

1 RESEARCH ARTICLE

2 **Oral immunization with heat-inactivated *Mycobacterium bovis* induces protection**
3 **against infection with *Leishmania amazonensis* in mice**

4 Ferreras-Colino E¹†, Moreno I²†, Gortázar C¹, Sevilla I², Agulló-Ros I⁴, Domínguez L⁵,
5 Juste R⁶, Risalde MA*, Domínguez M²

6 †: Both first authors contributed equally

- 7 1. SaBio, Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos IREC-CSIC-UCLM-
8 JCCM, Ronda de Toledo 12, 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain.
- 9 2. Unidad de Inmunología Microbiana, Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto
10 de Salud Carlos III, Carretera Pozuelo-Majadahonda km 2, 28220 Majadahonda,
11 Madrid, Spain.
- 12 3. Animal Health Department, NEIKER-Instituto Vasco de Investigación y
13 Desarrollo Agrario, Derio, Bizkaia, Spain.
- 14 4. Departamento de Anatomía y Anatomía Patológica Comparadas y Toxicología,
15 Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Córdoba (UCO), 14071 Córdoba, Spain.
- 16 5. VISAVET Health Surveillance Centre, Complutense University of Madrid, 28040
17 Madrid, Spain; Department of Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
18 Complutense University of Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- 19 6. NySA. Servicio Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Agroalimentario
20 (SERIDA), Villaviciosa, Asturias, Spain.

21 * Corresponding author: María A. Risalde

22

23 Address: Edificio de Sanidad Animal (2^a planta), Campus de Rabanales s/n,

24 14014, Córdoba

25 Email address: v12rimom@uco.es

26 Telephone number: +34 957218654

27

28 **Abstract**

29 Leishmaniasis is a parasitic infection caused by protozoa of the genus *Leishmania* that
30 affects both humans and animals. It is regarded as one of the major neglected tropical
31 diseases with 1 million new cases occurring annually. It is known that BCG, an attenuated
32 strain of *Mycobacterium bovis* (*M. bovis*), confers partial protection against heterologous
33 pathogens, including *Leishmania*. We investigated if oral immunization with heat-
34 inactivated *M. bovis* (HIMB) would protect mice against *Leishmania* infection. Eighteen
35 female BALB/c mice were randomly assigned to two groups: the immunized group, that
36 received oral HIMB, and the control group. All mice were subsequently infected by
37 inoculation of 10,000 *Leishmania amazonensis* promastigotes in the footpad. At
38 necropsy, spleen culture was positive in all controls and in 55% of mice from the
39 immunized group. In mice belonging to the immunized group, we observed a reduction
40 in the number of immunolabeled amastigotes in the popliteal lymph node ($P = 0.009$) and
41 fewer mature granulomas containing lymphocytes in the liver ($P = 0.005$) as compared to
42 controls. Granulomas from the immunized group presented more Lys⁺ macrophages (P
43 $= 0.002$) and fewer CD3⁺ T lymphocytes ($P < 0.001$). Thus, immunization with HIMB
44 via the oral route limited parasite dissemination and granuloma development in mice

45 challenged with *Leishmania amazonensis* through stimulation of the innate immune
46 response involving macrophages. We speculate that, although partial, this heterologous
47 protection matches the concept of trained immunity, which had been mostly attributed to
48 live mycobacteria.

49 *Keywords:* heat-inactivated *Mycobacterium bovis*; *Leishmania*; liver; trained immunity.

50

51 **1. Introduction**

52 Classically, the innate immune response had been considered unable of mounting immune
53 memory [1]. Nevertheless, growing scientific evidence suggests the occurrence of
54 “innate memory” whereby the innate response against a pathogen is enhanced upon an
55 encounter with the same or another microorganism [2, 3]. Aiming to name this
56 groundbreaking phenomenon, Netea et al., (2011) [4] coined the term “trained
57 immunity”. Trained immunity is defined by three main features: a) it is induced after a
58 first contact, through infection or vaccination, with certain microorganisms or their
59 components, b) it confers non-specific cross-protection against a wide range of
60 homologous or heterologous pathogens in an adaptative-independent manner and c) it
61 involves innate cells, receptors, and cytokines, boosting the proinflammatory response.
62 So far, metabolic shifts (from oxidative phosphorylation to aerobic glycolysis) and
63 epigenetic reprogramming (through histone modifications) in monocytes-macrophages
64 [5–7], natural killer (NK) cells [8], dendritic cells [9], and neutrophils [10], as well as in
65 myeloid bone marrow precursors [11], have been recognized as core molecular
66 mechanisms involved in trained immunity. As new insights into the phenomenon of
67 trained immunity are disclosed, vaccines and adjuvants that confer non-specific

68 protection relying on innate responses are increasingly regarded as a promising ally to
69 prevent infectious diseases [11].

70 Leishmaniasis is a parasitic infection caused by protozoa of the genus *Leishmania* that
71 affects both humans and animals [12]. Along with malaria, it is regarded as one of the
72 major neglected tropical diseases [13], with an estimate of up to 1 million new cases
73 occurring annually [12]. Although most infected hosts do not develop clinical disease,
74 *Leishmania* infection may appear as visceral leishmaniasis or kala-azar, which is the most
75 severe form; as cutaneous leishmaniasis, which is the most common form; or as
76 mucocutaneous leishmaniasis [14]. *Leishmania* spp. present a digenetic life cycle, with a
77 flagellated extracellular form (promastigote) in the phlebotomine sandfly vector and an
78 aflagellated intracellular form (amastigote) in the mammalian host, whose target cell is
79 the macrophage [15]. Currently, prevention strategies are based on vector control and host
80 treatment [12], since no vaccine is available for use in humans yet [16]. Conversely, three
81 licensed vaccines against canine leishmaniosis are currently available: Leish-Tec® (Ceva
82 Santé Animale, Libourne, France), CaniLeish (Virbac, Carros cedex, France) and
83 Letifend (Leti Pharma S.L.U.; Madrid, España), based on diverse vaccine development
84 approaches [16]. Vast research aiming to manufacture a licensed vaccine against human
85 leishmaniasis has been conducted, with a few candidates reaching pre-clinical or clinical
86 phase studies [16]. Attempts of vaccination and immunotherapy included whole-killed
87 parasites combined with live attenuated *Mycobacterium bovis* Bacillus Calmette-Guerin
88 (BCG) [17–21].

