

Eme	reine	Micro	bes
& In	fectio	ons	

Emerging Microbes & Infections



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/temi20

The widespread presence of a family of fish virulence plasmids in *Vibrio vulnificus* stresses its relevance as a zoonotic pathogen linked to fish farms

Héctor Carmona-Salido, Belén Fouz, Eva Sanjuán, Miguel Carda, Christian M. J. Delannoy, Neris García-González, Fernando González-Candelas & Carmen Amaro

To cite this article: Héctor Carmona-Salido, Belén Fouz, Eva Sanjuán, Miguel Carda, Christian M. J. Delannoy, Neris García-González, Fernando González-Candelas & Carmen Amaro (2021) The widespread presence of a family of fish virulence plasmids in *Vibrio vulnificus* stresses its relevance as a zoonotic pathogen linked to fish farms, Emerging Microbes & Infections, 10:1, 2128-2140, DOI: 10.1080/22221751.2021.1999177

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.1999177</u>

9	© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.	+	View supplementary material 🖸
	Published online: 18 Nov 2021.		Submit your article to this journal 🗹
111	Article views: 663	Q	View related articles 🗗
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗹		



Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

The widespread presence of a family of fish virulence plasmids in *Vibrio vulnificus* stresses its relevance as a zoonotic pathogen linked to fish farms

Héctor Carmona-Salido [®] ^a#, Belén Fouz [®] ^a#, Eva Sanjuán [®] ^a, Miguel Carda [®] ^a, Christian M. J. Delannoy [®] ^b, Neris García-González [®] ^c, Fernando González-Candelas [®] ^{c,d} and Carmen Amaro [®]

^aDepartamento de Microbiología y Ecología, & Estructura de Recerca Interdisciplinar en Biotecnologia i Biomedicina (ERI BIOTECMED), Universitat de València. Burjassot, Valencia, Spain; ^bSkretting Group, Stavanger, Norway; ^cJoint Research Unit Infection and Public Health FISABIO-University of Valencia, Institute for Integrative Systems Biology I2SysBio (UV-CSIC), Valencia, Spain; ^dCIBER in Epidemiology and Public Health, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT

Vibrio vulnificus is a pathogen of public health concern that causes either primary septicemia after ingestion of raw shellfish or secondary septicemia after wound exposure to seawater. In consequence, shellfish and seawater are considered its main reservoirs. However, there is one aspect of its biology that is systematically overlooked: its association with fish in its natural environment. This association led in 1975 to the emergence of a zoonotic clade within phylogenetic lineage 2 following successive outbreaks of vibriosis in farmed eels. Although this clade is now worldwide distributed, no new zoonotic clades were subsequently reported. In this work, we have performed phylogenetic, genomic and functional studies to show that other zoonotic clades are in fact present in 4 of the 5 lineages of the species. Further, we associate these clades, most of them previously but incompletely described, with the acquisition of a family of fish virulence plasmids containing genes essential for resistance to the immune system of certain teleosts of interest in aquaculture. Consequently, our results provide several pieces of evidence about the importance of this species as a zoonotic agent linked to fish farms, as well as on the relevance of these artificial environments acting as drivers that accelerate the evolution of the species.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 21 July 2021; Revised 20 October 2021; Accepted 22 October 2021

KEYWORDS V. vulnificus; phylogeny; virulence plasmid; zoonosis; vibriosis

Introduction

Vibrio vulnificus is a multi-host pathogen that inhabits marine and estuarine ecosystems in tropical, subtropical and temperate zones [1]. Currently, its geographic distribution is expanding to traditionally colder areas due to global warming [2]. The pathogen causes a series of diseases with multiple clinical manifestations, known as vibriosis [3,4]. Although human vibriosis has been more thoroughly studied than that of fish, studies in eels suggest that the pathogen infects animals by adhering to the gill or intestinal mucus and provoking a local inflammatory response that allows it to invade the blood and cause death by haemorrhagic septicaemia [4]. Remarkably, both human and fish vibriosis can lead to sepsis and death depending on several risk factors which, in fish, are related to water temperature and salinity [4] whereas in humans to elevated blood iron levels [5]. In addition, some cases of secondary septicemia transmitted from diseased fish to humans have been reported, making V. vulnificus the only vibrio recognized as a true zoonotic agent [6,7]. However, because zoonotic cases are so rare, this pathogen is mostly known as a foodborne pathogen or as a marine flesh-eating bacterium.

Classically, the species has been subdivided into three biotypes, all including environmental and clinical strains, that differ in some biochemical and serological features [8-10]. However, this subspecific classification system does not reflect the true variability of the species, as many strains cannot be classified into any of these biotypes. Recently, Roig et al. [11] proposed a new subspecific classification system based on the genetic variability (single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs) of the core genome. According to this new classification, V. vulnificus is divided into five lineages (denoted L1 to L5) plus a pathovar (pv. piscis) within L2 that groups virulent strains for fish. The distinctive feature of pv. *piscis* strains is that they possess a fish virulence plasmid (pFv) that contains two genes that, when deleted independently, they turn the bacterium practically avirulent for fish while retaining its virulence for mice [12,13]. These

[#]Both authors contributed equally.

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.1999177

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

CONTACT Carmen Amaro 🖾 carmen.amaro@uv.es.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

genes encode a "survival in fish blood kit" formed by two iron-regulated outer membrane proteins, Fpcrp (fish phagocytosis and complement resistance protein, formerly *vep07*) [13] and Ftbp (fish transferrin-binding protein, formerly *vep20*) [12]. In contrast, virulence factors that damage host tissues are all chromosomal and appear to be involved in both human and fish vibriosis [3,4,14,15]. Interestingly, pFv can be transmitted by parasitizing a conjugative plasmid (pConj) widely distributed in the species [16].

Roig et al. [11] concluded that the pFv had probably been acquired several times in fish farms by different clones that were amplified after successive outbreaks giving rise to the clades currently isolated from diseased fish: L2-clade A, L2-clade E (or serovar E [Ser E]), and L2-clade I. Remarkably, L2-clade E was the first to be isolated [8] and it groups all zoonotic strains described to date [11]. Consequently, the authors hypothesized that fish farms may play an important role in the evolution of the species by facilitating the emergence of new potentially zoonotic groups, as occurred with L2-clade E.

