

CONVERGENT EVOLUTION OF *ELANUS* KITES AND THE OWLS

Author(s): Juan J. Negro, Cino Pertoldi, Ettore Randi, Juan J. Ferrero, José M. López-Caballero, Domingo Rivera, and Erkki Korpimäki

Source: Journal of Raptor Research, 40(3):222-225. 2006.

Published By: The Raptor Research Foundation

DOI: 10.3356/0892-1016(2006)40[222:CEOEKA]2.0.CO;2

URL:

<http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.3356/0892-1016%282006%2940%5B222%3ACEOEKA%5D2.0.CO%3B2>

BioOne (www.bioone.org) is an electronic aggregator of bioscience research content, and the online home to over 160 journals and books published by not-for-profit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

J. Raptor Res. 40(3):222–225

© 2006 The Raptor Research Foundation, Inc.

CONVERGENT EVOLUTION OF *ELANUS* KITES AND THE OWLS

JUAN J. NEGRO¹ AND CINO PERTOLDI

Estación Biológica de Doñana, Apdo. 1056, 41080 Sevilla, Spain

ETTORE RANDI

Istituto Nazionale per la Fauna Selvatica, 40064 Ozzano Emilia (BO), Italy

JUAN J. FERRERO, JOSÉ M. LÓPEZ-CABALLERO, AND DOMINGO RIVERA

Junta de Extremadura, Consejería de Agricultura y Medio Ambiente, 06800 Mérida, Spain

ERKKI KORPIMÄKI

Section of Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Turku, FIN-20014 Turku, Finland

KEY WORDS: *adaptations; kite; morphological convergence; nocturnal activity; owl; raptor phylogeny.*

Within the diurnal raptors (hawks and allies, Order Falconiformes), familial relationships are among the most problematic of all avian orders (Feduccia 1999) and there are no less than six recent conflicting classifications based on morphology, molecular evidence, or both (Sibley and Ahlquist 1990, Griffiths 1994, Holdaway 1994, Mindell et al. 1997, Wink et al. 1998, Mayr et al. 2003). The owls (Order Strigiformes), on the other hand, are currently classified separately (e.g., del Hoyo et al. 1999) from the diurnal raptors, but their possible relationships have been debated since the 19th century (Garrod 1874, Cracraft 1981, Mayr et al. 2003). Here we will show that the *Elanus* kites present unique ecological and phenotypic traits, most overlooked so far, suggesting evolutionary convergence with the owls. Recent phylogenetic studies have determined, nonetheless, that the *Elanus* kites belong with the Accipitridae as an ancestral and basal group which may be recognized as a subfamily.

Elanus is composed of four species having comparable plumage patterns and sizes (about 300 g adult weight). Three of the species, the Black-shouldered Kite *E. caeruleus* from southern Asia and Africa, White-tailed Kite *E. leucurus* from the Americas and Australian Black-shouldered Kite *E. axillaris* from Australasia, are so similar in plumage characteristics and behavior that, until recently, they have been considered a cosmopolitan super-species with geographically-replacing forms (Parkes 1958, Husain 1959, Mendelsohn and Jaksic 1989). Slight differences in size, proportions, plumage and behavior led Clark and Banks (1992)

to propose separate species recognition for the White-tailed Kite. The fourth species, the Letter-winged Kite *E. scriptus*, is endemic to Australia, breeds colonially, and hunts mainly at night (Ferguson-Lees and Christie 2001).

The *Elanus* kites inhabit savannah-like habitats in temperate and arid zones (Brown and Amadon 1968) and often prey on small rodents out-breaking at annual (Dunk 1995) or irregular intervals (Mendelsohn 1982, Mendelsohn and Jaksic 1989, Ferguson-Lees and Christie 2001, Jaksic and Lima 2003). They are able to disperse over long distances (up to many hundreds of kilometers) between their birth sites and first breeding sites, and even between successive breeding sites (Mendelsohn 1983, Scott 1994, Ferguson-Lees and Christie 2001). A few studies have indicated the osteological (Holdaway 1994), genetic (Wink et al. 1998), and karyotypic (Bed'Hom et al. 2003) distinctiveness of *Elanus*, but without challenging their inclusion within the Accipitridae.

