

1 **Genome size variation in the genus *Carthamus* L. (Asteraceae, Cardueae): systematic**
2 **implications and additive changes during allopolyploidization.**

3

4 Corresponding author: TERESA GARNATJE

5 Postal address: Institut Botànic de Barcelona (CSIC-Ajuntament de Barcelona), Passeig del

6 Migdia s.n., Parc de Montjuïc, 08038 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.

7 Telephone number: 0034932890611

8 Fax number: 0034932890614

9 E-mail address: laboratori@ibb.csic.es

10

11 Number of figures: 1

12 Number of tables: 2

13 Number of words in the abstract: 217

14 Number of words in the remaining text: 3653

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **Genome size variation in the genus *Carthamus* L. (Asteraceae, Cardueae): systematic**
2 **implications and additive changes during allopolyploidization.**

3

4 TERESA GARNATJE¹, * , SÒNIA GARCIA² , ROSER VILATERSANA¹ and JOAN
5 VALLÈS²

6 ¹*Institut Botànic de Barcelona (CSIC-Ajuntament de Barcelona), Passeig del Migdia s.n.,*
7 *Parc de Montjuïc, 08038 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.*

8 ²*Laboratori de Botànica, Facultat de Farmàcia, Universitat de Barcelona, Av. Joan XXIII,*
9 *s.n., 08028 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.*

10

11 GENOME SIZE IN *CARTHAMUS*.

12 *For correspondence. E-mail laboratori@ibb.csic.es

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 • *Background and Aims:* Plant genome size is an important biological characteristic,
2 embracing a host of interesting relationships, including systematics and ecology or
3 distribution. Currently, there is no information regarding nuclear DNA content for any
4 *Carthamus* species. In addition to improving this knowledge base, our research focuses on the
5 study of interspecific variation and its implications for the infrageneric classification of this
6 group. We also address genome size variation in the process of allopolyploid formation.
- 7 • *Methods:* Nuclear DNA samples from 34 populations of 16 species of the genus
8 *Carthamus* were assessed by flow cytometry based on propidium iodide staining.
- 9 • *Key Results:* The 2C values ranged from 2.26 pg for *Carthamus leucocaulos* to 7.46 pg
10 for *C. turkestanicus*, and monoploid genome size (1Cx-value) ranged from 1.13 pg in *C.*
11 *leucocaulos* to 1.53 pg in *C. alexandrinus*. Mean genome sizes differed significantly, based
12 on sectional classification. Both allopolyploid species (*C. creticus* and *C. turkestanicus*)
13 exhibited nuclear DNA contents in accordance with the sum of the putative parental C-values
14 (in one case with a slight reduction, frequent in polyploids), supporting their hybrid origin.
- 15 • *Conclusions:* Genome size represents a useful tool in delimiting systematic relationships
16 between closely related species. A considerable reduction in monoploid genome size, possibly
17 due to the hybrid formation, is also reported within these taxa.

18

19 **Key words:** Allopolyploidization, *Carthamus*, Compositae, C-value, DNA content, flow
20 cytometry, genome size, interspecific hybrids, systematics.

21

22

23

24

25

INTRODUCTION

1

2 As currently circumscribed (Vilatersana *et al.*, 2005), *Carthamus* includes 18 species
3 in two sections, *Carthamus* and *Atractylis* Rehb. Its distribution is centred in the east of the
4 Mediterranean basin. Some species (*C. creticus* L., *C. lanatus* L. and *C. leucocaulos* Sibth. &
5 Sm.) have colonized other Mediterranean regions, including Argentina, Australia, California
6 and South Africa, where they can be invasive (Knowles and Ashri, 1958; Ashri and Knowles,
7 1960; Hanelt, 1963; Estilai and Knowles, 1978). *Carthamus tinctorius* L. (safflower) is
8 widely cultivated for a variety of uses including oil extraction (Hanelt, 1963) and as a saffron
9 substitute.

10 Section *Atractylis*, includes an interesting group of allopolyploid species. Much early
11 work, based on morphology, karyology, experimental hybridizations and isozyme studies
12 (Ashri and Knowles, 1960; Harvey and Knowles, 1965; Khidir and Knowles, 1970*a, b*; Efron
13 *et al.*, 1973) postulated that *C. creticus* originated from *C. lanatus* and *C. leucocaulos*, and *C.*
14 *turkestanicus* Popov originated from *C. lanatus* and *C. glaucus* M. Bieb ssp. *glaucus*. Some
15 researchers regarded *C. lanatus* as an interspecific hybrid between one $x=10$ ancestor and
16 another $x=12$ (Ashri and Knowles, 1960). However, it is also possible that *C. lanatus* is an
17 autopolyploid (Vilatersana, unpubl. res.) originating from an $x=11$ ancestor, such as *C.*
18 *divaricatus* Beg. and Vaccari (Estilai and Knowles, 1976). The latter is a Libyan species that
19 has not been studied in the present work and that appears to be highly variable. The present
20 study addresses nearly all of the species of *Carthamus* encompassing its distribution
21 throughout the Mediterranean basin.

