

**Supplementary Material.** Evaluation of algaecide effectiveness of five different oxidants applied on harmful phytoplankton

**Evaluation of algaecide effectiveness of five different oxidants applied on harmful phytoplankton**

Javier Moreno-Andrés<sup>1§\*</sup>, Leonardo Romero-Martínez<sup>1§</sup>, Sergio Seoane<sup>2,3</sup>, Asunción Acevedo-Merino<sup>1</sup>, Ignacio Moreno-Garrido<sup>4</sup> and Enrique Nebot<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Technologies, Faculty of Marine and Environmental Sciences. INMAR - Marine Research Institute, CEIMAR - International Campus of Excellence of the Sea. University of Cadiz. Spain

<sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Leioa, 48940, Spain.

<sup>3</sup> Research Centre for Experimental Marine Biology and Biotechnology (Plentzia Marine Station, PiE- UPV/EHU), Plentzia, 48620, Spain.

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Marine Sciences of Andalusia (CSIC), Campus Río San Pedro, s/n 11510, Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain.

**Supplementary Material**

**Table S.1.** Main characteristics for each chemical investigated in this study. \*(V vs. SHE; pH 7)

Chemical Reagent	Redox potential, E <sup>0*</sup>	Life-time	Possible major action mechanism	Target Concentration	Main References
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	1.8	Hours to days	<i>Extracellular:</i> Passive diffusion through cell membrane porins. Lipid peroxidation. <i>Intracellular:</i> Inhibition of peroxidase activity, metabolic activity, and photosynthesis. Internal Fenton process	0 – 10 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> (0-0.29 mM)	[1–4]
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (PAA)	1.4	Minutes to hours	<i>Extracellular:</i> PAA easily adsorb onto cell membrane (alkyl moiety which provides hydrophobic characteristics). Passive diffusion and oxidize sulfhydryl (–SH) and sulfur bonds (S–S). Damage on outer membrane lipoproteins. Lipid peroxidation. <i>Intracellular:</i> Inhibition of catalases, denaturalization of DNA. Internal Fenton process.	0 – 2 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> (0-0.026 mM)	[1,5–8]
S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (PDS)	2.1	Days to months	<i>Extracellular:</i> Cell membrane	0 – 192.13 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> (0-1 mM)	[1,9]
HSO <sub>5</sub> <sup>-</sup> (PMS)	1.82	Hours	<i>Extracellular:</i> Changes in the proteins in cell membranes. Fast reaction with dissolved anions	0 – 2 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> (0-0.018 mM)	[10]
NaClO	1.4	Hours to days	<i>Extracellular:</i> Disrupt cell membrane integrity <i>Intracellular:</i> chlorophyll autofluorescence, intracellular esterase activity, primary productivity	0 – 4 mg·L <sup>-1</sup> (0-0.05 mM)	[1,11,12]

**Supplementary Material.** Evaluation of algaeicide effectiveness of five different oxidants applied on harmful phytoplankton

**Table S.2.** Growth rates calculated for both *P. parvum* and *H. akashiwo* upon exposure to different concentration of reagents tested ( $H_2O_2$ , PAA, PMS, PDS, NaClO). The growth rate has been calculated during the exponential growth of each microalgae, which, in general, has been up to day 14: *P. parvum* and day 9: *H. akashiwo*.

