Inter-annual recurrence of archaeal assemblages in the coastal NW Mediterranean Sea (Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory)
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Abstract

We report a long-term (i.e., 4.5 consecutive yr) monitoring of surface marine archaeal assemblages of the coastal Mediterranean Sea using quantitative polymerase chain reaction against specific phylogenetic and functional genes, and, for some specific samples, clone libraries of the 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid gene. Archaea had a marked seasonal periodicity, with recurrent peaks of abundance in December and January and very low occurrence during summer, parallel to temporal changes in community composition. Group II.b Euryarchaeota sequences were mostly present during winter when water was nutrient-enriched, and phytoplankton were abundant. Group II.a sequences were, in turn, more abundant during summer when the water column is stratified, and nutrient concentrations and phytoplankton stocks were lower. Group I Crenarchaeota abundance was highest during winter and significantly correlated with that of archaeal ammonia monooxygenase (amoA) gene copies and nitrite concentrations, suggesting that Group I Crenarchaeota were ammonia oxidizers. The periodicity of archaeal assemblages matched the strong and predictable seasonality of the surface-water conditions in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, and suggests a low degree of functional redundancy among archaeal groups. The distinct seasonal dynamics for Group II.a and II.b Euryarchaeota, and their close association with major ecosystem processes, indicate that they may play an important but as yet largely unknown role in the ocean.

Marine archaea are important in terms of metabolic activity and abundance (Karner et al. 2001; Wuchter et al. 2006). The most common phyla in the ocean are Crenarchaeota Group I and Euryarchaeota Group II (Massana et al. 2000), while Euryarchaeota Group III is rare and restricted to deep waters (Galand et al. 2009a). The physiology and ecology of Group II Euryarchaeota remain poorly understood. Nevertheless, the genomes of some bathypelagic Group II Euryarchaeota enriched in putative anaerobic respiration components, suggest that some may have anaerobic pathways in the deep sea (Martin-Cuadrado et al. 2008). And conversely, in surface waters some phylotypes of Group II Euryarchaeota (a group often retrieved in the photic zone [Massana et al. 2000]), possess a gene coding for light-capturing proteorhodopsins (Frigaard et al. 2006), which may indicate that some Group II Euryarchaeota could use light as an additional energy source.

In contrast to the poor understanding of Euryarchaeota’s role in the ocean, intense research on Group I Crenarchaeota has led to key discoveries linking the group to carbon and nitrogen biogeochemical cycling. Several lines of evidence indicate that they are fixing CO₂ and that chemoautotrophy is the dominant archaeal metabolism in deep waters (Ingalls et al. 2006). The cultivation of Nitrosopumilus maritimus, an ammonia-oxidizing autotrophic Crenarchaeota (Könneke et al. 2005), and the widespread occurrence of the archaeal ammonia monooxygenase gene (amoA) in the sea (Francis et al. 2005) have further highlighted their importance in the nitrogen cycle. Even though Crenarchaeota may be more important than marine bacteria driving the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite (Wuchter et al. 2006), new data indicate that not all Crenarchaeota are ammonia-oxidizers living autotrophically (Agouge et al. 2008; Pouliot et al. 2009). Evidence that Crenarchaeota take up organic compounds such as amino acids (Ouverney and Fuhrman 2000) suggests that some Crenarchaeota could be heterotrophs or mixotrophs. Several studies have shown that Crenarchaeota tend to be more abundant in surface waters during winter under many different oceanic regimes (Santa Barbara Channel in California, waters off Antarctic Peninsula, and the North Sea [Massana et al. 1997; Murray et al. 1998; Herfort et al. 2007]). In warmer oligotrophic marine waters such as those of the Mediterranean Sea, Crenarchaeota have been detected in both surface and deep waters (Garcia-Martinez and Rodriguez-Valera 2000). Their seasonal variability remains, however, poorly understood because they sometimes more frequent in surface winter waters (Winter et al. 2009) but not always (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007).