22 Three basic chromosome numbers occur in *Carthamus* ($x=10, 11$ and 12), excluding
23 the allotetraploids, which behave as diploids (there are no multivalents at the meiosis; Khidir
24 and Knowles 1970*a, b*), with $2n=64$.

1 The amount of nuclear DNA plays an important role in systematics (Kellogg, 1998;
2 Leitch *et al.*, 1998), and although originally it was primarily linked to the ecological and
3 physiological conditions of an organism, it has recently received increased focus within this
4 field. Since 1950, when the term C-value was coined by Swift (as applied to the amount of
5 DNA in the unreplicated haploid or gametic nucleus of an individual), considerable scientific
6 effort has been made, not only to increase information related to plant C-values (Bennett and
7 Leitch, 2004), but also to understand both the tremendous differences in DNA amounts
8 among various organisms, known as the C-value enigma (Gregory, 2001, 2005) and the
9 molecular mechanisms leading to increases or decreases in genome size (Petrov *et al.*, 2000;
10 Bennetzen *et al.*, 2005).

11 Numerous studies on nuclear DNA content in allopolyploids have been conducted
12 (Gerstel and Burns, 1966; Buitendijk *et al.*, 1997; Comai, 2000; Bennetzen, 2002; Liu and
13 Wendel, 2002; Ozkan *et al.*, 2003; Siško *et al.*, 2003; Bureš *et al.*, 2004). It now seems
14 apparent that in allopolyploids nuclear DNA content either corresponds to approximately the
15 sum of the parental genome sizes or is non-additive, with a smaller amount of nuclear DNA
16 for the hybrid than expected. On the other hand, changes in genome size within a narrow
17 group of species are believed to be a true indicator of the ongoing processes of speciation or
18 genetic divergence (Price, 1976; Murray, 2005).

19 The main goals of this study were: a) to assess the degree of variation (particularly
20 interspecific variation) in nuclear DNA content; b) to investigate the connection (if any)
21 between nuclear DNA content and the infrageneric classification of *Carthamus*; c) to
22 document the changes in genome size resulting from allopolyploidization; and d) to contribute
23 data on the C-values for this genus, since there are no previous studies on these species.

24

25

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1

2 *Plant material*

3 Table 1 shows the provenance of all material investigated. *Petunia hybrida* Vilm. 'PxPc6'
4 (2C=2.85 pg) and *Pisum sativum* L. 'Express Long' (2C=8.37 pg) were used as internal
5 standards for flow cytometric measurements (Marie and Brown, 1993). The seeds were
6 provided by the Institut des Sciences du Végétal, Gif-sur-Yvette (France). Voucher specimens
7 are preserved in the herbarium BC.

8

9 *DNA content assessment*

10 Fresh young leaves from the plants studied were co-chopped with an internal standard in 600
11 μ l of Galbraith's buffer (Galbraith *et al.*, 1983) supplemented with 100 μ g/ml ribonuclease A
12 (RNase A, Boehringer, Meylan, France) using a razor blade in a plastic Petri dish. To ensure
13 peak identification, the amount of the target species leaf (about 3 cm²) was approximately
14 twice that of the standard. Additionally, a sample containing only the standard was first
15 prepared and analysed to determine its peak position. Nuclei were filtered through a 30- μ m
16 nylon filter in order to eliminate cell debris before adding 60 μ g/ml of propidium iodide
17 (Sigma-Aldrich Química, Alcobendas, Madrid, Spain). Samples were kept on ice for 20 min
18 before measurement. Five individuals per species were analysed (except *C. ruber*, marked in
19 the table with an asterisk). Two samples from each individual were extracted and measured
20 independently. Fluorescence analysis was carried out using an Epics XL flow cytometer
21 (Coulter Corporation, Hialeah, Florida). The instrument was set up in the standard
22 configuration: excitation of the sample was conducted using a standard 488 nm air-cooled
23 argon-ion laser at 15 mW power. Forward scatter (FSC), side scatter (SSC) and red (620 nm)
24 fluorescence for propidium iodide were then acquired. Optical alignment was based on the
25 optimized signal from 10 nm fluorescent beads (Immunocheck, Epics Division). Time was

1 used as a control for the stability of the instrument. Red fluorescence was projected on a 1024
2 monoparametrical histogram. Aggregates were excluded, with single cells gated by area vs.
3 peak fluorescence signal. The total nuclear DNA content was calculated by multiplying the
4 known DNA content of the standard by the quotient between the 2C peak positions of the
5 target species and the standard in the histogram of fluorescence intensities, under the
6 assumption that there is a linear correlation between the fluorescent signals from stained
7 nuclei of the unknown specimen, the known internal standard, and DNA content.

8

9 *Statistical analyses*

10 The means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated from the means of individual plants.
11 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to evaluate whether the differences among
12 sections were significant or not. In those cases in which ANOVA revealed significant
13 differences, a least significant difference (LSD) test was performed. Statgraphics Plus 5.0
14 (Statistical Graphics Corp.) was used for the statistical analysis.
15 ANOVA was performed using 2C values and monoploid genome size as dependent variables
16 (1Cx, according to the recently proposed terms for genome size in Greilhuber *et al.*, 2005).

