1	
2	
3	Relictual distribution reaches the top: elevation constrains fertility
4	and leaf longevity in Juniperus thurifera.
5	
6	D. Montesinos*; P. García-Fayos and M. Verdú
7	Centro de Investigaciones sobre Desertificación-CIDE (CSIC-UV-GV)
8	Camí de la marjal s/n 46470-Albal, Valencia (Spain)
9	
10	*Corresponding author: Daniel.Montesinos@uv.es
11	Present address: Division of Biological Sciences - University of Montana
12	32, Campus Drive DBS-HS104 59812-Missoula, MT (USA)
13	
14	
15	ABSTRACT: Juniperus thurifera populations are scattered throughout
16	the western Mediterranean basin and are relictual from its Tertiary distribution
17	due to progressive climatic warming since the last glacial period. To
18	disentangle the factors responsible for its extremely low fertility we combined
19	a microscale experimental design with a macroscale study. At the microscale
20	we experimentally alleviated environmental stress by watering and fertilizing
21	during two years a set of trees in one population. At macroscale we selected
22	11 populations across a geographical range and sampled them for three
23	years.

1 Macroscale patterns evidenced that both plant fertility and leaf 2 longevity diminished with increasing elevation. Both microscale and 3 macroscale illustrated the importance of water and nutrient availability on leaf 4 growth and plant fertility: On the microscale experiments, regular supply of 5 water and nutrients increased fruit set by 300%. Macroscale showed that 6 increases in resource availability (precipitation) resulted in reductions of seed 7 abortion, although paralleled by increases in seed predation. 8 Altogether, our results indicate that fertility is constrained both by 9 elevation and by resource limitation. Therefore any potential lift in the 10 elevational distribution limits will result in synergistic fertility reductions due to 11 harder physical conditions and lower water and nutrient availability. Both will 12 compromise future regeneration of this relictual species, although population 13 decline might be buffered temporary thanks to longevity of adult trees. 14 15 Keywords: altitude; parthenocarpy, treeline, leaf longevity, leaf growth, seed 16 predation, migration. 17 18 Introduction 19 20 Elevational migration of high mountain flora is a common response to 21 environmental warming (Grabherr et al., 1994; Klanderud & Birks, 2003; 22 Walther, 2003). Warming of the environmental conditions increases the 23 elevation of both lower and upper plants distribution limits. Increase of lower 24 distribution limits of a species is not normally due to heat stress but rather to

1 an increase of the upper elevational limit of competitor species with faster 2 growth rates (Loehle, 1998; Walther, 2003; Alward et al., 2006). On the other 3 hand, to increase the elevation of their upper distribution limits plants have to 4 face a set of increasingly harsh environmental factors characteristic of higher 5 elevations, like lower  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  partial pressure, higher UV, IR and visible 6 radiation, and sometimes shorter growth seasons, stronger winds and more 7 frequent drought events (Barceló et al., 1992; Körner, 2003; De Lillis et al., 8 2004; Körner, 2007).

9 Ultraviolet radiation from the sun is considered to be particularly 10 intense at high elevations, increasing by 25% from 200m to 1500m 11 (Caldwell, 1968). In addition, reduction of stratospheric ozone due to human 12 release of chlorofluorocarbons to the atmosphere has increased UV radiation 13 by 6-14% (UNEP, 2002). Although some plant species have the ability to 14 adapt to high UV levels (Teramura & Sullivan, 1991), UV radiation can be a 15 significant stress factor for leaves (Caldwell, 1968; Robberecht & Caldwell, 16 1980; Teramura & Sullivan, 1991) resulting in reduced leaf lifespan and leaf 17 growth (Ortiz et al., 2002) and reducing flowering frequency and whole plant 18 fertility (Caldwell, 1968; Koti et al., 2005).

Altogether high mountain conditions can inhibit photosynthetic activity
(Kofidis *et al.*, 2003) and reduce net primary productivity (Luo *et al.*, 2004).
Under such unfavorable circumstances reproductive allocation tends to
decrease with increasing elevation (Ortiz *et al.*, 2002; Obeso, 2002; Sakai *et al.*, 2006) and sometimes plants mitigate their reproductive effort by
producing low quality seeds (Houle & Barbeux, 1994). Seed predator species

can also migrate to higher elevations due to temperature increases, and
 elevate their elevational distribution faster than plants do, increasing
 predation rates of previously unaffected populations and species (Hódar &
 Zamora, 2004).