89 Beyond being the only currently licensed vaccine against tuberculosis (TB), BCG is a
90 well-established inductor of trained immunity [22]. In addition to reducing TB and other
91 mycobacterial diseases symptomatology and pathology, numerous epidemiological

92 studies suggest that the incidence and severity of non-related infections is lower in BCG-
93 vaccinated people (reviewed in [23]). Moreover, experimental evidence supports the
94 hypothesis that BCG confers partial protection against heterologous pathogens, such as
95 certain viruses ([24, 25] but see [26]), bacteria other than mycobacteria [27], fungi [27,
96 28], and intracellular parasites [29, 30] in animal models and in humans. Recently, Silva
97 et al. 2021 [31] deepened in the capacity of BCG to protect against *Leishmania*
98 attributable to trained immunity. Mice vaccinated parenterally with BCG prior to
99 challenge with diverse *Leishmania* species presented lower parasite burden and
100 dissemination, as well as reduced lesion severity.

101 Although not so extensively explored, similar effects have been attributed to
102 immunization with inactivated mycobacteria. Garrido et al. (2011) [32] proposed an
103 immunostimulant containing heat-inactivated *M. bovis* (HIMB) as a complementary tool
104 for TB control in wildlife. Later, Juste et al. (2016) [5] observed a boosted clearance of
105 mycobacteria by macrophages from cattle that had been intramuscularly administered
106 HIMB prior to *in vitro* challenge with *M. bovis*. Further, HIMB has demonstrated not only
107 to confer protection against TB in a wide range of animal species [33–37], but also to
108 elicit partial immunity against non-related bacterial [38], protozoal (Ferrerias-Colino et
109 al., submitted), and arthropod (ticks; [39]) infections in different animal models.
110 Specifically, Ferrerias-Colino et al. (submitted) observed that immunization with HIMB
111 via the oral route lessened parasite load and liver pathology in mice challenged with
112 another intracellular protozoan, *Plasmodium*.

113 Based on the above, we hypothesized that oral immunization with HIMB would mitigate
114 parasite burden and pathological lesions in *Leishmania* infection through immune
115 mechanisms compatible with trained immunity. Thus, the objective of the present study

116 was to evaluate the protective effects of HIMB against *Leishmania* infection and to
117 characterize the immunological mechanisms involved.

118 **2. Material and Methods**

119 *2.1. Study design*

120 Eighteen female BALB/c mice four weeks old were housed in Instituto de Salud Carlos
121 III (Majadahonda, Madrid) and randomly assigned to two groups (n=9/group): the
122 immunized group, that received orally two doses of HIMB of 50 µl each at an interval of
123 4 weeks, and the control group, that was administered phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).
124 All mice were subsequently infected by footpad inoculation in the right feet with 10,000
125 promastigotes of *Leishmania amazonensis* (*L. amazonensis*) in a final volume of 0.05 ml
126 four weeks after the second immunization dose. When the right plantar pad diameter
127 doubled the left one (10 weeks after infection), mice were anesthetized, euthanized, and
128 necropsied (Figure 1). Blood and tissue (footpad, popliteal lymph node (NL), spleen and
129 liver) were obtained for serological, microbiological, histopathological and/or
130 immunohistochemical analysis.

131 This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Ref
132 OEBA ISCIII M-02-2015 and CBA ISCIII 10-2015) and the Regional Agriculture
133 Authority (Comunidad de Madrid; permit number: PROEX 114/15). Handling of the
134 animals and sampling were performed according to European (Council Directive 86/609)
135 and Spanish Legislation (RD53/2013).

136 *2.2. Oral HIMB immunostimulant*

137 The oral HIMB consisted of approximately 10^7 heat-inactivated colony forming units
138 (CFU)/ml of a field isolate of *M. bovis* (Strain 1403; SB0339 spoligotype), obtained from

139 a naturally infected wild boar, diluted in sterile PBS. The immunostimulant was prepared
140 following the protocol described by Garrido et al. (2011) [32], except for an extended
141 inactivation step at 83°C for 45 minutes (min). Bacterial concentration of HIMB was
142 determined prior to inactivation by measuring Turbidity in a VITEK® DensiCHEK®
143 (BioMerieux) and by plating a serially diluted aliquot onto agar-solidified Middlebrook
144 7H9 with glycerol (0,2% v/v) and OADC (10% v/v) (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes,
145 NJ, USA).

146 2.3. *Leishmania amazonensis*

147 *Leishmania amazonensis* Maria (MHOM/Br/79/Maria) promastigotes were cultured in
148 RPMI 1640, (Lonza Group, Basel, Switzerland), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated
149 FCS (Biowest), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin
150 (Lonza Group, Basel, Switzerland). Cultures were incubated at 26°C and submitted to 15
151 passages until they reached the stationary phase. Parasites were harvested by
152 centrifugation (1,200 g, 15 min, 20°C), washed twice in RPMI, and adjusted at 1×10^6
153 promastigotes/ml.