Reagent	Species	Growth rate $\mu$ (d <sup>-1</sup> ) $\pm$ S.D.				
		Control	2.5 mg/L	5 mg/L	7.5 mg/L	10 mg/L
<b>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></b>	<i>P. parvum</i>	0.25 $\pm$ 0.02	0.28 $\pm$ 0.01	0.20 $\pm$ 0.03	0.15 $\pm$ 0.02	-0.06 $\pm$ 0.04
	<i>H. akashiwo</i>	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.12 $\pm$ 0.02	-0.15 $\pm$ 0.01
<b>PAA</b>		<b>Control</b>	<b>0.1 mg/L</b>	<b>0.5 mg/L</b>	<b>1 mg/L</b>	<b>2 mg/L</b>
	<i>P. parvum</i>	0.27 $\pm$ 0.01	0.26 $\pm$ 0.01	-0.10 $\pm$ 0.01	-0.07 $\pm$ 0.01	--
	<i>H. akashiwo</i>	0.16 $\pm$ 0.01	0.13 $\pm$ 0.02	0.08 $\pm$ 0.03	0.06 $\pm$ 0.05	0.06 $\pm$ 0.01
<b>PMS</b>		<b>Control</b>	<b>0.1 mg/L</b>	<b>0.5 mg/L</b>	<b>1 mg/L</b>	<b>2 mg/L</b>
	<i>P. parvum</i>	0.26 $\pm$ 0.01	0.25 $\pm$ 0.02	0.20 $\pm$ 0.03	-0.12 $\pm$ 0.05	-0.08 $\pm$ 0.10
	<i>H. akashiwo</i>	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.17 $\pm$ 0.01	0.22 $\pm$ 0.03	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.12 $\pm$ 0.02
<b>PDS</b>		<b>Control</b>	<b>19.21 mg/L</b>	<b>48 mg/L</b>	<b>144 mg/L</b>	<b>192 mg/L</b>
	<i>P. parvum</i>	0.25 $\pm$ 0.01	0.27 $\pm$ 0.01	0.25 $\pm$ 0.01	0.25 $\pm$ 0.01	0.25 $\pm$ 0.01
	<i>H. akashiwo</i>	0.15 $\pm$ 0.01	0.12 $\pm$ 0.02	0.14 $\pm$ 0.01	0.13 $\pm$ 0.02	0.12 $\pm$ 0.01
<b>NaClO</b>		<b>Control</b>	<b>0.5 mg/L</b>	<b>1 mg/L</b>	<b>2 mg/L</b>	<b>4 mg/L</b>
	<i>P. parvum</i>	0.28 $\pm$ 0.01	0.26 $\pm$ 0.01	0.25 $\pm$ 0.01	0.01 $\pm$ 0.02	--
	<i>H. akashiwo</i>	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.17 $\pm$ 0.01	0.16 $\pm$ 0.02	0.13 $\pm$ 0.01

**Supplementary Material.** Evaluation of algaecide effectiveness of five different oxidants applied on harmful phytoplankton

**Table S.3a.** Fitted parameters obtained from modelling the concentration-response curves represented on Figures 1-5. Each model parameter has been obtained from Eq. 3 and for each reagent (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, PAA, PMS, NaClO) at specific incubation days (from day 2 to day 14) and for both target microalgae: *Prymnesium parvum* (Table S3a) and *Heterosigma akashiwo* (Table S3b).

Species	Reagent	Integration time (d)	f ± SE (p-value)	x <sub>0</sub> ± SE (p-value) (ppm)	b ± SE (p-value)	R <sup>2</sup>
<i>P. parvum</i>	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	4	0.00 ± 0.47 (1.000)	12.82 ± 13.29 (0.367)	0.99 ± 2.67 (0.722)	0.737
		7	0.00 ± 0.26 (1.000)	7.09 ± 1.27 (0.001)	1.72 ± 0.66 (0.035)	0.768
		9	0.00 ± 0.12 (1.000)	6.71 ± 0.83 (0.000)	2.46 ± 1.18 (0.075)	0.830
		11	0.00 ± 0.04 (1.000)	7.12 ± 0.43 (0.000)	4.01 ± 1.28 (0.016)	0.921
		14	0.00 ± 0.04 (1.000)	6.45 ± 0.36 (0.000)	3.52 ± 0.80 (0.003)	0.958
<i>P. parvum</i>	PAA	4	1.56 ± 2.88 (0.604)	0.34 ± 0.08 (0.004)	1.93 ± 0.40 (0.002)	0.948
		7	0.31 ± 1.16 (0.795)	0.32 ± 0.27 (0.281)	4.20 ± 7.69 (0.602)	0.967
		9	0.02 ± 0.72 (0.981)	0.32 ± 0.63 (0.625)	5.40 ± 23.75 (0.827)	0.985
		11	0.46 ± 0.50 (0.386)	0.33 ± 1.67 (0.848)	6.90 ± 82.40 (0.936)	0.991
		14	0.50 ± 0.31 (0.156)	0.34 ± 6.94 (0.963)	8.75 ± 443.96 (0.985)	0.996
<i>P. parvum</i>	PMS	4	3.22 ± 1.72 (0.103)	0.51 ± 0.05 (0.000)	2.11 ± 0.29 (0.000)	0.975
		7	0.97 ± 1.27 (0.469)	0.40 ± 0.05 (0.000)	2.16 ± 0.38 (0.001)	0.977
		9	0.01 ± 0.64 (0.988)	0.40 ± 0.04 (0.000)	2.34 ± 0.52 (0.003)	0.983
		11	0.00 ± 0.56 (1.000)	0.39 ± 0.04 (0.000)	2.50 ± 0.60 (0.004)	0.985
		14	0.00 ± 0.59 (1.000)	0.44 ± 0.04 (0.000)	3.86 ± 2.06 (0.103)	0.975
<i>P. parvum</i>	NaClO	4	0.38 ± 0.20 (0.104)	1.47 ± 0.09 (0.000)	3.18 ± 0.52 (0.001)	0.957
		7	0.21 ± 0.15 (0.217)	1.28 ± 0.07 (0.000)	3.78 ± 0.66 (0.001)	0.970
		9	0.00 ± 0.11 (1.000)	1.23 ± 0.06 (0.000)	4.35 ± 0.79 (0.001)	0.977
		11	0.00 ± 0.14 (1.000)	1.17 ± 0.06 (0.000)	4.30 ± 1.02 (0.004)	0.970
		14	0.00 ± 0.21 (1.000)	1.10 ± 0.08 (0.000)	3.64 ± 1.07 (0.011)	0.957