5 Juniperus thurifera (Cupressaceae) is a dioecious tree inhabiting 6 semiarid cold environments of the Holartic region and originated during the 7 Tertiary (Suárez Cardona et al., 1991). It attained its maximum distribution 8 during the cold periods of the Pleistocene, since then, progressive warming 9 has reduced its distribution to scattered populations restricted to the high 10 mountains of the western Mediterranean Basin (Terrab et al., 2008). 11 Therefore its present day habitats represent a compromise between 12 environmental harshness and low presence of competitor species (Gómez-13 Manzaneque, 1997). Livestock has controlled competition traditionally, but 14 land abandonment has reduced grazing in recent times, leading to increased 15 interspecific competition (DeSoto et al., 2009). Like many junipers, J. thurifera 16 presents low seed viability rates (e.g. Roques et al., 1984; García, 1998). A 17 positive relationship between J. thurifera vegetative growth and current year 18 precipitation was already known (Montesinos et al., 2006). However, 19 geographical and environmental factors responsible for junipers' low fertility 20 are unclear (García *et al.*, 2000). Juniper seed viability can be limited by 21 environmental harshness (García et al., 2000; Ortiz et al., 2002; Wesche et 22 al., 2005), nutrient and water availability (Stephenson, 1981; García et al., 23 1999; Drenovsky & Richards, 2005) and pollination failure (Ortiz et al., 2002), 24 although experimental manual pollinations indicate that pollen is not limiting

*J. thurifera* fertility (Montesinos unpublished data). Junipers typically present
 a very high proportion of parthenocarpic seeds (Fuentes, 2000) and moderate
 to high rates of abortion and predispersal seed predation (Rappaport *et al.*,
 1993; García, 1998; El Alaoui El Fels *et al.*, 1999). Explanations for
 parthenocarpy include frost damage to the ovule, nutrient limitation and
 defense against seed predators (Traveset, 1993; Verdú & García-Fayos, 1998
 and references therein).

8 In this work we try to elucidate factors responsible for *J. thurifera*'s 9 present day low fertility and to infer the reproductive consequences of an 10 upward migration as a response to a hypothetical rise in temperature. We 11 studied variation in seed fate (viability, abortion, predation and 12 parthenocarpy), leaf longevity and leaf growth at two different scales of 13 environmental variation: at macroscale, by sampling 11 populations along a 14 latitudinal range across the Iberian Peninsula; and at microscale, by 15 experimentally modifying water and nutrient availability on a set of individuals 16 in one population. 17 18 Material and Methods 19 20 Study species 21 22 Juniperus thurifera lives in the calcareous high-mountains of the 23 western Mediterranean Basin. It is found in the Alps (France and Italy), across 24 the mountains of the Iberian Peninsula (Spain and French Pyrenees), in the

1	Atlas Mountains (Morocco) and in two small populations in Corsica and Algeria
2	(Gauquelin et al., 1999). Together with two closely related species with
3	similar biology (J. excelsa and J. procera) they are scattered all along the
4	Mediterranean basin, western Asia and eastern Africa. It forms low-density
5	pure stands or mixed open forests with Quercus ilex, Q. faginea, Pinus nigra,
6	P. sylvestris and P. pinaster. It is often found as a treeline edge species. Trees
7	are 5-10m high (exceptionally 20m) and often live for centuries (Bertaudière
8	et al., 1999). Cones take 22 months to develop and ripen and they contain on
9	average 3.5 (1-7) seeds (Montesinos pers. obs. and Adams et al., 2003).
10	Viability and germination of J. thurifera seeds is extremely low due to high
11	rates of parthenocarpy, abortion and predation (Ceballos & Ruiz de la Torre,
12	1979; Melero & García-Fayos, 2001) and seedling recruitment is severely
13	limited by drought and nutrient availability, which can be partially alleviated
14	by sex-biased self-facilitation (Montesinos et al., 2007).
15	Fleshy cones are attacked by a variety of pests, but main seed
16	predators are the chalcid Megastigmus bipunctatus (hereafter Megastigmus)
17	and the mite Trisetacus quadrisetus (hereafter Trisetacus). Megastigmus
18	oviposites on unripe seeds the first summer after pollination and larvae
19	develop and grow for one more year before they emerge from the seed
20	leaving a characteristic exit hole (Roques et al., 1984). Trisetacus oviposition
21	occurs much earlier, often before pollination occurs. Mites live in colonies and
22	use seeds as growth chambers often leading to the destruction of the totality
23	of the seeds in a cone. Seeds affected by Trisetacus colonies present a
24	characteristic brown "fibrous" morphology (Roques et al., 1984).

## 2 Study sites

4	From French Pyrenees to southern Spain eleven populations (see Fig.
5	1) were sampled for seeds during three years (2002-2004) and for leaf
6	growth and longevity in 2004. Sampled populations cover a wide range of
7	environmental characteristics (Table 1). In each population, we estimated
8	tree density by counting every individual taller than 2 m in 10 randomly
9	distributed transects each of 100 m long and 10 m wide.
10	Simultaneously, we randomly selected and permanently marked 20
11	female trees at population three (Puebla de S. Miguel, Valencia) for the
12	microscale experiment of water and nutrient addition. This population
13	corresponds to a J. thurifera forest with intermediate precipitation,
14	temperatures and density in a typical calcareous soil (Table 1). From April
15	2003 to March 2005, half of the trees were watered and fertilized monthly,
16	except in June and July, the driest months, when they were watered and
17	fertilized fortnightly. This method alleviates resource limitation in the broad
18	sense but does not allow for discrimination of the effect of a particular
19	resource (i.e. the effect of water alone, or N or P alone). On each date every
20	tree was supplied with 100 L of 200 ppm balanced fertilization solution
21	(Universol Blue 18N:11P:18K plus oligoelements, Scotts, USA) according to
22	manufacturer recommended concentrations. Water was distributed on 20m <sup>2</sup>
23	around trunks resulting in an approximate increase in precipitation of 50 L $\cdot$

m<sup>-2</sup> · year<sup>-1</sup>. This resulted in a net yearly dose of 1000 L of water and 2 Kg of
fertilizer per tree.