Attempts to unveil the role and diversity of marine microbes are challenged by the spatial and temporal intricacy of the marine environment. One solution to deal with such complexity is to investigate many oceanic regimes, representing different environmental conditions,
through both large-scale projects like the Global Ocean Survey, or international cooperative efforts such as the International Census of Marine Microbes. Those extensive global approaches can, however, miss the temporal component of microbial dynamics. A complementary strategy is to monitor microbes and ancillary environmental parameters at only one location, but over a long period of time, through the implementation of microbial observatories. Such marine microbial observatories have demonstrated reoccurring and predictable patterns in bacterial communities (Fuhrman et al. 2006) and have unveiled bacterial seasonal dynamics (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007).

The long-term (> 2 yr) dynamics of marine archaea remain unexplored despite their important links with the oceanic carbon and nitrogen cycles. Here, we monitored archaeal communities in monthly intervals from January 2003 to May 2007 at the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory, a site representative of the coastal northwest Mediterranean Sea, and characterized by a marked seasonality with high irradiance, and relatively warm, salty, and nutrient-poor waters (Duarte et al. 1999). We tested the hypothesis that archaeal groups show recurrent and predictable seasonal patterns by quantifying whole Archaea, Group II Euryarchaeota, Group I Crenarchaeota, and the functional amoA genes by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). We also described phylotype dynamics through archaeal 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) gene cloning and sequencing at selected periods.

Methods

Sampling and environmental parameters—Surface seawater samples (0.5 m) were collected monthly at the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory (41°40′N, 2°48′E; 20 m depth) in the northwestern Mediterranean from January 2003 to May 2007 (53 samples). Water was filtered through a 200-μm-mesh net and kept in 25-liter polycarbonate carboys in the dark until being processed in the laboratory (within 1.5 h).

Methods for determination of surface-water temperature, chlorophyll a (Chl a) concentration, dissolved inorganic nutrient concentrations, and heterotrophic prokaryotes numbers were described earlier (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2008).

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction, PCR amplification, and cloning—Microbial biomass was collected by sequentially filtering 8 liters of seawater through a 3-μm-pore-size polycarbonate filter (Poretics) and a 0.2-μm Sterivex filter (Durapore, Millipore). Nucleic acids were extracted as described earlier (Massana et al. 1997). The concentration of DNA was measured by a NanoDrop. Archaeal 16S rRNA genes were amplified with primers 109F and 915R as previously described (Galand et al. 2009b). PCR products were purified with Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and cloned with TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). In total we constructed eight archaeal clone libraries covering year 2003. We chose to clone samples from year 2003 to allow comparison with bacterial sequences published earlier (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007). For each library, clones containing inserts were randomly chosen and directly sequenced using the vectors’ T7p primer. Putative chimeras were checked by using basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) with sequence segments separately. The 16S rRNA sequence data have been archived in the GenBank database under accession Nos. GQ387680-GQ387925.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR)—The number of whole archaeal and Group I crenarchaeal-specific 16S rRNA genes, as well as archaeal amoA gene copy numbers were quantified for all samples in triplicate following conditions, reagents, and efficiencies described earlier (Galand et al. 2009b). For Group II euryarchaeal 16S rRNA gene copies we used primers GII-554-f (GTC GMT TTT ATT GGG CCT AA; Massana et al. 1997) and Eury806-r (CAG AGC GTT TAC ACC TAG; Teira et al. 2004) with similar qPCR conditions but with 59°C annealing temperature. All reactions were performed with 2 ng of template on a DNA Engine Thermal Cycler with Chromo 4 Real-Time Detector (Bio-Rad) using the fluorescent dye SYBRGreen I. Standards obtained from purified PCR products of clones containing the targeted gene were subjected to qPCR along with the samples (Galand et al. 2009b). Primer specificity was confirmed by melting curve analyses.

