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ABSTRACT

Temperature, salinity, oxygen, nutrients and CO₂ data obtained in the areas west and north of the Iberian Peninsula have been analyzed. We assume that the composite parameters such as Broecker’s “NO” and “PO” and our own “CAO” and “SiO” are conservative for our water mass analysis. We demonstrate that the observations can be well represented by mixing between five end-members and estimate the relative proportions of these end-members in waters between 40N and 47N. Furthermore, based upon the differences between the observed and computed concentrations of oxygen and nutrients, the approximate rates of oxygen utilization and nutrient production in each water mass are estimated. The type-values of the “NO,” “PO” and “CO” parameters are used to compare the data obtained here with those recorded in other areas.

1. Introduction

The thermohaline characteristics of the water masses surrounding the Iberian Peninsula have been widely studied. Along the north and west coast, two different water masses of North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) (100–400 m) have been described (Fraga, 1981; Fraga et al., 1982). These water masses form a quasipermanent subsurface front close to Cape Finisterre that reinforces the upwelling. Recently, Ríos et al. (1992) characterized the origin of both North Atlantic Central Water masses, taking into account the descriptions by Harvey (1982) and Pollard and Pu (1985). These authors used the denomination ENAW (Eastern North Atlantic Central Water) for the NACW situated northeast of the subtropical gyre, to the east of the Azores. In this paper, NACW of subpolar origin (Harvey, 1982) is symbolized by ENAW₀ and represents the water bodies situated to the north of Cape Finisterre (Fraga et al., 1982; Ríos et al., 1992), and by ENAW, the NACW of subtropical origin (Fiuza, 1984; McCartney and Talley, 1982; Pollard and Pu, 1985) generally situated to the south of Cape Finisterre.

Mediterranean Water (MW) is characterized by a strong salinity maximum that spreads north through this area close to the continental slope of the Iberian Peninsula (Lacombe and Tchernia, 1960; Parrilla and Moron, 1971; Madelain, 1972).
Recently Ríos et al. (1992) described the existence of a strong salinity maximum off Cape Finisterre, showing the important persistence of MW along the Portuguese-Galician continental slope. The formation and spreading of meddies (Käse et al., 1989) northward give rise to the strong salinity maxima distant from their formation zone off Cape Finisterre. To the north and east of this Cape, an important frontal zone between ENAW$_p$ and MW exists where the influence of MW decreases rapidly (Harvey, 1982; Ríos et al., 1992).

With regard to nutrient distributions in this area, most studies have been concerned with the coastal upwelling, and there are only a few exhaustive papers about the nutrient distribution as a function of the water masses present in this area (Coste et al., 1986). In the Bay of Biscay, Tréguer et al. (1979) observed linear correlations between the nutrients (nitrate and phosphate) and salinity, and distinguished two bodies of NACW of different origins (subtropical and subpolar) with salinities greater and less than 35.65. This value will be used here to distinguish between ENAW$_p$ ($S < 35.6$; Harvey, 1982) and ENAW$_l$ ($S > 35.7$; Pollard and Pu, 1985).

In the Bay of Cádiz, Ambar et al. (1976) and Howe et al. (1974) described the nutrient distributions as a function of the mixing processes due to the formation and spread of the Mediterranean outflow along two separate sections.

Nutrient variability in the mixing of water masses has been studied in other Atlantic zones by other authors (Minas et al., 1982; Tomczak, 1981a; Fraga et al., 1985a). The analysis of triangular mixing of nutrients allows us to better describe the mixing processes, and, by comparing the deviations with a model, it is possible to find regions where the remineralization of organic matter (ROM) is relatively high (Tomczak, 1981b).

The aim of this paper is to estimate the relative aging of the different water masses surrounding the Iberian Peninsula, followed by changes of nutrients, oxygen and total CO$_2$ due to ROM, and to relate this aging to the mixing and displacement of water masses obtained from the thermohaline distribution. Through the mixing triangle analysis, the thermohaline variability is removed from the distribution of nutrients, oxygen and total CO$_2$, and only the nutrient variability due to remineralization remains. This also allows us to chemically characterize the water masses off the Iberian Peninsula, and to compare them with data obtained within and beyond this area, using the Broecker parameters (Broecker, 1974).

2. Material and methods

The data used was taken on four oceanographic expeditions of the R/V Garcia del Cid in different months of the year. The Galicia-V expedition was made in November 1982; Galicia-VI, in December 1983; Galicia-VII, in February 1984; and Galicia-VIII in July of 1984. The positions of all stations are shown in Figure 1. The data for temperature, salinity, oxygen and nutrients from the four oceanographic cruises and the methods used can be found in Mourriño et al. (1984, 1985), Pérez et al. (1985) and Fraga et al. (1985b). These data allow us to carry out an analysis of the average levels
Figure 1. Positions of stations in the cruises *Galicia V* (Nov-82; open squares), *Galicia VI* (Dec-83; open circles), *Galicia VII* (Feb-84; dark circles) and *Galicia VIII* (Jul-84; crosses). The subsurface frontal zone (shadow) near Cape Finisterre is shown.

of nutrient, oxygen and total organic carbon of the water masses present in this area from the surface to 3000 m. The seasonal variability of ENAW will be the aim of further papers.