17

18

RESULTS

1 Data on nuclear DNA content and other karyological features are presented in Table 2. The
2 2C values ranged from 2.26 pg for *Carthamus leucocaulos* to 7.46 pg for *C. turkestanicus*,
3 and monoploid genome size (1Cx-value) ranged from 1.13 pg in *C. leucocaulos* to 1.53 pg in
4 *C. alexandrinus*. The analyses were of good quality [mean half peak coefficient of variation
5 (HPCV) = 4.54%]. According to both the literature and the Plant DNA C-values Database
6 (<http://www.rbgekew.org.uk/cval/homepage.html>; release 3.0, Bennett and Leitch, 2004), this
7 is the first study on genome size in the genus *Carthamus*.

8 9 DISCUSSION

10 *Systematic implications for infrageneric classification*

11 The means of 2C values were significantly different between the two sections, *Carthamus*
12 (2.70 pg) and *Atractylis* (4.33 pg), considered by Vilatersana *et al.* (2005) (P=0.0103). When
13 the allopolyploids were omitted from the analysis, the means were no longer significantly
14 different (P=0.1009). This suggests that these differences in genome size might be attributable
15 to the presence of putative hybrids, with ploidy levels of 4x and 6x, since all other species are
16 diploid (see Table 2). To prevent this effect, that is, to avoid the bias due to inclusion of data
17 from species with different ploidy levels, the analyses were carried out using the 1Cx-value
18 (monoploid genome size) as a variable. Means of 1Cx values (1.32 pg for *Atractylis* and 1.35
19 pg for *Carthamus*) between two sections are not significantly different (P=0.4711). This
20 seemingly results from the low monoploid genome size of the allopolyploid taxa, lowering the
21 mean of the section. However, when these putative hybrids are eliminated from the analysis,
22 the means still remain not significantly different (P=0.1076).

23 Considered as a whole, these results lead to the conclusion that differences in genome
24 size within this species group go further than those due to formation of allopolyploids. These

1 results also suggest that, in addition to polyploidy, other differential features are present in
2 their genome.

3 The dendrogram shown in Fig. 1 illustrates the differentiation among three clusters.
4 Clusters A and C include the species of section *Atractylis*. One (C) is formed by the
5 allopolyploid species. Sectional classification should not be constructed on the basis of hybrid
6 characteristics, i.e. on the assumption that allopolyploids form a separate clade only because
7 they are polyploid and of hybrid origin, and not for possessing characteristics sufficiently
8 different from the remaining species to constitute an entirely independent section. However,
9 this group also includes *C. leucocaulos* and *C. nitidus*, both from section *Atractylis*, but not
10 allopolyploid. The former is a species with an insular distribution (Greek islands), a fact
11 possibly related to a reduction in genome size as compared with the species of cluster A,
12 where they should be included. This reduction may result from colonization pressures
13 (Garnatje *et al.*, unpubl. res., Suda *et al.*, 2003), supporting the hypothesis that small C-values
14 were an evolutionary advantage under the pressures of insular selection. According to Estilai
15 and Knowles (1978), *C. leucocaulos* has a morphological appearance rather different from
16 most of the remaining species in this genus although, it is quite similar to *C. nitidus*. The
17 second, *C. nitidus*, has been regarded as a “link species” between sections *Atractylis* and
18 *Carthamus*, (Vilatersana *et al.*, 2000).

19 Thus, cluster C includes the species of section *Atractylis* with a lower monoploid DNA
20 amount. This finding could reflect the process of allopolyploid hybrid formation in section
21 *Atractylis* and the decrease in monoploid genome size that this phenomenon leads to, as
22 Ozkan *et al.* (2003) noted in *Aegilops-Triticum*, whereby the DNA loss detected during
23 allopolyploidization may represent a pre-programmed adaptive response, as a mechanism
24 which could stabilize polyploid genomes, to the genomic stress resulting from hybridization
25 and allopolyploidy.

1 The other cluster (B) corresponds to the section *Carthamus*, apart from *C. dentatus*
2 *ssp. ruber* and *C. tenuis*, which appear within this section *Carthamus* cluster, although they
3 have previously been placed in section *Atractylis*. Although the explanation for this remains
4 unclear, it is possible that both of these species are related to *C. nitidus*, with which they share
5 a more basal position in the phylogeny (Vilatersana *et al.*, unpublished data). This would also
6 agree with Leitch *et al.* (1998), who found that most primitive species have smaller nuclear
7 DNA contents.

8 Moreover, the case of the populations studied of *C. lanatus* shows that insularity could
9 also explain the reduction in genome size. Continental species have more DNA than those
10 from islands and within these latter, the population from Formentera, the smallest island and
11 consequently the island subject to higher selection constraints, has a significantly ($P=0.0019$)
12 lower nuclear DNA amount than the population from Crete (4.62 vs. 4.75, a difference of
13 2.81%).

14

15 *Cytogenetic implications*

16 The ANOVA results demonstrate that in both cases the means of total nuclear DNA content
17 (2C values) differ significantly in relation to chromosome number and ploidy level
18 ($P\leq 0.0001$). When the ANOVA is performed using the monoploid genome size (1Cx),
19 significant differences result when the independent variable is either the ploidy level
20 ($P=0.0005$) or the chromosome number ($P\leq 0.0001$), as expected.