The specificity of the qPCR primers was also estimated with the OligoCheck function within the Primerose software package (Ashelford et al. 2002) against a database containing the Blanes Bay sequences obtained by cloning. The qPCR primers generally matched well the Blanes Bay sequences but there were a few exceptions. The forward qPCR primer for Group I Crenarchaeota had two mismatches with all sequences belonging to cluster B (see Web Appendix, www.aslo.org/lo/toe/vol_55/issue_5/2117a.pdf). The reverse primer for Group II Euryarchaeota had one mismatch to all sequences from the VIM2-36 clade of Group II.a Cluster L (see Web Appendix). Because we were interested in monitoring dynamics rather than quantifying absolute copy numbers, and because qPCR primers may miss some archaeal targets, we decided to present the quantification results as relative to a yearly averaged value, rather than absolute copy numbers, as in Hansman et al. (2009). qPCR results were normalized for each year separately to avoid possible quantification bias associated with different length of DNA storage. DNA levels decline substantially over time (Sozzi et al. 2005) and the samples we stored for > 5 yr may yield lower copy numbers than the ones stored for 1 yr only. Results are, thus, expressed as a relative abundance of gene copies per ng of total DNA normalized to yearly averaged gene abundances (normalized average = 1).

Phylogenetic analysis, diversity calculations, and statistic analysis—Sequences were compared with those in the GenBank database using the BLAST server at the National Center for Biotechnology Information. The ~ 800–base-pair sequences were aligned using the multiple-sequence comparison by log-expectation (MUSCLE) pack-
age (Edgar 2004) and manually checked. Phylogenetic analyses were completed with the program PHYLIP version 3.68 (Felsenstein 2008). DNADIST was used to calculate genetic distances with Kimura-2 model with 1000 data sets obtained by bootstrapping, and the distance tree was estimated with FITCH.

Sequences were grouped in operational taxonomic units (OTU) with the program DOTUR (Schloss and Handelsman 2005) through a Jukes–Cantor-corrected distance matrix obtained using the DNADIST program from PHYLIP, and rarefaction curves were calculated at a 97% identity cut off.

Correlations between the relative abundances of Archaea, Group II Euryarchaeota, Group I Crenarchaeota, amoA, and environmental factors (temperature, salinity, Chl a, nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, phosphate, and silicate) were examined with the nonparametric Spearman’s coefficients ($r$) because some variables did not comply with normality. Correlations were tested for significance at $z = 0.05$ using a $t$-test as implemented in the program PAST, version 1.91 (Hammer et al. 2001).

### Results

**Physico-chemical and biological parameters**—Average monthly values measured throughout the 4.5-yr survey showed that surface-water temperatures had clear seasonality, with values averaging 22–24°C in summer (Jun to Sep) and 12–14°C in winter (Dec to Mar; Fig. 1A). Nitrate and nitrite also showed seasonality, with higher concentrations in winter than in summer. The highest nitrite concentrations were found between November and January, whereas nitrate peaked along February–March (Fig. 1A). Ammonium concentrations did not show any seasonal trend (data not shown).

Chl a concentrations were on average five times higher in winter and early spring than in summer (Fig. 1B), and were strongly correlated to nitrate (Spearman correlation, $n = 53$, $r = 0.65$, $p < 0.001$) and nitrite ($n = 53$, $r = 0.49$, $p = 0.01$) concentrations. Heterotrophic prokaryotes concentrations ranged between $0.5 \times 10^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$ and $1.5 \times 10^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$ and followed the Chl a dynamic during winter and spring.
Long-term archaeal and amoA gene dynamics—The inter-annual qPCR quantification of the general archaeal 16S rRNA gene showed that archaeal relative abundance was higher in winter than in summer, with peaks detected in early winter (Dec to Jan; Fig. 2). Concentrations of Archaea were significantly correlated to silicate only (negative correlation, \( n = 53, r = -0.30, p = 0.03 \)) among all the environmental parameters we tested (i.e., surface temperature, salinity, Chl \( a \), nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, phosphate, silicate). The archaeal peak matched, however, the early winter Chl \( a \) abundance, but did not follow the later Chl \( a \) dynamics corresponding to the main late-winter phytoplankton bloom (Fig. 2).

