (5, 7, 12, 34). The replication fork initiated at one origin stalled at the other silent origin only when both origins were inversely oriented.

The occurrence of several competent replication origins close to each other in a chromosome or in a plasmid creates a situation where replication initiates at only one of the closely spaced origins per replication round (7, 12–14, 35–37). This phenomenon was named origin interference (38). To find out if the physical distance between origins affected their capacity to interfere with each other and to act as a replication pausing site, we constructed a series of plasmids where the distance between origins varied from 0.5 to 5.8 kb (Fig. 1). No signal was detected, suggesting that both origins could be simultaneously active (Fig. 3). Moreover, the double-Y patterns observed in all three plasmids indicated that termination of DNA replication occurred at the same site where initiation took place, which is expected for plasmids that replicate in a unidirectional manner. These observations confirmed that only one origin was active per plasmid and replication round, although both origins were equally competent to initiate replication. Therefore, the interference between origins was operative in these plasmids.
even when the distance between them increased up to 5.8 kb. The signals detected for accumulated and knotted bubbles (5) were readily indicative regardless of the distance separating the origins (see Fig. 3, A–C). This observation suggests that the element(s) responsible for the interference between origins and the stalling of replication forks in a polar-dependent manner reside(s) in the origin itself.

Several groups have clearly identified all the elements required for ColE1 origins to initiate replication (15–20). Fig. 4 highlights some of the most important events going on at ColE1 origins as initiation of DNA replication progresses. RNA polymerase initiates the transcription of RNAII from its promoter located 555 bp upstream the transition point between RNA and DNA, the point commonly regarded as the origin. As transcription progresses the RNAII transcript tail adopts a specific conformation that is prevented if another smaller transcript, RNAI (a 108-nucleotide-long molecule transcribed from the RNAII promoter), is present upstream of RNAI. Finally, DNA polymerase III (pol III) replaces pol I 400 bp downstream of the origin at the primosome assembly site on the continuous strand template (pasH). The pas site on the discontinuous strand (pasL) is 150 bp downstream of the origin and functions in the primosome assembly on this strand (39). It is known, however, that the pas sites are not essential for ColE1 origins to initiate replication (40). Indeed, the ColE1 origin of the pUC vectors has the pas sites, indicating that transcription and the subsequent formation of a stable RNA-DNA hybrid was the only requirement for the origin lacking the pas sites to function as a complete origin. In pHH/BR-\Delta pas2.9, almost all plasmids initiated replication at oriC. But the signal for accumulated and knotted bubbles was still as strong as in pHH/BR-2.9. This observation indicated that the replication forks initiated at oriC stalled as they reached oriC, which in this particular case, lacked the pas sites. In contrast, in pHH/BR18-\Delta pas2.9 (see Fig. 6D), the signal for accumulated bubbles was less conspicuous than in the first two cases. Moreover, no signal for knotted bubbles was detected. These observations indicated that an origin lacking the RNA promoters (or other potential promoters upstream of the origin) is less competent to stall replication forks moving in the opposite direction. Altogether, this means that transcription and the subsequent formation of a stable RNA-DNA hybrid was the only requirement for a silent origin to block replication forks in a polar fashion.

The result obtained with pHH/BR-\Delta pas2.9 deserves special comment. In this plasmid, almost all the initiation events occurred at oriC, the origin lacking the RNA promoters. Priming was driven by the tetracycline resistance gene promoter, as demonstrated by the switch in initiation to oriC when this promoter was deleted (see Fig. 6D). However, the replication forks initiated at oriC did not pause at oriC (the complete origin in this plasmid) as in pHH/BR-2.9 or pHH/BR-\Delta pas2.9 (note the absence of knotted bubbles and the intensity of the signal for accumulated bubbles in Fig. 6, panel C compared.

**Fig. 8. A model to explain the polar arrest of replication forks at silent ColE1 origins.** When the replisome, led by DnaB helicase, encounters the RNA 3′ end of the RNA-DNA hybrid at the silent origin, it would pause due to the inability of DnaB to unwind the hybrid. When the replisome approaches the hybrid from the other side, DnaB would find no obstacle as it moves in the 5′ to 3′ direction along the lagging strand template (67). This would explain why replication forks initiated at one origin pause at the other silent origin only if the origins are inversely oriented.
with panels A and B). A plausible explanation for this difference is that the ColE1 copy number control mechanism was responsible for the unstableness of RNAII/DNA hybrids at oriA. Formation of this hybrid is essential for priming DNA replication (see Fig. 4). RNAII, by complexing with RNAII, blocks its folding into the conformation required to form a stable hybrid. For this reason, RNAII is often referred to as a trans-acting regulator of ColE1 replication (39). In pHH/BR-ΔRNAs2.9, the only target for RNAI occurred at oriA. The other origin, oriβ, lacked the Drai-AlwNI fragment (see Fig. 4). This is the control region upstream of the origin where the “kissing” interaction between RNAI and RNAII takes place (39). Those replication forks initiated at oriβ would encounter no stable RNAII/DNA hybrid at oriα and would not stall. The high efficiency of the constitutive tetracycline resistance promoter and the absence of the copy number control region upstream oriβ could explain both the high efficiency of oriβ to initiate replication as well as the inability of oriα to stall replication forks initiated at oriβ.

What may cause a replication fork to stop at a silent origin in a polar-dependent manner? One of the best characterized replication fork barriers (RFBs) occurs in the bi-directionally replicated circular chromosome of E. coli (30, 41). The region where replication forks meet, about 180° opposite the origin, is flanked by several polar RFBs. These RFBs are arranged in such a way to form a termination trap. These barriers, named Ter sites, are 23-bp-long sequences that recognize and bind a protein named Tus (42). The Ter-Tus complex arrests progression of replication forks by inhibiting the unwinding reaction catalyzed by DnaB helicase in an orientation-dependent manner (8, 9, 29).

Head-on collision between replication and transcription appears to be deleterious and is specifically avoided in the genomes of many prokaryotes and eukaryotic organisms (9, 43–47). In higher eukaryotes, a conserved specific RFB was found close to the 3' end of the rRNA transcription unit (48–55). It was speculated that the main function of this barrier would be to prevent collision between replication and transcription (50, 56). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, however, it was repeatedly shown that transcription itself is not responsible for the pausing of replication forks at the 3' end of the rRNA transcription unit (56, 57). Whether or not co-directional collisions also cause DNA replication arrest is still a matter of controversy (58, 59).

Stalling of replication forks due to the specific DNA binding of a protein or protein complexes has been reported also for OriP in the Epstein-Barr virus (60–62) and for centromeric DNA ahead of the replisome (26–28).

Finally, the mechanism of initiation of DNA replication is a matter of controversy (58, 59). In vivo studies have shown that transcription itself is not responsible for the pausing of replication forks by inhibiting the unwinding catalyzed by DnaB helicase in an orientation-dependent manner (8, 9, 29).
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