Dynamical phase coexistence:  
a simple solution to the “savanna problem”
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We introduce the concept of dynamical phase coexistence to provide a simple solution for a long-standing problem in theoretical ecology, the so-called “savanna problem”. The challenge is to understand why in savanna ecosystems trees and grasses coexist in a robust way with large spatio-temporal variability. We propose a simple model, a variant of the Contact Process (CP), which includes two key extra features: varying external (environmental/rainfall) conditions and tree age. The system fluctuates locally between a woodland and a grassland phase, corresponding to the active and absorbing phases of the underlying pure contact process. This leads to a highly variable stable phase characterized by patches of the woodland and grassland phases coexisting dynamically. We show that the mean time to tree extinction under this model increases as a power-law of system size and can be of the order of 10,000,000 years in even moderately sized savannas. Finally, we demonstrate that while local interactions among trees may influence tree spatial distribution and the order of the transition between woodland and grassland phases, they do not affect dynamical coexistence. We expect dynamical coexistence to be relevant in other contexts in physics, biology or the social sciences.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Savannas are open systems that feature a continuous grass layer and a discontinuous tree layer. They appear across a wide range of climatic and ecological conditions, and are characterized by the stable, though variable, coexistence of two distinct types of vegetation, trees and grasses [Sarmiento 1984]. This coexistence is dynamic in the sense that the density of trees varies widely both in space and time, as recently confirmed by observations of cyclic transitions between empty and dense tree distributions [Moustakas et al. 2008, Wiegand et al. 2006]. Savannas have been studied from both experimental and theoretical points of view, and have become an important subject of study in ecology. However, the origin, nature, and dynamics of savannas are not yet well understood. How is long-term coexistence of trees and grasses possible without the superior competitor taking over, as happens in other ecosystems (grasslands or woodlands)? This is one of the long-standing puzzles in theoretical ecology, commonly referred as the savanna problem. A tentative answer to this question is provided by niche models in which, assuming (soil, rain, etc) heterogeneities, each life form occupies the regions for which it is a superior competitor [Walter 1971, Walker et al. 1981, Walker and Noy-Meir 1982]. This type of solution is conceptually unsatisfactory and is, anyhow, not supported by recent empirical observations [Jeltsch et al. 2000, Higgins et al. 2000, Bond 2008, Scholes and Archer 1997].

Demographic bottleneck models [Sankaran et al. 2004] invoke stochastic explanations that rely on demographic and environmental fluctuations to generate dynamical heterogeneities [Chesson 2000, D’Odorico et al. 2007, Jeltsch et al. 2000, Jeltsch et al. 1996, Higgins et al. 2000, van Wijk and Rodriguez-Iturbe 2002, Meyer et al. 2007]. For example, the storage effect hypothesizes that birth rate variability promotes species coexistence in communities of long-lived organisms, so that in an environment which is frequently adverse, a long life span buffers trees against extinction [Chesson 2000]. Other, similar in spirit, buffering mechanisms have also been proposed [Jeltsch et al. 2000]. Following these studies, we
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build a minimalistic savanna model that allows us to assess the contributions of the following features to long-term tree-grass coexistence: i) Variable weather conditions [Jeltsch et al. 1996, Higgins et al. 2000, van Wijk and Rodriguez-Iturbe 2002], ii) Mean annual precipitation, which has been reported to enhance and limit the maximum tree-cover [Sankaran et al. 2005, Sankaran et al. 2008, Bucini and Hanan 2007], iii) Adult tree longevity [Higgins et al. 2000], and iv) Positive and negative local density-dependent tree interactions [Jeltsch et al. 1996, Meyer et al. 2007, see Calabrese et al. In press]. Some existing demographic savanna models, which include these elements along with many others, can reproduce the main traits of real savannas [Jeltsch et al. 2000, Jeltsch et al. 1996, Higgins et al. 2000, Sankaran et al. 2004, van Wijk and Rodriguez-Iturbe 2002, Meyer et al. 2007]. However, these models do not clarify which ingredients are necessary to produce long-term coexistence and which are superfluous.