To test this hypothesis, we used recent isolates from vibriosis outbreaks together with control strains belonging to clades and lineages previously described in a series of genotypic, phenotypic and functional assays, as well as in phylogenetic and genomic studies. Our results suggest that previously studied lineages (L3, formerly biotype 3 [9] and L5, formerly Clade B [17], both clonal), and clades (L1-clade A [18]) together with a new clade (L1-clade T), described in this work, belong to pv. *piscis*, as all were virulent to fish and harboured a pFv-related plasmid containing the gene markers *ftbp* and *fpcrp*. None of the above groups had been linked to vibriosis in fish or to zoonosis cases [9,17,18], but all include human clinical isolates, demonstrating their zoonotic nature.

Material and methods

Schemes of the general procedure as well as additional information on the methodology are shown in Supplementary Figures 1 and 2 as well as in Supplementary File 1.

Bacterial identification and serology. A number of isolates from diseased tilapia showing clinical signs compatible with vibriosis arrived in the laboratory [19]. These tilapia came from several fish farms located in the Eastern Mediterranean that experienced recurrent outbreaks of vibriosis between 2016 and 2019 [19]. Pure cultures were obtained from internal organs of moribund tilapia and were identified at the species level (API-20E system [BioMerieux, Madrid, Spain] plus PCR targeting *vvhA* [20]), pathovar (PCR targeting *fpcrp* [20]), and zoonotic clade (PCR targeting *seq61* [21]) levels. Identified strains were then subtyped for evaluating their putative public

health hazard (PCR targeting a polymorphism in *pilF* [PHH-PCR]) [22].

The serological group of the new isolates was determined by slide agglutination and ELISA by using bacterial O-antigens and rabbit antisera against formalinkilled cells [23]. Serum titres were calculated as the reciprocal of the highest antibody dilution giving a positive result.

In vivo and ex vivo virulence assays. Virulence for tilapia and mice was performed to determine the zoo-notic potential of the new isolates. In the case of tilapia, juvenile healthy Nile tilapia (mean weight 8-10 g) were infected by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection and by immersion as previously described [24]. In case of mice, females of 6- to 8-week old (BALB/c, Charles River, France) were infected by i.p. injection as previously described [10]. The virulence score of each isolate was calculated as the lethal dose causing 50% of mortality (LD₅₀) following the procedure of Reed and Muench [25]. All the assays were performed in duplicate and control groups of animals were challenged with sterile PBS.

The ability of the new isolates to cause septicemia was tested using tilapia plasma and iron-overloaded human serum. Fresh tilapia plasma was obtained and tested as previously described [26]. Human serum (Sigma) was supplemented with FeCl₃ 10 μ m and was distributed in microtitre plates that were inoculated with stationary-phase bacteria [13]. The assays were performed in triplicate and samples were taken at 0, 4 h (fish) and 6 h (human) post-incubation at 28°C (fish plasma assay) or 37°C (human serum assay). Viable counts were determined by drop plating on TSA-1. Bactericidal and bacteriostatic activities in serum/plasma were measured as the percentage survival of the strains.

Genomic and phylogenomic analysis. Genomic DNA was extracted using GenEluteTM Bacterial Genomic DNA kit (Sigma). DNA integrity was checked by electrophoresis and quantified with Qubit and then, DNA was sequenced. Vv5 was sequenced with Illumina MiSeq and Oxford Nanopore MinION while Vv3 and TI417 strains were sequenced only with Illumina MiSeq. Data availability, genome description and further details are described in [19]. Briefly, library construction and sequencing of Vv5 with Illumina MiSeq were performed by SCSIE (Servei Central de Suport a la Investigació Experimental) of the University of Valencia using Illumina® TruSeq® DNA PCR-Free Sample Prep Kit following manufacturer's instructions, obtaining 250 bp paired-ends reads. In addition, library construction and sequencing of Vv5 were performed at FISABIO Molecular Epidemiology and Sequencing Service laboratories, with the Oxford Nanopore PCR Barcoding Kit (SQK-PBK004) following manufacturer's instructions. On the other hand, library construction and sequencing of Vv3 and



Figure 1. *V. vulnificus* phylogeny. The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the maximum-likelihood method and the generalized time-reversible model (GTR + F+R5) of evolution. Bootstrap support values from 1000 replicates are indicated in the corresponding nodes as percentages. L, lineage.



Figure 2. Plasmids in pv. *piscis* lineages and clades. The genes for conjugative transference, the survival in fish blood kit (*ftpb* and *fpcrp*), and the MARTX toxin are represented in green, red, and orange respectively, while the additional genes common to all pv. *piscis* plasmids are represented in brown. (A) Linear comparison among pCladeT and the original pv. *piscis* plasmids, pFv and pConj, performed with Easyfig [38]. (B) Ring representation of the pv. *piscis* plasmids from the clades and lineages emerged in the Eastern Mediterranean. From inside to outside, pL3 (used as reference; black ring), pClade A, pCladeT y pL5. The gene annotation of the pL3 is represented in the multicoloured external ring.

TI417 were performed at FISABIO Molecular Epidemiology and Sequencing Service laboratories, with the Illumina® NextSeq platform using Nextera® XT Library Preparation Kit and manufacturer's protocols (Illumina, San Diego, USA), which generates 150 bp paired-ends reads. Quality of Illumina reads was checked using FastQC (https://www.bioinformatics. babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and MultiQC [27]. Then, reads were filtered using Prinseq [28] with a mean quality threshold of 20 (-min_qual_mean 20) and checked again with FastQC. Long reads were evaluated and filtered with NanoPack [29]. First, read quality and length were assessed with NanoStat and NanoPlot; then, reads were filtered with a minimum length threshold of 500 nucleotides. For short reads, a de novo assembly was performed using SPAdes genome assembler v3.13 [30] with "careful mode" for Vv3 and TI417. For hybrid assembly of short (Illumina) and long reads (Nanopore), we used Unicycler [31] v0.4.9b with default parameters and normal mode.

Assembly statistics of resulting assemblies were retrieved using Quast v5.0.2 [32]. In order to obtain the strict core of the species and plasmids, all the genomes were annotated with Prokka [33] and we used Proteinortho5 [34] to determine the subset of shared orthologous genes. Individual genes were extracted from each genome assembly with the grab_proteins.pl script. For each software, default parameters were used except where indicated otherwise.