3. Previous work and theory

a. ENAW displacement. Fraga et al. (1982) described the presence of a frontal zone situated to the northwest of the Iberian Peninsula, close to Cape Finisterre in which two water bodies of ENAW of different origin are found (Ríos et al., 1992). Fraga et
al. (1982) suggested that the ENAW\textsubscript{1} and ENAW\textsubscript{p} originate around 40N and 47N respectively. In Figure 2a the potential temperature-salinity (\(\Theta-S\)) diagrams obtained to the north of Finisterre at the end of winter and in summer are shown. The agreement in the segments of ENAW between the \(\Theta-S\) diagram obtained in March 1984 at 47N, and those obtained in July 1984 at 44N close to the north coast of Galicia, supports the hypothesis of the displacement of ENAW\textsubscript{p} from north to south. On the other hand, Figure 2b shows the \(\Theta-S\) diagrams obtained to the south of the frontal zone in the same periods. The concordance between the \(\Theta-S\) signatures in sections corresponding to ENAW of February-84 at 41N and July-84 at 42N supports the hypothesis that the ENAW\textsubscript{1} flows from south to north (Fraga et al., 1982).

The advection of ENAW\textsubscript{1} to Cape Finisterre has been described recently by several authors. At the end of autumn, Frouin et al. (1990) found a salty core flowing to the north along the Portuguese coast. Pollard and Pu (1985) also described the ventilation and advection eastward of a salty edge of ENAW from the Azores area.
We hypothesize that these two ENAW masses are subjected to different ventilation rates or ROM, and thus contain different nutrient levels. So, these two water masses will be considered separately.

Due to the displacements of ENAW\textsubscript{p} and ENAW, to Cape Finisterre from their formation areas, the thermohaline variability of ENAW found in summer (Galicia-\textit{VIII} cruise, Fig. 1) is similar to that found during other cruises (\textit{Galicia-V}, \textit{Galicia-VI} and \textit{Galicia-VII}) carried out in a vast area, in winter (Fig. 1).

\textit{b. Mixing model.} The \(\Theta-S\) diagram with the data from all stations is shown in Figure 3. The triangles show the three levels of subsurface mixing and the values of their vertices are given in Table 1. The layout of these triangles represents, in a general way, the mixing profile of the water bodies detected in the northeast Atlantic.

The points representing the surface layer are situated above the ENAW and are not taken into account in the analysis. The thermohaline distribution of ENAW coincides with that given by Sverdrup \textit{et al.} (1942). The water types symbolized by \(W\)
Figure 3. $\Theta$-$S$ diagrams for all stations shown in Figure 1. The three triangles and five water types used in the mixing model are shown.

and $W_p$ in Figure 3 represent the ENAW$_i$ and ENAW$_p$ respectively. To choose both points, we have taken into account:

(i) The salinity maximum of ENAW$_i$ in the working area whenever it is not affected by mixing with surface water.

(ii) The slope of $W_i-W_p$ segment is the same as that given by Sverdup et al. (1942).

(iii) The maximum of MW in each profile (close to the salinity maximum situated at 1000 to 1200 m) must separate the two mixing triangles $W_i-W_p-MW$ and $W_p-MW-LW$. The MW type has been defined taking into account the thermohaline characteristics given by Wüst and Defant (1936) close to Cape St. Vicente (Table 1). The segment $W_p-MW$ has been obtained from the linear regression of all the maxima of MW obtained in each profile.

The point $W_p$ obtained here to represent the ENAW$_p$ is very close to the inflexion point of the $\Theta$-$S$ curve suggested by Harvey (1982, Fig. 5) for ENAW. The line that links this point with LW separates the representative points of waters with MW influence from those that are partly mixed with of SAIW (Subarctic Intermediate Water).
Table 1. Thermohaline definition of the water types used in the mixing analysis and nutrient type calculated in μmol/Kg. The number of representative points used are also shown as well as the correlation ($r^2$) of the linear regression between real values and those estimated from the model, and the standard deviations of the residuals. (*see text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>Θ</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>$\sigma_0$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{1000}$</th>
<th>Alk</th>
<th>$\Sigma CO_2$</th>
<th>NO₃</th>
<th>PO₄</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>O₂</th>
<th>&quot;CAO&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;NO&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;PO&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;SiO&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENAWᵢ</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>35.81₅</td>
<td>26.89₄</td>
<td>31.30₄</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>2107</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1461</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENAWᵢₚ</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>27.28₈</td>
<td>31.77₆</td>
<td>2325</td>
<td>2157</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>27.80₆</td>
<td>32.24₂</td>
<td>2417</td>
<td>2209</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1516</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>34.8₉</td>
<td>27.7₅₉</td>
<td>32.3₈₂</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>2156</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>349*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADW</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>34.9₂₅</td>
<td>27.8₇₉</td>
<td>32.5₂₆</td>
<td>2337</td>
<td>218₈</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>1.₄₀</td>
<td>37.₄</td>
<td>2₄₈</td>
<td>1₅₈₀</td>
<td>4₅₉</td>
<td>4₈₀</td>
<td>4₇₃*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of data points | 8₄₃ | 7₈₂ | 9₁₉ | 9₂₁ | 7₇₇ | 9₃₀ | 7₈₁ | 8₇₉ | 8₈₅ | 7₄₉ |
$r^2*100$ | 9₈ | 9₅ | 9₁ | 9₁ | 9₈ | 8₆ | 9₁ | 9₃ | 9₄ | 9₉ |
standard deviation | 3 | 6 | 1.₁ | 0.₀₈ | 0.₆ | 8.₂ | 8.₂ | 9.₂ | 10 | 8 |
The mixing triangle \( (W_t - W_p - MW) \) shows the mixing processes of subsurface waters above 1000–1100 m, in which the different types of ENAW and MW are involved. Below this level the mixing between MW and LW (Labrador Water) is prevalent which is included in the mixing triangle \( W_p - MW - LW \). To define the LW type, the thermohaline characteristics given by Talley and McCartney (1982) when the LW crosses the Mid Atlantic Ridge, are used (Table 1).