21 The multiple range test (LSD) shows that all of the means are significantly different
22 between the four chromosome numbers, except those between $2n=44$ and $2n=64$. Monoploid
23 genome size decreases with increasing chromosome number. Mean 1Cx values are
24 significantly different between diploids and tetraploids, as well as between diploids and
25 hexaploids, but are not significant between the two groups of polyploids.

1
2 *Hybrid species*

3 A number of researchers (Khidir and Knowles, 1970a; Estilai and Knowles, 1978; Vilatersana
4 *et al.*, 2005,) support the hypothesis that *C. creticus* ($2n=64$) is an allopolyploid derived from
5 *C. lanatus* ($2n=44$) and *C. leucocaulos* ($2n=20$). The sum of the 2C values for *C. lanatus*
6 (4.73 pg) and *C. leucocaulos* (2.26 pg) results in 6.99 pg, almost the same as the 2C value for
7 *C. creticus* (6.98 pg). When analysing the origins of *C. turkestanicus* ($2n=64$), an
8 allopolyploid derived from *C. lanatus* ($2n=44$) and *C. glaucus* ssp. *glaucus* ($2n=20$), the sum
9 of the 2C values of the parental species was 7.73 pg, whereas the mean of the four *C.*
10 *turkestanicus* populations was 7.35 pg (7.29, 7.31, 7.32, and 7.46). These putative hybrids, *C.*
11 *creticus* and *C. turkestanicus*, are regarded as stabilized, and although of polyploid origin,
12 they currently behave as diploids. In both cases, nuclear DNA amounts of the hybrid species
13 fell slightly below the sum of the genome sizes of the parental species. The genome size of *C.*
14 *creticus* nearly coincides with the sum of those of *C. lanatus* and *C. leucocaulos*, a finding
15 consistent with the hypothesis that these species were its progenitors. This would not,
16 however, exclude other possible parents. In the case of *C. turkestanicus*, its less-than-expected
17 nuclear DNA content could be explained in terms of non-additive changes in genome size as
18 discussed by Ozkan *et al.* (2003).

19 These results are consistent with studies on genome size in hybrid species; natural
20 hybrids with a lower nuclear DNA amount than the sum of those of the parents, have been
21 recorded in the genus *Cirsium* (Bureš *et al.*, 2004). A similar situation has been found in
22 artificial hybrids produced by embryo rescue in the genus *Cucurbita* (Šiško *et al.*, 2003).

23 For the most part, *Carthamus* sp. exhibited low nuclear DNA amounts compared with
24 the plant DNA C-values recorded to date (Plant DNA C-values Database, Bennett and Leitch,
25 2004). The success of weeds has been linked to small genome size, which, among other
26 advantages, helps them to establish quickly and develop rapidly throughout their life cycle

1 (Bennett *et al.*, 1998). Supporting this hypothesis, all these species are annuals and weeds.
2 Conversely, some of the species of hybrid origin, particularly *C. creticus* and *C. lanatus*, have
3 relatively high nuclear DNA contents and also display a more aggressive invasive character,
4 colonizing areas of Australia and the United States (Peirce, 1992).

5

6

7 *Concluding remarks*

8 Analyses of genome size in this genus do not provide additional evidence for recognition of
9 two (*Atractylis* and *Carthamus*) sections, although they shows that the species of sect.
10 *Carthamus* form a distinct cluster. Putative hybrid taxa, however, are clearly differentiated
11 from the remaining species due to their decreased monoploid genome size, probably a
12 consequence of allopolyploidization. We have also verified in these species that 1Cx values
13 decrease with increasing ploidy levels, and that those taxa of presumed allopolyploid origin
14 exhibit a total nuclear DNA content more or less equal to, or a little less than, the sum of the
15 parental species. Finally, the most invasive *Carthamus* sp. exhibit an increased genome size
16 but a decreased chromosome number, with respect to the other taxa of the genus. From the
17 perspective of genome size study, it would be of great interest to see whether the patterns of
18 DNA content variation in allopolyploids, weeds and island colonizers demonstrated in this
19 study are also evident within other plant groups.

20

21

22

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

23

24 This work was subsidized by DGICYT (Spanish government; projects BOS2001-3041-C02-
25 01 and CGL 2004-04563-C02-02/BOS). We gratefully thank Prof. J. Greilhuber, Dr. M. Fay

1 and ana anonymous referee for their advices, which improved the manuscript. We would
2 acknowledge the support of Miquel Veny (Institut Botànic de Barcelona), who carefully
3 maintained the plants analysed in this study. We thank Jaume Comas, Ricard Álvarez (Serveis
4 Científicotècnics generals, Universitat de Barcelona) and Màrius Mumbrú (Laboratori de
5 Botànica, Facultat de Farmàcia, Universitat de Barcelona) for their assistance, R. Rycroft for
6 the English language revision and Spencer C. Brown and Olivier Catrice (Institut des
7 Sciences du Végétal, CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette) for supplying *Petunia hybrida* and *Pisum*
8 *sativum*, used as internal standards. One author (S.G.) is the recipient of a pre-doctoral grant
9 from the Spanish government.