Specific qPCR quantifications were done for Group II Euryarchaeota (Fig. 2) and Group I Crenarchaeota (Fig. 3). Euryarchaeota abundance was correlated to the abundance of total Archaea (\( n = 53, r = 0.34, p = 0.01 \)) and followed a similar seasonal dynamic, with the highest abundance during winter (Nov to Mar) and a peak in December. The high abundance in September (Fig. 2) was due to an episodic peak of Group II Euryarchaeota in 2005 and was not considered as representative of the long-term euryarchaeal dynamics. Group I Crenarchaeota were more abundant during late autumn–early winter (Nov to Jan; Fig. 3) and were strongly correlated to nitrite concentrations (\( n = 53, r = 0.37, p < 0.01 \)) but not to nitrate or ammonium.

**Fig. 2.** Average seasonal abundance (± SE) of Archaeal and Euryarchaeal 16S rRNA gene. Gene copy numbers were quantified by qPCR through the period January 2003–May 2007 at the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory. Data are normalized to yearly average values (\( = 1 \)).

**Fig. 3.** Average seasonal abundance (± SE) of Group I *Crenarchaeota* 16S rRNA and archaeal amoA genes. Gene copy numbers were quantified by qPCR through the period January 2003–May 2007 at the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory. Data are normalized to yearly average values (\( = 1 \)).
Additionally, we surveyed the relative abundances of the Archaea-specific ammonia monooxygenase (aminoA) gene by qPCR. The amoA gene was consistently detected between November and April throughout the complete 4.5-yr study. During the remaining months, it was always below detection limits. The seasonal dynamics of the amoA genes closely followed the abundance of Group I Crenarchaeota (Fig. 3; n = 53, r = 0.47, p < 0.001) and its relative abundance was positively correlated to nitrate concentrations (n = 53, r = 0.38, p < 0.01).

Seasonal dynamics of the archaeal phylotypes—We constructed eight clone libraries and obtained 246 16S rRNA gene sequences covering an entire year (Jan 03 to Jan 04) to investigate the seasonal archaeal dynamics in detail. Rarefaction curves showed that some communities were still under-sampled but the abundant groups were probably well-covered at a 97% sequence identity cut off (Fig. 4). Most of the archaeal sequences belonged to Group II.a Euryarchaeota (43% of the sequences), II.b Euryarchaeota (31%), and Group I Crenarchaeota (18%). A few (<10%) were from the Euryarchaeota Group III, the freshwater LDS and RC-V clusters (Grosskopf et al. 1998; Glissman et al. 2004), Halobacteriaceae, and Methanospirillaceae (Fig. 5). The phylogenetic trees are given in the Web Appendix.

![Rarefaction curves for eight archaeal clone libraries from the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory. OTUs are defined at a 3% difference cut off between 16S rRNA gene fragments.](image)

![Seasonal composition of eight archaeal clone libraries, covering an entire year at the Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory in the northwest coastal Mediterranean Sea. Naming of the archaeal clusters K to O follows our own definition given in the Web Appendix.](image)
Group II euryarchaeal sequences were the most abundant all year round, except in March, and showed consistent seasonal changes in their relative abundances with marked differences between summer and winter (Fig. 5). Group II.b *Euryarchaeota* dominated the winter libraries, with the highest relative abundance in January (up to 60%; Fig. 5), and separated into two clusters (N and O) at a 90% similarity (see Web Appendix). Cluster O was predominant during late autumn and winter and contained mostly sequences belonging to the WHARN cluster (see Web Appendix). The WHARN cluster corresponds to phylotypes II-CC widely distributed in surface waters of various oceanic provinces (Massana et al. 2000). Cluster N only appeared anecdotally.

Group II.a was the most abundant archaeal type in the clone libraries from May to October and separated into three main clusters (K, L, M, with 89–93% similarity; see Web Appendix). Most sequences belonged to cluster L, followed by cluster M mostly detected in May–August (Fig. 5). The reverse *Euryarchaeota* qPCR primer had one mismatch to members of the cluster L (see Methods) and qPCR results may have underestimated the presence of cluster L and, thus, of *Euryarchaeota* generally during summer.