The North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) is located below 2000 m (Harvey, 1982). We study the mixing processes through the MW–LW–NADW triangle. To define the NADW type, the thermohaline characteristics given by Worthington and Wright (1970) have been taken; this value represents the maximum volume found in the European basin. Summarizing, the mixing processes of the water masses present in the working area will be described from the three mixing triangles with five water types: ENAW\(_t\), ENAW\(_p\), MW, LW and NADW (Table 1).

Using salinity and potential temperature as conservative parameters in a three water type mixing triangle, the proportions of the three types \( (M_{i1}, M_{i2}, M_{i3}) \), for a sample \( i \) with a given salinity and temperature \( (S, \Theta) \), can be obtained by the following set of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{i1} + M_{i2} + M_{i3} &= 1 \\
M_{i1} \cdot S_1 + M_{i2} \cdot S_2 + M_{i3} \cdot S_3 &= S_i \\
M_{i1} \cdot \Theta_1 + M_{i2} \cdot \Theta_2 + M_{i3} \cdot \Theta_3 &= \Theta_i
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \) and \( \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3 \) are the values of salinity and temperature of each water type (Tomczak, 1981b). Given that three mixing triangles with five water types have been proposed, the proportions of any point present in any of the three triangles can be obtained by applying the system of equations given above for each triangle. Generally, from the resolution of the three mixing triangles, for each data point in Figure 3, the five proportions \( (M_{i1}, M_{i2}, M_{i3}, M_{i4} \text{ and } M_{i5}) \) are determined, considering that at least two values of them are null and void depending on the triangle where each sample is located.

For each additional conservative parameter \( (C) \) we obtain:

\[
M_{i1} \cdot C_1 + M_{i2} \cdot C_2 + M_{i3} \cdot C_3 + M_{i4} \cdot C_4 + M_{i5} \cdot C_5 = C_i
\]

(2)

Given that the proportions \( (M_{i1}, M_{i2}, M_{i3}, M_{i4}, M_{i5}) \) and the conservative parameter \( (C_i) \) of the 930 data points are available, the fitting of the multilinear equation (2) by least squares is made, obtaining the conservative parameters \( (C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5) \) of the five water types considered. This fitting procedure also provides the theoretical values of the conservative parameters and the residuals or anomalies for every sample. The improvement of this mixing model in comparison with that of Tomczak (1981a) is to perform the fitting in a single equation (2).

The nutrients (nitrate, phosphate) and \( \Sigma CO_2 \) in subsurface waters vary due to the oxidation of organic matter. Thus they do not behave as conservative parameters. A large part of the variability is due to the ROM included in the mixing model because
normally the ROM is a function of depth, and so it shows an important negative correlation with temperature. Furthermore, from the oceanographic point of view, the scale of the working area is not large enough to expect great latitudinal differences in the ROM. Thus, as a first approach, we assume that nutrients, $\Sigma CO_2$ and oxygen behave as conservative parameters on our spatial scale.

The composite parameters "NO," "PO" (Broecker, 1974) and "CAO" (Rios et al., 1989) have also been used in this model of analysis of water masses. These parameters have the property of not being affected by the oxidation of organic matter. So they are conservative with regard to the biological activity and independent of the water aging. The remineralization processes can be quantified through the stoichiometric ratios $-\triangle O_2: \triangle CO_2: \triangle NO_3: \triangle P = 138:106:16:1$ (Redfield et al., 1963). Takahashi et al. (1985) carried out a review on the 27.0 and 27.2 isopycnal levels of the stoichiometric ratios given by Redfield et al. (1963). The ENAW and ENAWp are located along these isopycnal levels. Takahashi et al. (1985) obtained for the North Atlantic the $-\triangle O_2: \triangle CO_2: \triangle NO_3: \triangle P$ ratios of 165:112:17:6:1. Minster and Boulahdid (1987) critically examined the isopycnal analysis. They found a slightly different ratio $-\triangle O_2: \triangle NO_3: \triangle P = 161:17:1:1$. Recently, Rios et al. (1989) obtained from the elemental composition of marine phytoplankton the following stoichiometric equation:

$$[C_{129}H_{234}O_{39}N_{17}P] + 175 \, O_2 + 148 \, OH^- \rightarrow 129 \, HCO_3^- + 17 \, NO_3^- + HPO_4^- + 126 \, H_2O$$

