# **Research Article**

Check for updates

# Molecular phylogenetic analysis resolves Trisetum (Poaceae: Pooideae: Koeleriinae) polyphyletic: Evidence for a new genus, Sibirotrisetum and resurrection of Acrospelion

Patricia Barberá<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Robert J. Soreng<sup>2</sup>, Paul M. Peterson<sup>2\*</sup>, Konstantin Romaschenko<sup>2</sup>, Alejandro Quintanar<sup>1</sup>, and Carlos Aedo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biodiversity and Conservation, Real Jardín Botánico, CSIC, Madrid 28014, Spain <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC 20013-7012, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Africa and Madagascar, Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA \*Authors for correspondence. Patricia Barberá. E-mail: pbarbera@mobot.org; Paul M. Peterson. E-mail: peterson@si.edu Received 4 March 2019; Accepted 5 May 2019; Article first published online 22 June 2019

**Abstract** To investigate the evolutionary relationships among the species of *Trisetum* and other members of subtribe Koeleriinae, a phylogeny based on DNA sequences from four gene regions (ITS, *rpl32-trnL* spacer, *rps16-trnK* spacer, and *rps16* intron) is presented. The analyses, including type species of all genera in Koeleriinae (Acrospelion, Avellinia, *Cinnagrostis, Gaudinia, Koeleria, Leptophyllochloa, Limnodea, Peyritschia, Rostraria, Sphenopholis, Trisetaria, Trisetopsis, Trisetum*), along with three outgroups, confirm previous indications of extensive polyphyly of *Trisetum*. We focus on the monophyletic *Trisetum* sect. *Sibirica* clade that we interpret here as a distinct genus, *Sibirotrisetum* gen. nov. We include a description of *Sibirotrisetum* with the following seven new combinations: *Sibirotrisetum aeneum*, *S. bifidum*, *S. henryi, S. scitulum*, *S. sibiricum*, *S. sibiricum* subsp. *litorale*, and *S. turcicum*; and a single new combination in *Acrospelion: A. distichophyllum*. *Trisetum* s.s. is limited to one, two or three species, pending further study.

Key words: Acrospelion, Aveneae, grasses, molecular systematics, Poeae, Sibirotrisetum, taxonomy, Trisetum.

# 1 Introduction

Trisetum Pers. [Poaceae (R. Br.) Barnhart: subfamily Pooideae Benth.], the yellow oatgrasses [type = Trisetum flavescens (L.) Pers.] traditionally comprises approximately 70 species inhabiting temperate and cold regions, mainly in the northern hemisphere and in South America, Australia, and New Zealand (Clayton & Renvoize, 1986; Barberá et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2018a). The morphological characteristics defining the genus are perennial habit, two to five-flowered spikelets, upper glume sub-equal or shorter than the spikelet, the bifid, usually dorsally-awned lemmas, gaping, scareous to hyaline paleas, usually glabrous ovaries, and soft, sometimes liquid endosperm. These characteristics along with typical core Pooideae seed, seedling, leaf, lodicule states and base chromosome number of x = 7 (large), led agrostologists to classify Trisetum in the narrow subtribe Koeleriinae Asch. & Graebn. with Koeleria Pers. (June grasses) (Tzvelev, 1976; Quintanar et al., 2007, 2010; Saarela et al., 2017),

or alternatively within a sensu lato subtribe Aveninae J. Presl with Avena L. (oats) (Maire et al., 1953; Clayton & Renvoize, 1986; Grebenstein et al., 1998; Soreng & Davis, 2000; Soreng et al., 2003, 2007, 2015, 2017; Döring et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2009; Saarela et al., 2010; Wölk & Röser, 2014, 2017).

Trisetum is critical from an evolutionary and taxonomic point of view since there is a series of related genera (summarized below), some of which may have branched from within *Trisetum*. Its key role as an ancestral lineage for these taxa has been alluded to by different authors (e.g., Mosulishvili, 1991; Quintanar et al., 2010), notably by Clayton & Renvoize (1986) who recognized a *"Trisetum* group," encompassing genera that have traditionally shaped the Koeleriinae in having keeled lemmas and gaping paleas, and generally smaller spikelets relative to Aveninae sensu stricto. In recent decades, since the pioneering survey of Soreng & Davis (1998, 2000), several teams have made considerable progress exploring the phylogeny and systematics of *Trisetum* and relatives (Quintanar et al., 2007;

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This article has been contributed by US Government employees and their work is in the public domain in the USA.

July 2020 | Volume 58 | Issue 4 | 517-526

© 2019 The Authors. Journal of Systematics and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences Saarela et al., 2010, 2017; Wölk & Röser, 2017). Subtribe Koeleriinae (ca. 250 species) currently consists of the worldwide distributed perennials *Trisetum* and *Koeleria*; the Irano-Turanian-Mediterranean annuals *Trisetaria* Forssk., *Rostraria* Trin., *Avellinia* Parl., and *Gaudinia* P. Beauv.; the American perennials *Graphephorum* Desv., *Leptophyllochloa* C.E. Calderón, *Peyritschia* E. Fourn., and *Sphenopholis* Scribn.; the American annual *Limnodea* L.H. Dewey; a substantially large set of Central and South American species classified either in *Calamagrostis* Adans. or in *Deyeuxia* Clarion ex P. Beauv.; and the recently described *Trisetopsis* Röser & A. Wölk (Barberá et al., 2018b). *Trisetum* s.l. turned out to be polyphyletic as first suggested by Soreng & Davis (2000) and Quintanar et al. (2007).

Recent infrageneric classifications of *Trisetum* by Barberá et al. (2017a, 2017b, 2018a) accepted four sections. *Trisetum* sect. *Acrospelion* (Besser) Pfeiff. (seven species) and *T*. sect. *Sibirica* (Chrtek) Barberá (six species) are mainly distributed in the Old World (Barberá et al., 2017a, 2017b); and *T*. sect. *Trisetaera* Asch. & Graebn. (±20 species) and *T*. sect. *Trisetum* (14 species) are distributed worldwide (Finot et al., 2004, 2005a, 2005b; Finot, 2010; Barberá et al., 2018a). The Mexican and Central American *T*. subg. *Deschampsioidea* (Louis-Marie) