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ABSTRACT

Chloranthaceae is a small family of flowering plants (65 species) with an extensive fossil record extending back to the Early Cretaceous. Within Chloranthaceae, *Hedyosmum* is remarkable because of its disjunct distribution – one species in the Paleotropics and confined to the Neotropics – and a long “temporal gap” between its stem age (Early Cretaceous) and the beginning of the extant radiation (late Cenozoic). Is this gap real, reflecting low diversification and a recent radiation, or the signature of extinction? Here we use paleontological data, relaxed clock molecular dating, diversification analyses, and parametric ancestral area reconstruction to investigate the timing, tempo, and mode of diversification in *Hedyosmum*. Our results, based on analyses of plastid and nuclear sequences for 40 species, suggest that the ancestor of Chloranthaceae and the *Hedyosmum* stem lineages were widespread in the Holarctic in the Late Cretaceous. High extinction rates, possibly associated with Cenozoic climatic fluctuations, may have been responsible for the low extant diversity of the family. Crown group *Hedyosmum* originated c. 36 – 43 Ma and colonized South America from the north during the Early-Middle Miocene (c. 20 Ma). This coincided with an increase in diversification rates, probably triggered by the uplift of the northern Andes from the Mid-Miocene onwards. This study illustrates the advantages of combining paleontological, phylogenetic, and biogeographic data to reconstruct the spatiotemporal evolution of an ancient lineage, for which the extant diversity is only a remnant of past radiations. It also shows the difficulties of inferring patterns of lineage diversification when incomplete taxon sampling is combined with high extinction rates.
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Interest in inferring the geographic origin and temporal diversification of organisms has increased in the last decades. Where and when did a lineage originate? Under which ecological and climatic conditions did it evolve? With the advent of molecular phylogenetics, we can now address questions concerning patterns of species diversification across both time and space. Advances in the fields of molecular dating and historical biogeography can be combined to provide clues on ancestral areas and divergence times (Drummond et al. 2006; Drummond and Rambaut 2007; Ree and Sanmartín 2009) and to examine the putative correlation between range evolution, lineage diversification, and the appearance of key adaptations or adaptive radiations (Moore and Donoghue 2007).

At the same time, the increasing availability of molecular phylogenies and associated divergence times has spurred the development of new methods to estimate rates of speciation and extinction from phylogenetic data of extant species (Nee et al. 1992, 1994a,b; Paradis et al. 2004; Rabosky 2006a) and to detect changes in diversification rate through time and across lineages (Pybus and Harvey 2000; Harmon et al. 2003; Rabosky 2006b; Weir 2006; Rabosky and Lovette 2008; Alfaro et al. 2009). Here, we address the effect of the interplay between range evolution, adaptive radiation, and extinction on the tempo and timing of lineage diversification in the ancient angiosperm family Chloranthaceae, with special focus on its most species-rich genus, *Hedyosmum*.

Chloranthaceae is a small family of flowering plants (c. 65-70 species) with an extensive fossil record extending back to the Early Cretaceous (Eklund et al. 2004). It comprises four genera that are disjunctly distributed in the Old and New Worlds: *Chloranthus* (10 species), *Sarcandra* (2 sp.), and *Ascarina* (20 sp.) are confined to the Paleotropics, including east Asia (*Chloranthus* and *Sarcandra*) and Australasia (*Ascarina*), while *Hedyosmum* (about 45 sp.) occurs in Central and South America and the West Indies.
Antonelli and Sanmartín, *Spatiotemporal evolution of Hedyosmum* (see Appendix S1 available at http://www.sysbio.oxfordjournals.org), with a single species in southeastern Asia (*H. orientale*).

Because of its early diverging position in the angiosperm tree and its extensive and deep fossil record extending back to the Early Cretaceous, the Chloranthaceae have played a prominent role in understanding the origin and early diversification of angiosperms (Eklund et al. 2004). In fact, the family possesses one of the oldest and most abundant fossil records among angiosperms (Eklund et al. 2004). *Clavatipollenites* fossil pollen, associated with the stem lineage of Chloranthaceae, and *Asteropollis* pollen, attributed to stem *Hedyosmum*, have been found in a worldwide range of localities from the Early Albian (Early Cretaceous: ~110 Ma), indicating that the family once had a more cosmopolitan distribution covering both Laurasian and Gondwanan landmasses (Eklund et al. 2004).

The phylogenetic position of the family has been subject to much debate over the past 50 years (for a review, see Endress and Doyle 2009). In comparison, intra-familiar relationships within Chloranthaceae have received less attention. Both morphological (Doyle and Endress 2000; Doyle et al. 2003; Eklund et al. 2004) and molecular analyses (Qiu et al. 2000; Zanis et al. 2002; Zhang and Renner 2003) agree in placing genus *Hedyosmum* as sister to the rest of Chloranthaceae, and *Ascarina* as sister group to the clade *Chloranthus* + *Sarcandra*. Kong et al. (2002) provided the first molecular phylogeny of *Chloranthus*, including all 10 recognized species, while Zhang and Renner (2003) added 10 out of 20 *Ascarina* species and one of two species of *Sarcandra* in their molecular phylogeny of Chloranthaceae. Attempts to solve phylogenetic relationships within *Hedyosmum* have mainly been based on morphological characters (Todzia, 1988; Eklund et al. 2004; see Appendix S2 for characteristic features).

However, the resulting cladograms suffered from low resolution or low support values, probably due to a high degree of homoplasy. Zhang and Renner (2003) conducted the first molecular phylogenetic study of all genera of Chloranthaceae, but were only able to include
five out of the 40-45 recognized species in *Hedyosmum* (Todzia 1988, 1993). Of particular
importance for understanding the biogeography of the genus is the position of the Asian
endemic *H. orientale*, whose phylogenetic position varied between studies: it was placed as
the sister of all *Hedyosmum* in Zhang and Renner (2003)’s molecular phylogeny but nested
among the Caribbean species in Eklund et al. (2004).

Based on a fossil-calibrated *rbcl*-ultrametric tree, Zhang and Renner (2003) estimated the
crown group diversification of *Hedyosmum* between 29 and 60 Ma (depending on calibration
point) and that of *Ascarina* and *Chloranthus* between 18–9 Ma and 22–11 Ma, respectively.
The remarkable “temporal gap” (~80 Ma) between the ancient age of the stem lineages of
Chloranthaceae and the late Cenozoic radiation of the extant species (crown groups) can also
be observed in the fossil record of *Hedyosmum*. Fossil pollen (“*Asteropollis*”) has been found
in numerous sites from the Early-Mid Cretaceous of Laurasia and Argentina, Africa, and
Australia, but after the Campanian in the Late Cretaceous no record has been recovered up to
the Early/Middle Miocene, when large amounts of pollen (“*Clavainaperturites
microclavatus*”) are reported from South America (Hoorn 1994; Wijninga 1996).

What has caused this large ‘temporal gap’? There are several possibilities. One is that the
ancestor lineage of *Hedyosmum* underwent a long period of little or low diversification,
followed by a recent, rapid radiation. Phylogenetic studies have pointed out the major role
played by the uplift of the tropical Andes in promoting rapid diversification of plant lineages,
either via ecological displacement (i.e., adaptive radiation) or through geographically induced
allopatric events (Hughes and Eastwood 2006; Moore and Donoghue 2007; Antonelli et al.
2009; see also Young et al., 2002 for a review). Most species of South American
*Hedyosmum* occur in montane habitats in the foothills of the Andes and the Central
Cordillera and it is thus possible that orogenic events associated with the Andean uplift
triggered diversification within this clade. If not the Andean uplift, Pleistocene climatic
changes could instead have fostered a rapid diversification in montane *Hedyosmum*, as has been suggested for Neotropical birds (Weir 2006).

A second alternative is that the large temporal gap in Chloranthaceae is the result of extinction events. High extinction rates, either punctual or constant, have a confounding effect in the pattern of lineage accumulation of individual lineages. For example, Crisp and Cook (2009) argued that a process of constant-rate diversification punctuated by a mass extinction event produces a pattern of lineage diversification similar to the one expected from a recent burst of speciation or adaptive radiation. Since the fossil record of Chloranthaceae can be traced back to the Early Cretaceous, it seems likely that the family was affected by the “impact winter” at the K/T event (65 Ma), when many woody magnoliid taxa went extinct (Nichols 2007), or later by the Terminal Eocene event (35 Ma), when a dramatic cooling of climates extirpated evergreen plant lineages that once formed part of the Holarctic boreotropical flora (Tiffney 1985). Any of these extinction events could have extirpated the old stem relatives that diverged prior to the extant crown radiation, leaving a reconstructed phylogeny (i.e., a phylogeny that includes only extant taxa) with long stems and species-rich crowns (Cook and Crisp 2009), a suitable description for Chloranthaceae (see Zhang and Renner 2003: Fig. 2).

A third alternative is that the temporal gap observed between the stem and crown ages of Chloranthaceae, especially in *Hedyosmum*, could be attributed to gradual high extinction rates, possibly linked to the gradual cooling that followed the Early Eocene Climatic Optimum (Zachos et al. 2001) and led to worldwide vegetational changes. Simulating phylogenies with high background extinction rates – the ratio of extinction to speciation – produces a pattern of lineage diversification resembling an increase in speciation rate through time (Nee et al., 1994b). This effect, known as the “pull of the present”, occurs because younger lineages are less likely to be removed by extinction than lineages that originated in
the past, so if extinction is high, nodes tend to concentrate near the tips (Pybus and Harvey 2000; Rabosky 2006).