with stoichiometric ratios very close to those obtained by Takahashi et al. (1985). Following the definition of the "NO" parameter (Broecker, 1974) and accepting the nomenclature of Minster and Boulahdid (1987), we can define:

$$"NO" = O_2 + R_N \cdot NO_3^- ; \quad R_N = -\triangle O_2/\triangle NO_3$$

$$"PO" = O_2 + R_P \cdot HPO_4^- ; \quad R_P = -\triangle O_2/\triangle HPO_4^-$$

$$"CAO" = O_2 + R_C \cdot (\Sigma CO_2 - (A_t + NO_3^-)/2) ; \quad R_C = -\triangle O_2/\triangle CO_2$$

where all the concentrations are expressed in $\mu$mol/kg of seawater which is independent of the pressure and temperature conditions. The inorganic carbon ($\Sigma CO_2$) is obtained from total alkalinity ($A_t$) and pH using the methods described by Pérez and Fraga (1987a, b). The term $A_t + NO_3^-$ corrects the variations of total inorganic carbon due to the processes of precipitation and re-solution of CaCO$_3$, so that the "CAO" parameter is not affected by these processes (Takahashi et al., 1985; Rios et al., 1989).

The values of $R_N$, $R_P$ and $R_C$ were obtained by linear regression of the $NO_3^-$, $\Sigma CO_2$ and $HPO_4^-$ anomalies obtained from the mixing model, giving:

$$"NO" = O_2 + 10 \, NO_3^- \quad (3)$$

$$"PO" = O_2 + 163 \, HPO_4^- \quad (4)$$

$$"CAO" = O_2 + 1.32 \, (\Sigma CO_2 - (A_t + NO_3^-)/2) \quad (5)$$
The $R_N$, $R_P$ and $R_C$ ratios are not significantly different from those that can be obtained from the stoichiometric ratios given by Takahashi et al. (1985), Minster and Boulahid (1987) and Rios et al. (1989). These ratios minimize the standard error of the fit of the “NO,” “PO” and “CAO” parameters, being independent of remineralization processes. As we shall see later, the variability of nutrients and oxygen anomalies is small. Therefore, the accuracy of $R_N$, $R_P$ and $R_C$ ratios is not very significant for the real fitting of the “NO,” “PO” and “CAO” parameters. But even so, the magnitudes of Broecker’s parameters vary when the $R_N$, $R_P$ and $R_C$ ratios change in expressions (3) to (5). This fact should be taken into account when results of other authors are compared.

The preformed nutrients studied here, that is the nutrients present during the formation of water masses, can be calculated from Broecker’s parameters and vice versa using the equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
NO_3^- &= (\text{"NO"} - O_{2\text{sat}})/10 \\
PO_4^- &= (\text{"PO"} - O_{2\text{sat}})/163
\end{align*}
\]

assuming that the new water masses formed during winter mixing reach oxygen saturation. Thus, it is possible to distinguish between the nutrients or $\Sigma CO_2$ generated by remineralization and those already present when the water masses form.

Silicon is not to be expected to show a close stoichiometric relationship with the other nutrient elements and oxygen consumption because the proportions of diatoms in phytoplankton and their degree of silicification vary considerably. However, various authors (Spencer, 1975) reported ratios of $\triangle Si: \triangle N$ between 0.5 to 1.2, which implies a ratio $R_{Si} = -\triangle O_2: \triangle H_4SiO_4$ from 9 to 20. The ratio $R_{Si} = 15$ used here, correctly adjusts the deviations which exist in water where a significant ROM is present, and so is sufficiently valid for bodies of water situated above 1000 meters. Thus, the parameter can be defined as

\[
\text{"SiO"} = O_2 + 15 H_4SiO_4
\]

which has a mean value only for upper waters where it shows conservative behavior. In deep waters where the rate of ROM is low and where an important re-solution of silicon of biogenic origin may take place, the ratio $R_{Si} = 15$ is too high. To estimate the ratio $R_{Si}$ in these waters, the ratio Si:N present in the water type is considered.

4. Results and discussion

a. Nutrient types. In Table 1 the values of ten chemical parameters for the five water types, expressed in $\mu$mol/kg of seawater, are shown. From these results it is possible to calculate, for a water body defined by salinity and temperature, any of the different
Table 2. Ratios between nutrient types and those preformed (*) estimated at oxygen saturation, in the five water types studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$O_2^*$</th>
<th>N/P</th>
<th>Si/N</th>
<th>SiO$_2^*$</th>
<th>NO$_3^*$</th>
<th>PO$_4^*$</th>
<th>N$^o$/P$^o$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENAW$_t$</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENAW$_p$</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADW</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

chemical parameters considered, applying the system of Eqs (1) and (2) with standard deviations given in Table 1.

The model fits the experimental data well if we consider the high correlation coefficients, some fitting the composite parameters ("NO", "PO", "CAO" and "SiO") better than nutrients and oxygen independently, as was to be expected. All composite parameters show standard deviations between 44% and 60% lower than those obtained from the linear sum of standard deviations of oxygen and nutrients or $\Sigma CO_2$. Therefore, the most important variations of nutrients are defined by the physical mixing process. The small variations due to the differential remineralization is corrected by Broecker's parameters.