3

4 Seed fate

5

6 We collected 10 ripe cones from each of 20 female trees randomly 7 chosen each year across every population. Cones were collected from all 8 around the tree to avoid orientation effects on seed viability and seed set. 9 Ripe cones were collected in October 2002, 2003 and 2004. Seeds where cut 10 to expose the embryo and visually assigned to one of five categories: viable 11 seeds, aborted, parthenocarpic, preved upon by *Megastigmus* and preved 12 upon by *Trisetacus*. Previous tests with 300 seeds showed that estimations of 13 seed viability by visual identification and with tetrazolium tests did not differ 14 (t-test comparing 3+3 sets of 50 seeds each; t=0.339 df=4, p<0.751). 15 At the experimentally watered set of trees, three individual branches 16 were marked in each marked tree and the total number of flowers was 17 counted in January 2002, before experimental addition of water with fertilizer 18 started. At the end of the experiment, in October 2004, fruit-set was 19 estimated following the same procedure, and seeds collected to study 20 viability.

21

22 Branch growth and leaf longevity

23

1 *J. thurifera* presents a year-long continuous branch growth occasionally 2 limited by high temperatures in summer and low temperatures in winter (D. 3 Montesinos pers. obs.). Natural defoliation due to age and shading from 4 foliage (Montès et al., 2000) results in feather-duster like branches. In October 2004, we collected one branch from each of ten male and ten female 5 6 trees from each of the 11 populations, and also from the experimental trees 7 at population 3, by cutting them up to the defoliation point. Stems and leaves 8 from the last year (bright green leaves on non lignified stems) were manually 9 separated from older stems and leaves (dark green leaves on dark lignified 10 stems), dried at 60°C for 48 h and weighed to the nearest 0.0001 g. Yearly 11 branch growth was compared between populations comparing dry weight of 12 last year growths. Leaf lifespan estimation method was inspired by the 13 principles proposed by Mediavilla and Escudero (2003). The ratio between the 14 dry weight of last year growth and the dry weight of growth from previous 15 years is a convenient approximation to leaf longevity in scale-like leaved 16 plants under the assumption that leaf growth is similar among years. This 17 might be a difficult assumption in Mediterranean environments; however, it 18 can be a useful approximation when used to compare qualitatively between 19 watered and not watered plants.

20

21 Statistical analyses

Several types of analyses were used to suit different types of data at
 macro, and microscale and depending on the number of years available for
 each variable.

4 Linear Mixed Models (LMM) permit the study of time-series accounting 5 for temporal autocorrelations among years; therefore they were used when 6 data from more than one year were available. LMM with an autoregressive 7 covariance structure of order one and year as repeated measure were fitted 8 to the data to analyze the effect of populations' environmental characteristics 9 (elevation, latitude, mean temperature and precipitation, previous year 10 precipitation and tree density) on each year's seed fate. 11 Linear Regressions were used to study the effect of different 12 environmental factors (elevation, latitude, precipitation, etc.) on leaf longevity 13 and leaf growth, from which data were obtained only once, at the end of the 14 longevity study. Linear Regressions were also used to study the 15 autocorrelation between the environmental factors. 16 Finally, for the microscale data, General Linear Models with Gaussian 17 distribution of errors were fitted to the data to determine if seed viability, 18 abortion, parthenocarpy, predation or fruit-set were affected by regular 19 watering with fertilizer. 20 Statistical values throughout the text show the mean±SD. Statistical 21 analyses were performed with SPSS 15.0. 22 23 Results 24

1 Seed fate

2

Seed viability averaged 9.5±8.8 % (Mean±SD) among populations and
presented a negative relationship with elevation (Table 2). There were no
other significant relationships between seed viability and any other
environmental or geographical variable (Table 2).

7 Seed abortion averaged 19±11 % among populations and correlated 8 negatively with previous year precipitation (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Seed 9 predation averaged 13.6±8.7 % among populations. Two seed predators 10 were identified: *Trisetacus* (6.3±10 %) and *Megastigmus* (7.3±4.6 %). The 11 first did not show any relationship with climate while the second correlated 12 positively with previous year precipitation (Table 2 and Fig. 2). The rate of 13 parthenocarpy averaged 58.7±11.9 % and did not correlate with any other 14 variable. There were no significant relationships between mean number of 15 seeds per cone, proportion of filled seeds or seed fate with any other 16 environmental or geographical variable (data not shown).