At last, the temporal gap could be an artifact of incomplete taxon sampling, which has been shown to bias estimates of divergence times and diversification rates from reconstructed phylogenies that do not include all extant species (Pybus and Harvey 2000; Linder et al. 2005; Cusimano and Renner 2010).

Here we use molecular dating, ancestral area reconstruction, and macro-evolutionary birth-death models, to investigate the timing, tempo, and mode of diversification in Chloranthaceae, with focus on the enigmatic genus Hedyosmum. In particular, we aim to address the following questions: Does the diversification of Chloranthaceae and Hedyosmum depart significantly from a constant rate model, and if so can this departure be explained by a mass extinction event (e.g., K/T), gradual extinction, or by a recent rapid diversification? Did the Asian endemic H. orientale originate by dispersal from the Neotropics, or is its currently isolated distribution the result of vicariance of a once widespread Asian-American ancestor? When did the Neotropical diversification of the genus occur? Could such diversification be associated with the northern Andean uplift or with more recent events such as the closure of the Panama Isthmus or Pleistocene climatic fluctuations?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dataset

A total of 40 species was included in the analysis, representing circa 62% of all species in Chloranthaceae (see Online Table S1). These account for all species of Chloranthus (10 sp.) and Sarcandra (2 sp.), and about half of all Hedyosmum (20 sp.) and Ascarina species (10 sp.). The Hedyosmum species included here represent all subgenera, sections, and informally recognized “species groups” in Todzia’s (1988) monograph, and
cover the total distribution of the genus. For the analyses that needed outgroup rooting, *Ceratophyllum demersum* was chosen since it has been confidently shown to be closely related to the Chloranthaceae but still not belong to it (see Endress and Doyle 2009 and references therein for a discussion). All taxa included in this study are listed in the Online Table S1, together with their GenBank accession numbers and voucher information.

Sequences for *Ascarina, Chloranthus, and Sarcandra* were obtained from GenBank. Sequences for *Hedyosmum* were mostly obtained from field-collected leaf fragments dried directly in silica gel. A few herbarium specimens did yield products after repeated trials, but it is exceptionally difficult to amplify DNA from herbarium specimens of *Hedyosmum* because the leaves contain ethereal oil cells and benzylisoquinoline alkaloids (Dahlgren 1983) that probably affect DNA amplification. DNA was extracted and sequenced following the protocols described in Antonelli (2008). In order to obtain phylogenetic resolution at different levels of the ingroup, rather conservative markers were needed together with more fast-evolving regions. After some pilot trials, a combination of markers was selected that comprised the plastid *rbc*L gene and the *rps*16 intron, and the nuclear ribosomal ITS region (ITS 1 – 5.8S – ITS 2). Online Table S2 lists the primers used in this study.

Alignment and Phylogenetic Analyses

Sequences were aligned using the L-INS-I algorithm implemented in the software MAFFT v. 6 (Katoh et al. 2009). For the phylogenetic analyses, we used both maximum parsimony (MP) and Bayesian inference (BI) methods as implemented in PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) and MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001), respectively. For parsimony analyses, a heuristic search was carried out using unweighted characters with 1000 replicates of random taxon addition sequence and 10 trees held at each step, and tree-bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping on best trees only, with a MaxTrees value of
100 and other standard settings. Bootstrap support values were estimated in PAUP* by
running 1000 replicates under Maximum Parsimony, using TBR branch swapping, and
saving multiple trees.

For the Bayesian phylogenetic analyses, we analyzed the dataset under three unlinked
partitions (ITS, \textit{rbc}L, \textit{rps}16). The best-fit model for each region was selected using the
Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) implemented in MrModelTest 2.2 (Nylander 2004). The
GTR+\Gamma+I model of nucleotide substitution was chosen for ITS, while the HKY+\Gamma+I and the
GTR+I models were selected as the best models for the plastid markers \textit{rbc}L and \textit{rps}16,
respectively. Bayesian analyses were initially run on each individual marker to compare them
for topology and node support. Since there was no significant incongruence between the
individual phylogenies, i.e., clades that were strongly supported (> 95% posterior probability
or 70% bootstrap value) in the 50% majority-rule consensus tree of one marker were also
present in the consensus trees of the other markers, we performed all subsequent analyses on
the concatenated dataset. Two simultaneous analyses with eight Metropolis-Coupled Markov
Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains with incremental heating of 0.2 were run for 20
million generations and sampled every 1000 generations. Convergence of the MCMC was
assessed using the effective sampling size criterion for each parameter as implemented in
Tracer v. 1.4 (Rambaud and Drummond 2007) and the standard deviation of split frequencies
from MrBayes (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001), and by monitoring cumulative posterior
probabilities and among-run variability of split frequencies using the online tool AWTY
(Nylander et al. 2008a). The first 4000 samplings (reflecting 400,000 generations) were
discarded as “burn in”, after checking for stability on the log-likelihood curves, and the
remaining trees from the independent runs (16,000 trees) were combined to build a 50%
majority rule consensus tree.
Divergence Time Estimation

To test if sequences evolved in a clocklike manner, we extracted the single Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree out of 50 independent analyses using the software GARLI (Zwickl 2006) under a GTR+G+I model and other default settings. The tree was uploaded in PAUP and likelihood scores computed with and without enforcing a molecular clock. A likelihood ratio (LR) test was then performed in PAUP, with LR = 2 (L_{mol. clock enforced} − L_{no mol. clock enforced}) and assumed to be distributed as a $\chi^2$ with S-2 degrees of freedom, S being the number of taxa in the dataset.

Since the LR test rejected the strict clock model ($p < 0.0001$), relative branching times were estimated using two relaxed molecular clock approaches: the semi-parametric method penalized likelihood (PL; Sanderson 2002) implemented in the program r8s version 1.70 (Sanderson 2003) and the Bayesian uncorrelated relaxed clock approach implemented in BEAST v.1.4.8 (Drummond and Rambaut 2007). For the PL analysis, ultrametric branches were calculated based on the topology of the 50% majority-rule consensus from the MCMC Bayesian analysis, but with node ages estimated from mean branch lengths of 16,000 trees from the Bayesian stationary sample. A cross-validation procedure was used to identify the optimal smoothing value for the data, with log_{10} increments of 0.1 and smoothing values ranging from 0.1 to 7.9 x 10^5, under the Truncated Newton algorithm and using the check gradient function. To estimate age credibility values for nodes, 1000 trees randomly sampled from the Bayesian posterior distribution of trees from the MCMC analysis were then independently dated and the results summarized to obtain 95% confidence intervals of ages.

These values were calculated using the software TreeAnnotator (Drummond and Rambaut 2007) and visualized using FigTree v.1.1 (Rambaut 2008).

BEAST analyses were run on the Computational Biology Service Unit at Cornell University, USA. Evolutionary models were coded separately for each sequence region, as
for the MrBayes analysis. Five independent runs of 10 million generations each using an
uncorrelated, lognormal, relaxed-clock model and a Yule prior on the tree were conducted.
Post burn-in trees were merged using LogCombiner (Drummond and Rambaut 2007) and
performance evaluated using Tracer. A maximum-clade credibility tree was computed and
95% confidence intervals of ages were calculated using TreeAnnotator. For the PL analysis,
Ceratophyllum demersum was pruned prior to the estimation of divergence times (since the
inclusion of an extra outgroup is required by the program). For the BEAST analysis, we only
included Chloranthaceae taxa.

To obtain absolute divergence times, we used the rich fossil record of Chloranthaceae
(Eklund et al. 2004). The oldest undisputable fossils of the family are Hedyosmum-like
female flowers from the Late Aptian or Early Albian of Portugal associated with pollen of
Asteropolis (Friis et al. 1994, 1997). Although these fossils were originally described as
being from the Barremian-Aptian, newer evidence indicates that the sediments in which they
were found are rather Late Aptian – Early Albian (Hochuli et al. 2006). These fossils were
inferred to represent a stem relative of Hedyosmum (Eklund et al. 2004) and thus provide a
minimal age for the Chloranthaceae at 110 Ma. This age was used to constrain the age of the
root node in the phylogeny: as a fixed age in the PL analysis, and as a prior with a lognormal
distribution (offset = 110, standard deviation = 0.5) in the BEAST analysis. Other fossils
include Chloranthus-like stamens from the Late Cretaceous of New Jersey (USA) and
Sweden (Chloranthistemon crossmanensis; Herendeen et al. 1993). These fossils were
inferred to be sister to all extant species of Chloranthus (Eklund et al. 2004) and thus provide
a minimal stem age for the genus at 92 Ma (coded as a lognormal prior in BEAST, with
standard deviation of 1.0). These two sets of fossils are the same calibration points used by
Zhang and Renner (2003) for obtaining absolute ages from an ultrametric tree inferred from
the rbcL gene. However, in their study two independent datings were performed, which
resulted in ages that generally differed by a factor of two. Since we considered these two sets of fossils equally reliable in terms of identification, taxonomic placement, and geologic age, and since multiple calibration points have been suggested to increase precision in divergence time estimates (Britton 2005), here we used both of them simultaneously to calibrate different nodes of the trees.