10

11

12

1 LITERATURE CITED

2

3 **Ashri A, Knowles PF. 1960.** Cytogenetics of safflower (*Carthamus* L.) species and their
4 hybrids. *Agronomy Journal* **52**: 11-17.

5 **Battandier JA. 1888.** Dicotylédones. In: Battandier JA, Trabut A., eds. *Flore de l'Algérie.*
6 *Ancienne Flore d'Alger transformée, contenant la description de toutes les plantes signalées*
7 *jusqu'à ce jour comme spontanées en Algérie.* Alger, Typographie Adolphe Jourdan; Paris,
8 Librairie F. Savy.

9 **Bennett MD, Leitch IJ, Hanson L. 1998.** DNA amounts in two samples of angiosperm
10 weeds. *Annals of Botany* **82** (Suppl. A): 121-134.

11 **Bennett MD, Leitch IJ. 2004.** Plant DNA C-values Database (release 3.0, December 2004).
12 <http://www.rbgekew.org.uk/cval/homepage.html>.

13 **Bennetzen JL. 2002.** Opening the door to comparative plant biology. *Science* **296**: 60-63.

14 **Bennetzen JL, Ma J, Devos KM. 2005.** Mechanisms of recent genome size variation in
15 flowering plants. *Annals of Botany* **95**: 127-132.

16 **Bentham G. 1873.** Compositae. In: Bentham G, Hooker JD, eds. *Genera plantarum* 2(1).
17 London.

18 **Boissier E. 1873.** *Flora orientalis, sive enumeratio plantarum in Oriente a Graecia et*
19 *Aegypto ad Indiae fines hucusque observatarum.* Geneva.

20 **Buitendijk JH, Boon EJ, Ramanna MS. 1997.** Nuclear DNA content in twelve species of
21 *Alstroemeria* L. and some of their hybrids. *Annals of Botany* **79**: 343–353.

22 **Bureš P, Wang YF, Horová L, Suda J. 2004.** Genome size variation in central European
23 species of *Cirsium* (Compositae) and their natural hybrids. *Annals of Botany* **94**: 353-363.

24 **Cassini H. 1819.** [Different articles]. *Dictionnaire de Sciences Naturelles.* Paris. Cited by:
25 King R, Dawson HW. 1975. *Cassini on Compositae.* Oriole, New York.

- 1 **Comai L. 2000.** Genetic and epigenetic interactions in allopolyploid plants. *Plant Molecular*
2 *Biology* **43**: 387-399.
- 3 **De Candolle AP. 1838.** *Prodromus systematis naturalis regni vegetabilis*, 6. Paris.
- 4 **Doležel J, Bartos J, Voglmayr H, Greilhuber J. 2003.** Nuclear DNA content and genome
5 size of trout and human. *Cytometry* **51A**: 127-128.
- 6 **Efron Y, Peleg M, Ashri A. 1973.** Alcohol dehydrogenase allozymes in the safflower genus
7 *Carthamus*. *Biochemical Genetics* **9**: 299-308.
- 8 **Estilai A, Knowles PF. 1976.** Cytogenetic studies of *Carthamus divaricatus* with eleven
9 pairs of chromosomes and its relationship to other *Carthamus* species (Compositae).
10 *American Journal of Botany* **63**: 771-782.
- 11 **Estilai A, Knowles PF. 1978.** Relationship of *Carthamus leucocaulos* to other *Carthamus*
12 species (Compositae). *Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology* **20**: 221-233.
- 13 **Galbraith DW, Harkins KR, Maddox JM, Ayres NM, Sharma DP, Firoozabadi E. 1983.**
14 Rapid flow cytometric analysis of the cell cycle in intact plant tissues. *Science* **220**: 1049-
15 1051.
- 16 **Gerstel DU, Burns JA. 1966.** Flower variegation in hybrids between *Nicotiana tabacum* and
17 *N. otophora*. *Genetics* **53**: 331-338.
- 18 **Gregory TR. 2001.** Coincidence, coevolution or causation? DNA content, cell size, and the
19 C-value enigma. *Biological Reviews* **76**: 65-101.
- 20 **Gregory TR. 2005.** The C-value enigma in plants and animals: a review of parallels and an
21 appeal for partnership. *Annals of Botany* **95**: 133-146.
- 22 **Greilhuber J, Doležel J, Lysák MA, Bennett MD. 2005.** The origin, evolution and proposed
23 stabilization of the terms “genome size” and “C-value” to describe nuclear DNA contents.
24 *Annals of Botany* **95**: 255-260.