17 Regarding the microscale watering and fertilizing experiment at 18 population 3, seed fate rates were unaffected by watering and fertilization 19 (Table 3) although watered and fertilized trees produced three fold more ripe 20 cones per flower ( $0.28\pm0.19$  cones/flower) than controls ( $0.09\pm0.05$ 21 cones/flower; F=6.3; df=1; p=0.028). It is remarkable that the proportion of 22 viable seeds was similar on both groups.

23

24 Branch growth and leaf longevity

2	Across the geographical range there were no significant differences
3	between sexes on branch growth (F=0.228; df=1; p=0.639) or leaf longevity
4	(F=0.755; df=1; p=0.396) (Table 1). Since our study was focused on seed
5	production, subsequent analyses on branch growth and leaf longevity
6	considered only females' leaves. Branch growth did not present any
7	correlation with any environmental or geographical factor; however leaf
8	longevity was negatively correlated to elevation (Table 4).
9	Microscale experiments of watering and fertilization significantly
10	increased branch growth from 2.8 $\pm$ 2.6 g to 4.7 $\pm$ 3.9 g (F <sub>1</sub> =6.15; p=0.015)
11	although mean leaf longevity (2 $\pm$ 0.3 years) was not affected (F <sub>1</sub> =0.33;
12	p=0.57).
13	
14	Independence of factors
15	
16	Regarding the independence of the environmental and geographical
17	factors, elevation was not correlated with any other variable. However
18	precipitation and temperature were significantly correlated with latitude, with
19	higher precipitations and lower mean temperatures at northern latitudes
20	(none of them affected seed viability). Mean annual precipitation and mean
21	annual temperature were negatively correlated. Finally, tree density was
22	independent of any other factor studied (Table 5).
23	
24	Discussion

2 Elevation significantly reduced both seed viability and leaf 3 longevity of *J. thurifera* trees, as expected for increasingly harsh 4 environments (Caldwell & Robberecht, 1980; Teramura & Sullivan, 1991; 5 Hemborg & Karlsson, 1998; Kofidis et al., 2003; Körner, 2003; Sakai et al., 6 2006) including light levels which may induce photoinhibition (Close et al., 7 2003) and low primary productivity (Luo et al., 2004). Similarly, the 8 congeneric *J. communis* also experienced reductions in fertility and branch 9 growth across a single-population elevational transect (Ortiz et al., 2002). 10 On the microscale, branch growth was increased by water and nutrient 11 supply while leaf longevity was not, in concurrence with other studies (e.g. 12 Jonasson *et al.*, 1997) but see Aerts (1995). Combined, the data suggest that 13 *J. thurifera* branch growth might be more affected by nutrient limitation than 14 by water scarcity, while resource availability is not limiting leaf longevity. 15 Differences in chemical composition in leaves and reproductive cones were 16 likely to alleviate reproductive vs. growth trade-offs as previously suggested 17 by Montès et al. (2002), facilitating increases in both branch growth and fruit 18 set when watered and fertilized.

1

19 It is remarkable that in our study, both at the microscale experiment 20 and along the macroscale geographical range, parthenocarpy rate was high 21 and regular (58.7±11.9%) and was not correlated with any geographical or 22 environmental variable. Trees experimentally supplied with extra water and 23 nutrients maintained both high seed parthenocarpy and low seed viability 24 rates, even though they increased fruit-set more than three fold. Across the

1 macroscale geographical range, precipitation in a given year significantly 2 reduced *J. thurifera* seed abortion of the following seed crop, indicating that 3 water stress can be an important limitation for seed viability. However, this 4 reduction in seed abortion did not result in an increase in seed viability 5 because it was paralleled by a similar increase in seed predation by M. 6 *bipunctatus*. This agrees with evolutionary explanations of parthenocarpy: 7 Seed predators have a long evolutionary history in common with junipers 8 (Roques, 1983; Turgeon *et al.*, 1994; Roux & Roques, 1996) and 9 parthenocarpy might be a by product of seed predation, reducing both pre 10 and postdispersal seed predation because predators spend time and eggs in 11 unviable seeds, thus increasing survival probability of viable seeds (Traveset, 12 1993; Verdú & Garcia-Fayos, 2000). 13 Increases in water availability both across the macroscale and 14 experimentally at the microscale did not affect seed viability rates, however at 15 microscale they dramatically increased fruit-set. We therefore can infer that 16 resource availability affects fertility through variation in crop size rather than 17 seed viability. This could be the result of selection for high seed 18 parthenocarpy (Traveset, 1993; Verdú & García-Fayos, 1998), since there 19 seems to be a threshold above which additional viable seeds have low 20 probability of escaping from seed predators. 21

22

## Conclusions

23

High elevation *J. thurifera's* populations present significant reductions
in fertility and leaf longevity compared to that of populations from the lower
part of the elevational range. Parthenocarpy seems to be pretty stable among
populations and environments and experimental data indicate that variation in
fertility comes from variation in fruit set size as response to variation in
available resources.