MARTX sequences were retrieved from NCBI. Domains were annotated as previously described [35] and were used as a local database to perform a BLAST analysis. The presence of CRISPR was analysed using the CRISPRcasFinder online tool [36] (https://crisprcas.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/). Spacer sequences were analyzed using CRISPRTarget databases [37]. Comparison of genomic regions was performed using BLASTn analysis and the results were plotted with Easyfig [38]. The genomic region surrounding the CRISPR-CAS system was checked for the presence of markers of mobile genome elements such as integrases, transposases, or phages, searching in INTEGRALL, PHASTER or comparison of %GC [39,40].

A phylogenomic analysis of the core from 80 genomes [11], downloaded from the NCBI (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), and the genomes sequenced in this study was performed. Sequences of genes included in the strict core were aligned with Mafft [41] and concatenated using AMAS [42]. The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the maximumlikelihood (ML) method with IQ-TREE [43] and ModelFinder [44] option to assess the best model that fitted our data. The model used based on the BIC criterion was GTR + F+R5; additionally, we assessed branch support with 1000 bootstrap replicates [45]. The resulting tree was visualized using the online tool iToL [46].

Phylogenetic trees for *ftbp* and *fpcrp* were reconstructed using the ML method with the Tamura 3-parameter model [47]. Support for the groupings derived in these reconstructions was evaluated through bootstrapping using 1000 replicates. In both cases, the best evolutionary model for the nucleotide sequences was selected by using MEGA X [48]. The sequences of both genes were obtained from our genome database [11] and from GenBank for *V. harveyi* (HM752246.1).

Results

Characterization of a new emerging group within V. vulnificus pv. piscis

All tilapia isolates were identified as *V. vulnificus* by PCR and gave the same phenotypic profile in the API20E system. Therefore, we selected four of them for further studies. Table 1 presents the results for the four isolates and control strains in every performed test.

PCR-subtyping and serology. The selected tilapia strains were negative for pv. *piscis*-PCR [21], positive for the PHH-PCR *pilF* [22] (Table 1) and serologically identical and distinguishable from previously described serovars (Supplementary Table 1). Therefore, they represent a new serovar within the species that did not belong to pv. *piscis* for which the name Ser T (from tilapia) is proposed.

Virulence assays: ex vivo and in vivo assays. To find out if Ser T was the etiological agent responsible for the outbreaks in tilapia we performed a series of *ex* vivo and *in vivo* assays with fish. The Ser T strains multiplied in fresh tilapia plasma in less than 2 h and were virulent to tilapia by both i.p. injection and immersion (Table 2), reproducing the clinical signs of natural disease (Supplementary Figure 3). These results clearly demonstrated that Ser T was the responsible etiological agent that caused the outbreaks of vibriosis in tilapia and, therefore, that they should belong to pv. piscis. Interestingly, Ser T strains were avirulent to eels $(LD_{50}>10^8 \text{ cfu/ml by immersion challenge, data not})$ shown) while the control strain from the zoonotic clade (L2-Clade E), originally isolated from diseased eel, was virulent to eel $(LD_{50}>1 \times 10^6 \text{ cfu/ml by})$ immersion challenge [24]) but not to tilapia (Table 2), suggesting host-specificity for both groups of isolates. As expected, control strains of human origin neither grew in tilapia plasma nor were virulent to tilapia (Table 2). Furthermore, to predict the zoonotic potential of Ser T, we performed experiments of resistance to iron-overloaded human serum and virulence in mice (Table 2). All Ser T strains multiplied in iron-overloaded human serum and were virulent to mice giving values of survival percentage and lethal dosis 50% (LD₅₀) similar to those of the zoonoticclade and human-derived strains used as controls (Table 2). All these data strongly supported the hypothesis about the zoonotic potential of the new serovar. Due to the contradictory results obtained in the pv. piscis-PCR, we decided to sequence the genome of three Ser T representative strains.

Genomic and phylogenomic analysis

First, we performed a phylogenomic analysis from the genes shared by our genomes and the genomes used in the analysis of Roig et al. [11]. The number of shared genes (strict core) was 2619, with a total of 203,554 SNPs. a number higher to that found by Roig et al. [11]. However, the corresponding phylogenetic tree presented the species divided again into 5 lineages with a topology very similar to that found by Roig et al. for each chromosome [11] (Figure 1). Ser T strains clustered (clade T), which was compatible with an ANI value close to 100% (Supplementary Table 2), within L1 (Figure 1), the lineage that grouped most strains from cases of primary sepsis in humans [11] while all pv. *piscis* clustered within L2. This result, again, suggested that Ser T strains did not belong to pv. piscis.

To further verify this, we searched for the pathovar marker gene (*fpcrp*) in the three sequenced genomes. We found an almost identical gene in the three genomes that differed from that previously described [21] by only 19 nucleotides out of a total of 1398, 3 of which just were located at the 3' end of one of the primer pairs used in the PCR to identify the pathovar (Supplementary Figure 4). Consequently, we concluded that clade T effectively belonged to pv. piscis and designed a new PCR to identify pv. piscis strains. The new primer pairs are Ftbp F: 5'-AGTTTGCG-GAAAAAGCACAG-3'/Ftbp 5'-CATT-R: GATCGTCGTCTGAACC-3' and amplify a fragment 392 pb.

Table 1. Isolation data, lineage and identification of the new isolates in comparison with those of the control strains.

		Isolation data	Identification ^d						
Strain ^a	Geographic location/year Source ^b of isolation		Phylogenetic Lineage ^c	Species PCR/Api20E	Pathovar/ clade E	Public Health Hazard			
New isolates									
VV3, VV4, VV5	Diseased tilapia	Eastern Mediterranean /2016	?	+/+ (99.3%)	-/-	+			
TI417	Diseased tilapia	Eastern Mediterranean /2019	?	+/+ (99.3%)	-/-	+			
Control strains									
YJ016	Human blood	Asia/1993	L1	+/+ (99.3%)	-/-	+			
CECT 529 ^T	Human blood	USA/1980	L2	+/+ (99.3%)	-/-	+			
CECT 4999	Diseased eel	Europe/1999	L2/clade E	+/;? (54.4%)	+/+	+			
CECT 5198	Diseased eel	Europe/2000	L2/clade A	+/+ (99.3%)	+/-	-			
95-8-161	Diseased eel	Europe/1995	L2/clade I	+/+ (99.3%)	+/-	+			

^aCECT, Spanish Type Culture Collection; T, type strain.

^bAll the new isolates were recovered as pure cultures from internal organs of moribund tilapia. VV3 was isolated from farm A, VV4 and VV5 from farm B and TI417 from farm C.