Biogeographic Analyses

Operational areas for biogeographic analyses were defined as geographic ranges shared by two or more species and delimited by geological features that may have acted as barriers to dispersal (Sanmartín 2003). In order to maximize congruence with other biogeographic studies in the region, we used here the same operational areas as in Antonelli et al. (2009) (see Fig. 3a inset for area delimitation): (A) Central America, (B) West Indies, (C) Northern Andes (10° N – 5° S), (D) Central Andes (5° S – 18° S), (E) Chocó region, (F) Guiana Shield, (G) Southeastern South America, and (H) Australasia. Distribution data for species were compiled from Todzia (1988), GBIF (www.gbif.org), and herbarium specimens.

To infer the ancestral areas and biogeographic history of Chloranthaceae, we used two character state reconstruction methods: Fitch Optimization, implemented in the software MESQUITE v. 2.0.1 (Maddison and Maddison 2007), and Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis (Ronquist 1997), implemented in the program DIVA v. 2.1 (Ronquist 2001). Fitch Optimization constrains ancestors to be restricted to single areas and models range evolution as a change in character state from ancestor to descendant, equivalent to dispersal between single areas. DIVA, in contrast, allows widespread distributions at ancestral nodes, which are divided at speciation events by vicariance, and models dispersal as range evolution along the internodes leading to the (vicariant) speciation event, i.e., dispersal leads to vicariance but it is not directly associated with speciation (Sanmartín 2007). Therefore, the two methods can
be said to implement alternative biogeographic evolutionary models: a dispersalist (Fitch) vs. vicariantist (DIVA) approach. DIVA analyses were run unconstrained (all possible areas allowed). To account for phylogenetic uncertainty in the parsimony biogeographic reconstruction, we used the Bayes-DIVA approach (Nylander et al. 2008b) and Mesquite (Maddison and Maddison 2007) to infer ancestral area distributions and biogeographic events in 1000 trees randomly sampled from the stationary distribution of the Bayesian MCMC analysis. We then computed the relative frequencies of ancestral area reconstructions across the 1000 trees for each node in the 50% majority-rule Bayesian consensus tree. For Fitch Parsimony, widespread taxa were coded as equivocal, since Mesquite does not allow multiple area coding.

Parsimony-based biogeographic methods such as Fitch Optimization or DIVA optimize ancestral areas onto the nodes of a phylogeny by minimizing the number of events, dispersal and extinction, that lead to a change in the geographic range of a taxon. In contrast, parametric methods such as Dispersal-Extinction-Cladogenesis (DEC, Ree et al. 2005) - implemented in the software LAGRANGE v. 2.0 (Ree and Smith 2008) - are based on a stochastic model of biogeographic evolution that specifies the rate of transition between geographic ranges along phylogenetic branches as a function of time, thus overcoming the parsimony bias of underestimating the number of changes along branches (Ree & Sanmartin 2009). Given a time-calibrated phylogeny, the distribution of terminal species, and a transition probability matrix specifying the rate of change between geographic ranges as dispersal (range expansion) and extinction (range contraction) parameters, LAGRANGE allows estimating the dispersal and extinction rates and the probabilities of range inheritance scenarios using maximum likelihood inference algorithms (Ree and Smith 2008). One limitation of the DEC approach – and parametric methods in general – is that the number of biogeographic parameters to estimate from the data increases exponentially with the number...
of areas, increasing computational time and decreasing the inferential power of the model (Ree and Sanmartín 2009). Since most species of Hedyosmum are confined to one or two operational areas, we constrained widespread states in our model to include only ancestral ranges that span a maximum of two areas. Thus, our LAGRANGE analysis can be said to provide an intermediate approach between the Fitch (“single-area”) analysis and the unconstrained (“all-areas”) DIVA analysis. LAGRANGE requires a fully bifurcated tree, so we used the “allcompat” consensus phylogram from MrBayes (50% majority rule consensus with all compatible groups added) with branch lengths equaling mean node ages estimated by PL.

Diversification Tests

To test whether the temporal pattern of lineage diversification in Chloranthaceae departs from a constant-rate model, we used the gamma statistic (Pybus and Harvey 2000) implemented in the R package LASER v. 2.1. (Rabosky 2006a). The gamma statistic is a measurement of the node spread across a phylogeny that compares the relative position of node ages in a phylogenetic tree to that expected under a pure birth (Yule) model in which the speciation rate is constant over time (Yule 1924). Values lower than 0 ($\gamma < 0$) or higher than 0 ($\gamma > 0$) indicate, respectively, that internode distances are longer or shorter towards the recent than expected under the Yule model (Pybus and Harvey 2000). Incomplete taxon sampling has a non-random effect on the distribution of branch lengths in a phylogenetic tree and can therefore bias temporal-based diversification tests (Pybus and Harvey 2000). For the gamma test, incomplete taxon sampling would result in an apparent decrease of speciation rates towards the present, lowering the value of the gamma statistic and leading to incorrectly rejecting the null hypothesis of constant-rate diversification (Rabosky 2006b). Our phylogeny of Chloranthaceae covers only 62% of the extant diversity in the family (40 species out of
so we used the Monte Carlo constant rates (MCCR) test developed by Pybus and Harvey (2000) to correct the gamma statistic value. We simulated 5000 phylogenetic trees with 65 taxa under the Yule model (using the estimated pure speciation value, see below), and randomly sampled 40 taxa from each tree to obtain a phylogeny with the same number and size as the empirical phylogeny. We then computed the gamma statistics for each of the simulated phylogenies and compared the observed empirical gamma value against the distribution of gamma values from the simulated phylogenies using the `mccrTest` in LASER.

Second, we used birth-death likelihood (BDL) tests implemented in LASER to detect temporal shifts in diversification rates in the phylogeny of Chloranthaceae. The `deltaAIC\text{RC}` test (Rabosky 2006b) was used to statistically evaluate the fit of the temporal pattern of lineage diversification in Chloranthaceae to a set of rate-constant and rate variable models: i) a pure birth (Yule) model; ii) a constant-rate birth-death model in which there is a speciation rate ($b$) and an extinction rate ($d$) parameter and the net diversification rate ($b - d$) is constant through time (Nee et al., 1992; 1994a,b); and iii) a rate-variable Yule model in which there is one (Yule-2-rate) or two (Yule-3-rate) shifts in the speciation rate (Rabosky 2006a,b). We estimated the difference in AIC score between the best rate-constant model and the best rate variable model for the original chronogram ($\text{dAIC}_{\text{RC}} = \text{AIC}_{\text{RC}} - \text{AIC}_{\text{RV}}$), and then compared this value to a distribution of $\text{dAIC}_{\text{RC}}$ scores for 100 phylogenies simulated under a rate-constant Yule model using the original number of taxa and the ML birth rate estimated by LASER.

The `allcompat` consensus from MrBayes with branch lengths reflecting mean nodal ages obtained with PL and excluding the outgroup *Ceratophyllum demersum*, was used as the empirical chronogram of Chloranthaceae for the gamma and ML birth-death tests. Alternatively, we used the BEAST chronogram, but both methods gave very similar results since age estimates were also very similar (see below). We repeated these analyses for the
Hedyosmum stem clade and the Hedyosmum crown group, using the PL ingroup chronogram of Chloranthaceae pruned to include the split between Hedyosmum and Chloranthus spicatus as the root node of the Hedyosmum stem clade. The first split within genus Hedyosmum, separating a Caribbean clade from the Hedyosmum orientale-Tafalla group, was used as the root node of the Hedyosmum crown group (see Fig. 3).

BDL tests in LASER assume complete taxon sampling of the extant phylogeny and this may bias the estimation of parameter values and the testing of diversification models. Rabosky et al. (2007) described a method that combines phylogenetic and taxonomic (species-richness) data to account for incomplete taxon sampling while testing for diversification rate shifts across branches in a phylogeny. Alfaro et al. (2009) extended this method to a stepwise AIC approach, “MEDUSA”, implemented in the R package GEIGER (Harmon et al. 2003), which allows testing for multiple shifts in diversification rates on an incompletely resolved phylogeny. The PL ingroup chronogram of Chloranthaceae was pruned to include each major lineage within the family. This chronogram and information on the total number of species within each lineage was used to compare the net rate of diversification over the entire phylogeny of Chloranthaceae with a model in which there is one or multiple shifts in diversification rate along branches in the phylogeny. Genera Ascarina (20 species) and Sarcandra (2 spp.) were represented by one lineage each, while Chloranthus, for which taxon sampling was complete (10 species), was divided into two major clades: “nervosus” and “spicatus” (5 species each, Kong et al. 2002). Hedyosmum was divided into three main lineages (H. nutans, representing the Central-American clade (3 species), H. orientale (1 species), and H. arborescens representing the South American subgenus Tafalla (40 species).

Comparing Empirical versus Simulated Phylogenies
Comparing the shape of lineage-through-time (LTT) plots of empirical phylogenies against those of phylogenies simulated under alternative diversification models can help to understand the effect of macro-evolutionary processes, such as speciation and extinction, on the temporal pattern of lineage diversification of individual lineages (Harvey et al. 1994; Cook and Crisp 2009). One advantage of simulations is that the effect of incomplete taxon sampling may be incorporated to the age structure of the simulated phylogenies – and corresponding LTT plots – by simulating phylogenies conditional on the current extant diversity (e.g., 65 species in Chloranthaceae), and then randomly sampling species from the reconstructed phylogenies to reflect the size and sample of the empirical phylogeny (40 species). These simulations can then be used to visually explore the departure of the empirical LTT plot from constant-rate and episodic birth death models while incorporating the effect of incomplete taxon sampling.