7 Plants are expected to perform better when centered on their original 8 elevational range (Yakir et al., 1986; Körner & Diemer, 1987; Angert, 2006; 9 Körner, 2007). Our results permit to hypothesize that *J. thurifera*'s original 10 distribution range could be centered at lower elevations. Phenotypic plasticity 11 might partially buffer the consequences of living in a suboptimal environment, 12 giving room to natural selection to act and favor individuals with 13 characteristics more suitable for harsher habitats. However, rate of adaptation 14 can be extremely slow in long-lived conifers, too long for some species to 15 adapt (Davis et al., 2005 and references therein).

16 On the other hand, some recent studies indicate that faster growing 17 species like *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus sylvestris* are extending their distribution 18 limits and transforming nearly monospecific *J. thurifera* forests into mixed 19 stands with high interspecific competition (Olano *et al.*, 2008; DeSoto *et al.*, 20 2009).

Any additional increase in temperature (e.g. due to global warming) will fasten *J. thurifera* populations into an "environmental clamp": on one side faster-growing species are likely to push upwards by competition (Loehle, 1998; Walther, 2003; Alward *et al.*, 2006); on the other side a series of

environmental factors associated with high elevations (UV irradiance, lower
resource availability, etc.) are likely to constrain colonization of higher
populations by reproductive downsizing. Besides, previous studies show that
seedling recruitment is severely affected by drought and nutrient limitation
(Montesinos *et al.*, 2007).

Altogether, this will compromise the species future, although some
authors point to persistence through longevity as a survival strategy during
interglacial periods (Bennet *et al.*, 1991) and the immediate effect will be
attenuated by the long lifespan (>200 years) of junipers (Garcia & Zamora,
2003).

11

12 Acknowledgments: Many thanks to Thierry Gauquelin for providing seed samples 13 from Montagne de Rié. We would like to thank people from Puebla San Miguel for their help: 14 " Gracias a todos los habitantes de la Puebla de San Miguel, especialmente a Luis María Alcusa 15 por su ayuda desde el Ayuntamiento, y a Jesus Monedero desde el Servicio Forestal'. Thanks 16 also for their hard work and their friendship to the *Llavoratori* team: Jorge Sellés, Tono 17 Bellido, Gabriela Gleiser, Jaume Tormo and Esther Bochet. Thanks to Jacqui Shykoff for her 18 careful grammar and style review. This work was financed by the Spanish Ministerio de 19 Educación y Ciencia (AGL2001-1061). Daniel Montesinos enjoyed a grant (BES-2002-1828) 20 from the MEC. 21 22 References 23 24 Adams RP, Mumba LE, James SA, Pandey RN, Gauquelin T, Badri W. 2003. Geographic 25 variation in the leaf oils and DNA fingerprints (RAPDs) of Juniperus thurifera L. from 26 Morocco and Europe. Journal of Essential Oil Research 15: 148-154.

1	Aerts R. 1995. The advantages of being evergreen. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 10: 402-					
2	207.					
3	Alward RD, Detling JK, Milchumas DG. 2006. Grassland vegetation changes and nocturnal					
4	global warming. Science 283: 229-231.					
5	Angert AL. 2006. Growth and leaf physiology of monkeyflowers with different altitude ranges.					
6	Oecologia 148: 183-194.					
7	Barceló J, Nicolas G, Sabater B, Sánchez R. 1992. Fisiología Vegetal. Madrid: Ediciones					
8	Pirámide S.A.					
9	Bennet KD, Tzedakis PC, Willis KJ. 1991. Quaternary refugia of north European trees. Journal					
10	of Biogeography 18: 103-115.					
11	Bertaudière V, Montes N, Gauquelin T, Edouard JL. 1999. Dendroecology of thuriferous					
12	juniper (Juniperus thurifera L.): example from a French Pyrenean site at Rie					
13	mountain. Annals of Forest Science 56: 685-697.					
14	Caldwell MM. 1968. Solar ultraviolet radiation as an ecological factor for alpine plants.					
15	Ecological Monographs 38: 243-268.					
16	Caldwell MM, Robberecht R. 1980. A steep latitudinal gradient of solar ultraviolet-B radiation					
17	in the arctic-alpine life zone. Ecology 61: 600-611.					
18	Ceballos L, Ruiz de la Torre J. 1979. Árboles y arbustos de la España peninsular. Madrid:					
19	E.T.S.I. de Montes.					
20	Close DC, Beadle CL, Hovenden MJ. 2003. Interactive effects of nitrogen and irradiance on					
21	sustained xanthophyll cycle engagement in Eucalyptus nitens leaves during winter.					
22	Oecologia 134: 32-36.					
23	Davis MB, Shaw RG, Etterson JR. 2005. Evolutionary responses to changing climate. Ecology					
24	86: 1704-1714.					
25	De Lillis M, Matteucci G, Valentini R. 2004. Carbon assimilation, nitrogen, and photochemical					
26	efficiency of different Himalayan tree species along an altitudinal gradient.					
27	Photosynthetica 42: 597-605.					