- 1 **Hanelt P. 1963.** Monographische Übersicht der Gattung *Carthamus* L. (Compositae). *Feddes*
2 *Repertorium Speciarum Novarum Regni Vegetabilis* **67**: 41-180.
- 3 **Harvey BL, Knowles PF. 1965.** Natural and artificial allopolyploids with 22 pairs of
4 chromosomes in the genus *Carthamus* (Compositae). *Canadian Journal of Genetics and*
5 *Cytology* **7**: 126-139.
- 6 **Hellwig FH. 2004.** Centaureinae (Asteraceae) in the Mediterranean - history of
7 ecogeographical radiation. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **246**: 137-162.
- 8 **Hoffmann O. 1894.** Compositae. In: Engler A, Prantl K, eds. *Die natürlichen*
9 *Pflanzenfamilien* **4**: 87-387. Leipzig.
- 10 **Kellogg EA. 1998.** Relationships of cereal crops and other grasses. *Proceedings of the*
11 *National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **95**: 2005-2010.
- 12 **Khidir MO, Knowles PF. 1970a.** Cytogenetic studies of *Carthamus* species (Compositae)
13 with 32 pairs of chromosomes. I. Intrasectional hybridization. *American Journal of Botany*
14 **57**: 123-129.
- 15 **Khidir MO, Knowles PF. 1970b.** Cytogenetic studies of *Carthamus* species (Compositae)
16 with 32 pairs of chromosomes. II. Intersectional hybridization. *Canadian Journal of Genetics*
17 *and Cytology* **12**: 90-99.
- 18 **Knowles PF, Ashri A. 1958.** Wild safflower in California. *California Agriculture* **12**: 4-5.
- 19 **Leitch IJ, Chase MW, Bennett MD. 1998.** Phylogenetic analysis of DNA C-values
20 provides evidence for a small ancestral genome size in flowering plants. *Annals of Botany* **82**
21 (Suppl. A): 85-94.
- 22 **Liu B, Wendel JF. 2002.** Non-Mendelian phenomena in allopolyploid genome evolution.
23 *Current Genomics* **3**: 489-505.
- 24 **López G. 1990.** Acerca de la clasificación natural del género *Carthamus* L., s. l. *Anales del*
25 *Jardín Botánico de Madrid* **47**: 11-34.

- 1 **Marie D, Brown SC. 1993.** A cytometric exercise in plant DNA histograms, with 2C values
2 for 70 species. *Biology of the Cell* **78**: 41-51.
- 3 **Murray BG. 2005.** When does intraspecific C-value variation become taxonomically
4 significant? *Annals of Botany* **95**: 119-125.
- 5 **Ozkan H, Tuna M, Arumuganathan K. 2003.** Nonadditive changes in genome size during
6 allopolyploidization in the wheat (*Aegilops-Triticum*) group. *Journal of Heredity* **94**: 260-264.
- 7 **Peirce JR. 1992.** The biology of Australian weeds 23. *Carthamus lanatus* L. *Plant Protection*
8 *Quarterly* **7**: 86-95.
- 9 **Petrov DA, Sangster TA, Johnston JS, Hartl DL, Shaw KL. 2000.** Evidence for DNA loss
10 as a determinant of genome size. *Science* **287**: 1060-1062.
- 11 **Pomel A. 1874.** Nouveaux matériaux pour la Flore Atlantique. Paris.
- 12 **Price HJ. 1976.** Evolution of DNA content in higher plants. *Botanical Reviews* **42**: 27-52.
- 13 **Šiško M, Ivančič A, Bohanec B. 2003.** Genome size analysis in the genus *Cucurbita* and its
14 use for determination of interespecific hybrids obtained using the embryo-rescue technique.
15 *Plant Science* **165**: 663-669.
- 16 **Suda J, Kyncl T, Freiová R. 2003.** Nuclear DNA amounts in Macaronesian angiosperms.
17 *Annals of Botany* **92**: 153-164.
- 18 **Swift H. 1950.** The constancy of desoxyribose nucleic acid in plant nuclei. *Proceedings of the*
19 *National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **36**: 634-654.
- 20 **Vilatersana R. 2002.** *Delimitació genèrica del complex Carthamus-Carduncellus: un assaig*
21 *de biosistemàtica i sistemàtica molecular*. PhD Thesis, University of Barcelona, Catalonia,
22 Spain.
- 23 **Vilatersana R, Martin J, Susanna A, Garcia-Jacas N, Garnatje T. 2000.** Generic
24 delimitation and phylogeny of the *Carduncellus-Carthamus* complex (Asteraceae) based on
25 ITS sequences. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **221**: 89-51.

- 1 **Vilatersana R, Garnatje T, Susanna A, Garcia-Jacas N. 2005.** Taxonomic problems in
- 2 *Carthamus* (Asteraceae): RAPD markers and sectional classification. *Botanical Journal of the*
- 3 *Linnean Society* **147**: 375-383.