^cPhylogenetic lineage determined by Roig et al [11]. L1 includes biotype 1 strains, L2 biotypes 1 and 2 strains and L3 biotype 3 strains. L2-Clade E includes all the zoonotic strains reported to date.

^dIdentification of the isolates was performed at species, pathovar (*piscis*) and zoonotic clade (clade E) level by PCR targeting *vvhA* [20], *fpcrp* (formerly *vep07*) [21] and *seq 61* [21], respectively. Positive (+), negative (-), and doubtful (¿?) identification. The value in parentheses indicates the probability of a good identification according to the API20E profile (5146105, probability 99.3%; 5006005, probability 54.4%).

^eThe public health hazard of the strain was determined by PCR targeting a polymorphism of *pilF* [22]. Discrimination is based on the amplification of a variable region located within the gene *pilF* resulting in a 338 bp fragment.

Table 2. Results obtained in the in vivo and ex vivo virulence assays performed with the new isolates in comparison with those of the control strains.

	Resista	nce to ^a	Virulence for ^b						
			Tila	ipia	Mouse				
Strain	TP	HS + Fe	i.p. injection	Immersion	i.p. injection				
Tilapia isolates									
VV3	$+ (9.1 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$+ (7.0 \times 10^{2})$	$+ (3.3 \times 10^{6})$	$+ (3.3 \times 10^{5})$	$+ (1.5 \times 10^{6})$				
VV4	$+ (8.3 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$+ (1.1 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$+(8.4 \times 10^{5})$	$+ (8.4 \times 10^{5})$	$+ (9.2 \times 10^5)$				
VV5	$+ (8.5 \times 10^{2})$	$+ (3.1 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$+ (2.5 \times 10^{6})$	$+ (4.2 \times 10^5)$	$+(2.0\times10^{6})$				
TI417	$+ (9.5 \times 10^{2})$	$+ (1.7 \times 10^3\%)$	NT	$+(1.0\times10^{6})$	NT				
Control strains									
CECT 4999	$+ (8.5 \times 10^{2})$	$+ (1.9 \times 10^{3}\%)$	- (> 10 ⁷)	- (> 10 ⁸)	$+ (1.0 \times 10^{6})$				
YJ016	-(0%)	$+ (4.9 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$-(>10^7)$	- (> 10 ⁸)	$+(1.0\times10^{6})$				
CECT 529 ^T	-(0%)	$+ (5 \times 10^2\%)$	- (> 10 ⁷)	- (> 10 ⁸)	- (> 10 ⁷)				

^aResistance to tilapia plasma (TP) and iron-overloaded human serum (HS+ 10 μ M of FeCl₃ [13]) after 4 h at 28°C (tilapia) or 6 h at 37°C (human) is coded as follow: +, survival \geq 100%; -, survival <100%. Data in parentheses correspond to the medium percent survival from three independent experiments.

^bThe medium value of 50% lethal dose (LD₅₀) from two different experiments is presented in parentheses as cfu/g (i.p. injection) or /ml (inmersion). Results are coded as follows: fish and mouse injection; - ($\geq 10^7$), + (< 10^7); fish immersion; - ($\geq 10^8$) + (< 10^8) [10, 24]. NT. not tested.

Since *fpcrp* is a plasmid gene, we looked for the presence of plasmids in our genomes. To facilitate this task, we sequenced the genome of one of the Ser T isolates using MinION, which allowed us to obtain all the plasmid genes in a single contig (pCladeT). The plasmid was compared to plasmids pFv and pConj, previously described in pv. piscis [49] (Figure 2A). pFv is about 68 Kb in size and contains a complete gene cluster for the RtxA1 toxin, its post transcriptional modification, and transport (Supplementary file 2) (Figure 2A). This cluster is duplicated in chromosome II of L2-clade E strains [49]. pFv also has two genes for a toxin-antitoxin system, the fish virulence markers *ftbp* and *fpcrp* (the marker gene for pathovar). Finally, pFv encodes a series transposases and hypothetical proteins or proteins with very low similarity to known proteins (Supplementary file 2) (Figure 2A). In contrast, pCladeT has a size of 56 Kb and, apparently, is a hybrid between pConj and pFv because it contains *tra* genes with high similarity to pConj genes and genes with high similarity to those found in pFv, such as genes for the toxin-antitoxin system, genes for transposases, genes for hypothetical proteins, and, most importantly, the two genes for the "survival in fish blood kit" (Supplementary file 2) (Figure 2A). In consequence, our results suggest that pCladeT is a fish virulence plasmid. To confirm that this plasmid was present in all strains from tilapia, we performed a PCR for *ftbp and fpcrp* and found all the strains positive (Supplementary Figure 5).

pCladeT lacked the genes for the rtxA1 cluster. We found the cluster in chromosome II. RtxA1 is an essential virulence factor for fish and humans in this species [14,15]. This toxin belongs to the MARTX (Multifunctional, Autoprocessive, Repeat in Toxin) family and is the main toxin of the species [14,50,51]. These are modular toxins of a very high molecular weight, with an external module that contains the amino acid repeats and an internal module that contains between 3 and 5 functional domains with different cytopathic functions. MARTX_{L1-clade T}



Figure 3. *rtxA1* gene structure. (A) Schematic representation of *rtxA1* gene from pv. *piscis* L2-clade E and L1-clade T (*rtxA1* L2-clade E and *rtxA1* L1-clade T) (B) Comparison among the *rtxA1* genes of the clades and lineages emerged in the Eastern Mediterranean. The images were constructed with Easyfig and the blue scale indicates nucleotide Blast similarity. Carboxi and amino terminal modules are coloured in grey, cysteine protease domain (CPD) in turquoise, Domain X (DmX) in pink, ExoY-like adenylate cyclase domain (ExoY) in black, makes caterpillars floppy-like domain (MCF) in orange, alpha/beta hydrolase domain (ABH) in purple, Rho GTPase-inactivation domain (RID) in green and domain of unknown function at the first position (DUF1) in yellow.

was different to the toxin of this family described in the rest of pv. *piscis* strains (Figure 3A). The most similar toxin was that of L3, as both toxins were practically identical but that of L1-clade T lacking Dmx domain (Figure 3B).

Interestingly, a CRISPR-Cas system was identified in the L1-clade T genomes but not in the rest of V. vulnificus genomes, regardless of the lineage and clade. This system was practically identical to other Vibrio CRISPR-Cas systems, such as those corresponding to V. cholerae RFB05 or V. anguillarum PF7 (Supplementary Figure 6) but showed as a distinctive feature its location in a 45 Kb island encoding a potassium dependent ATPase, a type I restriction/ modification DNA system, and a series of unknown proteins within a histidine biosynthesis operon (Supplementary Figure 6). The CRISPR-Cas_{L1-clade T} system was identified as of type I-C by the presence of a canonical cas operon (cas2cas4cas3cas1cas5cas7cas8c), a leader sequence and a characteristic canonical type I-C repeat [52]. The array contained 65 spacers, 33 of which matched some vibriophages (such as VP882 or fs2) and plasmids from different Vibrio species (V. parahaemolyticus pVPGX2, V. vulnificus p4810 or V. harveyi pLA16-1 among others) [52,53]. No marker of mobile genetic elements in the genomic region surrounding the CRISPR-Cas L1-clade T system was identified. Since the protospacer targets several phages and plasmids, some of which were vibriophages and Vibrio plasmids, we suggest that this system protects the bacteria against attacks by phages and the entry of exogenous DNA.

Retesting L3, L5 and L1-clade A

The L1-clade T genomes were more similar to L3-, L5and L1-clade A genome than to the previously described pv. *piscis* genomes (Supplementary Table 2). All these groups had in common that they (i) were highly clonal, (ii) had arisen in tilapia farms in the Eastern Mediterranean, and (iii) included human clinical and environmental strains with no reported relationship to cases of zoonosis or fish vibriosis [9,17,18]. Consequently, we suspected that these groups might also belong to pv. *piscis*. To confirm this hypothesis, we characterized representative isolates from these groups by performing the same analyses that we had carried out with the L1-clade T strains.

PCR-Subtyping and serology. The results obtained are shown in Table 3. All the strains belonged to pv. *piscis*, most of them were positive for PHH-PCR *pilF* with the exception of the L5 isolate, and belonged to other serovars with the exception of the L1-clade A isolates, which belonged to Ser T.

Virulence assays: ex vivo and in vivo assays. The strains resisted and multiplied in tilapia plasma, were virulent to tilapia by immersion and were able to grow in human serum plus iron (Table 4), confirming that they constituted new zoonotic groups within the pv. *piscis*.

Genomic analysis. We tested the hypothesis that L3, L1-clade A and L5 strains might harbour a virulence plasmid by searching for it in their genomes. Figure 2 (B) shows the plasmids found in the selected strains. All the strains harboured a plasmid very similar to

Table 3.	Genotypic an	d phenotypic	characterization	of	selected	strains	from	previously	described	lineages	and/or	clades
possessing	g a pFv-related	l plasmid.										

		Isolation data ^b			PCR for ^d :					Serology ^e					
Lineage/ clade ^a	Selected strain	Source	Year	API20E profile ^c	species	pv. piscis	clade E	ftbp	РНН	SerE	SerA	Serl	SerO	SerT	
L1-clade A	yb158	Healthy tilapia	2005	5146105	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	
	V246	Human blood	2005	5146105	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	
L3	12	Human blood	1996	4146005	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	
L5	V252	Human blood	2004	5346005	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	

^aPhylogenetic lineage determined by Roig et al [11]. L3 and L5 are clonal complexes.

^bData of isolation for the selected strain

^cProbability of identification of V. vulnificus: 4146005, 75.5%; 5146105, 99.3%.

^dThe target genes for PCR were: vvhA, species; fpcrp, pv. piscis (PCR designed in this work); seq61, zoonotic clade E [21]; ftbp, fish transferrin binding protein [12]; a pilF polymorphism, public health hazard (PHH) [22]. Discrimination is based on the amplification of a variable region located within the gene pilF resulting in a 338 bp fragment.

^e+, AgO agglutination in less than 1 min and ELISA titter (the reciprocal of the highest dilution of the antiserum giving OD two times higher than that of the negative control) higher than 60,000. -, no agglutination and ELISA titer lower than 15,000.

Table 4. In vivo and ex vivo virulence assays performed with selected strains from previously described lineages and/or clades possessing a pFv-related plasmid.

Lineage/ clade ^a	Selected strain	Resista	Virulence for ^c		
		ТР	HS + Fe	Tilapia	
L1-clade A	yb158	+ $(5.2 \times 10^3\%)$	$+ (4.0 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$+ (2.5 \times 10^7)$	
	V246	$+ (1.5 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$+ (1.6 \times 10^{3}\%)$	NT	
L3	12	$+ (7.1 \times 10^{3}\%)$	$+ (7.3 \times 10^{2})$	$+(1.3 \times 10^7)$	
L5	V252	$+ (9.2 \times 10^2\%)$	$+(7.7\times10^{2}\%)$	$+ (2.5 \times 10^6)$	
100					

^aPhylogenetic lineage determined by Roig et al [11]. L3 and L5 are clonal complexes.

^bResistance to tilapia plasma (TP) and iron-overloaded human serum (HS+ 10 μM of FeCl₃ [13]) after 4 h at 28°C (tilapia) or 6 h at 37°C (human) is coded as follow: +, survival ≥100%; -, survival <100%. Data in parentheses correspond to the medium percent survival from three independent experiments.
^cVirulence was determined by immersion challenge. The medium value of 50% lethal dose (LD₅₀) from two different experiments is presented in parentheses as cfu/ml. Results are coded as follows: - (≥10⁸) +(< 10⁸) [10, 24].

NT, non tested.

pCladeT. Moreover, pCladeA and pL5 were virtually identical to pCladeT, whereas pL3 differed mainly from the rest by the presence of a complete cluster of *tra* genes. More importantly, all the plasmids contained the virulence genes *fpcrp* and *ftbp* involved in fish septicaemia, which suggests that they were fish virulence plasmids (Figure 2B and Supplementary file 2). The presence of these genes was tested by PCR in all the strains from our collection belonging to L3, L5 and L1-clade A, and all of them were positive (Table 3) (Supplementary Figure 5). This result confirmed that similar plasmids were present in all these groups.

pCladeT, pCladeA, pL3 and pL5 lacked the rtxA1 cluster. Therefore, we searched for it in their genomes and found it in ChrII. As can be seen in Figure 3(B), the toxins were more similar to each other and to MARTX_{L1-clade T} than to MARTX_{L2-clade E}.

Host specificity within pv. piscis

Since we had detected host specificity within pv. *piscis*, we analyzed the phylogenetic relationships of *fpcrp* and *ftbp*. The corresponding gene trees reconstructed from the orthologous genes found in the genomes used in [11] are shown in Figure 1. As expected, both genes were present in all the genomes belonging to pv. *piscis* as well as in a new L1 strain (FORC017)

isolated from the blood of a woman infected after consuming raw fish [54]. Remarkably, the tree showed the strains grouped by host and not by phylogenetic group. Finally, both genes were also present in a *V. harveyi* strain, suggesting horizontal gene transfer (HGT) between two different species that share the same habitat.

Discussion

To date, V. vulnificus has only been considered as a human pathogen linked to raw seafood ingestion or severe wound infection after seawater exposure but not as a true zoonotic agent linked to fish farms. The reason was probably that all zoonotic strains were a minor group and as such, they were seen as an anomaly in the species. However, in this paper we provide multiple pieces of evidence linking zoonosis to HGT in fish farms and demonstrating that L3 and L5, along with two clades present in L1 (clade A and clade T), probably arose following outbreaks of vibriosis in fish, as occurred with L2-clade E, -clade A and -clade I [8,10,23]. Although few strains from these lineages and clades have been analysed in the present work, they are clonal groups and the results obtained can probably be generalized to the clade/lineage level.

The pieces of evidence are the following:

- (1) The emergence of a new potentially zoonotic pv. piscis clade within L1. A new clade emerged as a homogeneous and distinct serological group in tilapia farms located in the Eastern Mediterranean, where it caused several vibriosis outbreaks between 2016 and 2019. Genomic and phylogenomic analyses of L1-clade T strains revealed that they were highly homogeneous and formed a clonal group that was identified as belonging to pv. piscis. Unexpectedly, the new clade did not belong to L2, the lineage encompassing all the strains of pv. piscis known to date, but to L1, the lineage containing most of the strains associated with primary sepsis after shellfish ingestion. Similarly, to L2-clade E, L1-clade T resulted to be potentially zoonotic as it was virulent to mice, tested positive in the PCR designed to predict public health hazard [22], and, most importantly, multiplied in iron-overloaded human serum. This result was shared with all the human clinical strains used in this study as controls.
- (2) The strains of the new clade share more virulence traits with phylogenetically distant strains but from the same habitat (tilapia farms) and location (Eastern Mediterranean) than with phylogenetically closer strains but from other sources and locations (e.g. YJ016 or CMCP6). Among the shared virulence traits by Eastern Mediterranean lineages and clades, the following should be highlighted.
 - (a) O-serogroup. Clade A and clade T shared O-serogroup despite belonging to two different sublineages within L1, which is compatible with an LPS-biosynthetic gene transfer between the two sublineages. Since the O-antigen confers partial resistance to fish serum in *V. vulnificus* [55], these events could have been favoured by positive selection of resistant strains in the fish farming environment.
 - (b) MARTX toxin. These toxins are the most important virulence factors in V. vulnificus regardless of lineage and susceptible host [14,50,51]. At least 7 types of these toxins and 8 functional domains have been described in this species [50]. The pv. piscis strains studied to date produce a type known as $RtxA1_3$ (MARTX_{L2-clade E} in this study), which has been implicated in toxic shock death in mice and eels [14,51]. A distinguishing feature of this toxin is the presence of an actin cross-linking domain (ACD). The *in-silico* analysis performed in this study revealed that the L1-clade T toxin is much more similar to the L3, L5 and L1clade A toxins than to MARTX_{L2-clade E}

(Figure 3). Like MARTX_{L3}, it lacks the ACD domain and contains the RID, ABH, DUF1 and ExoY domains, although it lacks the DmX domain, a domain that disrupts the Golgi apparatus [56]. Laboratory experiments have shown that the variability of these toxins arises by recombination between two non-identical *rtxA1* genes carried by the same cell after mating between inter-domain homologous zones [57]. Therefore, this recombination process associated with HGT events is likely to have occurred multiple times in tilapia farms, with the most successful forms being selected.

- (c) The outer membrane proteins Fpcrp and Ftbp. Both proteins protect the bacterium against innate immunity in eel blood [12,50]. The genes *fpcrp* and *ftbp* were present in all Eastern Mediterranean lineages and clades, and its phylogenetic analysis revealed small differences that could be related to host adaptation as the strains grouped by host fish species (Figure 4). Approximately 13% of salmonid transferrin sequences have been shown to undergo positive selection for iron competition with bacterial pathogens [58]. Similarly, pathogens can change amino acids in their transferrin receptors through mutations that facilitate the adaptation of these bacteria to changes in the host or even to new hosts [58].
- (d) Zoonotic capability. All the Eastern Mediterranean lineages and clades were zoonotic or potentially zoonotic as they included human clinical strains [9,17,18] and, at the same time, were virulent to tilapia.
- (3) The four clades/lineages associated with Eastern Mediterranean tilapia farms presented a new pFv-related plasmid that could have emerged from recombination between pFv and pConj. The new plasmids were practically identical, and the main difference was that pL3 was the only one containing a complete set of tra genes for conjugal transference. Recombination between pFv and pConj had been previously demonstrated at the laboratory scale by Lee et al. [49] but it had not been shown to occur in nature. It therefore seems likely that pCladeA, pCladeT, pL3, pL5 and pFv belong to the same family of fish virulence plasmids, a family that could have spread to four of the five lineages described in the species.

Finally, the Eastern-Mediterranean isolates were virulent for tilapia but not for eel, which suggests a specific host adaptation that is supported by the differences in *ftbp* and *fpcrp* sequences (Figure 4). Remarkably, the genomic analysis in the context of the genus also revealed that plasmid-encoded genes *ftbp* and



Figure 4. Evolutionary history of the genes for the "survival in fish blood kit". Molecular phylogenetic analysis of *ftbp* (**A**) and *fpcrp* (**B**) was performed using the maximum likelihood method based on the Tamura 3-parameter model [47]. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured as the number of substitutions per site. The main host (tilapia or eel) is shown in each tree.

fpcrp have already been transmitted to another pathogenic fish species, *V. harveyi.* The relevance of this acquisition for fish virulence in this species is being studied now.