- 1 DeSoto L, Olano JM, Rozas V, De la Cruz M. 2009. Release of Juniperus thurifera woodlands 2 from herbivore-mediated arrested succession in Spain. Applied Vegetation Science 3 DOI: 10.1111/j.1654-109X.2009.01045.x. 4 Drenovsky RE, Richards JH. 2005. Nitrogen addition increases fecundity in the desert shrub 5 Sarcobatus vermiculatus. Oecologia 143: 349-356. 6 El Alaoui El Fels MA, Roques A, Boumezzough A. 1999. Les arthropodes liés aux galbules et 7 aux graines du genévrier thurifère, Juniperus thurifera L., dans les Atlas marocains ( 8 The arthropods related to cones and seeds of incense-juniper, Juniperus thurifera L., 9 in Atlas mountains of Morocco). Ecologia Mediterranea 25: 95-110. 10 Fuentes M. 2000. Frugivory, seed dispersal and plant community ecology. Trends in Ecology 11 and Evolution 15: 487-488. 12 García D. 1998. Interaction between juniper Juniperus communis L. and its fruit pest insects: 13 Pest abundance, fruit characteristics and seed viability. Acta Oecologica 19: 517-525. 14 Garcia D, Zamora R. 2003. Persistence, multiple demographic strategies and conservation in 15 long-lived Mediterranean plants. Journal of Vegetation Science 14: 921-926. 16 García D, Zamora R, Gómez JM, Jordano P, Hodar JA. 2000. Geographical variation in seed 17 production, predation and abortion in Juniperus communis throughout its range in 18 Europe. Journal of Ecology 88: 436-446. 19 García D, Zamora R, Hódar JA, Gómez JM. 1999. Age structure of Juniperus communis L. in 20 the Iberian peninsula: Conservation of remnant populations in Mediterranean 21 mountains. Biological Conservation 87: 215-220. 22 Gauquelin T, Bertaudière V, Montes N, Badri W, Asmode JF. 1999. Endangered stands of 23 thuriferous juniper in the western Mediterranean basin: ecological status, 24 conservation and management. Biodiversity and Conservation 8: 1479-1498. 25 Gómez Manzaneque F, Martínez Labarga JM, Morales Abad MJ. 1993. Corologia de Juniperus 26 thurifera. Mapa 513. Fontqueria 36: 211-221. 27 Gómez-Manzaneque F. 1997. Los bosques ibéricos: una interpretación geobotánica. 28 Barcelona: Planeta.
- 29 Grabherr G, Gottfried M, Pauli H. 1994. Climate effects on mountain plants. Nature 369: 448.

1	Hemborg AM, Karlsson PS. 1998. Altitudinal variation in size effects on plant reproductive
2	effort and somatic costs of reproduction. Ecoscience 5: 517-525.
3	Hódar JA, Zamora R. 2004. Herbivory and climatic warming: a Mediterranean outbreaking
4	caterpillar attacks a relict, boreal pine species. Biodiversity and Conservation 13: 493-
5	500.
6	Houle G, Barbeux P. 1994. Variations in rooting ability and in seed characteristics of five
7	populations of Juniperus communis var. depressa from subartic Quebec. Canadian
8	Journal of Forest Research 29: 456-450.
9	Jonasson S, Medrano H, Flexas J. 1997. Variation in leaf longevity of <i>Pistacia lentiscus</i> and its
10	relationship to sex and drought stress inferred from leaf delta C-13. Functional
11	Ecology 11: 282-289.
12	Klanderud K, Birks HJB. 2003. Recent increases in species richness and shifts in altitudinal
13	distributions of Norwegian mountain plants. The Holocene 13: 1-6.
14	Kofidis G, Bosabalidis AM, Moustakas M. 2003. Contemporary seasonal and altitudinal
15	variations of leaf structural features in oregano (Origanum vulgare L.). Annals of
16	Botany 92: 635-645.
17	Körner C. 2003. Alpine plant life. Functional plant ecology of high mountain ecosystems.
18	Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer.
19	Körner C. 2007. The use of 'altitude' in ecological research. Trends in Ecology and Evolution
20	22: 569-574.
21	Körner C, Diemer M. 1987. In situ photosynthetic responses to light, temperature and carbon
22	dioxide in herbaceous plants from low and high altitude. Functional Ecology 1: 179-
23	194.
24	Koti S, Reddy KR, Reddy VR, Kakani VG, Zhao D. 2005. Interactive effects of carbon dioxide,
25	temperature, and ultraviolet-B radiation on soybean (Glycine max L.) flower and
26	pollen morphology, pollen production, germination, and tube lenghts. Journal of
27	Experimental Botany 56: 725-736.
28	Loehle C. 1998. Height growth rate tradeoffs determine northern and southern range limits
29	for trees. Journal of Biogeography 25: 735-742.