**Supplementary Material.** Evaluation of algaecide effectiveness of five different oxidants applied on harmful phytoplankton

**Table S.3b.** Fitted parameters obtained from modelling the concentration-response curves represented on Figures 1-5. Each model parameter has been obtained from Eq. 3 and for each reagent (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, PAA, PMS, NaClO) at specific incubation days (from day 2 to day 14) and for both target microalgae: *Prymnesium parvum* (Table S3a) and *Heterosigma akashiwo* (Table S3b).

Species	Reagent	Integration time (d)	f ± SE (p-value)	x <sub>0</sub> ± SE (p-value) (ppm)	b ± SE (p-value)	R <sup>2</sup>
<i>H. akashiwo</i>	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	4	0.00 ± 0.01 (1.000)	6.82 ± 0.13 (0.000)	4.64 ± 0.45 (0.000)	0.993
		7	0.00 ± 0.01 (1.000)	6.67 ± 0.15 (0.000)	5.86 ± 0.77 (0.000)	0.990
		9	0.00 ± 0.01 (1.000)	6.81 ± 0.16 (0.000)	6.11 ± 0.98 (0.000)	0.989
		11	0.00 ± 0.01 (1.000)	6.96 ± 0.17 (0.000)	6.17 ± 1.10 (0.001)	0.986
		14	0.00 ± 0.01 (1.000)	7.19 ± 0.20 (0.000)	6.18 ± 1.31 (0.002)	0.979
<i>H. akashiwo</i>	PAA	4	0.92 ± 0.34 (0.031)	2.93 ± 0.42 (0.000)	2.15 ± 0.35 (0.000)	0.895
		7	0.51 ± 0.28 (0.112)	2.70 ± 0.35 (0.000)	2.16 ± 0.45 (0.002)	0.902
		9	0.43 ± 0.31 (0.203)	2.71 ± 0.38 (0.000)	2.03 ± 0.44 (0.003)	0.906
		11	0.27 ± 0.25 (0.317)	2.88 ± 0.45 (0.000)	2.00 ± 0.53 (0.007)	0.918
		14	0.88 ± 0.54 (0.147)	5.64 ± 1.99 (0.025)	1.43 ± 0.14 (0.000)	0.918
<i>H. akashiwo</i>	PMS	4	0.00 ± 0.00 (0.295)	1.95 ± 0.31 (0.002)	1.79 ± 1.15 (0.181)	0.835
		7	0.00 ± 0.01 (1.000)	1.99 ± 0.11 (0.000)	9.65 ± 0.84 (0.763)	0.803
		9	0.00 ± 0.15 (1.000)	2.01 ± 0.10 (0.000)	6.05 ± 16.26 (0.721)	0.795
		11	0.00 ± 0.13 (1.000)	2.10 ± 1.00 (0.075)	7.87 ± 81.95 (0.926)	0.852
		14	0.00 ± 0.12 (1.000)	2.17 ± 2.74 (0.455)	8.62 ± 139.20 (0.952)	0.891
<i>H. akashiwo</i>	NaClO	4	0.01 ± 0.04 (0.879)	3.85 ± 0.36 (0.000)	7.87 ± 19.44 (0.698)	0.960
		7	0.07 ± 0.06 (0.316)	3.20 ± 0.21 (0.000)	4.15 ± 0.94 (0.003)	0.945
		9	0.10 ± 0.06 (0.143)	3.13 ± 0.17 (0.000)	3.96 ± 0.68 (0.001)	0.958
		11	0.07 ± 0.05 (0.224)	3.23 ± 0.16 (0.000)	3.81 ± 0.65 (0.001)	0.967
		14	0.05 ± 0.04 (0.281)	3.48 ± 0.13 (0.000)	3.43 ± 0.56 (0.001)	0.977