Our goal is to construct a minimal stochastic model that explains phase coexistence in savannas. For that, we start from a cellular automata defined on a square grid, in which each site can be occupied either by one tree or grass, and that follows the dynamical rules of the standard contact process (occupied sites are trees and empty ones are grasses; see next section). Two phases characterize the system: grassland (grass only) and woodland (tree dominated). Dynamical phase coexistence appears when the system is driven by a random external driver (fluctuations in rainfall) which forces the system to visit the two phases randomly in time. In this way, coexistence appears in a broad region of parameter space without fine-tuning of parameters. We shall show that the range of dynamical coexistence is much enhanced if the age of the trees is included, so that trees can typically endure harsh conditions.

This dynamical coexistence is not indefinite as the rainfall fluctuations will eventually lead the system to the grassland or absorbing state, which is characterized by the complete absence of trees. Unlike previous work on environmental fluctuations and tree-grass coexistence [van Wijk and Rodriguez-Iturbe 2002, Jeltsch et al. 1996, Higgins et al. 2000], we focus here on characterizing the timescales over which dynamical coexistence is robust. Our approach consists of studying stability by means of the mean life-time, that is, the mean time that takes the savanna to reach the final absorbing state. We show that this time diverges with system size as \( N^\alpha \) and can be enormous for even moderately sized systems [Leigh 1981].

Finally, let us remark that the generic phase coexistence observed in our model, i.e. coexistence occurring in a broad region of parameter space, is rarely find in other non-equilibrium model/systems and, in most cases, the domain of coexistence is typically small (see [Muñoz et al. 2005] and references therein). Besides, given that the phenomenon of coexistence also happens in biological, physical and social sciences, the mechanism proposed in this paper is expected to be useful in many other contexts.

II. MODEL

Consider the simple contact process (CP) [Harris 1974, Hinrichsen 2000]. Each node \((i,j)\) of a two-dimensional square lattice can be either occupied \(z_{i,j} = 1\) (tree) or vacant \(z_{i,j} = 0\) (grass). The dynamics is as follows: a tree is randomly selected, and it is removed from the system with probability \(d\), otherwise, with probability \(b\), it generates an offspring, which is placed at a randomly chosen nearest neighbor (n.n) provided it was empty (i.e. short-range seed-dispersal). Every time a tree is selected, time \(t\) is increased by \(1/N(t)\), where \(N(t)\) is the total number of trees in the system; \(t\) is increased in one unit, corresponding to one Monte Carlo (MC) step or “year”, whenever all trees have been selected once on average. Fixing \(d\), a phase transition appears at some critical value \(b_c\).

For \(b > b_c\) the system is in the active (the system has a non-vanishing density of trees and can dynamically evolve) phase – woodland –, while for \(b < b_c\), it is in the absorbing – grassland – phase with zero tree-density (as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1). The reason for this last name is that if the system reaches this absorbing (i.e. only-grass) state, the situation is irreversible, it remains indefinitely trapped in it. Since there is no spontaneous generation of trees, the dynamics ceases if there are only grasses. This type of transition is commonly termed an absorbing phase transition [Hinrichsen 2000].

Now, we introduce the following two extra ingredients to model savannas:

i) Fluctuating external conditions: We assume that the birth probability depends on external conditions (mostly annual precipitation, but also fires, etc [Sankaran et al. 2005]), so \(b\) becomes a time-dependent random variable (see the zigzagging line in Figure 1). To account for possible temporal correlations in weather conditions we take a time-correlated (colored) noise as follows. With probability \(q\) a new value of \(b\) is extracted from an uniform random distribution in \([b_{\min}, b_{\max}]\) at each MC step, otherwise (with probability \(1-q\)) \(b\) is kept fixed. Here, we take \(b_{\min} = 0\), while \(b_{\max}\) is the control parameter. This models weather cycles of typical length \(1/q\). In a similar way, one could include more periodic weather oscillations, as those induced by “El Niño”, which lead to similar results.

ii) Age: Field studies reveal that the mortality dis-
tribution of some savanna trees is consistent across years and climatic regimes [Moustakas et al. 2006], and that they have long lifespans. To model this we define trees with an intrinsic age-variable, \( a(i,j) \) measured in years. The death probability is taken as a random number, \( \eta \), is extracted from a Gaussian distribution of mean \( \mu \) and variance \( \sigma \) (typically, \( \mu = 100 \) and \( \sigma = 20 \)). If \( a(i,j) \geq \eta \) then the selected old tree is removed; otherwise nothing happens.