Crenarchaeotal sequences were more abundant during winter and all of them belonged to Group I, separated into two clusters at >93% similarity (clusters A and B; see Web Appendix). Most of the sequences were closely related to *Nitrosopumilus maritimus* and there were no seasonal differences in the distributions of the two Group I clusters.

Less abundant groups included Group III *Euryarchaeota* (see Web Appendix), present during winter and not detected between March and September (Fig. 5), one sequence from *Methanospirillaceae*, one *Halobacteriaceae*, and four sequences from the euryarchaeal LDS and RC-V clusters (see Web Appendix), all found in summer and autumn (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

Our long-term study of archaeal dynamics in the coastal northwest Mediterranean Sea revealed that archaea had a marked seasonal periodicity with recurrent peaks of relative abundance in December and January and lower occurrence during summer that matched changes in archaeal community composition. In winter, communities were dominated by Group II.b *Euryarchaeota*, whereas in summer Group II.a *Euryarchaeota* were more abundant. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that such a seasonal variation within Group II *Euryarchaeota* was observed. It suggests a probable niche partitioning between the two archaeal types, one developing in winter while the other is present during summer and in low numbers. There are currently no cultivated representatives of marine planktonic *Euryarchaeota* and their metabolic capabilities are not known. The discovery of proteorhodopsin in some genome fragments related to Group II.b suggests, however, that some may use light to gain a competitive advantage (Frigaard et al. 2006). It may explain why Group II.b *Euryarchaeota*, and particularly the ubiquitous WHARN cluster, is more abundant in surface waters and declines below the photic zone in many oceanic areas (Massana et al. 1997). Group II.b in Blanes Bay followed patterns of abundance similar to the winter phytoplankton such as the cyanobacteria *Prochlorococcus* (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007), the flagellate *Micromonas* (Foulon et al. 2008), and diatoms (Agustí and Duarte 2000). We, therefore, hypothesize that members of Group II.b *Euryarchaeota*, and more specifically members of the WHARN cluster, may be able to use light as an additional energy source, and start growing as soon as nutrient concentrations increase after water column mixing, in correspondence to the first peak of chlorophyll. *Euryarchaeota* tend, however, to disappear when the main late-winter phytoplankton bloom occurs and may at that time be out-competed by true phototrophs. Conversely, the presence in summer of Group II.a *Euryarchaeota* matched high-summer phytoplankton lysis rates (Agustí and Duarte 2000). Together with the occurrence of Group II.a in particle-rich coastal waters (Galand et al. 2008), the available data make us speculate that members of the Group II.a, and especially those grouping under cluster L, could have a heterotrophic metabolism possibly closely associated with the summer phytoplankton lysis. Future population-specific studies will help us understand the physiology and ecology of Group II.a and II.b. The different seasonal cycles shown here and the association with major ecosystem processes, such as phytoplankton blooms and lysis, already suggest that they may play separate important roles in the ocean.

The cyclic seasonal dynamics of archaea were different from that of total heterotrophic prokaryotes. In Blanes Bay, heterotrophic prokaryotes were mostly bacteria (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007), which suggests different responses of bacteria and archaea to environmental controls. During late winter, bacterial abundance increased according to Chl *a* concentrations, following phytoplankton growth. The late-winter phytoplankton bloom is triggered by the diffusion of nutrient-rich bottom water up to the surface when cold winter temperatures and strong northerly winds break the summer stratification (Duarte et al. 1999). In Blanes Bay, surface nutrient concentrations could also increase following strong rainy events associated with important run off (Guadayol et al. 2009). This late-winter peak of bacteria was characterized by the presence of the alphaproteobacterium *Roseobacter* (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007). In turn, the second peak of bacterial abundance in August corresponded to a community dominated by SAR11 (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007) that appeared at a time when the water column was stratified and nutrients depleted in the upper mixed layer (Duarte et al. 1999). This strong seasonality of both abiotic and biotic factors in the NW Mediterranean probably also influences archaeal dynamics. In particular, the time of summer stratification appears unfavorable for archaea in surface waters, as recently observed in the eastern Mediterranean Sea (Winter et al. 2009).