154 2.4. *Parasite burden by limiting dilution*

155 The *Leishmania* spp. burden by limiting dilution assay as previously described [40].
156 Briefly, spleen and popliteal samples were weighed and homogenized in Schneider's
157 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Misuri, USA) supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated FBS,
158 2% sterile human urine, 20 mM HEPES, 1% L-glutamine and 100 U/mL penicillin and
159 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Lonza Group, Basel, Switzerland). Organ homogenates were
160 filtered through a cell strainer to ensure a single-cell suspension. Further dilutions of the
161 homogenate were done in the same medium to a final concentration of 10 mg/mL; 200
162 mL/well of this cell suspension was added to the first well of a 96-well culture plate

163 (Corning Inc., NY, USA) and serial 4-fold dilutions were made across the plate. Plates
164 were incubated at 26 °C for 2 weeks. The presence of parasites was assessed by
165 microscopy after 14 days. The parasite burden (number of parasites/g of organ) was
166 calculated as described in [41] by two blinded and experienced observers (I.M. and M.D.).

167 *2.5. Histopathology*

168 Liver samples were collected during necropsies and fixed in 10% buffered formalin for
169 24 h at RT. Fixed samples were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, immersed in
170 xylol, and embedded in paraffin wax using an automatic processor. Sections were cut at
171 3 µm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) for further histological examinations.

172 The presence and type of mononuclear cell infiltrates and granulomas were evaluated and
173 categorized following the criteria described in [42]. Mononuclear cell infiltration was
174 labeled as parenchymal/interstitial, if located in the liver parenchyma, or periportal, if
175 located in the periportal space. Granulomas were classified according to the number of
176 cells in small (<25 cells) or big (>25 cells) granulomas and according to shape and cellular
177 components in immature (irregular non-rounded containing hepatocytes and erythrocytes
178 in the center and more Kupffer cells and macrophages than lymphocytes, and with or
179 without amastigotes), mature (regular rounded containing more lymphocytes than
180 macrophages and without hepatocytes and erythrocytes) and clean granulomas (rounded
181 with collagen capsule or spiral containing more lymphocytes than macrophages).
182 Infiltrates were graded as absent or 0% (0), mild or 10% to 30% of liver parenchyma
183 affected (1), moderate or 30% to 70% affected (2), and severe or 70% to 100% affected
184 (3); while granulomas were counted in 20 randomly chosen 0.2 mm² fields per slide.
185 Pathology was independently evaluated by two blinded and experienced observers (E.F-
186 C. and M.A.R.).

187 2.6. Immunohistochemistry

188 Immunohistochemistry was performed using the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex
189 (ABC) method to detect *Leishmania* amastigotes in the inoculated footpad, popliteal NL,
190 spleen and liver fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax.
191 Furthermore, immune cells (neutrophils, macrophages, T lymphocytes, regulatory T
192 lymphocytes, B lymphocytes) and immune mediators (iNOS, IFN, TNF, IL-1, IL-6) in
193 the liver were assessed with the same technique. Briefly, tissue sections (3 µm) were
194 dewaxed and rehydrated through a graded ethanol series. Then, endogenous peroxidase
195 activity was exhausted by incubation with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30
196 min at RT. After 3 rinses of 10 min each in PBS (pH 7.2), samples were subjected to
197 different pretreatments for antigen retrieval depending on the primary antibodies (Abs)
198 used (Table 1). Primary Abs produced in mouse were used with the M.O.M.® (Mouse on
199 Mouse) Immunodetection Kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, USA) following
200 manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, slides were rinsed 3 times in PBS for 10 min
201 and covered with 1% normal rabbit serum (Pierce-Endogen, Woburn, MA) for the rat
202 primary Abs or 20% normal goat serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) for
203 the rabbit primary Abs in PBS for 30 min at RT. Then, tissue sections were incubated
204 overnight at 4°C with the primary Abs. The following day, slides were washed in PBS (3
205 times for 5 min each) and incubated with the secondary Abs for 30 min at RT.
206 Biotinylated rabbit anti-rat IgG secondary Ab (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) diluted 1:200
207 in PBS containing normal rabbit serum 1% was used for the rat primary Abs, biotinylated
208 goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary Ab (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) diluted 1:200
209 in PBS containing normal goat serum 10% was used for the rabbit primary Abs and
210 M.O.M. Biotinylated Anti-Mouse IgG Reagent for the mouse Ab (Vector Laboratories,
211 Burlingame, USA). After 3 washes of 5 min each in PBS, slides were incubated with the

212 ABC complex (Vectastain ABC Elite Kit; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, USA) for 1
213 hour (h) at RT. Then, all tissue sections were rinsed in 0.05 M Tris buffered saline (TBS;
214 pH 7.6) and incubated with the chromogen solution (NovaRED Substrate Kit; Vector
215 Laboratories, Burlingame, USA) until color reveal. Finally, slides were counterstained
216 with Harris's hematoxylin.

217 *Leishmania* PCR-positive experimentally infected mice's footpad was used as positive
218 control for the *Leishmania* immunohistochemistry, whereas disease-free mice lymphoid
219 tissue was used as positive control for the immune cells and cytokines
220 immunohistochemistry. For negative control, specific primary Abs were replaced by Ab
221 isotype control or rabbit non-immune sera (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark).

222 All granulomas in the slide were examined to quantify the number of cells per granuloma
223 to 0.2 mm² fields. Cells were identified based on shape, size and positive immunolabeling
224 and counted by two experienced observers (E.F.-C. and M.A.R.).

225 2.7. IgG isotypes against *Leishmania* spp.