We used the R package APE (Paradis et al. 2004) to generate the lineage-through-time (LTT) plot of Chloranthaceae from the PL ingroup chronogram and overlaid this onto the LTT plots of 100 phylogenies simulated under a 2:1 birth-death model, using the birth rate estimated by LASER under the “purebirth” model ($b=0.046$; Table 2) and accounting for incomplete taxon sampling as described above. Simulated phylogenies were rescaled with the APE function chronoPL using the Barremian-Aptian fossil (110 Ma) to calibrate the root node. We repeated this procedure for the Hedyosmum stem clade and the Hedyosmum crown group, simulating the phylogenies to 44 extant species (24 sampled) for the Hedyosmum stem clade and 43 species (23 sampled) for the Hedyosmum crown group in order to account for incomplete taxon sampling. We used the split between Chloranthus and Hedyosmum in the PL chronogram to date the root node of the Hedyosmum stem lineage (110 Ma, see Fig. 3), and the first split between the Caribbean clade and the H. orientale-Tafalla group as the age of the root node of the Hedyosmum crown group (36 Ma).
We also used simulations to visually explore the effect of alternative extinction scenarios on the pattern of lineage accumulation through time in Chloranthaceae. First, we examined whether an episodic birth death model – a process of constant-rate diversification punctuated by a mass extinction event (Cook & Crisp, 2009) – could produce a temporal gap between stem and crown ages similar to the one observed in Chloranthaceae. We simulated phylogenies in which two episodes of constant birth-death growth are interspersed with an episode of mass extinction that eliminates a large percentage of extant lineages. Simulations were conditioned on reaching 65 extant species and on the mass extinction event happening at a fixed time before the present, either 65 Ma (the K/T event) or 35 Ma (the Terminal Eocene cooling event). To account for incomplete taxon sampling, we sampled a fraction of the extant species at the end of the second birth-death growth episode (probability of sampling an extant species = 40/65 = 0.62).

A critical point in our simulations is to decide the severity of the mass extinction event – the percentage of lineages that go extinct – and the birth/death values adopted by the constant-rate diversification model before and after the mass extinction. We used a “visual” approach to parameter tuning, in which we started with the ML values of speciation and extinction estimated by LASER and gradually changed the background extinction rate of the birth death process \((a = d/b\) from 0.5 to 0.95), and the intensity of the punctual mass extinction event or probability of lineage survival (from 0.50% to 0.05%) in an attempt to obtain phylogenies with the anti-sigmoid shape of the Chloranthaceae LTT plot, a similar root age (100 Ma), and a large temporal gap between stem and crown ages. We explored models in which the speciation and extinction rates were the same before and after the mass extinction, as well as models in which they differed between the first and second growth phases (“fast growth–mass extinction–slow growth”, “slow growth–mass extinction–fast growth”) as in Cook and Crisp (2009). One hundred phylogenies were generated per model to
reflect the stochastic variance. Admittedly, our visual approach to parameter tuning is not optimal but we lack a maximum likelihood function for the episodic birth-death model, as it is available for the constant-rate birth death model (see Stadler 2011). Moreover, our aim here was not to estimate the parameters from the data but to answer the question: Can a group this old (120-110 Ma) and with such low extant diversity (65 species) be explained by a mass extinction model, and does the shape of the LTT plots simulated under this model resemble that of our empirical chronogram?

Constant high relative extinction rates can also produce a pattern of lineage accumulation that resembles a sudden acceleration of diversification rates through time (Nee et al., 1994b; Rabosky 2006b; Rabosky and Lovette 2008). To explore this possibility, we simulated phylogenies under a constant-rate birth death model using the ML values estimated by LASER ($b = 0.082$, $d = 0.067$; $a = 0.78$, Table 2), and compared these with phylogenies simulated under a birth death model with high background extinction ($a = d/b = 0.95$), using the ML speciation rate estimated by LASER under the Yule model. We then compared the shape of the resulting phylogenies and corresponding LTT plots against those of the Chloranthaceae chronogram and the mass extinction models.

Several studies have used a similar approach to ours – comparing empirical and simulated phylogenies under alternative birth-death models – to understand the effect of macro-evolutionary processes on patterns of lineage diversification. Proper comparison requires the simulations to be drawn from the right null tree distribution. Most of these studies have used PHYLOGEN V.1.1 (Rambaut 2002) as tree sampler to simulate phylogenies under rate-constant (Weir 2006) or rate-variable (Crisp and Cook 2009) models. Hartmann et al. (2010), however, demonstrated that when conditioning on the number of species ($n$), PHYLOGEN generates unrealistic null tree distributions and may produce trees with incorrect branch lengths and/or shapes. Specifically, simulations in PHYLOGEN are stopped after the
tree first reaches \( n \) species, so the species produced by the last speciation event have zero branch lengths and later periods with \( n \) species are disregarded. This has the effect that simulated trees in \textsc{phylogen} are consistently younger than expected (Hartman et al. 2010).

To avoid this, in our study we simulated phylogenies under the general sampling model described by Hartman et al. (2010) and implemented in the program \textsc{treesim} (Stadler 2011), which correctly simulates trees conditional of \( n \) species using a point process approach that also incorporates incomplete sampling (Stadler 2008, 2009, 2011). This is done by stochastically sampling taxa from the reconstructed phylogeny, which has been generated conditioning on the extant diversity. For the episodic birth-death process, and unlike \textsc{phylogen} (Cook and Crisp 2009), \textsc{treesim} simulates trees properly by going backward in time conditioning on both \( n \) final extant species and the mass extinction event occurring at a specific fixed time before the present (Stadler 2011). We also used TreeSim simulations to generate the null distribution of Yule phylogenies for the delta\( \text{AIC}_{\text{RC}} \) and gamma tests – rather than using \textsc{lasers}’s own simulation tools – to avoid problems with incorrect null distributions. The effect of incomplete taxon sampling in the age distribution of the simulated phylogenies was accounted for by simulating to extant diversity and randomly sampling taxa from the reconstructed tree as described above. All \text{R} and TreeSim scripts used here can be obtained from I.S. on request.

**RESULTS**

**Phylogeny**

The aligned data matrix comprised 2771 characters, of which 1398 were derived from \textit{rbcL}, 697 from \textit{rps16}, and 676 from ITS. Out of 558 variable characters, 352 were parsimony informative. Figure 1 and Appendix S3 show the cladogram and the phylogram, respectively, of the 50\% majority-rule consensus tree of the Bayesian stationary sample (\( n = 16000 \) trees).
The consensus tree from the parsimony analysis was congruent with the Bayesian consensus, in the sense that there were no clades that were strongly supported (> 70% bootstrap support, > 95% posterior probability) in one tree but contradicted in the other. The trees and dataset produced in this study are available from http://www.treebase.org, study number 11151.

Relationships among genera were consistent with previous studies (Zhang and Renner 2003; Eklund et al. 2004). The Old World genera Ascarina, Sarcandra, and Chloranthus form together a strongly supported clade, sister to Hedyosmum (1.00 BPP, 100% BS; Fig. 1). Sarcandra and Chloranthus are together sister to Ascarina (0.98 BPP, 93% BS). Similarly, infrageneric relationships that are strongly supported in Ascarina, Sarcandra, and Chloranthus are all corroborative of the results of previous works (Zhang and Renner 2003; Eklund et al. 2004).

Inter-specific resolution was high within Hedyosmum, with over 90% of all internal nodes appearing in the 50% majority-rule tree from the Bayesian analysis (Fig. 1). The first split in the genus separates a clade comprising the Antillean species H. nutans, H. grisebachii, and H. domingense from all other species. These three species are small (up to 1.5 m tall) shrubs endemic to Cuba and Hispaniola (H. grisebachii is only known from Cuba). The close relationship between H. nutans and H. grisebachii corroborates the results by Eklund et al. (2004) based on morphological data, although their study did not include H. domingense. The sister group to the Antillean taxa is a clade comprising Hedyosmum orientale sister to all the remaining species. Hedyosmum orientale is the type species of subgenus Hedyosmum (Todzia 1988). Since H. nutans, H. grisebachii, and H. domingense were also placed in this group, subgenus Hedyosmum is shown here to be paraphyletic. Within this subgenus, section Orientale comprised H. grisebachii, H. domingense, and H. orientale. Our results also indicate that section Orientale is paraphyletic as currently circumscribed.
All the remaining species of Hedyosmum sequenced belong with strong support to subgenus Tafalla (Fig. 1), corroborating earlier studies (Todzia 1988; Zhang and Renner 2003; Eklund et al. 2004). However, within subgenus Tafalla, the three sections proposed (Microcarpa, Macrocarpa, and Artocarpoides) fail to reflect phylogenetic relationships by either being paraphyletic (Microcarpa and Macrocarpa) or monotypic (Artocarpoides, Fig. 1).

Age Estimates and Ancestral Range Reconstruction

Figure 2 and Appendices S4–S7 show the chronograms obtained by the PL and BEAST analyses. Age estimates from PL and BEAST were fairly similar, with considerable overlap in the 95% confidence intervals / highest posterior densities (Table 1). Moreover, our age estimates for the family and the four genera under the two dating analyses generally agreed with those obtained by Zhang and Renner (2003) using their preferred fossil calibration (Table 1).