*Euryarchaeota* were always the dominant archaeal group in the clone libraries, even during the winter, with the exception of the month of March. This finding confirms preliminary results obtained by fluorescence in situ
hybridization showing that *Crenarchaeota* were always rare in Blanes Bay, whereas *Euryarchaeota* represented up to 6% of prokaryotes during winter (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2007). This winter predominance of *Euryarchaeota* contrasts with results showing *Crenarchaeota* dominating surface winter communities in the North Sea (Wuchter et al. 2006; Herfort et al. 2007) and the Southern Ocean (Murray et al. 1998).

Group I *Crenarchaeota* in Blanes Bay had, nevertheless, a seasonal periodicity illustrated by higher relative abundance during winter, with a peak in January. Group I is thought to play an important role in the ocean nitrogen cycle by oxidizing ammonia to nitrite (Francis et al. 2005; Wuchter et al. 2006). In Blanes Bay, winter Group I sequences were closely related to the only cultivated planktonic marine archaeum (i.e., *Nitrospumilus maritimus*; Köneke et al. 2005). *N. maritimus* is an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizer that produces nitrite, suggesting that the Group I *Crenarchaeota* detected in the Blanes Bay also have the potential to oxidize ammonia. The strong relation between the dynamics of the *amoA* genes (involved in ammonia-oxidation) and Group I, as well as the correlation between the abundance of Group I and nitrite in the water, are additional clues indicating the presence of crenarchaeal nitrifiers in the coastal northwest Mediterranean. Even though *Crenarchaeota* were not abundant, their activity may be seasonally important. Microbes occurring in low numbers can be functionally important, as shown earlier for nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Montoya et al. 2004) or hydrocarbon-degrading organisms (Teira et al. 2007). The strong peak of nitrite appearing during early winter could, thus, indicate that the *Crenarchaeota* present at that time of the year are actively oxidizing ammonia to nitrite. However, because phytoplankton can also release nitrite, *Crenarchaeota* might also contribute to the nitrite pool in the water column. This winter predominance of *Crenarchaeota* in Blanes Bay is related to the robust nature of the group I *Crenarchaeota* detected in the Blanes Bay also have the potential to oxidize ammonia. The strong relation between the dynamics of the *amoA* genes (involved in ammonia-oxidation) and Group I, as well as the correlation between the abundance of Group I and nitrite in the water, are additional clues indicating the presence of crenarchaeal nitrifiers in the coastal northwest Mediterranean. Even though *Crenarchaeota* were not abundant, their activity may be seasonally important. Microbes occurring in low numbers can be functionally important, as shown earlier for nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Montoya et al. 2004) or hydrocarbon-degrading organisms (Teira et al. 2007). The strong peak of nitrite appearing during early winter could, thus, indicate that the *Crenarchaeota* present at that time of the year are actively oxidizing ammonia to nitrite. However, because phytoplankton can also release nitrite, *Crenarchaeota* might also contribute to the nitrite pool in the water column. This winter predominance of *Crenarchaeota* in Blanes Bay is related to the robust nature of the group I *Crenarchaeota* detected in the Blanes Bay also have the potential to oxidize ammonia. The strong relation between the dynamics of the *amoA* genes (involved in ammonia-oxidation) and Group I, as well as the correlation between the abundance of Group I and nitrite in the water, are additional clues indicating the presence of crenarchaeal nitrifiers in the coastal northwest Mediterranean. Even though *Crenarchaeota* were not abundant, their activity may be seasonally important. Microbes occurring in low numbers can be functionally important, as shown earlier for nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Montoya et al. 2004) or hydrocarbon-degrading organisms (Teira et al. 2007). The strong peak of nitrite appearing during early winter could, thus, indicate that the *Crenarchaeota* present at that time of the year are actively oxidizing ammonia to nitrite. However, because phytoplankton can also release nitrite, *Crenarchaeota* might also contribute to the nitrite pool in the water column.
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