1 TABLE 1. *Origin of the material studied (vouchers are in the herbarium BC).*

Species	Origin of materials
Sect. <i>Atractylis</i>	
<i>Carthamus alexandrinus</i> (Boiss. & Heldr.) Asch.	EGYPT, Alexandria: between El Amiriya and Bourg-el-Arab, <i>Susanna 1835 and Vilatersana</i> , 7 Jun. 1998. EGYPT, Alexandria: 10 km from Bourg-el-Arab, <i>Susanna 1843 and Vilatersana</i> , 7 Jun. 1998. EGYPT, Alexandria: 106 km East of Marsa Matruh, <i>Susanna 1858 and Vilatersana</i> , 8 Jun. 1998.
<i>Carthamus anatolicus</i> (Boiss.) G. Samuelsson in Rech. f.	ISRAEL, Messilot: near Shehulot. Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung, Gatersleben. Cart 43/76. ISRAEL, Kefar Shammai. Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung, Gatersleben. Cart 53/76.
<i>Carthamus boissieri</i> Halácsy	GREECE, Crete: Rethymnon, road between Asomatos and Moni Preveli, <i>Vilatersana 30</i> , 7 Jul. 1996. GREECE, Crete: Hania, Drapanon Peninsula, <i>Vilatersana 36</i> , 9 Jul. 1996. GREECE. Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung, Gatersleben. Cart 85/99.
<i>Carthamus creticus</i> L.	MOROCCO, Al Hoceima: 38 km S of Al Hoceima on the road to Nador, <i>Garnatje, Susanna 1772 and Vilatersana</i> , 15 Jun. 1997. EGYPT, Alexandria: near El Amiriya, <i>Susanna 1851 and Vilatersana</i> , 7 Jun. 1998.
<i>Carthamus dentatus</i> Vahl ssp. <i>ruber</i> (Link) Hanelt	GREECE, Crete: Rethymnon, road N-97 between Rotosi and Mesohorio, <i>Vilatersana 44</i> , 14 Jul. 1996.
<i>Carthamus glaucus</i> M. Bieb. ssp. <i>glaucus</i>	TURKEY, Ahar Dağ: Tekeyatağ, 1500 m. <i>Ertuğrul, Garcia-Jacas, Susanna 2338 and Uysal</i> , 4 Aug. 2002.
<i>Carthamus lanatus</i> L.	GREECE, Crete: Rethymnon, between road N-77 and necropolis Minois, <i>Vilatersana 27</i> , 7 Jul. 1996. SPAIN, Soria: between Morcuera and Montejo de Tiermes. <i>Garcia-Jacas and Susanna 2444B</i> , 15 Aug. 2003. ITALY, Calabria: road SS-106, km 256, near Neto river, <i>Carretero, Pignone, Sonante and Vilatersana 207</i> , 22 Jul. 2003. SPAIN, Balearic Islands: Formentera, <i>Garnatje and Vilatersana 413</i> , 18 Apr. 2005.

- Carthamus lanatus* L.
ssp. *montanus* (Pomel)
Gahand et Maire. TUNISIA, Gulf of Tunis: Cedria Plage, Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung, Gatersleben. Cart 84/95.
- Carthamus leucocaulos*
Sibth. & Sm. GREECE, Crete: Hania, base of Mount Hrissokalitissas, *Vilatersana 40*, 11 Jul. 1996.
- Carthamus nitidus*
Boiss. ISRAEL: Negev Desert, Dead Sea, *R. Levy*, Sep. 1997.
- Carthamus tenuis*
(Boiss. & Blanche)
Bornm. ISRAEL: Jordan Valley, *R. Levy*, Sep. 1997.
- Carthamus turkestanicus*
Popov ARMENIA, Ararat: near Surenavan along a water conduction 1 km from the road, *Fajvush, Gabrielyan, Garcia-Jacas, Guara, Hovannisyan, Susanna 1532, Tamanyan and Vallès*, 19 Aug. 1995.
ARMENIA, Ekhegnazdor: near Agarakadzor, *Fajvush, Gabrielyan, Garcia-Jacas, Guara, Hovannisyan, Susanna 1551N, Tamanyan and Vallès*, 20 Aug. 1995.
IRAN, Azarbayjan-e-Shargui: 35 km from Tabriz on the road to Ahar, *Garcia-Jacas, Mozaffarian, Susanna 1656 and Vallès*, 5 Aug. 1996.
UZBEKISTAN, Tashkent: between Jizak and Tashkent, *Kapustina, Khassanov, Susanna 2064B and Vallès*, 8 Oct. 1999.
- Sect. *Carthamus*
- Carthamus gypsicola*
Iljin ARMENIA, Ararat: Vedi, *Fajvush, Gabrielyan, Garcia-Jacas, Guara, Hovannisyan, Susanna 1579, Tamanyan and Vallès*, 25 Aug. 1995.
- Carthamus oxyacantha*
M. Bieb. IRAN, Tehran: Sorkhehesar near Tehran, *Garcia-Jacas, Mozaffarian, Susanna 1626 and Vallès*, 2 Aug. 1996.
IRAN, Azarbayjan-e-Gharbi: 30 km from Khoy on the road to Orumiyeh, *Garcia-Jacas, Mozaffarian, Susanna 1689 and Vallès*, 2 Aug. 1996.
- Carthamus palaestinus*
Eig ISRAEL. USDA, Western Regional Plant Introduction Station. Pullman, Washington PI 235663.
- Carthamus persicus*
Desf. ex Willd. LEBANON. USDA, Western Regional Plant Introduction Station. Pullman, Washington PI 243151.
TURKEY, Elaziğ: road from Elaziğ to Bingöl. *Ertuğrul, Garcia-Jacas, Susanna 2358 and Uysal*, 6 Aug. 2002.
- Carthamus tinctorius* L. KAZAKHSTAN, Irsu: 1 km from Rayerka, near of Aksu Canyon. *Ivaschenko, Susanna 2190 and Vallès*, 30 Aug. 2000.