The lowest variance adjusted by the model ($r^2 = 0.86$, Table 1) corresponds to the dissolved oxygen. However, the standard deviation of residuals of oxygen is very similar to those given for the composite parameters of Broecker.

The nutrient values obtained for the shallowest water type, ENAW$_t$, are very low, or even zero in the case of SiO$_2H_4$, and the oxygen values are very close to saturation, taking into account that the types' value are slightly extrapolated, and that important quantities of remineralized nutrients exist in the subsurface layer. The real values, as we shall see later, show anomalies in relation to the model with an increase of nutrient concentration and a decrease of dissolved oxygen concentrations. Considering the variability of the "NO" parameter for the waters of the main thermocline, Broecker (1974) gives a value of "NO" = 290 at 14°C which is very close to that obtained here for the ENAW$_t$ type (Table 1).

The N:P ratios for the rest of the water types given in Table 2 are similar to the values given by several authors (Takahashi et al., 1985; Minster and Boulahdid, 1987; Ríos et al., 1989). These ratios cause the values of "NO" and "PO" to be similar for the same water body. So, in a water mass, the elemental composition of organic matter determines the ratios between biogenic elements, both in their preformed component and in that regenerated by oxidation. The same table shows the values of preformed nutrients estimated considering that during the formation of new water bodies, the oxygen saturation is 100% (Spencer, 1975). The high preformed N:P ratio obtained for MW agrees with the high ratios published for the Mediterranean Sea. In the same way, the low N:P ratio obtained for NADW is similar to N:P ratios obtained
for regions farther south (Le Jehan and Tréguer, 1985). These water include water of Antarctic origin, as the high content of silicate shows (Table 1).

The Si:N ratios in water types (Table 2) increase with the depth of origin of each water type. The ENAW water situated mainly north of 47N is affected in its formation by continental waters poor in silicate (Tréguer et al., 1979). The Si:N ratio for LW is similar to those obtained by Clark and Coote (1988) in the Labrador Sea (Si/N = 0.76) during the formation of new Labrador Water.

Considering the model described by Broecker and Takahashi (1980), and taking into account the values of H₄SiO₄ for NADW, this water would have 21% of water of Antarctic origin (AABW). The Si:N ratio for NADW is higher and close to the range found in Antarctic water (Le Jehan and Tréguer, 1985). So, assuming the same R₅ ratio, the Rₛᵢ = 6 ratio is obtained from which the values of “SiO” for NADW are calculated. This change in the ratio does not affect the quality of the fitting due to the slow ROM in deep waters. Using this last ratio for LW, a “SiO” value of 366 µmol/kg is obtained.

b. Distribution of anomalies in ENAW and MW. The deviations between the nutrient values observed and those estimated from the model (nutrient anomalies) can be classified in two situations:

1) The nutrients and ΣCO₂ anomalies have their corresponding and opposite oxygen anomalies in such a way that the “NO,” “PO,” “SiO” and “CAO” anomalies are close to zero. These anomalies are mainly due to the ROM (it has no influence on Broecker’s parameters), and denote water bodies which have a longer residence time in the study area compared with those which show negative oxygen anomalies (positive nutrient anomalies). The water bodies with positive oxygen anomalies indicate the relatively recent presence of the water type in the study area or the existence of ventilation areas.

2) On the contrary, nutrient and/or oxygen anomalies which persist in the distribution of Broecker’s parameters, indicate the existence of water bodies of different origin which have not been taken into account in the model.

With the object of identifying the regions or zones of remineralization, the distribution of the anomalies due to fitting the mixing model in the subsurface layers was studied. For this, a section from 40N to 47N was taken, and all the anomalies averaged from stations at the same latitude (Fig. 1) and during: Galicia-V (November-82), Galicia-VI (December-83) and Galicia-VII (February-84) which show a very similar geographical distribution. Although seasonal and also transverse variations exist, they are less important than latitudinal variations which, in their turn, are of a more persistent character.

Figure 4 shows the isopycnal distribution of the water types from 40N and 47N. In the near surface region in the south, ENAWᵣ is dominant whereas the maxima of
ENAW\textsubscript{p} are situated to the north in the 27.3 isopycnal, and correspond clearly to the formation by winter mixing of great volumes of subpolar mode water to the north of the area (Harvey, 1982). The MW and LW are situated at greater density values, and the maximum of MW shows a rapid decrease near Cape Finisterre (44N). According to the isopycnals, LW is located above MW. This isopycnal deepens below 1000 meters due to the calculation of sigma-\Theta made at zero pressure. This effect disappears if sigma-\Theta is calculated at the 1000 dbar level (Table 1).

The average oxygen anomalies (Fig. 4) are clearly grouped, characterized by negative oxygen anomalies (real values less than calculated by the model) below the ENAW\textsubscript{p} maximum, basically along the mixing layer with MW, and in small amounts, in the mixing layer between MW and LW. On the other hand, the positive anomalies of O\textsubscript{2} are situated in the MW maxima at 40N and in the upper part of the ENAW\textsubscript{p} at 47N. In areas where the influence of LW is greater, the oxygen anomalies are practically zero. The negative anomaly suggests the presence of a layer where the ROM is maximum, probably due to a greater residence time of these mixing layers in the working area. This implies that these layers are displaced slowly. At the same time the advance and dilution of ENAW\textsubscript{p} and MW is associated with an aging that diminishes the oxygen concentration. In the southern part where ENAW\textsubscript{i} prevails, the oxygen anomalies are negative in contrast to the ENAW\textsubscript{p}. This fact supports the different origins of these two water masses.