In summary, our work provides multiple pieces of evidence supporting the hypothesis that *V. vulnificus* is an underestimated zoonotic agent linked to fish farms. The species is probably an opportunistic pathogen in its natural environment, but in fish farms some strains behave as primary fish pathogens after acquiring a plasmid that encodes the ability to proliferate in the fish blood. Natural selection has probably favoured the amplification of some transformant clones after successive vibriosis outbreaks, giving rise to the clades that are isolated nowadays. One of these clades (L2clade E) has been reported as a zoonotic agent [10], while others are probably linked to cases of unreported zoonoses or even zoonotic outbreaks (L3). It is of concern that none of these groups, especially L3, has been associated with outbreaks of vibriosis in tilapia. The consequence is that this species is probably being underestimated as a zoonotic pathogen. Further 2138 👄 H. CARMONA-SALIDO ET AL.

phenotypic, genomic and phylogenomic analyses of new isolates of this species will be necessary to confirm that fish farms are acting as drivers accelerating the evolution of *V. vulnificus*.

Ethics statement. All assays involving rabbit/mice/ fish were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and the local authority (Conselleria de Agricultura, Medio Ambiente, Cambio Climático y Desarrollo Rural. Generalitat Valenciana), following European Directive 2010/63/EU and the Spanish law "Real Decreto 53/2013." Murine and fish infections were performed under the project licenses 2016/VSC/PEA/00069, 2017/VSC/PEA/ 00053and 2020/VSC/PEA/0202 in the Research Animals core and Fish (code ES461900001203) facilities of the University of Valencia (UV), respectively. Rabbit immunization was performed under the project license 2016/VSC/PEA/00073 and 2020/VSC/PEA/ 0054 in the facility of the UV.

Acknowledgements

Finally, the authors thank A. Llorens for her help in carrying out some of the experiments.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work has been financed by grants AGL2017-87723-P and BFU2017-89594-funded by Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovaci?n y Universidades 10.13039/501100011033 and by "ERDF A way of making Europe," grant PID2020-120619RB-I00 funded by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICIN/AEI) (DOI ID: 10.13039/501100011033) and grant AICO/2020/076 funded by the "Generalitat Valenciana". Carmona-Salido, H. has been financed by grant PRE-2018-083819 funded by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICIN/AEI) (DOI ID: 10.13039/501100011033). Sequencing at FISABIO was made possible through an "Action co-financed by the European Union through the Operational Program of European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) of Valencia Region (Spain) 2014-2020".

ORCID

Héctor Carmona-Salido http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3799-0688

Belén Fouz http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9751-0143 *Eva Sanjuán* http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7671-0779

Miguel Carda D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9566-0321

Christian M. J. Delannoy b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2060-4023

Neris García-González D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9089-4033

Fernando González-Candelas bhttp://orcid.org/0000-0002-0879-5798

Carmen Amaro D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1323-6330

Literature cited

- Oliver JD. The biology of Vibrio vulnificus. Microbiol Spectr. 2015 Jun 25;3(3):3.
- [2] Baker-Austin C, Trinanes J, Gonzalez-Escalona N, et al. Non-cholera vibrios: the microbial barometer of climate change. Trends Microbiol. 2017;25:76–84.
- [3] Ceccarelli D, Amaro C, Romalde JL, et al. Vibrio species. In: Michael P. Doyle, Francisco Diez-Gonzalez, Colin Hill, editors. Food microbiology. Washington, DC: ASM Press; 2019. p. 347–388.
- [4] Amaro C, Fouz B, Sanjuán E, et al. Vibriosis. In: Patrick T K Woo, Jo-Ann Leong, Kurt Buchmann, editors. Climate change and infectious fish diseases. Wallingford: CABI; 2020. p. 182–210.
- [5] Horseman MA, Surani S. A comprehensive review of Vibrio vulnificus: An important cause of severe sepsis and skin and soft-tissue infection. Int J Infect Dis. 2011;15:e157-66.
- [6] Dalsgaard A, Frimodt-Møller N, Bruun B, et al. Clinical manifestations and molecular epidemiology of *Vibrio vulnificus* infections in Denmark. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1996;15(3):227–232.
- [7] Veenstra J, Rietra PJGM, Coster JM, et al. Human Vibrio vulnificus infections and environmental isolates in the Netherlands. Aquac Res. 1993 Jan;24(1):119– 122.
- [8] Tison DL, Nishibuchi M, Greenwood JD, et al. *Vibrio vulnificus* biogroup 2: new biogroup pathogenic for eels. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1982 Sep;44(3):640–646.
- [9] Bisharat N, Agmon V, Finkelstein R, et al. Clinical, epidemiological, and microbiological features of *Vibrio vulnificus* biogroup 3 causing outbreaks of wound infection and bacteraemia in Israel. Lancet. 1999 Oct;354(9188):1421–1424.
- [10] Amaro C, Biosca EG. Vibrio vulnificus biotype 2, pathogenic for eels, is also an opportunistic pathogen for humans. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1996 Apr;62 (4):1454–1457.
- [11] Roig FJ, González-Candelas F, Sanjuán E, et al. Phylogeny of Vibrio vulnificus from the analysis of the core-genome: implications for intra-species taxonomy. Front Microbiol. 2018 Jan 5;8(JAN):1–13.
- [12] Pajuelo D, Lee C-T, Roig FJ, et al. Novel host-specific iron acquisition system in the zoonotic pathogen *Vibrio vulnificus*. Environ Microbiol. 2015 Jun 1;17 (6):2076–2089.
- [13] Hernández-Cabanyero C, Lee C, Tolosa-Enguis V, et al. Adaptation to host in *Vibrio vulnificus*, a zoonotic pathogen that causes septicemia in fish and humans. Environ Microbiol. 2019 Aug 11;21 (8):3118–3139.
- [14] Te LC, Pajuelo D, Llorens A, et al. MARTX of Vibrio vulnificus biotype 2 is a virulence and survival factor. Environ Microbiol. 2013;15(2):419–432.
- [15] Jeong HG, Satchell KJF. Additive function of Vibrio vulnificus MARTXVv and VvhA cytolysins promotes rapid growth and epithelial tissue necrosis during intestinal infection. PLoS Pathog. 2012;8(3).
- [16] Roig FJ, Amaro C. Plasmid diversity in *Vibrio vulnificus* biotypes. Microbiology. 2009;155(2):489–497.
- [17] Efimov V, Danin-Poleg Y, Green SJ, et al. Draft genome sequence of the pathogenic bacterium *Vibrio vulnificus* V252 biotype 1, isolated in Israel. Genome Announc. 2015 Oct 29;3(5).
- [18] Danin-Poleg Y, Raz N, Roig FJ, et al. Draft genome sequence of environmental bacterium *Vibrio*

vulnificus CladeA-yb158. Genome Announc. 2015 Aug 27;3(4).