226 Sera were obtained from blood samples by centrifugation (3000 g for 10 min) and the
227 peripheral specific antileishmanial Ab response was determined by indirect ELISA.
228 Briefly, microtitre 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) were coated
229 with 50 µg/mL of soluble *L. amazonensis* antigen overnight at 4°C. The wells were
230 subsequently washed with a PBS solution containing 0.05% Tween-20 (PBST) and
231 blocked with 2% BSA at 37°C for 1 h (75 µL/well). Animal sera were used at dilution
232 1/100 (50 µL/well), as established by prior ELISA checkerboard titrations, and incubated
233 for 2 h at 37°C. After 3 washes, secondary Abs were added and the plates were incubated
234 for 30 min at room temperature (RT). Abs were diluted in PBS at 1/2000 dilution for total
235 IgG, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG3 and IgM [Goat Anti-mouse immunoglobulin HRP,

236 Southern Biotech]. Wells were washed three times with PBST and the enzyme reaction
237 initiated with 100 μ L/well HRP substrate (1 mg/mL OPD in citrate-phosphate buffer
238 pH 4.8, with 33% H₂O₂ diluted 1/1000). The reaction was terminated by adding
239 50 μ L/well 3 N H₂SO₄, and the product measured by absorbance (A 492nm) in a
240 microplate reader (Anthos 2020, Salzburg, Austria).

241 2.8. Statistical analysis

242 Data were assessed to calculate mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or error (SE) values and
243 were analyzed with the SPSS statistical software package (V.24.0; IBM, Somers, New
244 York, USA). A prior Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to assess the normality of data. As
245 data were not normally distributed, differences in parasite burden, pathological findings,
246 immune mediators and cells, and IgG isotypes between the immunized group and the
247 control group were tested through a Mann-Whitney U test. P values \leq 0.05 were
248 considered statistically significant.

249 3. Results

250 *Oral immunization with HIMB reduces organic dissemination of L. amazonensis*

251 The thickness of the footpad inoculated with *L. amazonensis* was monitored weekly until
252 it doubled the thickness of the non-inoculated footpad (at day 60 post-infection). Parasite
253 burden was assessed at the end of the experiment by popliteal LN and spleen culture, as
254 well as footpad, spleen, popliteal LN, and liver immunohistochemistry. Infection was
255 confirmed by detection of promastigotes in spleen and popliteal LN culture and of
256 immunolabeled amastigotes in the inoculated footpad.

257 Promastigotes appeared at day 7 of culture in both groups. After 14 days of culture,
258 promastigotes were detected in popliteal LN culture from all animals, while spleen culture
259 was positive in 100% of animals from the control group (mean: 132.64 promastigotes)
260 and 55.55% of animals from the immunized group (mean: 87.62 promastigotes)
261 ($P=0.082$). No between-group differences were observed in the popliteal LN culture and
262 the spleen promastigote burden ($P>0.05$).

263 Amastigotes were observed inside macrophages that were diffusely distributed in the
264 footpad which had been inoculated with *L. amazonensis* and inside macrophages that
265 were multifocally distributed in the associated popliteal LN (Figure 2B and C). Although
266 no differences were observed between groups regarding the footpad, a significant
267 reduction in the number of immunolabeled amastigotes in the popliteal LN of the
268 immunized group compared with the control group was detected ($P = 0.009$) (Figure 2A).
269 Of note, no amastigotes were observed in the liver or spleen of any of the mice.

270 *Oral immunization with HIMB slows granuloma development in L. amazonensis* 271 *infection*

272 Major pathological findings observed in animals from both groups were mononuclear cell
273 infiltrates and granulomas. Infiltrates were mainly composed of lymphocytes and
274 macrophages and were present both at the portal space and in the parenchyma. The extent
275 of the parenchymal infiltrates was significantly larger in the immunized group compared
276 with the control group ($P = 0.006$), whereas no differences in periportal infiltrates were
277 observed (Figure 3 and 4). Granulomas consisted in rounded lesions in the parenchyma
278 containing macrophages and lymphocytes. The number of mature granulomas containing
279 more lymphocytes was lower in the immunized group compared with the control group
280 ($P = 0.005$), while no differences between groups were observed regarding granuloma

281 size (Figure 3 and 4). Of note, no amastigotes were observed in the infiltrates nor in the
282 granulomas of either group.

283 *HIMB stimulates macrophages response against L. amazonensis*

284 Granulomas from the immunized group presented more Lys⁺ macrophages (P = 0.002)
285 and fewer CD3⁺ T lymphocytes (P < 0.001) compared with the control group, along with
286 fewer neutrophils. CD79⁺ B lymphocytes were scarce in all granulomas and no
287 differences between groups were observed (Figure 5). A scarce expression of cytokines
288 was detected in the liver granulomas from both groups, except for a high, although non-
289 significant, iNOS production in immunized animals (Figure 6).

290 *HIMB does not influence the humoral response against L. amazonensis*

291 The humoral response was modest and with predominance of immunoglobulin G,
292 especially isotype IgG1 (data not shown). No significant differences between groups in
293 immunoglobulin levels nor in immunoglobulin isotypes were observed.

294 **4. Discussion**

295 Overall, we demonstrated that HIMB administered via the oral route confers cross-
296 protection against *L. amazonensis* in mice. Altogether, the decrease in parasite load in
297 popliteal LNs as well as the less severe liver pathology in immunized animals indicate
298 that HIMB hampers parasite dissemination and slows down lesion onset and growth
299 during *Leishmania* infection. To the best of our knowledge, comparable effects against
300 leishmaniasis attributed to an immunostimulant composed of inactivated mycobacteria
301 had not been reported in the literature yet.