Although Maillard (1986) describes the weak displacement of central waters in the direction west-east, other authors (Pollard and Pu, 1985) describe and estimate the displacement of ENAW\textsubscript{p} between 27.1 and 27.3 isopycnals from 45N toward the south, below a saline wedge that we call here ENAW\textsubscript{i}. This behavior agrees with the anomalous distribution of oxygen studied here. The maximum oxygen anomaly is observed at 47N and spreads below the ENAW\textsubscript{i}, close to the 27.15 isopycnal. During
its advance, the oxygen concentrations of ENAW decrease by 0.56 ml/l (25 µmol/kg) from 47 to 40N. This value is slightly higher than that of Pollard and Pu (1985) from 45 to 40N. Considering an oxygen consumption rate of 0.15 ml l⁻¹ y⁻¹ obtained by the same authors, a ventilation time of the order of four years has been estimated from 47 to 40N. This is, an advection rate of 0.6 cm s⁻¹, similar to that obtained by the former authors. Packard et al. (1988a) gave data of oxygen utilization rate (OUR) obtained from the ETS activity in the North Western Sargasso Sea between 0.056 ml O₂ l⁻¹ y⁻¹ and 0.27 ml O₂ l⁻¹ y⁻¹. They also gave higher values in the North Central Pacific Ocean close to 0.27 ml O₂ l⁻¹ y⁻¹ between 200 and 400 m.

The variability of the nutrients and oxygen in the ENAW and ENAW are not predicted by the mixing model in a single equation. These two water masses differ in that they show opposite anomalies of oxygen. On the other hand, their values of "NO," "PO," "CAO" and "SiO" are adjustable linearly for these water bodies, even farther to the south. As we will see later on, the "NO" values recorded in Sines (38N) by GROUPE MEDIPROD (1983) are quite similar to those estimated here. All this indicates a differential oxidation and/or a different ventilation rates between ENAW and ENAW. So, although low values of nutrients are expected in ENAW due to its higher temperature (Table 1), its greater degree of oxidation causes an increase of nutrient levels and a decrease of oxygen concentrations (Figs. 4 to 6). We can affirm just the contrary for the ENAW. The nutrient distribution described by Tréguer et al. (1979) in the Bay of Biscay shows similar behavior.

To compare the different levels in the nutrient anomalies obtained by the model, they have been multiplied by the corresponding stoichiometric coefficients (Eqs. 3 to 5). The anomaly distributions for nitrate, total inorganic carbon, phosphate and silicate are shown in Figures 4 and 5, expressed in equivalent units of oxygen (µmol/kg). All distributions show a concordant behavior, but with the opposite sign of the distribution of oxygen anomalies, in such a way that the "NO," "PO," "CAO" and "SiO" anomalies are slightly significant, which is expected when the nutrient anomalies are due only to ROM. Broecker’s parameters are similarly shown with their corresponding nutrients in the same figures, and in general reveal a random distributions. The silicate distribution shows the lowest values of the anomalies and weaker gradients along the mixing zone between MW and ENAW. On the other hand, the nitrate anomaly distribution is somewhat greater and with stronger gradients. In general, the nutrient anomalies show negative values associated with the water type (except ENAW), and positive values associated with the mixing zones.

The northward increase of nutrient anomalies (the opposite for O₂) in the MW maximum indicates a differential remineralization in the direction of advance, approximately equivalent to 0.45 ml/l (≈ 20 µmol/kg) of oxygen, from 40 to 47N. Although Madelain (1967) recorded speeds of 10 cm s⁻¹, the mean values estimated for the MW tongue between 800 to 1200 m and situated between the Iberian
Peninsula and 11W, are of the order of 1.5 cm s\(^{-1}\), which leads to an oxygen consumption rate close to 0.3 ml l\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\), which is higher than that estimated by Pollard and Pu (1985) (0.05–0.15 ml l\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\) at 500 m) and that given by Kester (1975) of 0.035 ml l\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\) at a mean depth of 700 m for the Atlantic Ocean. This important difference could be due to the following. Although the tongue of MW is located at greater depth, an increase in oxygen consumption can be caused by an increase in the flux of particulate matter by the relatively high temperature of the water mass, by its proximity to the slope of the Iberian Peninsula, and by its proximity to an area of high primary production due to coastal upwelling. The aging of the MW which increases in the direction of the current, emphasizes the oxygen minimum, allowing an easier detection of MW even when it is present in small proportions. Packard et al. (1988b) described the formation of the Alboran oxygen minimum zone, finding values of OUR up to 0.20 ml l\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\).