In the southern hemisphere, *Elanus* appears to fill the niche of the nomadic owls of northern latitudes, which prey on multi-annually cyclic populations of small mammals (Korpimäki 1992) and are able to disperse over long distances to find local density peaks of small rodents (Korpimäki et al. 1987, Korpimäki 1993). As with some owls (e.g., Barn Owls *Tyto alba*; Taylor 1994), it is one of the few diurnal raptors able to raise more than one brood in a year, and can reproduce practically at any time (Mendelsohn 1984). In temperate regions, such as California or the Argentine Pampas, the White-tailed Kite has a long breeding season (mid-winter to late summer, see Dunk 1995). In Spain, the Black-shouldered Kite has the longest breeding period for any raptor; even though there is a peak of clutches in early spring, breeding attempts have been recorded at all seasons (authors unpubl. data).

¹ Email address: negro@ebd.csic.es



Figure 1. Primary feathers of *Elanus caeruleus* showing owl-like velvety barbules in the inner vane.

Recent studies based on Cytochrome-b sequences (Roulin and Wink 2004) and Cyt-b plus RAG nuclear genes (Lerner and Mindell 2005) have provided genetic evidence that *Elanus* evolved from a group of raptors basal to the largest falconiform family (i.e., Accipitridae) and only distantly related to the Falconidae. The lack of a fossil record clearly attributable to *Elanus* may explain why the importance of this ancient group for inferring evolutionary relationships in raptors has been overlooked.

Phenotypical Affinities between *Elanus* and the Owls. *Elanus* may resemble true kites (i.e., Milvinae) in general appearance and behavior, showing for instance, and unlike any owl, carotenoid-pigmented tarsi and ceres, and nest-building abilities (Brown and Amadon 1968). However, while handling wild kites (>50 adult and 200 nestling *E. caeruleus*), examining museum specimens ($N = 20$ *E. caeruleus* and *E. leucurus*), and consulting the literature, we noticed that *Elanus* have species-specific traits, the majority unreported, that are absent in other members of the order Falconiformes but present in owls. First, the upper surface of the primary and secondary feathers has a velvety comb structure (Fig. 1), an adaptation for silent flight also exhibited by nightjars (Caprimulgiforms). Second, unlike all other Falconiformes except the fish-eating Osprey *Pandion haliaetus*, the *Elanus* kites are zygodactylous, with a reversible outer toe. Third, they have long vibrissae around the beak and disproportionately large, frontally-placed eyes (Ferguson-Lees and Christie 2001). Fourth, the pellets regurgitated by *Elanus* are owl-like in shape and compactness (Brown and Amadon 1968), and contain some undigested bones indicating low stomach acidity (Duncan

2003). Owls' stomach pH ranges from 2–4, whereas hawks' pH ranges from 1–2. The acidity of one *E. caeruleus* stomach that we measured was pH 3.4. Fifth, *Elanus* often ingest their small-mammal prey whole, a task facilitated by a large gape (larger prey are, however, pulled apart; J. Dunk pers. comm.). Sixth, *E. scriptus*, the most nocturnal species in the genus, has asymmetrical placement of the ear openings (Burton 1989), like owls of the genera *Aegolius* and *Tyto* (Norberg 1978, Taylor 1994), that may help them to locate prey by sound. In this respect, it is worth mentioning that other Accipitridae, the harriers of the genus *Circus*, which also tend to prey on rodents and are often crepuscular, seem to have converged with the owls in having facial disks and a very slight asymmetry in the ears (J. Lazell pers. comm.).

Phylogenetic analyses (Roulin and Wink 2004, Lerner and Mindell 2005) suggest that *Elanus* and the other diurnal raptors share a common ancestor, and that the ecological and morpho-physiological similarities to the owls likely resulted from evolutionary convergence. Although most *Elanus* kites are diurnal and crepuscular hunters (Mendelsohn and Jaksic 1989), at least Letter-winged Kites are nocturnal hunters (Brown and Amadon 1968, Burton 1989, Pettigrew 1991). Nonetheless, the evolution of nocturnal activity may not face significant physiological barriers (Mrosovsky 2003), and it has recently been suggested that the dark-activity phenotype characteristic of the strigiform and caprimulgiform orders is also an example of convergent evolution (Fidler 2004). All *Elanus* kites are specialized to feed on small rodents, the populations of which, particularly in arid zones, may show irregular den-

sity outbreaks, which differ from the multi-annually cyclic small mammals of the northern hemisphere (Lima et al. 2002, Korpimäki et al. 2004). The ability to disperse over long distances and to produce many broods annually likely helps *Elanus* kites to take full benefit from local rodent outbreaks. These traits, unusual for the diurnal raptors in the family Accipitridae, may have driven the independent evolution of the characteristics that give *Elanus* the appearance of a hybrid between a hawk and an owl.