1 Luo T, Pan Y, Ouyang H, Shi P, Luo J, Yu Z, Lu Q. 2004. Leaf area index and net primary 2 productivity along subtropical to alpine gradients in the Tibetan Plateau. Global 3 Ecology and Biogeography 13: 345-358. 4 Mediavilla S, Escudero A. 2003. Leaf life span differs from retention time of biomass and 5 nutrients in the crowns of evergreen species. Functional Ecology 17: 541-548. 6 Melero JP, García-Fayos P. 2001. Protocolos de germinación de semillas de sabina albar 7 (Juniperus thurifera) y sabina rastrera (J. sabina). In: III Congreso Forestal Español. 8 Montes para la sociedad del nuevo milenio. Granada: S.E.C.F.. 9 Montès N, Bertaudière V, Badri W, Zaoui ElH, Gauguelin T. 2002. Biomass and nutrient 10 content of a semi-arid mountain ecosystem: the Juniperus thurifera L. woodland of 11 Azzaden Valley (Morocco). Forest Ecology and Management 166: 35-43. 12 Montès N, Gauquelin T, Bradi W, Bertaudière V, Zaoui ElH. 2000. A non-destructive method 13 for estimating above-ground forest biomass in threatened woodlands. Forest Ecology 14 and Management 130: 37-46. 15 Montesinos D, De Luís M, Verdú M, Raventós J, García-Fayos P. 2006. When, how and how 16 much: gender-specific resource use strategies in the dioecious tree Juniperus 17 thurifera. Annals of Botany 98: 885-889. 18 Montesinos D, Verdú M, García-Fayos P. 2007. Moms are better nurses than dads: sex biased 19 self-facilitation in a dioecious juniper tree. Journal of Vegetation Science 18: 271-280. 20 Obeso JR. 2002. The costs of reproduction in plants. New Phytologist 155: 321-348. 21 Olano JM, Rozas V, Bartolomé D, Sanz D. 2008. Effects of changes in traditional management 22 on height and radial growth patterns in a Juniperus thurifera L. woodland. Forest 23 Ecology and Management 255: 506-512. 24 Ortiz PL, Arista M, Talavera S. 2002. Sex ratio and reproductive effort in the dioecious 25 Juniperus communis subsp. alpina (Suter) Celak. (Cupressaceae) along an altitudinal 26 gradient. Annals of Botany 89: 205-211. 27 Rappaport N, Mori S, Roques A. 1993. Estimating effect of Megastigmus spermotrophus 28 (Hymenoptera: Torymidae) on Douglas-Fir seed production: The new paradigm. 29 Forest Entomology 86: 845-849.

1	Robberecht R, Caldwell MM. 1980. Leaf ultraviolet optical properties along a latitudinal				
2	gradient in the arctic-alpine life zone. Ecology 61: 612-619.				
3	Roques A. 1983. Les insectes ravageurs des cônes et graines des conifères en France.				
4	Versailles: INRA.				
5	Roques A, Raimbault JP, Goussard F. 1984. La colonisation des cônes et galbules des				
6	genévriers méditerranéens par les insectes et acariens et son influence sur les				
7	possibilités de régénération naturelle de ces essences. Ecologia Mediterranea 10: 147-				
8	169.				
9	Roux G, Roques A. 1996. Biochemical genetic differentiation among seed chalid species of				
10	genus Megastigmus (Hymenoptera: Torymidae). Experientia 52: 522-530.				
11	Sakai A, Sasa A, Sakai S. 2006. Do sexual dimorphisms in reproductive allocation and new				
12	shoot biomass increase with an increase of altitude? A case of the shrub willow Salix				
13	reinii (Salicaceae). American Journal of Botany 93: 988-992.				
14	Stephenson AG. 1981. Flower and fruit abortion: proximate causes and ultimate functions.				
15	Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 12: 279.				
16	Suárez Cardona F, Sainz Ollero H, Santos Martínez T, González Bernáldez F. 1991. Las				
17	estepas Ibéricas. Madrid: Ministerio de Obras Públicas.				
18	Teramura AH, Sullivan JH. 1991. Potential impacts of increased solar UV-B on global plant				
19	productivity. In: E.Riklis, ed. Photobiology. New York: Plenum Press, 625-634.				
20	Terrab A, Schonswetter P, Talavera S, Vela E, Stuessy TF. 2008. Range-wide phylogeography				
21	of Juniperus thurifera L., a presumptive keystone species of western Mediterranean				
22	vegetation during cold stages of the Pleistocene. Molecular Phylogenetics and				
23	Evolution 48: 94-102.				
24	Traveset A. 1993. Deceptive fruits reduce insect seed predation in <i>Pistacia terebinthus</i> L.				
25	Evolutionary Ecology 7: 357-361.				
26	Turgeon JJ, Roques A, de Groot P. 1994. Insect fauna of coniferous seed cones: diversity,				
27	host plant interactions and management. Annual Review of Entomology 39: 179-212.				
28	UNEP. 2002. Final report of UNEP-WMO scientific assessment panel of the Montreal protocol				
29	of substances that deplete the ozone layer. Nairobi: UNEP.				