The opposite displacement of ENAW\(_{p}\) and MW generates between these two water masses a region of relatively stagnant water where the differential remineralization rates (Fig. 4) are high. The maximum negative oxygen anomaly is situated close to the isoline of 60% of ENAW\(_{p}\) and 30% of MW. The maxima of positive nutrient anomalies are similarly situated (Figs. 5 and 6). As an example of this, the \(\Theta\)-S diagram (Fig. 7a) from a station close to Cape Finisterre shows that in the linear mixing between the ENAW\(_{p}\) minimum (point \(a\)) and the MW maximum (point \(b\)), an increase of NO\(_3\) (2 \(\mu\)mol/kg; Fig. 7b) and an equivalent decrease of oxygen (17 \(\mu\)mol/kg) in addition to that expected by mixing. Whereas in the “NO”-S diagram these anomalies remain balanced, and show a strong similarity with the \(\Theta\)-S profile. So, a layer of relatively low velocity exists in which an increase of nutrients is produced and also the oxygen minimum is emphasized and moved to become associated with the mixing layer at about 100 m above the salinity maximum.

c. Comparison with data outside the study area. Table 3 summarizes the hydrographic and nutrients data of 10 different stations of ENAW, MW, LW and NADW in the vicinity of Cape Finisterre. For comparison, as well as to test out the model, we have calculated the phosphate, nitrate, silicate and oxygen values for the corresponding stations.

In the area of the Bay of Biscay, Tréguer et al. (1979) define, two types of North Atlantic Central Water, Central North (CN) and Central South (CS), for which they determine the relations between nutrients and salinity. These water bodies correspond respectively to ENAW\(_{p}\) and ENAW\(_{l}\). In Table 3, reference 1, the intervals of salinity, temperature and nutrients are given for CS water which penetrates from the south of the Bay of Biscay. The nutrients estimated from our model for this same water are close to their values. In the same table, reference 2, the data corresponding to CN water which penetrates the Bay of Biscay from the north, are also given. The anomalies obtained by the model are similar among the different nutrients. In
addition, the negative anomalies are concordant with those shown in the north (about 47N) of our working area (Figs. 5 and 6). This also agrees with the fact that ENAW, more ventilated, is located to the north of 47N. Unfortunately, Tréguer et al. (1979) do not give at the simultaneous oxygen data for this area which could show the formation and greater ventilation of CN water defined by them.

To the south of our working area (Cape Sines, 38N, 9W), the GROUPE MEDI-PROD (1983) carried out measurements of nutrients and oxygen during the RCA-1 cruise, that we have subjected to a similar model to the one shown here and from which we have obtained the values for the first three water types used here. The anomalies of nutrient (reference 3 of Tables 3 and 4) calculated for the ENAW, agree with the oxygen anomaly, and indicate a great remineralization of this water type to the south of the working area, which coincides with the data shown in Figures 4 to 6. The differences obtained for the different nutrients, off Sines for the ENAW, are not coherent among themselves, and give rise to important differences in the “SiO” and “NO” values. This is probably due to the fact that there, the proportions of ENAW, are very low and a significant extrapolation of the calculated
values must be carried out. Besides, the influence of shallower tongues of MW (Ambar, 1983) can introduce significant anomalies if they have not been taken into account.

Farther to the south, in the Bay of Cadiz, Le Corre and Tréguer (1976) show values of salinity, temperature, nutrients and oxygen for ENAW (Table 3). The values of estimated nutrients using our model show positive anomalies, similar and opposite in sign to those of oxygen. For this reason, "NO," "PO" and "SiO" values (Table 4) are relatively close to zero, and again indicating the greater degree of oxidation in ENAW situated farther to the south of our working area.

Nutrient values are also shown in Table 3 for the MW present between the formation zone (Bay of Cadiz, reference 4) and our study area. The agreement between the different anomalies is good. In general, the differences are higher in absolute value than those obtained in the southern part (40N) of our working area (Figs. 4 to 6) which indicate a lower age of the waters close to the Strait of Gibraltar. Off Sines (reference 3) the anomalies are close to those recorded by us at 40N (Figs. 4 to 6) and lower than those obtained in the Bay of Cadiz. Although the "NO"
and "SiO" values are significant (Table 4), these are rather lower in absolute value than the nutrient anomalies (Table 3).

Clarke and Coote (1988) studied the formation of the LW, and give nutrient and oxygen values. Their values show concordant anomalies of nitrate and oxygen, and very similar "NO" values (Table 4). Pingree (1973) in the Bay of Biscay (reference 8) gives silicate and oxygen values. These values of silicate are greater than calculated here, but "SiO" is practically the same. These features indicate greater aging in the LW found by Pingree (1973). Broecker and Peng (1982) also give values of nutrients and oxygen for the deep LW whose characteristics are shown in reference (9a) (Table 3). Small negative nitrate, phosphate and silicate anomalies show an aged LW in our study area, although significant "NO" differences exist. Also, in the Labrador Sea, Anderson et al. (1985) gave average thermohaline characteristics of LW obtained from 18 stations (Table 3, reference 10). These values are close to Broecker and Peng's data, and show good agreement with Broecker's parameters except for "NO" (Table 4). With an oxygen utilization rate of 9 $\mu$L O$_2$ l$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$ (0.4 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ y$^{-1}$) given by Packard et al. (1988a) at 2000 m, close to maximum LW level, the LW would take about seventy five years to reach the western coast of the Iberian Peninsula from its formation area, that is to say, the LW advances at a mean speed of the order of 0.2 cm/s.
Figure 7b. NO₃-S and O₂-S diagrams for the data of the station indicated in Figure 1 (Feb-84, *Galicia VII*). The estimated regeneration rates of nutrients have been made considering the linear mixing.