CONVERGENCIA EVOLUTIVA DE LOS ELANIOS (*ELANUS*) Y LOS BÚHOS

RESUMEN.—Hay cuatro especies de elanios (género *Elanus*) en el mundo. La mayor parte de las poblaciones se encuentran en el hemisferio sur, o en latitudes bajas del hemisferio norte. Las poblaciones que se encuentran en zonas intertropicales se comportan ecológicamente como los búhos nómadas de zonas boreales: pueden desplazarse a grandes distancias y se reproducen cuando hay explosiones demográficas de las presas que les sirven de alimento. Además, son crepusculares o nocturnos. Morfológicamente, los elanios también presentan similitudes con los búhos: plumas de vuelo con bárbulas aterciopeladas, zigodactilia, ojos grandes y frontales, largas cerdas peribucales y tarsos gruesos y cortos. Estas y otras características descritas en el texto pueden ser el resultado de convergencia evolutiva o de ascendencia común. Estudios recientes basados en distintos marcadores moleculares indican que los elanios son un grupo basal de la familia Accipitridae, que hasta ahora ha estado mal clasificados entre los milanos, y que sus similitudes ecomorfológicas con los búhos serían, por tanto, el resultado de convergencia evolutiva por ocupar nichos ecológicos similares.

[Traducción de los autores editada]

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Dirección General de Medio Ambiente de la Consejería de Agricultura y Medio Ambiente (Junta de Extremadura) through an Interreg Project. Drs. J. Dunk, J. Lazell and an anonymous reviewer provided helpful comments and references that improved the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- BED'HOM, B., P. COULLIN, Z. GUILLIER-GENCİK, S. MOULIN, A. BERNHEIM, AND V. BOLOBOUEV. 2003. Characterization of the atypical karyotype of the Black-winged Kite *Elanus caeruleus* (Falconiformes: Accipitridae) by means of classical and molecular cytogenetic techniques. *Chromosome Res.* 11:335–343.
- BROWN, L. AND D. AMADON. 1968. Eagles, hawks and falcons of the world. Country Life Books, London, U.K.
- BURTON, P. 1989. Birds of prey. Gallery Books, New York, NY U.S.A.
- CLARK, W.S. AND R.C. BANKS. 1992. The taxonomic status of the White-tailed Kite. *Wilson Bull.* 104:571–579.
- CRACRAFT, J. 1981. Toward a phylogenetic classification of the recent birds of the world (Class Aves). *Auk* 98: 681–714.
- DEL HOYO, J., A. ELLIOTT, AND J. SARGATAL. 1994. Handbook of the birds of the world. Vol. II: New world vultures to guineafowls. Lynx Editions, Barcelona, Spain.
- , ———, AND ———. 1999. Handbook of the birds of the world. Vol. V: Barn Owls to Hummingbirds. Lynx Editions, Barcelona, Spain.
- DUNCAN, J. 2003. Owls of the world. Key Porter Books, Toronto, Canada.
- DUNK, J.R. 1995. White-tailed Kite (*Elanus leucurus*). In A. Poole and F. Gill [EDS.], The birds of North America, No. 178. The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, PA and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington DC U.S.A.
- FEDUCCIA, A. 1999. The origin and evolution of birds. 2nd Ed. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT U.S.A.
- FERGUSON-LEES, J. AND D.A. CHRISTIE. 2001. Raptors of the world. Christopher Helm, London, U.K.
- FIDLER, A.E. 2004. Convergent evolution of strigiform and caprimulgiform dark-activity is supported by phylogenetic analysis using the arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase (Aanat) gene. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 33:908–921.
- GARROD, A.H. 1874. On certain muscles of the thigh of birds and on their value in classification. Part II. *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 1874:111–123.
- GRIFFITHS, C.S. 1994. Monophyly of the Falconiformes based on syringeal morphology. *Auk* 111:787–805.
- HOLDAWAY, R.N. 1994. An exploratory phylogenetic analysis of the genera of the Accipitridae, with notes on the biogeography of the family. Pages 601–637 in B.-U. Meyburg and R.D. Chancellor [EDS.], Raptor Conservation Today. World Working Group on Birds of Prey, The Pica Press, Berlin, Germany.
- HUSAIN, K.Z. 1959. Notes on the taxonomy and zoogeography of the genus *Elanus*. *Condor* 61:153–154.
- JAKSIC, F.M. AND M. LIMA. 2003. Myths and facts of ratadas: bamboo blooms, rainfall peaks and rodent outbreaks in South America. *Austral Ecol.* 28:237–251.
- KORPIMÄKI, E. 1992. Population dynamics of Fennoscandian owls in relation to wintering conditions and between-year fluctuations of food. Pages 1–10 in C.A. Galbraith, I.R. Taylor, and S. Percival [EDS.], The ecology and conservation of European owls. UK Nature Conservation, No. 5. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, U.K.
- . 1993. Does nest-hole quality, poor breeding success or food depletion drive the breeding dispersal of Tengmalm's Owls? *J. Anim. Ecol.* 62:606–613.
- , P.R. BROWN, J. JACOB, AND R.P. PECH. 2004. The puzzles of population cycles and outbreaks of small mammals solved? *BioScience* 54:1071–1079.
- , M. LAGERSTRÖM, AND P. SAUROLA. 1987. Field evidence for nomadism in Tengmalm's Owl *Aegolius funereus*. *Ornis. Scand.* 18:1–4.