Figures 3 and 4 show the results from ancestral area reconstructions obtained with Fitch Parsimony/Bayes-DIVA and LAGRANGE, respectively, plotted on the PL mean age chronogram of Chloranthaceae. In general, reconstructions are ambiguous about the origin of Chloranthaceae and differ among methods. The unconstrained Bayes-DIVA analysis (Fig. 3b) supports an ancestor that was widely distributed in the Old and New World (ABCDH), including all the regions where it is present today except for some regions in South America, the Chocó (E), Guiana (F), and southeastern South America (G). LAGRANGE (Fig. 4) indicates an American-Australasian (HB) or an Australasian origin (H) with almost equal relative probability, whereas Fitch reconstructions are ambiguous, with no clear origin supported (Fig. 3a). For Hedyosmum, Fitch Parsimony is also ambiguous but shows higher support for an Australasian or American origin (slightly higher for Australasia, Fig. 3a),
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whereas Bayes-DIVA infers a widespread Old-New World origin, including the Neotropics (ABCDH, Fig. 3b). LAGRANGE also supports an Australasian-American origin for *Hedyosmum* but excluding the Neotropics (HB, Fig. 4). Both Fitch Parsimony and LAGRANGE infer colonization of South America sometime during the Early-Middle Miocene (17-15 Ma), apparently using the northern Andes (area C) as a dispersal route southwards (Figs. 3a, 4). From the Northern Andes, dispersal back to Central America (area A) occurred at least three times: one leading to *H. mexicanum*, possibly before the final closure (uplift) of the Panama Isthmus ~3.5 Ma (as indicated by Bayes-DIVA; Fig. 3b); and two others after its closure, one leading to *H. scaberrimum*, and the other to *H. goudotianum* with its sister taxa *H. correanum* and *H. bonplandianum* (Figs. 3, 4). Dispersal to southeastern Brazil (area G) in *H. brasiliense* and the Guiana Shield (area F) in *H. racemosum* occurred relatively recently, apparently in the Late Miocene-Pliocene (Figs. 3, 4).

**Diversification models**

The gamma test rejected a constant-rate diversification model, even after correcting for incomplete taxon sampling, for both Chloranthaceae (2.241, *p* > 0.999) and the *Hedyosmum* stem clade (2.554, *p* > 0.999; Table 2). In contrast, the gamma test did not reject rate constancy for the *Hedyosmum* crown group (-0.382, *p* = 0.7426; Table 2). Although strictly the gamma test can only be used for testing a model of decreasing speciation rates through time against a constant-rate diversification model (Pybus and Harvey 2000), highly positive values of the gamma statistic are generally interpreted as indicating an increase of speciation rates through time (Weir 2006). A comparison of the observed gamma value for Chloranthaceae against the distribution of values from the 5000 simulated incomplete phylogenies shows that the observed value falls outside the 99% right tail confidence interval (critical value at 5% level = -2.014).
The gamma statistic is a powerful tool to test if a constant rate speciation model is plausible for a given phylogeny. Birth-death likelihood (BDL) methods, on the other hand, incorporate extinction and can be used to explicitly test the fit of a constant-rate birth-death model (a constant-rate model with \( d > 0 \)) against a time-varying speciation model (Rabosky, 2006b). The deltaAIC\(_{RC}\) test in LASER indicated a significant departure of the lineage cumulative curve of Chloranthaceae from a model of constant-rate diversification (dAIC\(_{RC}\) = 7.689, \( p < 0.001 \), Table 2). The model with the lowest AIC score was a Yule-3-rate variable model with two shifts in diversification rate: an increase at 23 Ma and a rate decrease at 2.1 Ma (Table 2). The first shift is particularly abrupt, with an eight-fold increase in the rate of diversification in comparison with the previous rate: \( r_1 = 0.015 \), \( r_2 = 0.080 \). It corresponds to the branching point between *Hedyosmum orientale* and subgenus *Tafalla* (Fig. 3, red arrow).

The best-fit constant-rate model was a birth-death model with a high relative extinction rate (extinction ratio \( a = b/d = 0.82 \), Table 2). For the *Hedyosmum* stem clade, the best-fitting model was also a Yule-3-rate variable model with an increase in diversification rate at 23 Ma and a decrease at 3.5 Ma (Table 2). However, this model is only marginally significantly better (dAIC\(_{RC}\) = 1.587, \( p = 0.049 \)) than a constant-rate model with a very high extinction fraction (\( a > 0.999 \)). In contrast, the deltaAIC\(_{RC}\) test rejected a temporal shift in diversification rates for the *Hedyosmum* crown group (dAIC\(_{RC}\) = 0.868, \( p = 0.099 \); Table 2).

The model with the lowest AIC was a Yule-2-rate model with a rate decrease at 3.5 Ma, but there was no significant difference with a pure-birth model with speciation rate \( r = 0.080 \) (Table 2). The ML estimate of the extinction fraction for the *Hedyosmum* crown group was very low (\( a = 0 \); 95% confidence interval: 0-0.4).

Unlike BDL methods, the MEDUSA combined phylogenetic-taxonomic approach allows the rate of diversification to vary among lineages - although it assumes rate constancy through time within each major lineage (Rabosky et al. 2007). MEDUSA detected one
significant shift in diversification rates in the branch leading to the *H. arborescens* lineage, which represents subgenus *Tafalla*. Specifically, there was a ten-fold increase in the rate of diversification between this clade, grouping all South American species of *Hedyosmum* ($r_2 = 0.125; b = 0.286, d = 0.161; a = 0.562$), and the remainder of the tree ($r_1 = 0.014; b = 0.052, d = 0.041; a = 0.78$).

The LTT plot of the empirical chronogram of Chloranthaceae shows an initial period of diversification (110-90 Ma), followed by a phase of little or no diversification between 90 and 40 Ma (the long stem or ‘temporal gap’), and a final upturn in the rate of diversification at around 20 Ma (Fig. 5a). A similar pattern can be observed in the LTT plot of the *Hedyosmum* stem lineage, with a long stem and a late upturn in the pattern of lineage accumulation at 23 Ma (Fig. 5b). In contrast, the LTT plot of the *Hedyosmum* crown group shows a more exponential pattern of lineage accumulation, characteristic of a constant birth-death rate process with a low extinction rate (Fig. 5c). Comparison with simulated phylogenies under a 2:1 birth-death diversification model – pruned to reflect incomplete taxon sampling – shows that the empirical LTT plot falls outside the 95% confidence interval generated by the simulations in Chloranthaceae and the *Hedyosmum* stem lineage (Fig. 5a,b), but falls marginally within in the *Hedyosmum* crown group (Fig. 5c).

Appendix S8 shows the LTT plots of simulation attempts for the episodic 65 Ma birth death model. Many simulations resulted in trees far too young compared to the empirical chronogram, especially for the 65 Ma model (Appendix S8). The best combination of parameter values for the two mass extinction scenarios (65 and 35 Ma) – resulting in phylogenies with 65 extant species and a basal divergence close to the root age of Chloranthaceae (120-100 Ma) – was achieved with a first episode of birth-death growth (e.g., $a = d/b = 0.5$), followed by a severe mass extinction event that extirpated 95% percent of extant lineages, and ending in a second episode with slow growth and a high background.
extinction \((a = b/d = 0.95, \text{Fig. 6a,b})\). The reconstructed phylogenies all exhibit the expected “broom and handle” shape \((\text{Crisp & Cook, 2009})\), with long stems and species-rich crowns, and large temporal gaps between stem and crown ages \((90-50 \text{ million years, see Appendix S9-S10}\)). The LTT plots show the classic anti-sigmoid pattern \((\text{Harvey et al. 1994})\), with an initial period of diversification, followed by a plateau \(\text{(the “stem”)},\) and a final upturn in the pattern of lineage accumulation \(\text{(Fig. 6a,b)}\), similar to the one exhibited by the empirical phylogeny of Chloranthaceae \(\text{(Fig. 5a)}\). In LTT plots of mass extinction models, the mass extinction event precedes the end of the plateau \(\text{(Harvey et al. 1994; Cook and Crisp 2009)}\).

In the empirical LTT plot of Chloranthaceae, the end of the plateau falls around 36 Ma, showing a better fit to the 35 mass extinction model than to the 65 Ma model. However, in our simulations, especially for the 65 Ma model, there was not always a close correspondence between the time of the mass extinction event – as observed in the complete phylogeny including extinct species \(\text{(Appendix S9) - and the upturn in the rate of diversification in the LTT plot of the corresponding reconstructed (incompletely sampled) phylogeny. In some curves, the start of diversification seemed to be delayed, perhaps an effect of incomplete taxon sampling randomly removing lineages that started diversifying right after the mass extinction event.\)}

The LTT plots of the constant high relative extinction models \(\text{(Fig. 6c,d)}\) generally showed a shorter plateau and a less steeper pattern than the LTT plots of the empirical chronogram \(\text{(Fig. 5a)}\) and the mass extinction models \(\text{(Fig. 6a,b)}\). The age of the reconstructed phylogenies was also considerably older than in mass extinction simulations, especially for the 95\% background extinction model \(\text{(Fig. 6c,d; Appendix S11-S12)}\). Several of the reconstructed phylogenies under the high relative extinction model \(\text{(a = 0.95)}\) exhibited a “broom-and-handle” shape \(\text{(Appendix S12)}\), and their LTT plots show a long period of no growth ending in an upturn in the lineage accumulation curve \(\text{(Fig. 6d)}\). However, there was
usually no period of initial diversification and the average LTT plot was not anti-sigmoid
(Fig. 6d).

DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic Relationships and Classification

Our analysis confirms the monophyly of all four Chloranthaceae genera and corroborates
the relationships among genera recovered in previous studies (Eklund et al. 2004; Zhang and
Renner 2003). Nevertheless, it partially rejects the traditional intra-generic classification for
Hedyosmum proposed by Todzia (1988) based on morphological characters: subgenus
Hedyosmum and sections Orientale, Macrocarpa, and Microcarpa are shown to be
paraphyletic. Whether these sections should be abandoned or redefined should become clear
with the addition of more species in subgenus Tafalla, in particular, those from the informally
recognized group Pseudoandromeda (Todzia 1988), which were placed as sister to
Macrocarpa in Eklund et al. (2004).

The phylogenetic position of the enigmatic Asian endemic H. orientale has been under
debate. In Zhang and Renner’s (2003) phylogenetic tree based on chloroplast DNA sequences
of five Hedyosmum species, H. orientale appeared as sister to all other Hedyosmum species.
Then, the morphological analysis by Eklund et al. (2004) indicated a placement as sister to H.
grisebachii, with the two species sister to H. nutans – a result more consistent with the
classification and cladistic analysis based on morphology by Todzia (1988). Indeed, in the
analysis performed by Eklund et al. (2004), constraining H. orientale to be sister to all other
Hedyosmum generated a tree that was two steps longer than the most parsimonious one, and
no morphological characters unequivocally supporting such placement could be identified.
However, the low node support meant that they could not place the species with confidence.

Our molecular phylogeny places Hedyosmum orientale as the sister clade to subgenus
Tafalla, which is recognized here as monophyletic. Unfortunately, several attempts to sequence the rps16 intron of *Hedyosmum orientale* failed, but ITS data alone contained strong phylogenetic signal for the placement suggested here (98% BS, 99% BP, Fig. 1).

**Spatiotemporal Evolution of Chloranthaceae**

Both parsimony-based and parametric ancestral area reconstructions (Fig. 3b, Fig. 4) suggest that the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of Chloranthaceae was already distributed in America and Asia by the Early Cretaceous (~110 Ma). Australasia (area H) is here interpreted as Asia, since *Chloranthus*, *Sarcandra*, and *Hedyosmum orientale* are restricted to East Asia, while the presence of *Ascarina* in the Australian region and Madagascar is probably the result of more recent dispersal events (Raven and Axelrod 1974; Zhang and Renner 2003). This reconstruction agrees well with previous hypotheses (Raven and Axelrod 1974; Todzia 1988), according to which Chloranthaceae originated in Laurasia (North America and Eurasia) in the Early Cretaceous, diversified into the lineages leading to the four extant genera, and then the *Hedyosmum* lineage dispersed to the Neotropics where it radiated into its present-day diversity. A widespread Laurasian ancestor of Chloranthaceae is also supported by the extensive fossil record of Chloranthaceae in the Holarctic (Zhang and Renner 2003; Eklund et al. 2004). The oldest fossils of stem lineage *Hedyosmum* are from the Barremian-Aptian of Portugal, and fossil pollen of *Asteropollis* (associated with *Hedyosmum*) have been found in a wide range of localities in the Northern Hemisphere, including North America, Greenland, and Europe. A similar Laurasian origin has been postulated for the Asian genus *Chloranthus*, based on the presence of fossils of stem relatives in the Late Cretaceous of North America and Europe (Eklund et al. 2004).

The nested position of *H. orientale* within the otherwise Neotropical crown group could thus be a relict from a former widespread distribution in Laurasia (Todzia 1985). During the
Early Cenozoic, climate was wetter and more tropical and a boreotropical flora (Tiffney 1985) extended throughout the Northern Hemisphere from North America to Asia. Climatic deterioration after the Eocene probably pushed boreotropical lineages south, and subsequent extinction extirpated *Hedyosmum* lineages from Europe and North America, leaving behind the Asian *H. orientale* as a relict. This hypothesis is supported by the LAGRANGE reconstruction (Fig. 4), which shows the ancestor of crown group *Hedyosmum* originally distributed in America and Asia in the Early Cenozoic (35.6 or 43.3 Ma, Table 1), where it split into an exclusively American clade (the Antillean *H. nutans* – *H. grisebachii* clade) and an Asian-American lineage, the *H. orientale*-Tafalla group. A key point in our biogeographic scenario is the phylogenetic position of *H. orientale*. Placing this species as the sister clade of the remaining species of *Hedyosmum*, as in Zhang and Renner 2003, would result in Asia been inferred as the ancestral area of the genus in Lagrange and Fitch Parsimony.

Diversification tests and comparison between simulated and empirical phylogenies give support to the hypothesis that high extinction rates, either punctual or constant (gradual), have been responsible for the low extant diversity of Chloranthaceae relative to its old age (65 species and 110 Ma). ML estimates of background extinction under a constant-rate model were high (\(a = 0.82\), Chloranthaceae) or very high (\(a = 0.999\), *Hedyosmum* stem clade). MEDUSA also estimated a high background extinction rate (\(a = 0.78\)) for the backbone of the Chloranthaceae phylogeny, except for the branch leading to the South American *Hedyosmum* Tafalla clade. Both high relative extinction rates (Rabosky and Lovette 2008) and punctual mass extinction events (Cook and Crisp 2009) may produce a pattern of lineage accumulation in which there is an excess of lineages close to the present, detected by the gamma statistics as an increase in diversification rates (Table 2). Cook and Crisp (2009) argued that the Terminal Eocene Event - the dramatic cooling of global climates at the Eocene-Oligocene boundary - was responsible for the anti-sigmoid LTT plot and “broom-
and-handle” shape observed in the phylogenies of several legume clades. The anti-sigmoid shape of the Chloranthaceae LTT plot resembles the 35 Ma simulated mass extinction model, in that the end of the plateau, marking the initial recovery of lineage diversification, falls around 35 Ma. High background extinction in the Holarctic, associated with subsequent episodes of cooling and drying starting in the Oligocene (as modeled in the second part of the 35 Ma model), could further explain the very low extant diversity of Chloranthaceae, especially in the Eastern Asian genera Chloranthus and Sarcandra, and perhaps in the Hedyosmum orientale lineage.

There are, however, some problems with this mass extinction scenario. First, a 95% mass extinction event in plants seems unrealistic. Nichols (2007) argued that about one third of all angiosperm taxa in North America represented by fossil pollen failed to survive the impact winter at the K/T event, including some 80% of all large plant species. Second, our simulations assume that mass extinction events affect all lineages equally, but McLoughlin et al. (2008) showed that the influence of the K/T event was minor in higher latitudes in the Southern and Northern Hemispheres compared with the tropical regions nearer the impact. Also, comparison of the shape of LTT plots from reconstructed phylogenies is not enough to discriminate between mass extinction and high relative extinction scenarios, particularly when faced with incomplete taxon sampling. Although the average LTT plot differs between both scenarios (Fig. 6a-c), some of the 100 reconstructed phylogenies simulated under the 95% relative extinction model exhibited a “broom-and-handle” shape and long temporal gaps between stem and crown ages that were similar to the observed Chloranthaceae chronogram. Moreover, incomplete taxon sampling apparently causes a delay between the time of the mass extinction event and the start of lineage recovery in the LTT plot of the reconstructed extant phylogeny, which makes it difficult to discriminate between the alternative mass extinction scenarios (65 Ma-35 Ma) using the LTT plot alone.
Colonization of South America

According to the Fitch and LAGRANGE reconstructions, the Neotropical region did not form part of the ancestral distribution of *Hedyosmum*, but was instead the result of a more recent colonization event, either at the divergence of subgenus *Tafalla* (Fig. 3a) or at the first split in the *H. parvifolium-spectabile* clade within the *Tafalla* group (Fig. 4). The inclusion of South America into the *Hedyosmum* ancestral distribution in the Bayes-DIVA analysis (Fig. 3b) is probably a result of DIVA cost assignments, which favor vicariance over dispersal, and to the uncertainty in ancestral area reconstructions towards the root (Ronquist, 1997). In fact, if the maximum number of areas in DIVA is constrained to two (maxareas = 2), Asia (H), alone or together with West Indies (BH), is inferred as the ancestral area of Chloranthaceae, and the West Indies (B) or West Indies-Asia (BH) as the geographic origin of *Hedyosmum*, just as in the LAGRANGE analysis.

Based on Late Eocene divergence times, Zhang and Renner (2003) suggested that *Hedyosmum* entered South America from the north following the same boreotropical route as several other plant families (e.g. Moore and Donoghue 2007; Antonelli et al. 2009; Erkens et al. 2009). Eklund et al. (2004) suggested the uplift of the Panama Isthmus (~3.5 Ma) as one possible route for the dispersal of *Hedyosmum* into South America. Our temporal reconstruction agrees better with Zhang and Renner’s (2003) hypothesis: the MRCA of all South American species (from *H. costaricense* to *H. racemosum*) is dated to the Miocene (mean 15.2 Ma in PL, 19.4 Ma in BEAST; see Appendix S4–S7), long pre-dating the final uplift of the Panama Isthmus. *Hedyosmum* might have entered South America via the postulated Eocene-Oligocene Greater Antilles – Aves Ridge landbridge or the Late Miocene island chain system, as a stepping-stone route (Iturralde-Vincent and MacPhee 1999).
Temporal-based diversification tests (LASER) indicate a significant increase in diversification rates in the last 20 million years, largely coincident with the entrance of Hedyosmum into South America (Figs. 3a, 4). MEDUSA also detects a significant acceleration along the branch leading to the South American clade of Hedyosmum, subgenus Tafalla. What triggered this acceleration in diversification in South American Hedyosmum?