**Supplementary Material.** *Evaluation of algaecide effectiveness of five different oxidants applied on harmful phytoplankton*

**References:**

- [1] G. Farinelli, M. Giagnorio, F. Ricceri, S. Giannakis, A. Tiraferri, Evaluation of the Effectiveness, Safety, and Feasibility of 9 Potential Biocides to Disinfect Acidic Landfill Leachate from Algae and Bacteria, *Water Res.* 191 (2021) 116801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116801>.
- [2] H. Zhang, R. Zong, H. He, T. Huang, Effects of hydrogen peroxide on *Scenedesmus obliquus*: Cell growth, antioxidant enzyme activity and intracellular protein fingerprinting, *Chemosphere.* 287 (2022) 132185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2021.132185>.
- [3] E. Arvin, L.F. Pedersen, Hydrogen peroxide decomposition kinetics in aquaculture water, *Aquac. Eng.* 64 (2015) 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2014.12.004>.
- [4] M. Drábková, H.C.P. Matthijs, W. Admiraal, B. Maršálek, Selective effects of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on cyanobacterial photosynthesis, *Photosynthetica.* 45 (2007) 363–369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-007-0062-9>.
- [5] X. Ao, J. Eloranta, C.H. Huang, D. Santoro, W. jun Sun, Z. dong Lu, C. Li, Peracetic acid-based advanced oxidation processes for decontamination and disinfection of water: A review, *Water Res.* 188 (2021) 116479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116479>.
- [6] L. Pedersen, C. Lazado, Decay of peracetic acid in seawater and implications for its chemotherapeutic potential in aquaculture, *Aquac. Environ. Interact.* 12 (2020) 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00354>.
- [7] M. Kitis, Disinfection of wastewater with peracetic acid: a review, *Environ. Int.* 30 (2004) 47–55. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120\(03\)00147-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(03)00147-8).
- [8] P. Sun, T. Zhang, B. Mejia-Tickner, R. Zhang, M. Cai, C.H. Huang, Rapid Disinfection by Peracetic Acid Combined with UV Irradiation, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 5 (2018) 400–404. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00249>.
- [9] S. Ahn, T.D. Peterson, J. Righter, D.M. Miles, P.G. Tratnyek, Disinfection of ballast water with iron activated persulfate, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (2013) 11717–11725. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es402508k>.
- [10] Z. Chen, J. Li, M. Chen, K.Y. Koh, Z. Du, K.Y.H. Gin, Y. He, C.N. Ong, J.P. Chen, *Microcystis aeruginosa* removal by peroxides of hydrogen peroxide, peroxymonosulfate and peroxydisulfate without additional activators, *Water Res.* 201 (2021) 117263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2021.117263>.

**Supplementary Material.** *Evaluation of algaecide effectiveness of five different oxidants applied on harmful phytoplankton*

- [11] X. Li, S. Chen, J. Zeng, K. Chabi, W. Song, X. Xian, X. Yu, Impact of chlorination on cell activation, toxin release and degradation of cyanobacteria of development and maintenance stage, *Chem. Eng. J.* 397 (2020) 125378.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.125378>.
- [12] V. Ebenezer, Y.V. Nancharaiyah, V.P. Venugopalan, Chlorination-induced cellular damage and recovery in marine microalga, *Chlorella salina*, *Chemosphere.* 89 (2012) 1042–1047.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2012.05.067>.