SLOVENIA, Ljubljana: Botanical Garden.
SPAIN, Huesca: *S. Castroviejo*, 20 Sep. 1984.
UZBEKISTAN, Samarkand: between Samarkand and
Bukhara, *Khassanov*, Nov. 1999.

TABLE 2. Nuclear DNA content and the other karyological features of the populations studied.

Taxa	2C ± SD (pg) ¹	2C (Mbp) ²	2n ³	Ploidy level	1Cx ⁴	Standard ⁵
<i>Sect. Atractylis</i>						
<i>C. alexandrinus</i> , S-1835	3.02 ± 0.20	2953.56	20	2x	1.51	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. alexandrinus</i> , S-1843	2.99 ± 0.04	2924.22	20	2x	1.50	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. alexandrinus</i> , S-1858	3.06 ± 0.11	2992.68	20	2x	1.53	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. anatolicus</i> , 53/76	2.96 ± 0.03	2894.88	20	2x	1.48	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. anatolicus</i> , 43/76	2.99 ± 0.06	2924.22	20	2x	1.50	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. boissieri</i> , V-30	2.89 ± 0.03	2826.42	20	2x	1.45	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. boissieri</i> , V-36	2.94 ± 0.01	2875.32	20	2x	1.47	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. boissieri</i> , Greece	2.95 ± 0.18	2885.10	20	2x	1.48	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. creticus</i> , S-1772	7.06 ± 0.11	6904.68	64	6x	1.18	<i>Petunia</i>
<i>C. creticus</i> , S-1851	6.89 ± 0.07	6738.42	64	6x	1.15	<i>Petunia</i>
<i>C. dentatus</i> subsp. <i>ruber</i>	2.70*	2640.60	20	2x	1.35	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. glaucus</i> subsp. <i>glaucus</i>	3.00 ± 0.08	2934.00	20	2x	1.50	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. lanatus</i> , V-27	4.75 ± 0.05	4645.50	44	4x	1.19	<i>Petunia</i>
<i>C. lanatus</i> , V-207	4.76 ± 0.08	4655.28	44	4x	1.19	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. lanatus</i> , S-2444B	4.80 ± 0.07	4694.40	44	4x	1.20	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. lanatus</i> , V-413	4.62±0.02	4518.36	44	4x	1.16	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. lanatus</i> subsp. <i>montanus</i>	4.83 ± 0.06	4723.74	44	4x	1.21	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. leucocaulos</i>	2.26 ± 0.02	2210.28	20	2x	1.13	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. nitidus</i>	2.44 ± 0.04	2386.32	24	2x	1.22	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. tenuis</i>	2.74 ± 0.07	2679.72	20	2x	1.37	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. turkestanicus</i> , S-1532	7.32 ± 0.11	7158.96	64	6x	1.22	<i>Petunia</i>
<i>C. turkestanicus</i> , S-1551N	7.46 ± 0.17	7295.88	64	6x	1.24	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. turkestanicus</i> , S-1656	7.29 ± 0.05	7129.62	64	6x	1.22	<i>Petunia</i>
<i>C. turkestanicus</i> , S-2064B	7.31 ± 0.11	7149.18	64	6x	1.22	<i>Petunia</i>
<i>Sect. Carthamus</i>						

<i>C. gypsicola</i>	2.71 ± 0.06	2650.38	24	2x	1.36	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. oxyacantha</i> , S-1626	2.58 ± 0.02	2523.24	24	2x	1.29	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. oxyacantha</i> , S-1689	2.62 ± 0.06	2562.36	24	2x	1.31	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. palaestinus</i>	2.82 ± 0.06	2757.96	24	2x	1.41	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. persicus</i> , Lebanon	2.65 ± 0.08	2591.70	24	2x	1.33	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. persicus</i> , S-2358	2.65 ± 0.06	2591.70	24	2x	1.33	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. tinctorius</i> , S-2190	2.77 ± 0.04	2709.06	24	2x	1.39	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. tinctorius</i> , Uzbekistan	2.76 ± 0.07	2699.28	24	2x	1.38	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. tinctorius</i> , Huesca	2.79 ± 0.05	2728.62	24	2x	1.40	<i>Pisum</i>
<i>C. tinctorius</i> , Ljubljana	2.68 ± 0.04	2621.04	24	2x	1.34	<i>Pisum</i>

¹2C nuclear DNA content (mean value ± standard deviation of 10 samples). ²1 pg = 978 Mbp (Doležel *et al.*, 2003). ³Somatic chromosome number. ⁴Monoploid genome size (2C value divided by ploidy level) ⁵Internal standard used in each case (see text for details regarding *Pisum* and *Petunia*).

*Only one individual was measured.

FIGURE 1. Nearest Neighbor Method dendrogram based on Cx values, showing the squared Euclidean distance of the *Carthamus* taxa analysed.