302 However, certain weaknesses of our study ought to be mentioned. Foremost, animal
303 experimentation principles imply reducing the number of animal replicates and the
304 duration of the infection period, thereby limiting the statistical power and the assessment
305 of long-term effects. Also, since immune responses against *Leishmania* infection vary
306 among parasite and host species, our findings might not be entirely extrapolated to other
307 models [43], such as human leishmaniasis due to *L. donovani* and canine leishmaniasis
308 due to *L. infantum*. However, we observed clear differences between the immunized and
309 the control groups which suggest that our findings with the mouse-*L. amazonensis* model
310 might be indicative of the possible effects on other host-*Leishmania* binomia.

311 Despite numerous attempts, developing an effective and safe vaccine against protozoal
312 infections in humans remains a major challenge in vaccine research [44]. Although
313 several vaccines against *L. infantum* in dogs are currently available, the limited protection
314 reported under field conditions (reviewed in [45, 46]) encourages the seek for novel
315 antigen and adjuvant candidates. For instance, a study comparing a vaccine candidate
316 (multicomponent LBSap) with two commercial vaccines against canine leishmaniasis
317 (Leish-Tec® and Leishmune®) reported a comparable reduction of *Leishmania* burden
318 in the spleen and the liver of mice vaccinated and challenged with *L. infantum*, regardless
319 of the vaccine, although only the Leish-Tec® group displayed a monocyte response after
320 infection [47]. Furthermore, another vaccine candidate (recombinant LiUBC1 protein)
321 achieved a total clearance of *L. infantum* in the spleen of immunized hamsters [48].

322 The beneficial effect of BCG against *Leishmania* infection has been long known [13].
323 Epidemiological retrospective studies in humans have suggested a reduced incidence of
324 leishmaniasis in children vaccinated with BGC [49], whereas experimental studies in
325 rodent models have demonstrated a reduction in parasite burden in target organs of

326 animals that had been vaccinated with BCG prior to challenge with *Leishmania* [31, 50,
327 51]. Moreover, Silva et al. (2021) [31] demonstrated that intravenous administration of
328 BCG also reduces lesion size in mice infected with several *Leishmania* species, in
329 association with increased inflammatory infiltrate in infected tissues and with improved
330 parasite killing through increased production of reactive oxygen species by BCG-trained
331 monocytes. In the present study, an increased capacity of the host innate immunity to
332 control *Leishmania* infection due to stimulation with HIMB was observed. This is
333 illustrated by the macrophage response and iNOS production rather than a specific
334 response against the parasite led by lymphocytes [31, 47]. Of note, in the referred works,
335 the vaccine was administered via the parenteral route. Therefore, our study opens the door
336 for an innovative approach by using the oral route and an inactivated mycobacterium.

337 Despite the successful experience of immunotherapy and chemotherapy with BCG in
338 humans [19–21, 52], live mycobacteria cannot be considered a safe adjuvant in the case
339 of leishmaniasis vaccination, since individuals may develop a local abscess at the
340 inoculation site several weeks after subcutaneous administration [51, 53]. Considering
341 the abovementioned data, as well as the present work, we support the use of inactivated
342 mycobacteria, such as HIMB, as novel adjuvant candidates to combine with both
343 commercial and under-research vaccines. Further studies using both *in vitro* and *in vivo*
344 approaches would be of great interest to deepen in the extent of host protection against
345 the parasite, as well as in the molecular mechanisms involved in each animal species. For
346 instance, stimulating human monocyte-derived macrophages with HIMB prior to
347 challenge with *L. donovani* would constitute a step in its potential application for
348 developing a vaccine against human leishmaniasis; whereas testing the protection against
349 *L. infantum* in dogs vaccinated with a licensed vaccine combined with HIMB would allow
350 upgrading the efficacy and safety of available vaccines against canine leishmaniasis.

351 In conclusion, immunization with HIMB via the oral route slows down local parasite
352 dissemination and hepatic granuloma development in mice infected with *L. amazonensis*
353 through stimulation of the innate response involving macrophages. Although partial, the
354 heterologous protection described in the present work matches the concept of “trained
355 immunity”, which had been mostly attributed to live mycobacteria. Thus, HIMB ought to
356 be further explored as an alternative adjuvant in vaccine development.

357 **References**

- 358 1. Delves PJ, Martin SJ, Burton DR, Roitt IM (2017) Roitt’s Essential Immunology,
359 13th Edition. 576
- 360 2. Garly ML, Martins CL, Balé C, et al (2003) BCG scar and positive tuberculin
361 reaction associated with reduced child mortality in West Africa. A non-specific
362 beneficial effect of BCG? *Vaccine* 21:2782–2790. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(03)00181-6)
363 [410X\(03\)00181-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(03)00181-6)
- 364 3. Tribouley J, Tribouley-Duret J, Appriou M (1978) [Effect of Bacillus Calmette
365 Guerin (BCG) on the receptivity of nude mice to *Schistosoma mansoni*]. *C R*
366 *Seances Soc Biol Fil* 172:902–904
- 367 4. Netea MG, Quintin J, Van Der Meer JWM (2011) Trained Immunity: A Memory
368 for Innate Host Defense. *Cell Host Microbe* 9:355–361.
369 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHOM.2011.04.006>
- 370 5. Juste RA, Alonso-Hearn M, Garrido JM, et al (2016) Increased lytic efficiency of
371 bovine macrophages trained with killed mycobacteria. *PLoS One* 11:.
372 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165607>
- 373 6. Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, et al (2012) Bacille Calmette-Guérin