- LERNER, H.L. AND D.P. MINDELL. 2005. Phylogeny of eagles, Old World vultures and other Accipitridae based on nuclear and mitochondrial DNA. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 37:327–346.
- LIMA, M., N.C. STENSETH, AND F.M. JAKSIC. 2002. Population dynamics of a South American rodent: seasonal structure interacting with climate, density dependence and predator effects. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 269:2579–2586.
- MAYR, G., A. MANEGOLD, AND U.S. JOHANSSON. 2003. Monophyletic groups within “higher land birds” – comparison of morphological and molecular data. *J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res.* 41:233–242.
- MENDELSON, J.M. 1982. The feeding ecology of the Black-shouldered Kite *Elanus caeruleus* (Aves: Accipitridae). *Durban Mus. Novit.* 13:75–116.
- . 1983. Social behaviour and dispersion of the Black-shouldered Kite. *Ostrich* 54:1–18.
- . 1984. The timing of breeding in Black-shouldered Kites in southern Africa. Pages 799–808 in J. Ledger [Ed.], Proceedings of the fifth Pan-African Ornithological Congress. Southern African Ornithological Society, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- AND F.M. JAKSIC. 1989. Hunting behaviour of Black-shouldered Kites in the Americas, Europe, Africa, and Australia. *Ostrich* 60:1–12.
- MINDELL, D.P., M.D. SORENSON, C.J. HUDDLESTON, H.C. MIRANDA, A. KNIGHT, S.J. SAWCHUK, AND T. YURI. 1997. Phylogenetic relationships among and within select avian orders based on mitochondrial DNA. Pages 213–247 in D.P. Mindell [Ed.], Avian Molecular Evolution and Systematics. Academic Press, Ann Arbor, MI U.S.A.
- MROSOVSKY, N. 2003. Beyond the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Chronobiol. Int.* 20:1–8.
- NORBERG, R.Å. 1978. Skull asymmetry, ear structure and function, and auditory localization in Tengmalm’s owl, *Aegolius funereus* (Linne). *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 282:325–410.
- PARKES, K. 1958. Specific relationships in the genus *Elanus*. *Condor* 60:139–140.
- PETTIGREW, J.D. 1991. Nocturnal adaptation in the visual system of the Letter-winged Kite, *Elanus scriptus*. *Proc. Aust. Neurosci. Soc.* 2:25.
- ROULIN, A. AND M. WINK. 2004. Predator-prey polymorphism: relationships and the evolution of colour: a comparative analysis in diurnal raptors. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 81:565–578.
- SCOTT, T.A. 1994. Irruptive dispersal of Black-shouldered Kites to a coastal island. *Condor* 96:197–200.
- SIBLEY, C.G. AND J. AHLQUIST. 1990. Phylogeny and classification of birds of the world: a study in molecular evolution. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT U.S.A. and London, U.K.
- TAYLOR, I.R. 1994. Barn Owls. Predator-prey relationships and conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- WINK, M., I. SEIBOLD, F. LOTFIKHAH, AND W. BEDNAREK. 1998. Molecular systematics of Holarctic raptors (Order Falconiformes). Pages 29–48 in R.D. Chancellor, B.-U. Meyburg, and J.J. Ferrero [Eds.], Holarctic birds of prey. ADENEX-World Working Group on Birds of Prey, Mérida, Spain.

Received 16 November 2005; accepted 4 June 2006
Associate Editor: Clint Boal