1	Verdú M, García-Fayos P. 1998. Ecological causes, function, and evolution of abortion and
2	parthenocarpy in Pistacia lentiscus (Anacardiaceae). Canadian Journal of Botany 76:
3	134-141.
4	Verdú M, Garcia-Fayos P. 2000. The effect of deceptive fruits on predispersal seed predation
5	by birds in Pistacia lentiscus. Plant Ecology 00: 1-4.
6	Walther G-R. 2003. Plants in a warmer world. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and
7	Systematics 6/3: 169-185.
8	Wesche K, Ronnenberg K, Hensen I. 2005. Lack of sexual reproduction within mountain
9	steppe populations of the clonal shrub Juniperus sabina L. in semi-arid southern
10	Mongolia. Journal of Arid Environments 63: 390-405.
11	Yakir D, Rudich J, Bravdo BA. 1986. Adaptation to chilling: photosynthetic characteristics of
12	the cultivated tomato and a hight altitude wild species. Plant, Cell and Environment 9:
13	477-484.
14	
15	

Population	Latitude (nearest °)	Elevation (m a.s.l.)	Mean annual temperature	Mean annual precipitation	Tree density	Branch growth (g) (2004)	Leaf longevity (years) (2004)
			(°C)	(mm)	(trees/ha)	(Mean±SD)	(Mean±SD)
1 Nerpio	38⁰N	1285	14.6	466	690	4.7±2.29	2.26±0.42
2 Ossa de Montiel	39°N	1000	12.8	448	905	5.01±1.60	2.17±0.42
3 Puebla de S. Miguel	40°N	1500	12.6	535	423	4.62±1.70	2.03±0.47
4 Saldón	40°N	1420	10.8	470	596	5.2±2.63	2.71±0.23
5 Portell de Morella	41ºN	1120	11.2	665	178	2.21±1.05	2.41±0.36
6 Hornuez	42ºN	1130	11.4	510	350	5.96±2.39	2.51±0.50
7 Purburrell	42ºN	350	15.2	360	104	6.1±2.30	3.23±1.62
8 Calatañazor	42°N	1100	9.8	700	429	3.69±1.03	2.44±0.44
9 Barrios de Luna	43⁰N	1200	9.4	848	267	6.29±2.01	2.46±0.39
10 Crémenes	43°N	1150	8.8	1274	327	8.42±3.75	2.38±0.48
11 Mt. de Rie	43°N	850	11.0	1050	925	-	-

 Table 1: Sampled populations and their environmental characteristics ordered by latitude (Mean±SD values).

	Viability	Abortion	Parthenocarpy	Predation by	Predation by
				Trisetacus	Megastigmus
Elevation	9.00**	0.03	0.03	1.97	2.82
Latitude	1.46	2.65	0.09	0.09	0.07
Mean precipitation	1.47	2.23	0.02	0.06	1.48
Pp. prev year	0.27	4.16*	1.75	0.15	8.4*
Mean temperature	0.38	1.40	0.22	0.39	1.11
Density	0.42	0.93	0.60	0.30	3.44
Sex ratio	0.06	0.73	1.92	1.08	0.27

**Table 2:** Macroscale evaluation of seed fate determinants. F values for the Linear Mixed Models (df=1,20). \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001

**Table 3:** Microscale watering and fertilization experiments. F values for the Linear Mixed Model 2003-2005 (df=1,13). \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001

	Watering with fertilization
Viability	0.23
Abortion	0.92
Parthenocarpy	0.46
Predation Trisetacus	0.75
Predation <i>Megastigmus</i>	0.04

**Table 4:** Macroscale F values for Linear regressions (df=9, notice the absence of samples from population 11). \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001

	Branch growth	Leaf longevity
Elevation	0.21	9.47** (R <sup>2</sup> =0.54; B=-0.74)
Latitude	2.55	1.19
Mean precipitation	2.43	0.44
Pp. prev. year	0.41	1.19
Temperature	0.37	0.45

**Table 5:** F values for Linear regressions testing for independence of macroscale factors (df=10) p<0.05: p<0.01: p<0.01

$\mu = 10$			p<0.01,	p<0.001
	Latitude	Mean	Mean	Tree
		precipitation	temperature	density
Elevation	1.03	0.03	1.47	0.26
Latitude	-	9.39*	6.35*	1.10
		(B=0.72;	(B=-0.64;	
		R <sup>2</sup> =0.51)	R <sup>2</sup> =0.41)	
Mean	-	-	10.92**	0.01
precipitation			(B=-0.74;	
			R <sup>2</sup> =0.55)	
Mean	-	-	-	0.07
temperature				



**Fig. 1:** *J. thurifera* Iberian distribution (dots, based on Gómez Manzaneque *et al.*, 1993) and studied sites (diamonds): 1-Nerpio; 2-Ossa de Montiel; 3-Puebla S. Miguel; 4-Saldón; 5-Portell de Morella; 6-Hornuez; 7-Purburrell; 8-Calatañazor; 9-Barrios de Luna; 10-Crémenes ; 11-Mt. de Rie.



**Fig. 2:** Precipitation in a given year correlates negatively with following year seed abortion (p<0.05; estimate=-1.3 $\cdot$ 10<sup>-4</sup>±6.5 $\cdot$ 10<sup>-5</sup>) and positively with seed predation (p<0.05; estimate=7.7 $\cdot$ 10<sup>-5</sup>±2.6 $\cdot$ 10<sup>-5</sup>) (LMM statistical values shown on table 2). Open dots represent seed abortion rates (0-1), filled dots represent seed predation by *Megastigmus*.