- 374 induces NOD2-dependent nonspecific protection from reinfection via epigenetic
375 reprogramming of monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109:17537–17542.
376 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1202870109>
- 377 7. Saeed S, Quintin J, Kerstens HHD, et al (2014) Epigenetic programming of
378 monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and trained innate immunity. *Science*
379 (80-) 345:. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251086>
- 380 8. Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, et al (2014) BCG-induced trained
381 immunity in NK cells: Role for non-specific protection to infection. *Clin*
382 *Immunol* 155:213–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2014.10.005>
- 383 9. O’neill LAJ (2014) Glycolytic reprogramming by TLRs in dendritic cells. *Nat*
384 *Immunol* 15:314–315. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2852>
- 385 10. Moorlag SJCFM, Rodriguez-Rosales YA, Gillard J, et al (2020) BCG
386 Vaccination Induces Long-Term Functional Reprogramming of Human
387 Neutrophils. *Cell Rep* 33:. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2020.108387>
- 388 11. Netea MG, Domínguez-Andrés J, Barreiro LB, et al (2020) Defining trained
389 immunity and its role in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 20:375–388.
390 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-020-0285-6>
- 391 12. World Health Organization Leishmaniasis. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/leishmaniasis)
392 [sheets/detail/leishmaniasis](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/leishmaniasis). Accessed 11 Oct 2022
- 393 13. dos Santos JC, Vilela Teodoro Silva M, Ribeiro-Dias F, Joosten LAB (2019)
394 Non-specific effects of BCG in protozoal infections: tegumentary leishmaniasis
395 and malaria. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 25:1479–1483
- 396 14. Burza S, Croft SL, Boelaert M (2018) Leishmaniasis. *Lancet* (London, England)
397 392:951–970. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31204-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31204-2)

- 398 15. Arango Duque G, Descoteaux A (2015) *Leishmania* survival in the macrophage:
399 Where the ends justify the means. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 26:32–40
- 400 16. Thomaz-Soccol V, Ferreira da Costa ES, Karp SG, et al (2018) Recent Advances
401 in Vaccines Against *Leishmania* Based on Patent Applications. *Recent Pat*
402 *Biotechnol* 12:. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1872208311666170510121126>
- 403 17. Moafi M, Sherkat R, Taleban R, Rezvan H (2019) *Leishmania* vaccines entered
404 in clinical trials: A review of literature. *Int J Prev Med* 10:95.
405 https://doi.org/10.4103/IJPVM.IJPVM_116_18
- 406 18. Molano I, García Alonso M, Mirón C, et al (2003) A *Leishmania infantum* multi-
407 component antigenic protein mixed with live BCG confers protection to dogs
408 experimentally infected with *L. infantum*. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* 92:1–13.
409 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427\(02\)00315-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427(02)00315-X)
- 410 19. Khalil EAG, El Hassan AM, Zijlstra EE, et al (2000) Autoclaved *Leishmania*
411 major vaccine for prevention of visceral leishmaniasis: a randomised, double-
412 blind, BCG-controlled trial in Sudan. *Lancet* (London, England) 356:1565–1569.
413 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(00\)03128-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)03128-7)
- 414 20. Misra A, Dube A, Srivastava B, et al (2001) Successful vaccination against
415 *Leishmania donovani* infection in Indian langur using alum-precipitated
416 autoclaved *Leishmania major* with BCG. *Vaccine* 19:3485–3492.
417 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X\(01\)00058-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(01)00058-5)
- 418 21. Cabrera M, Blackwell JM, Castes M, et al (2000) Immunotherapy with live BCG
419 plus heat killed *Leishmania* induces a T helper 1-like response in American
420 cutaneous leishmaniasis patients. *Parasite Immunol* 22:73–79.
421 <https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1365-3024.2000.00278.X>

- 422 22. Lange C, Aaby P, Behr MA, et al (2022) 100 years of *Mycobacterium bovis*
423 bacille Calmette-Guérin. *Lancet Infect Dis* 22:e2–e12.
424 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(21\)00403-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00403-5)
- 425 23. Butkeviciute E, Jones CE, Smith SG (2018) Heterologous effects of infant BCG
426 vaccination: Potential mechanisms of immunity. *Future Microbiol* 13:1193–1208.
427 <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2018-0026>
- 428 24. Arts RJW, Moorlag SJCFM, Novakovic B, et al (2018) BCG Vaccination
429 Protects against Experimental Viral Infection in Humans through the Induction of
430 Cytokines Associated with Trained Immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* 23:89-100.e5.
431 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.12.010>
- 432 25. Mukherjee S, Subramaniam R, Chen H, et al (2017) Boosting efferocytosis in
433 alveolar space using BCG vaccine to protect host against influenza pneumonia.
434 *PLoS One* 12:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0180143>
- 435 26. de la Fuente J, Armas O, Sánchez-Rodríguez L, et al (2021) Citizen science
436 initiative points at childhood BCG vaccination as a risk factor for COVID-19.
437 *Transbound Emerg Dis* 68:3114–3119. <https://doi.org/10.1111/TBED.14097>
- 438 27. Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, et al (2014) Long-lasting effects of BCG
439 vaccination on both heterologous Th1/Th17 responses and innate trained
440 immunity. *J Innate Immun* 6:152. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000355628>
- 441 28. Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, et al (2012) Bacille Calmette-Guérin
442 induces NOD2-dependent nonspecific protection from reinfection via epigenetic
443 reprogramming of monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109:17537–17542.
444 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1202870109>
- 445 29. Parra M, Liu X, Derrick SC, et al (2013) Molecular Analysis of Non-Specific