The time of entrance into South America – estimated at ~17 Ma in Fitch (Fig. 3a) and ~15 Ma in LAGRANGE (Fig. 4) – occurred just at the onset of the most intense mountain uplift phase in the Northern Andes (Gregory-Wodzicki 2000; Hoorn et al. 2010). This was also during a period of global temperature optimum (the Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum), followed by a period of increasing cooling (Zachos et al. 2001; Fig 3b). Any of these events, individually or in combination, could have fostered speciation in Hedyosmum. The maximum species diversity of Hedyosmum is found today in cool and moist environments of the Neotropics, especially in the foothills of the Andes and the Central American cordilleras (Appendix S1). Intuitively, it would therefore be natural to presume that climate cooling played an important role in fostering diversification, by causing ecological shifts that increased the occurrence of cooler habitats. Nevertheless, the Miocene–Pliocene climatic cooling is now thought to have mainly affected lowland forests, by decreasing overall rainfall and thus causing an expansion of arid and semi-arid habitats (Morley 2000). Previous studies have shown that Hedyosmum cannot survive lowland drought, mainly due to the high vulnerability of its xylem (Feild and Arens 2007). Put together, these lines of evidence suggest that Neogene climatic cooling has not lead to a substantial expansion of habitats suitable for the diversification of Hedyosmum.

Instead, it appears more likely that speciation in South American Hedyosmum was triggered by the rapid formation of new montane regions during the uplift of the northeastern Andes in the Middle Miocene onwards, and the habitat fragmentation that would result (Fig.
3b inset, yellow box). This scenario seems biologically plausible and has been proposed for several other plant groups, such as in families Rubiaceae (Antonelli et al. 2009), Valerianaceae (Bell and Donoghue 2005), Fabaceae (Hughes and Eastwood 2006), as well as for animal groups, such as antbirds in the genus Thamnophilus (Brumfield and Edwards 2007). Continual uplift of highland regions could provide ongoing opportunities for speciation via allopatric isolation and availability of new ecological niches (ecological displacement). Indeed, Moore and Donoghue (2007) argued that dispersal of lineages into new biogeographic regions (range evolution) is a main factor promoting shifts in rates of diversification of plant lineages. Interestingly, this scenario is supported by our biogeographic reconstructions: both Fitch Parsimony and Bayes-DIVA (Fig. 3) and LAGRANGE (Fig. 4) indicate that most lineages in subgenus Tafalla diversified in the Northern Andes (area C, alone or in conjunction with surrounding areas) throughout the Neogene, from which they dispersed to the Central Andes (area D) and other regions. This is also supported by paleobotanical findings of Hedyosmum fossil pollen (Clavainaperturites microclavatus). Fossil records from the Early Miocene to the Early/Middle Miocene have been retrieved from Santa Teresa in Peru, where it was common in montane forests but with occasional occurrences in lowland forests (Hoorn 1994), and from the Late Miocene/Early Pliocene onwards in Brazilian Amazonia (Silva-Caminha et al. 2010). It is believed that the pollen grains found in lowland areas were produced in the Andean foothills and subsequently transported by wind or water currents to their final deposition site (Hoorn 1994).

In contrast, neither the biological corridor created by the uplift of the Panama Isthmus nor Pleistocene climatic changes – which earlier works hypothesized to have had a profound impact on South American speciation (Haffer 1969; Gentry 1982) – seem to have played an important role in the diversification of Hedyosmum. Most lineage splitting events are estimated before the establishment of the Central American land bridge and before the onset
of Quaternary glaciations (Fig. 3b, inset). This result corroborates the inference that most
Neotropical diversification took place earlier in the Neogene (Hoorn et al. 2010).

Although diversification rates in the South American Tafalla clade were high ($r = 0.125$)
compared with the low rates in the rest of the tree ($r = 0.014$; MEDUSA), one cannot speak
here of a rapid adaptive radiation such as the one observed in the highland Neotropical genus
Lupinus (Hughes and Eastwood 2007). The empirical LTT plot of crown group Hedyosmum
did not significantly differ from a classic 2:1 birth-death model (Fig. 5c), and ML estimates
of speciation rates were not especially high: 0.080 lineages per million years for the crown
group (Table 2), or 0.286 in the Hedyosmum Tafalla-group. Again, our taxon sampling here
only represents half of extant species of Hedyosmum, and it is possible that adding the
missing species could increase speciation rates. Nevertheless, based on current evidence, it
seems that the sharp upturn in the lineage cumulative curve observed in the LTT plot of
Chloranthaceae is the result of both an increase in speciation rates in subgenus Tafalla and
high relative extinction rates in the remainder of the phylogeny.

Correlates of Speciation and Extinction

This study shows the advantages of combining paleontological, biogeographic,
molecular, and diversification data for reconstructing the history of a “relict”, species-poor
lineage, for which the extant diversity today is only a remnant of its past diversity. It also
points out the difficulties of inferring patterns of lineage diversification when incomplete
taxon sampling is combined with high extinction rates.

Cusimano and Renner (2010) demonstrated that using null distributions of randomly-
pruned trees to account for incomplete taxon sampling in empirical phylogenies – as in the
MCCR test and our TreeSim simulations – can lead to overestimating the probability of
departure from constant-rate diversification models. This is because actual taxon sampling is
rarely random, but often phylogenetically overdispersed – i.e., one representative per clade or lineage is used, so that basal, early-diverging lineages are more likely to be represented in the phylogeny than more recently diverged lineages. This effect is larger when testing decreasing rates through time because internal nodes near the root leave more descendants and are more likely to be included in a small taxon sample (Pybus & Harvey 2000; Cusimano and Renner 2010). In our study, we focused on increasing rates through time and our taxon sampling is likely to be under-dispersed, since the aim was to sequence all available species of *Hedyosmum*, but rarity led to some species not being included. Thus, our sampling is more likely to have led to error Type II, i.e., erroneously accepting the null hypothesis of constant rate diversification instead of changing diversification rates.

Many studies have focused on testing decreasing rates through time (Rabosky et al. 2007; Rabosky & Lovette 2008; Rabosky 2009). Increasing rates through time, as explored here, is more difficult to test because both high background extinction and increasing speciation rates can produce a pattern in which there is an excess of lineages close to the present, translated into significantly positive gamma values. Birth-death likelihood models can potentially distinguish between these two scenarios (Rabosky 2006b), but the power of these tests is only high if the shift in diversification rate is large and the extinction fraction moderately low \((a = 0.5)\). Moreover, Rabosky (2009; 2010) demonstrated that the extinction fraction cannot be reliably estimated from extant phylogenies with current diversification methods because they either assume that rates have been constant among lineages (Pybus & Harvey 2000; Rabosky 2006b) or they have been constant through time within clades (Rabosky et al. 2007; Alfaro et al. 2009). This can lead to overestimation of extinction rates from reconstructed extant phylogenies (Rabosky 2010). Estimators of background extinction rates based on the relationship between clade age and species richness, as in the MEDUSA approach, can be especially misleading in comparisons across higher taxa because it assumes
that there are no ecological limits to a clade’s increase in diversity or “carrying capacity” (Rabosky 2009). One way to improve estimates is to incorporate fossil taxa directly into diversification analyses (Quental & Marshall 2010; Rabosky 2010). For example, including fossil stem lineages in the phylogeny (e.g., as done by Eklund et al. 2004) could improve reliable estimation of diversification rates and help reduce the uncertainty in ancestral area estimation for the deepest nodes in Chloranthaceae.