In our nutrient analysis, there are only 36 points situated in the deepest mixing triangle, in which samples of water below the oxygen maximum layer of LW were obtained. It can be seen in Tables 3 and 4 that the nutrient values for the NADW show small differences with regard to the values obtained by Broecker and Takahashi (1980) for the nearest GEOSECS stations. The differences in "NO" and "SiO" are low if we compare them with those values obtained to the south of our area where waters of Antarctic origin have more influence. Also the values of NADW obtained here for SiO₂ and "NO" agree with the SiO₂-"NO" ratios for the mixing of NADW and AABW given by Broecker and Takahashi (1980) in the eastern basin of the North Atlantic.

4. Conclusions

Using the mixing triangle analysis, the thermohaline variability is removed from the nutrients, oxygen and ΣCO₂ distribution, and only the variability due to different degrees of remineralization remains. The anomalies of nutrients and oxygen show the existence of two bodies of ENAW with different degrees of ventilation, advancing in opposite directions. A mixing layer between MW and the ENAWₚ is also shown, in which the remineralization is differentially higher than that corresponding to either
Table 3. Comparison of nutrients estimated from the model with observed values from 10 different expeditions. The differences are expressed in \( \mu \text{mol} \text{O}_2/\text{kg} \) taking into account the ratios \( R_N=10, R_P=163 \) and \( R_Si=15 \), except for deep water (LW and NADW) in which we have taken the ratio \( R_Si=5.6 \) (see text, Eqs. 3, 4 and 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( NO_3 )</th>
<th>( PO_4 )</th>
<th>( SiO_2 )</th>
<th>( O_2 )</th>
<th>( NO_3 )</th>
<th>( PO_4 )</th>
<th>( SiO_2 )</th>
<th>( O_2 )</th>
<th>( \text{Differences} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45N 10W</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45N 10N</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>35.65</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50N 10W</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>35.60</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50N 10N</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>35.45</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50N 9W</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>35.81</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50N 9W</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36N 7W</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mediterranean Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( NO_3 )</th>
<th>( PO_4 )</th>
<th>( SiO_2 )</th>
<th>( O_2 )</th>
<th>( NO_3 )</th>
<th>( PO_4 )</th>
<th>( SiO_2 )</th>
<th>( O_2 )</th>
<th>( \text{Differences} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38N 9W</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.6N 8.6W</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.6N 9.2W</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-47</td>
<td>-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36N 7W</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Labrador Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( NO_3 )</th>
<th>( PO_4 )</th>
<th>( SiO_2 )</th>
<th>( O_2 )</th>
<th>( NO_3 )</th>
<th>( PO_4 )</th>
<th>( SiO_2 )</th>
<th>( O_2 )</th>
<th>( \text{Differences} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56.9N 42.5W</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46N 9W</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>34.90</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56N 55W</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>34.945</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56N 55W</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>34.87</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31N 48W</td>
<td>2.972</td>
<td>34.960</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30N 28W</td>
<td>2.975</td>
<td>34.973</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N 14W</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>34.928</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparison of the Broecker parameters estimated from the model. The references and positions correspond to the previous table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Theta$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Estimated</th>
<th>Differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;NO&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;PO&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;SiO&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>35.815</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mediterranean Water**

|          |      |          |          |            |          |          |          |
| 3.4      | 34.88 | 464      | 349      | 447         | 349     | 17      | 0 (7)   |
| 3.5      | 34.90 | 463      | 353      | 445         | 460     | 365     | 19      | 6       | 12 (9)  |
| 3.3      | 34.87 | 468      | 352      | 442         | 461     | 350     | 20      | 1       | 1 (10)  |

**Labrador Water**

|          |      |          |          |            |          |          |          |
| 2.972    | 34.960 | 454      | 428      | 450         | 428     | 4       | 0 (11)  |
| 2.975    | 34.973 | 452      | 417      | 450         | 434     | 2       | 17 (11) |
| 2.78     | 34.928 | 460      | 442      | 453         | 433     | 7       | 9 (11)  |

**NADW**

|          |      |          |          |            |          |          |          |
| 11 (117) | 11 (116) | 11 (111) | 11 (117) | 11 (116) | 11 (111) | 11 (117) | 11 (116) | 11 (111) |

Figure 8. Positions of stations cited in Tables 3 and 4.
water mass independently. This implies the existence of a layer of very slow displacement situated above MW with a proportion of 60% of ENAW.

With regard to the MW, the nutrient values observed show significant remineralization processes along the slope of the Iberian Peninsula, and the its associated oxygen minimum is emphasized.

This analysis facilitates the comparison of this data set with nutrient data obtained in other zones, and Broecker's parameters are used for this purpose. The comparison performed here with different water types shows small variations in relation with the data obtained by other authors, when Broecker’s parameters are used. On the other hand, significant differences in oxygen and nutrient concentrations due to aging are shown.
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