- 446 Protection against Murine Malaria Induced by BCG Vaccination. PLoS One 8:.
447 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066115>
- 448 30. Walk J, de Bree LCJ, Graumans W, et al (2019) Outcomes of controlled human
449 malaria infection after BCG vaccination. Nat Commun 10:1–8.
450 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08659-3>
- 451 31. Silva MVT, dos Santos JC, Figueiredo AMB de, et al (2021) The role of IL-32 in
452 Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG)-induced trained immunity in infections caused
453 by different Leishmania spp. Microb Pathog 158:.
454 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2021.105088>
- 455 32. Garrido JM, Sevilla IA, Beltrán-Beck B, et al (2011) Protection against
456 tuberculosis in eurasian wild boar vaccinated with heat-inactivated
457 mycobacterium bovis. PLoS One 6:.
458 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024905>
- 459 33. Beltrán-Beck B, Romero B, Boadella M, et al (2014) Tonsils of the Soft Palate
460 Do Not Mediate the Response of Pigs to Oral Vaccination with Heat-Inactivated
461 Mycobacterium bovis. Clin Vaccine Immunol 21:1128.
462 <https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00221-14>
- 463 34. Beltrán-Beck B, De La Fuente J, Garrido JM, et al (2014) Oral vaccination with
464 heat inactivated Mycobacterium bovis activates the complement system to
465 protect against tuberculosis. PLoS One 9:.
466 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098048>
- 467 35. Jones GJ, Steinbach S, Sevilla IA, et al (2016) Oral vaccination of cattle with
468 heat inactivated Mycobacterium bovis does not compromise bovine TB
469 diagnostic tests. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 182:85–88.

- 470 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2016.10.010>
- 471 36. López V, González-Barrio D, Lima-Barbero JF, et al (2016) Oral administration
472 of heat-inactivated *Mycobacterium bovis* reduces the response of farmed red deer
473 to avian and bovine tuberculin. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* 172:21–25.
474 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2016.03.003>
- 475 37. Risalde MA, López V, Contreras M, et al (2018) Control of mycobacteriosis in
476 zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) mucosally vaccinated with heat-inactivated
477 *Mycobacterium bovis*. *Vaccine* 36:4447–4453.
478 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.06.042>
- 479 38. Vaz-Rodrigues R, Ferreras-Colino E, Ugarte-Ruiz M, et al (2022) Nonspecific
480 protection of heat-inactivated *Mycobacterium bovis* against *Salmonella*
481 *Choleraesuis* infection in pigs. *Vet Res*
- 482 39. Kasaija PD, Contreras M, Kabi F, et al (2022) Oral vaccine formulation
483 combining tick *Subolesin* with heat inactivated mycobacteria provides control of
484 cross-species cattle tick infestations. *Vaccine* 40:4564–4573.
485 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.06.036>
- 486 40. García N, Moreno I, Alvarez J, et al (2014) Evidence of *Leishmania infantum*
487 infection in rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) in a natural area in Madrid, Spain.
488 *Biomed Res Int* 2014:.. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/318254>
- 489 41. Buffet PA, Sulahian A, Garin YJF, et al (1995) Culture microtitration: a sensitive
490 method for quantifying *Leishmania infantum* in tissues of infected mice.
491 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 39:2167–2168.
492 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.39.9.2167>
- 493 42. Salguero FJ, Garcia-Jimenez WL, Lima I, Seifert K (2018) Histopathological and

- 494 immunohistochemical characterisation of hepatic granulomas in *Leishmania*
495 *donovani*-infected BALB/c mice: A time-course study. *Parasites and Vectors*
496 11:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2624-z>
- 497 43. Rossi M, Fasel N (2018) How to master the host immune system? *Leishmania*
498 parasites have the solutions! *Int Immunol* 30:103–111.
499 <https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxx075>
- 500 44. Palatnik-de-Sousa CB, Nico D (2020) The Delay in the Licensing of Protozoal
501 Vaccines: A Comparative History. *Front Immunol* 11:.
502 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FIMMU.2020.00204>
- 503 45. Gradoni L (2015) Canine *Leishmania* vaccines: still a long way to go. *Vet*
504 *Parasitol* 208:94–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VETPAR.2015.01.003>
- 505 46. Velez R, Gállego M (2020) Commercially approved vaccines for canine
506 leishmaniosis: a review of available data on their safety and efficacy. *Trop Med*
507 *Int Health* 25:540–557. <https://doi.org/10.1111/TMI.13382>
- 508 47. De Mendonça LZ, Resende LA, Lanna MF, et al (2016) Multicomponent LBSap
509 vaccine displays immunological and parasitological profiles similar to those of
510 Leish-Tec® and Leishmune® vaccines against visceral leishmaniasis. *Parasites*
511 *and Vectors* 9:.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1752-6>
- 512 48. Larraga J, Alcolea PJ, Alonso AM, et al (2022) *Leishmania infantum* UBC1 in
513 Metacyclic Promastigotes from *Phlebotomus perniciosus*, a Vaccine Candidate
514 for Zoonotic Visceral Leishmaniasis. *Vaccines* 10:.
515 <https://doi.org/10.3390/VACCINES10020231>
- 516 49. Lima ID, Lima ALM, Mendes-Aguiar C de O, et al (2018) Changing
517 demographics of visceral leishmaniasis in northeast Brazil: Lessons for the