Some other effects, such as density-dependent demographic rates, can also be easily implemented in the model.

**Density-dependence:** Negative and positive local density-dependent death probabilities account for tree-tree competition and facilitation, respectively. Both of these effects have been reported to act in savannas [see Calabrese et al. In press]. To model competition between a young tree at site \((i,j)\) (tree with age \( a(i,j) \leq a_{est} \), where \( a_{est} \) is the establishment age) and its neighbors, we increase its death rate as a function of the number of its nearest neighbors trees \( \sum_{k,l} z_{k,l} \), where the sum is restricted to nearest neighbors sites of \((i,j)\). Thus, we take \( d(i,j) = 1 - \exp[-NN(i,j)] \). Contrarily, to model strong facilitation we consider \( d(i,j) = 1 \) for \( NN(i,j) = 0 \) and \( d(i,j) = 0 \) for \( NN(i,j) \geq 2 \), that is, trees born in sites with only a few occupied neighbors die with certainty, otherwise they survive.
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**FIG. 1:** Schematic representation of the system dynamics. The underlying pure model has two homogeneous phases: active (woodland) and absorbing (grassland), separated by a critical point (the transition could also be discontinuous as in Fig. 4). The control parameter fluctuates in time and shifts from one phase to another (droughts and rainy periods). This, combined with long-living trees, prevents the system from reaching a homogeneous steady state.

III. **MODEL ANALYSIS AND RESULTS**

We first analyze the role of each ingredient we have added to the basic contact process separately. Taking a fluctuating birth rate, \( b \), and a fixed death rate, we i.e. **switching off the age effect**, the system shifts randomly between the tendencies to be in the active (tree density larger than zero) and in the absorbing (zero tree density) phase of the underlying pure model (notice the zigzagging trajectory in Fig. 1). For \( b_{max} > b_{c} \), the system hovers around its critical point, while, if \( b_{max} < b_{c} \) (resp. \( b_{min} > b_{c} \)) the fluctuating system is in the absorbing (active) phase, i.e. it is a grassland (woodland). In principle, if the time series of \( b \) happens to be adverse (i.e. \( b < b_{c} \)) for a sufficiently long time interval, any finite system falls into the absorbing state; i.e. the system has variability but little resilience to long adverse periods.

The effect of weather correlations is as follows: for \( 0 < q < 1 \) the birth rate is constant for long periods and the system typically jumps, every \( 1/q \) years, from a pure CP homogeneous state to another one and, therefore, when \( b \) takes a value smaller than \( b_{c} \) it falls ineluctably into the absorbing state. For \( q \approx 1 \), the rate of variation of \( b \) is very fast and the system does not have the time required to relax to any pure CP steady state, and reaches instead an averaged density value (see solid curve in Fig. 2). For realistic intermediate values (e.g. \( q = 0.03 \)), the system exhibits much larger oscillations (see the dashed and the dotted line in Fig. 2) which resemble those in real savannas [Moustakas et al. 2008].

On the other hand, taking a fixed birth rate, \( q = 0 \), i.e. **switching off the weather variability** the model becomes a CP with age. For this, if trees die at a fixed given maximum age, \( a_m \) (i.e. with \( \sigma = 0 \)), the density is known to exhibit damped oscillations in time of period \( 2 \sigma a_m \), and to converge asymptotically to a homogeneous stationary value [Gerami 2002] (see dashed-dotted line of Fig. 2). Our model (with \( \sigma > 0 \)) exhibits analogous, though more variable, damped oscillations, and converges either to the absorbing state or to an active homogeneous state. Separating these two regimes there is a phase transition. In this case the system is resilient but has little variability. Obviously resilience grows with the maximum age.

As we demonstrate below, the full model, which includes both age and correlated variable rainfall, exhibits variability and resilience (see the dashed and dotted curves in Fig. 2); the larger the maximum age, the larger the resilience. The system fluctuates locally between the absorbing and the active phases, but it is able to preserve “islands” of the unfavored phase in a “sea” of the dominant phase, as is required for generic phase coexistence. This is the basic mechanism of dynamical phase coexistence.