In general, and given that a wide range of processes can give similarly shaped reconstructed phylogenies (Quental & Marshall 2010), it is important to merge additional sources of information such as the fossil record or the inference of biogeographic ranges to analyses of diversification. For Chloranthaceae, both the fossil record and biogeographic inference give support to the hypothesis that the ancestor of Chloranthaceae was widespread in Laurasia and that current low extant diversity is the result of gradual extinction. It also shows how old groups such as Chloranthaceae, which apparently have reached their equilibrium diversity (Rabosky 2009), can increase their “carrying capacity” through invasion of new biogeographic regions or ecological niches – in this case the colonization of the tropical Andes.
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TABLE 1. Crown group ages of major clades in Chloranthaceae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Penalized Likelihood Mean</th>
<th>95 % CI Lower</th>
<th>95 % CI Upper</th>
<th>Bayesian relaxed clock Mean</th>
<th>95% HPD Lower</th>
<th>95% HPD Upper</th>
<th>“Fossil A”</th>
<th>“Fossil B”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloranthaceae</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascarina</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>(9 or 10)±6</td>
<td>(17 or 18)±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcandra</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloranthus</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>11 or 12</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedyosmum</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>29±11</td>
<td>(53 or 63)±20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated by us from substitution rates and node-to-tip distances provided in the original article. CI, confidence interval; HPD, highest posterior density.
TABLE 2. Results of fitting four rate-constant and rate-variable birth-death models to empirical chronograms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro-evolutionary model</th>
<th>pure birth</th>
<th>birth death</th>
<th>yule-2-rate</th>
<th>yule-3-rate</th>
<th>Gamma statistic</th>
<th>MCCR test (p value)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloranthaceae</td>
<td>LH -48.083</td>
<td>LH -44.52</td>
<td>LH -40.98</td>
<td>LH -37.68</td>
<td>2.241</td>
<td>7.689</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.999 (critical value = -2.014) p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC 98.166</td>
<td>AIC 93.043</td>
<td>AIC 87.95</td>
<td>AIC 85.35</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.999 (critical value = -2.014) p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r = 0.0463</td>
<td>r = 0.0148</td>
<td>r1 = 0.0148</td>
<td>r1 = 0.0148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 0.82</td>
<td>r2 = 0.0683</td>
<td>r2 = 0.0799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st1 = 23.291</td>
<td>st1 = 23.291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st2 = 2.106</td>
<td>st2 = 2.106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC = 75.05</td>
<td>AIC 67.87</td>
<td>AIC 67.27</td>
<td>AIC 66.28</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.999</td>
<td>p = 0.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r = 0.049</td>
<td>r = 3.98 e-07</td>
<td>r1 = 0.011</td>
<td>r1 = 0.011</td>
<td>(critical value = -1.885)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 0.999</td>
<td>r2 = 0.077</td>
<td>r2 = 0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st1 = 23.29</td>
<td>st1 = 23.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>st2 = 0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>st2 = 23.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\[ st_2 = 3.50 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hedyosmum crown group</th>
<th>LH -25.41</th>
<th>LH -25.41</th>
<th>LH -22.98</th>
<th>LH -21.93</th>
<th>-0.382</th>
<th>0.868</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>52.83</td>
<td>AIC 54.83</td>
<td>AIC 51.96</td>
<td>AIC 53.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r = 0.080 )</td>
<td>( r = 0.080 )</td>
<td>( r_1 = 0.103 )</td>
<td>( r_1 = 0.074 )</td>
<td>(critical value = -2.089)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a = 0 )</td>
<td>( r_2 = 0.026 )</td>
<td>( r_2 = 0.144 )</td>
<td>( r_3 = 0.026 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( st_1 = 3.50 )</td>
<td>( st_1 = 8.71 )</td>
<td>( st_1 = 3.50 )</td>
<td>( st_2 = 3.50 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only observed branching times in the original chronogram were considered as branching points for the Yule-n-rate models. Abbreviations: \( a = \) extinction fraction \((dB)\); \( r = \) diversification rate: speciation \((b)\) – extinction \((d)\); \( r_1 = \) initial diversification rate; \( r_2 = r_3 = \) final diversification rates; \( st_i = \) point in time when there is a shift in diversification rate. \( dAIC_{RC} \) is the difference in Akaike information criterion (AIC) scores between the best rate-constant model (the one with the lowest AIC value) and the best rate-variable model. MCCR: Monte Carlo Constant rate test for the gamma statistic. \( P \)-values were derived from simulated trees generated under the pure birth model with the same number of lineages as the original phylogeny and using the estimated pure birth speciation values.
FIGURE 1. Phylogeny of *Hedyosmum*. The tree is the 50% majority-rule consensus from the MCMC Bayesian analysis, based on *rbc*L, *rps*16, and ITS. A thick-lined branch indicates that the branch was also present in the majority-rule consensus tree of the bootstrap analysis. Numbers above branches indicate the posterior probability of the clade. Numbers below branches show bootstrap support values, whenever applicable. Species names in bold indicate types for genera.

FIGURE 2. Molecular chronogram of Chloranthaceae, estimated using Penalized Likelihood. The tree topology is the same as in Fig. 1, but with node ages calculated from mean branch lengths of 16,000 trees from the Bayesian stationary sample. Bars at node intersections indicate 95% confidence intervals of ages, calculated by independently dating 1000 trees randomly sampled from the MCMC Bayesian stationary distribution. Minimal age constraints were established by two fossils: C1, *Hedyosmum*-like female flowers from the Barremian-Aptian of Portugal (associated with *Asteropollis* pollen); C2, *Chloranthus*-like stamens from the Late Cretaceous of New Jersey (*Chloranthistemon crossmanensis*). Note the gap of ~85 Ma between the stem age of *Hedyosmum* and its inferred crown age; in the fossil record, this gap is about 100 Ma. Timescale from Gradstein (2005). Fossil images reproduced with permission (light microscopy of Miocene pollen: courtesy Silane Silva).

FIGURE 3. Spatiotemporal reconstruction of Chloranthaceae, with special reference to *Hedyosmum*, inferred using *a*) Fitch parsimony and *b*) BAYES-DIVA. The tree is the 50% majority rule consensus from the MCMC Bayesian analysis. Pie charts at nodes show the probabilities of alternative ancestral area reconstructions obtained by integrating Fitch and DIVA optimizations over a distribution sample of trees from the Bayesian analysis (N =
1000); the first four areas with highest probability are colored according to their relative probability in the following order: white > red > blue > gray; any remaining areas and ambiguous reconstructions in the Fitch optimizations are collectively given in black. Current distributions are listed before each species. The red arrow indicates a significant shift in diversification rate (see text). INSETS: a) Operational areas used in the analysis (A: Central America, B: West Indies, C: Northern Andes, D: Central Andes, E: Chocó, F: Guiana Shield, G: Southeastern South America, H: Australasia; topographic map from the National Geophysical Data Center, www.ngdc.noaa.gov). b) Lineage through time (LTT) plot of *Hedyosmum*. The blue line represents the accumulation of lineages in the mean age chronogram of Figure 2. Uncertainty in age estimations is illustrated by 1000 individually dated chronograms (grey lines) randomly sampled from the Bayesian MCMC stationary distribution. Global temperature means are shown by the red curve (adapted from Zachos et al. 2001). The yellow box indicates the period of most intensive uplift in the Northern Andes (~ 15–5 Ma; Hoorn et al. 2010).

**Figure 4**: Spatiotemporal reconstruction of Chloranthaceae inferred using the Dispersal-Extinction-Cladogenesis likelihood method implemented in LAGRANGE (Ree and Smith, 2008). The tree is the ‘allcompat’ phylogram (50% majority rule with compatible groups added) from the MCMC Bayesian analysis with time-calibrated branch lengths estimated by penalized likelihood. Ancestral distributions were constrained to include only two-area ranges. Pie charts at nodes represent ML relative probabilities for ancestral areas. Other conventions as in Fig. 3.

**Figure 5**: Testing departure of the empirical chronogram of Chloranthaceae (Fig. 2) from a constant-rate birth-death diversification model. The thick line represents the lineage through
time curve (LTT) for: a) Chloranthaceae, b) the *Hedyosmum* stem lineage, and c) the *Hedyosmum* crown group, plotted against LTT curves of 100 phylogenies (thin lines) simulated under a 2:1 birth-death process using the ML estimate of the speciation rate under the pure birth model in LASER (see Table 2). Phylogenies were simulated to each group’s present diversity and taxa randomly sampled from them to produce a tree with the same number of species as the original phylogeny, using the package TreeSim (Stadler 2011). Simulated phylogenies were rescaled using the R package APE (Paradis et al. 2004) to have their basal divergence coincident with the root age of the observed phylogeny.

**Figure 6.** Testing alternative extinction scenarios to explain the temporal gap between stem and crown age in Chloranthaceae (Fig. 2). a) Overlay LTT plots of 100 phylogenies simulated under a mass extinction scenario in which there is an early radiation ($b = 0.2, d = 0.1$) followed by an episode of mass extinction at 65 Ma (the K/T event) that extirpates 95% of extant lineages, and a second episode of tree growth with high background extinction ($b = 0.2, d = 0.19$). b) Same as in (a) but with the episode of mass extinction occurring at 35 Ma (the Terminal Eocene Event); parameters: $b = 0.19, d = 0.1$ and $b = 0.2, d = 0.19$ (before and after the mass extinction, respectively). c) Overlay LTT plots of 100 phylogenies simulated under a model of high relative extinction rates using ML estimated values ($b = 0.082, d = 0.067, a = dB 0.78$, Table 2). d) Same as in (c) but the extinction rate is 95% of the estimated speciation rate under the Yule model ($b = 0.046$ (Table 2), $d = 0.043; a = b/d = 0.95$). For each model, the average LTT plot for 100 phylogenies is shown in the inset above; for the mass extinction models, the empirical LTT plot is also drawn for comparison. Phylogenies were simulated to 65 species, with a fraction of 62% species randomly sampled from the last growth episode to mimic incomplete sampling in the Chloranthaceae phylogeny.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME (Ma)</th>
<th>Closure of Panama Isthmus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uplift of NE Andes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Systematic Biology**

- **Eocene**
  - Middle: H, B, H
  - Late: H, B, H

- **Oligocene**
  - Early: A, C
  - Late: A, C

- **Miocene**
  - Early: A, C
  - Middle: A, C
  - Late: A, C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td>asc., sarc., chlor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>H. nuts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>H. domingense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>H. grisebachii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td>H. orientale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>H. arborescens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>H. costaricense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>H. mexicanum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD.</td>
<td>H. angustifolium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD.</td>
<td>H. scabrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>H. parvifolium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD.</td>
<td>H. cumbalense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD.</td>
<td>H. cuatrecasuanum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>H. dombeyanum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>H. translucidum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACD.</td>
<td>H. goudotianum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>H. correanum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE.</td>
<td>H. bonpladianum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>H. strigosum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE.</td>
<td>H. scaberrimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>H. brasilienne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD.</td>
<td>H. sprucei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD.</td>
<td>H. spectabile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF.</td>
<td>H. racemosum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**
- H: Hemisphere
- E: Early
- L: Late

---

**Additional Notes**

- **Geological Time Periods**
  - Eocene: 53.8 - 33.9 Mya
  - Oligocene: 33.9 - 23.0 Mya
  - Miocene: 23.0 - 5.33 Mya

- **Geographical Regions**
  - A: Asia
  - B: Africa
  - C: Caribbean
  - D: South America
  - E: North America
  - F: Europe

---
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