- 518 future. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 12:e0006164.
519 <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0006164>
- 520 50. Smrkovski LL, Larson CL (1977) Effect of treatment with BCG on the course of
521 visceral Leishmaniasis in BALB/c mice. *Infect Immun* 16:249–257.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.16.1.249-257.1977>
- 523 51. Poot J, Janssen LHM, van Kasteren-Westerneng TJ, et al (2009) Vaccination of
524 dogs with six different candidate leishmaniasis vaccines composed of a
525 chimerical recombinant protein containing ribosomal and histone protein
526 epitopes in combination with different adjuvants. *Vaccine* 27:4439–4446.
527 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.05.043>
- 528 52. Convit J, Ulrich M, Polegre MA, et al (2004) Therapy of Venezuelan patients
529 with severe mucocutaneous or early lesions of diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis
530 with a vaccine containing pasteurized *Leishmania* promastigotes and bacillus
531 Calmette-Guerin: preliminary report. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 99:57–62.
532 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762004000100010>
- 533 53. Mohebbali M, Khamesipour A, Mobedi I, et al (2004) Double-blind randomized
534 efficacy field trial of alum precipitated autoclaved *Leishmania major* vaccine
535 mixed with BCG against canine visceral leishmaniasis in Meshkin-Shahr district,
536 I.R. Iran. *Vaccine* 22:4097–4100.
537 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VACCINE.2004.03.058>

538

539 **Figure captions**

540 **Figure 1.** Experimental design. BALB/c mice were randomly assigned to two groups of
541 9 animals each. The immunized group received two doses (50 µl each) of HIMB via oral
542 route at an interval of 4 weeks, and the control group received phosphate-buffered saline
543 (PBS) instead. All animals were inoculated 10.000 *L. amazonensis* promastigotes at the
544 right footpad one month after the second immunization dose. Ten weeks after inoculation,
545 when the right footpad diameter doubled the left one, mice were anesthetized, euthanized,
546 and necropsied.

547 **Figure 2.** Parasite burden. Mean \pm SE of *Leishmania* burden detected by
548 immunohistochemistry in the popliteal lymph node (A). Representative fields of the
549 immunized (B) and control (C) group at end of the experiment. Statistically significant
550 differences between groups: (* $P\leq 0.05$, ** $P\leq 0.01$, *** $P\leq 0.00$; Mann-Whitney U test for
551 non-parametric distributions).

552 **Figure 3.** Histopathological findings associated to *Leishmania* infection in the liver.
553 Mononuclear cell infiltrates in the hepatic parenchyma (blue arrowheads and blue arrow)
554 and granulomas (black arrowhead and black arrow) in the hepatic parenchyma of
555 immunized (A and C) and control (B and D) mice at the end of the experiment. The
556 immunized group presented more mononuclear cell infiltrate (blue arrowhead) and more
557 immature granulomas (black arrowhead), whereas the control group presented lesser
558 mononuclear cell infiltrate (blue arrow) and more mature granulomas (black arrow).
559 Hematoxylin-eosin stain.

560 **Figure 4.** Histopathological lesions associated to *Leishmania* infection. Mean \pm SE of
561 parenchymal (A) and periportal (B) infiltrate score in the liver of the immunized and the
562 control groups at end of the experiment. Mean \pm SD of percentage of immature and
563 mature (C), small and big (D) granulomas in the liver of the immunized and the control

564 groups at end of the experiment. Statistically significant differences between both groups:
565 (* $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.00$; Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric distributions).

566 **Figure 5.** Mean \pm SE of Gr1+ neutrophils (A) lysozyme+ macrophages (B), CD3+
567 lymphocytes T (C), CD79+ lymphocytes B (D) number in granulomas in the liver of the
568 immunized and the control groups at end of the experiment. Statistically significant
569 differences between both groups: (* $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.00$; Mann-Whitney U test
570 for non-parametric distributions).

571 **Figure 6.** Mean \pm SE of iNOS+ macrophages number in granulomas in the liver of both
572 groups (A) at end of the experiment. The number of iNOS+ macrophages in granulomas
573 was higher in the immunized group (B) compared with the control group (C).

574

576 **Table 1.** Details of the immunohistochemical primary antibodies.

Specificity	Antigen or cell detected	Species of origin	mAb/pAb	Dilution	Source
Leishmania spp.	Leishmania spp. amastigotes	Rabbit	pAb	1/50	IS Carlos III
Gr1 ^a	Neutrophiles	Rat	mAb (clone RB6-8C5)	1:100	Biolegend®
Lysozyme ^a	Macrophages	Rat	pAb	1:200	Dako/Agilent
CD3 ^b	T lymphocytes	Rabbit	pAb	1:100	Biolegend®
CD79 ^{c*}	B Lymphocytes	Mouse	pAb	1:25	Dako/Agilent
iNOS ^c	iNOS	Rabbit	pAb	1:100	BD Biosciencies
IFN- γ ^{d*}	IFN- γ	Mouse	mAb (clone CC302)	1:10	Bio-Rad AbD Serotec
TNF- α ^e	TNF- α	Rabbit	pAb	1:25	Bio-Rad AbD Serotec
IL-1 α ^f	IL-1	Rabbit	pAb	1:100	Endogen
IL-6 ^{e*}	IL-6	Mouse	mAb (clone 4B6)	1:50	Bio-Rad AbD Serotec

577 mAb: monoclonal antibody; pAb: polyclonal antibody.

578 ^aIncubation with 0.2% proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, Misuri, USA) in Tris buffer at 37°C
579 for 8 min.580 ^bIncubation with 0.1 M tri-sodium citrate dihydrate (TC; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt,
581 Alemania) pH 6, microwave at sub-boiling temperature for 6 min.582 ^cIncubation with 0.1 M TC pH 6, autoclave at 121°C for 6 min.583 ^dIncubation with 0.1 M TC pH 3.2; microwave at sub-boiling temperature for 6 min.584 ^eIncubation with 0.1 M TC pH 3.2 at 37°C for 30 min.

585