The effect of **density-dependence** is as follows. Computer simulations show that, the underlying pure
model \((q = 0\) and no age\), either in the absence of density dependence and in the case of competition or weak facilitation, exhibits a continuous absorbing phase transition (as schematized in Fig. 1). Instead, for strong facilitation the underlying transition can be discontinuous with a broad hysteresis loop (see Fig. 3), implying that around the transition the two dynamically coexisting phases are very different.

Fig. 4 shows the stationary tree density as a function \(b_{\text{max}}\) \((q = 0.1, a_m = 100, a_{\text{est}} = 1)\) illustrating the existence of an active phase and a continuous phase transition in the full model with competition. The active phase of the full model is the phase of coexistence: the two phases of the pure model (grass and wood) coexist. A very similar continuous transition is obtained in the case of strong facilitation; even if the underlying pure-model transition is discontinuous, once varying conditions are switched on, the transition between the absorbing and the tree-grass coexistence phases becomes continuous.

For illustration purposes, Fig. 5 shows snapshots of such a phase for different parameters at different times. Panels (a) and (b) correspond to the case of competition, and both have the same parameter values but look quite different, illustrating the large spatio-temporal variability. Panel (c) shows that, in the case of facilitation more compact clusters are observed (as justified by the underlying discontinuous transition). These snapshots are visually very similar to pictures of real savannas [Moustakas et al. 2008]; notice the presence of irregularly distributed tree clusters of different sizes and shapes. Panel (d) corresponds to competition, but with slightly different parameters. We can conclude that density-dependence controls the shape of emerging clusters, but it is not an essential ingredient for dynamical coexistence.

Let us now scrutinize the stability of the active phase, where there is dynamical coexistence: how long does it persist through periods of adverse rainfall conditions?

In a single realization, independent of the value of \(b_{\text{max}}\) and provided that \(b_{\text{min}}\) is smaller than the critical values of the pure model, the density of trees reaches the absorbing state \(\rho = 0\), that consists of a totally empty lattice where no more trees are born, and therefore the evolution stops. In other words, trees go extinct in a time that depends on the specific realization, due to stochastic fluctuations, and the size of the system. We have computed the mean lifetime, \(\tau\), that is, the average over many realizations of the time required to reach tree extinction, for several values of \(b_{\text{max}}\) and different system sizes \(N\) (see Fig. 6). The value \(b_{\text{min}}^{\text{est}} \approx 0.1045\) at which \(\tau\) grows as \(\tau \sim (\ln N)^{3.68}\) [straight dashed line in Fig. 6(a)], agrees within error-bars with the point where the stationary density \(\rho^s\) goes to zero in Fig. 4, thus we take it as the active-absorbing phase transition point. In Fig. 6(b) we observe that, remarkably, in the active
possible with short-term, directly observed trees. A much wider range of density fluctuations than is able to be described by physical quantities measured during this time series (2003, Vershuren et al. 2000). The long-term nature of the active phase at the Cre...stabilizes the active phase and increases the average savanna mean life-time time.

We find that the exponent \( \alpha \) increases with the maximum tree age \( a_{\text{ext}} \) (not shown), so that age strongly affects the expected time to extinction, which is of the order of 10 million years. This leads to the conclusion that the coexistence of grassland and woodland phases is stable for extremely long time periods. Furthermore, we find that the exponent \( \alpha \) increases with the maximum tree age \( a_{\text{ext}} \) (not shown), so that age strongly stabilizes the active phase and increases the average savanna mean life-time time.

**IV. EMPIRICAL EXAMPLE**

To demonstrate that this simple model is a reasonable descriptor of savanna dynamics, we fit it to a paleoecological time series of tree-grass pollen ratios, \( R_{\text{data}}(t) \), and lake depth levels, \( \delta(t) \), from the Crescent Island Crater site in central Kenya (Lamb et al. 2003, Vershuren et al. 2000). The long-term nature of this time series (\( > 1000 \) years) allows us to examine a much wider range of density fluctuations than is possible with short-term, directly observed tree density data. The cost is that these paleoecological data sets rely on proxy measures (e.g., fossil pollen for vegetation, fossil mite and diatom assemblages for lake depth) and are thus necessarily much less precise than direct measures of tree density and rainfall. We digitized figures 3B and 3C in Lamb et al. 2003 to obtain the pollen ratio and lake depth time series, respectively, using the program Engauge V 4.1 [http://digitizer.sourceforge.net/]. As these time series were both reconstructed at the same site, over the same time interval, they give us rough proxy measures for both precipitation patterns and tree density at this site going back over 1000 years (see Fig. 7).

We ran simulations of the model with a birth probability given by the expression \( b(t) = \gamma \delta(t) \) (where \( \gamma \) is a constant), that is, assuming that the amount of rainfall, and therefore \( b(t) \), is proportional to the lake’s depth. We included neither age nor density effects. We also considered that the amounts of pollen from trees and grasses are proportional to the number of trees \( \rho L^2 \) (with \( L = 100 \)) and the area covered by grass \( (1 - \rho)L^2 \), respectively, thus we took...
Here we have shown that a simple extension of the contact process is capable of providing a robust and general explanation for tree-grass coexistence in savannas. Specifically, fluctuating rainfall levels force the system to oscillate between a tree-dominated woodland (active) phase and a grass-only (absorbing) phase; a behavior we call dynamical phase coexistence. Dynamical coexistence is not permanent, but, as we have shown, it is expected to last for geologically significant periods of time. In other words, the mechanism discussed here facilitates coexistence over periods of time easily long enough to span the gaps between major disturbance events, such as ice ages, that can create and destroy savannas.

Strictly speaking, the only essential ingredient for dynamical phase coexistence is the presence of externally varying conditions. Said another way, dynamical coexistence is independent of the lifespans of trees, the degree of correlations in weather conditions, and the presence and nature of density-dependent local interactions among trees. Adding age-dependent tree death to the model greatly enhances the stability and robustness of coexistence. Adding weather correlations of intermediate magnitude increases the variability in tree cover and can help the model mimic the wide variability in tree cover that has been observed among sites with similar rainfall [Sankaran et al. 2005, Bucini and Hanan 2007, Sankaran et al. 2008]. Finally, adding local tree-tree interactions can allow the model to reproduce a range of tree spatial patterns that have been observed in real savannas, including dense thickets of trees and more widely spaced and open configurations [see Calabrese et al. In press, Moustakas et al. 2008]. Thus these additional features affect the nature of several observable features of savannas but are not the primary drivers of tree-grass coexistence.

This last point merits further discussion. While several stochastic savanna models have included varying weather conditions and many other factors [Jeltsch et al. 1996, Jeltsch et al. 1998, Higgins et al. 2000, Meyer et al. 2007, van Wijk and Rodriguez-Iturbe 2002], none has, to our knowledge, clearly identified the minimal conditions that facilitate tree-grass coexistence. Our strategy of starting from the well-studied contact process and extending the model in a stepwise fashion has allowed us to identify the contribution of each model component to tree-grass coexistence and to other features observed in real savannas. We can therefore unambiguously state that fluctuating external conditions alone are sufficient to facilitate long-term (though not indefinite) tree-grass coexistence. A further advantage of our minimalistic approach is that it does not require parameter fine tuning to achieve coexistence, as has been the case in other stochastic savanna models [Jeltsch et al. 1996, Higgins et al. 2000]. Finally, unlike previous studies, we have been able to clearly
demonstrate the time scales over which dynamical phase coexistence persists.

Despite the simplicity of our model, we have shown that it is capable of describing qualitatively a 1000 year paleoecological dataset when it is given a quantity proportional to rainfall as a driving input. The key result here is that the model is able to reproduce the correlation between weather patterns and tree-grass ratios. The rough quantitative agreement between the model and data is not surprising given that these data, which are based on proxy measures instead of direct observation, are relatively crude and that only two parameters of our dynamic, non-linear model, were tuned to achieve the fit. We note that though empirical data are frequently used in savanna modeling studies, such studies typically only consider single snapshots in time, or very short time series [Jeltsch et al. 1999, Higgins et al. 2000, van Wijk and Rodriguez-Iturbe 2002].

While we have focused here on savannas, the concept of dynamical phase coexistence is much more general than that. Variants of the Contact Process are used in many different fields including: Physics, Ecology, Epidemiology, Sociology, etc. Furthermore, it seems likely that many of the systems to which these models are applied may feature some degree of forcing by externally varying conditions. Thus, we expect that the concept of dynamical phase coexistence will find broad applicability in a range of scientific disciplines.
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