- [19] Carmona-Salido H, Fouz B, Sanjuán E, et al. Draft genome sequences of *Vibrio vulnificus* strains recovered from moribund tilapia. Microbiol Resour Announc. 2021 Jun 3;10(22).
- [20] Hor L-I, Goo C-T, Wan L. Isolation and characterization of *Vibrio vulnificus* inhabiting the marine environment of the southwestern area of Taiwan. J Biomed Sci. 1995 Oct;2(4):384–389.
- [21] Sanjuán E, Amaro C. Multiplex PCR assay for detection of *Vibrio vulnificus* biotype 2 and simultaneous discrimination of serovar E strains. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007 Mar 15;73(6):2029–2032.
- [22] Roig FJ, Sanjuán E, Llorens A, et al. *Pilf* polymorphism-based PCR to distinguish *Vibrio vulnificus* strains potentially dangerous to public health. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010 Mar 1;76(5):1328–1333.
- [23] Fouz B, Larsen JL, Amaro C. Vibrio vulnificus serovar A: an emerging pathogen in European anguilliculture. J Fish Dis. 2006 May;29(5):285–291.
- [24] Amaro C, Biosca EG, Fouz B, et al. Evidence that water transmits *Vibrio vulnificus* biotype 2 infections to eels. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1995;61(3):1133–1137.
- [25] Reed LJ, Muench H. A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. Am J Epidemiol. 1938 May 1;27(3):493–497.
- [26] Fouz B, Alcaide E, Barrera R, et al. Susceptibility of Nile tilapia (oreochromis niloticus) to vibriosis due to *Vibrio vulnificus* biotype 2 (serovar E). Aquaculture. 2002 Sep 23;212(1-4):21-30.
- [27] Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, et al. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 2016 Oct 1;32(19):3047–3048.
- [28] Schmieder R, Edwards R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics. 2011 Mar 15;27(6):863–864.
- [29] De Coster W, D'Hert S, Schultz DT, et al. Nanopack: visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. Berger B, editor. Bioinformatics. 2018 Aug 1;34 (15):2666–2669.
- [30] Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, et al. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-Cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012 May;19 (5):455–477.
- [31] Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, et al. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. Phillippy AM, editor. PLoS Comput Biol. 2017 Jun 8;13(6):e1005595.
- [32] Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, et al. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013 Apr 15;29(8):1072–1075.
- [33] Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics. 2014 Jul 15;30(14):2068– 2069.
- [34] Lechner M, Findeiß S, Steiner L, et al. Proteinortho: detection of (Co-)orthologs in large-scale analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011 Dec 28;12(1):124.
- [35] Roig FJ, González-Candelas F, Amaro C. Domain organization and evolution of multifunctional autoprocessing repeats-in-toxin (MARTX) toxin in *Vibrio vulnificus*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011 Jan 15;77 (2):657–668.
- [36] Couvin D, Bernheim A, Toffano-Nioche C, et al. CRISPRCasfinder, an update of CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced performance

and integrates search for Cas proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018 Jul 2;46(W1):W246-51.

- [37] Biswas A, Gagnon JN, Brouns SJJ, et al. CRISPRTarget: bioinformatic prediction and analysis of crRNA targets. RNA Biol. 2013;10(5):817–827.
- [38] Sullivan MJ, Petty NK, Beatson SA. Easyfig: a genome comparison visualizer. Bioinformatics. 2011 Apr;27 (7):1009–1010.
- [39] Moura A, Soares M, Pereira C, et al. INTEGRALL: a database and search engine for integrons, integrases and gene cassettes. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(8):1096–1098.
- [40] Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, et al. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jul 8;44(W1):W16–21.
- [41] Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013 Apr 1;30(4):772–780.
- [42] Borowiec ML. AMAS: a fast tool for alignment manipulation and computing of summary statistics. PeerJ. 2016 Jan 28;4:e1660.
- [43] Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, et al. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015 Jan;32(1):268–274.
- [44] Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, et al. Modelfinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods. 2017:587–589.
- [45] Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, et al. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Mol Biol Evol. 2018 Feb 1;35(2):518–522.
- [46] Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive tree Of life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Jul 2;47(W1):W256–9.
- [47] Tamura K. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions when there are strong transition-transversion and G+C-content biases. Mol Biol Evol. 1992 Jul;9(4):678–687.
- [48] Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, et al. MEGA x: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Battistuzzi FU, editor. Mol Biol Evol. 2018 Jun 1;35(6):1547–1549.
- [49] Lee C-T, Amaro C, Wu K-M, et al. A common virulence plasmid in biotype 2 *Vibrio vulnificus* and its dissemination aided by a conjugal plasmid. J Bacteriol. 2008 Mar 1;190(5):1638–1648.
- [50] Kim BS. The modes of action of MARTX toxin effector domains. Toxins (Basel. 2018 Dec 2;10(12):507.
- [51] Murciano C, Lee C-T, Fernández-Bravo A, et al. MARTX toxin in the zoonotic serovar of *Vibrio vul-nificus* triggers an early cytokine storm in mice. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017 Jul 20;7(JUL):332.
- [52] Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Alkhnbashi OS, et al. An updated evolutionary classification of CRISPR-Cas systems. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015 Nov 1;13(11):722–736.
- [53] Makarova KS, Haft DH, Barrangou R, et al. Evolution and classification of the CRISPR–Cas systems. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011 Jun 9;9(6):467–477.
- [54] Chung HY, Kim YT, Kim S, et al. Complete genome sequence of *Vibrio vulnificus* FORC-017 isolated from a patient with a hemorrhagic rash after consuming raw dotted gizzard shad. Gut Pathog. 2016 Jun 20;8 (1):1–6.
- [55] Valiente E, Jiménez N, Merino S, et al. Vibrio vulnificus biotype 2 serovar E gne but not galE is essential for lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis and virulence. Infect Immun. 2008 Apr;76(4):1628–1638.

- 2140 👄 H. CARMONA-SALIDO ET AL.
- [56] Kim BS, Satchell KJF. MARTX effector cross kingdom activation by Golgi-associated ADP-ribosylation factors. Cell Microbiol. 2016 Aug 1;18(8):1078–1093.
- [57] Kwak JS, Jeong HG, Satchell KJF. Vibrio vulnificus rtxA1 gene recombination generates toxin variants

with altered potency during intestinal infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011 Jan 25;108(4):1645–1650.

[58] Ford MJ. Molecular evolution of transferrin: evidence for positive selection in salmonids. Mol Biol Evol. 2001 Apr 1;18(4):639–647.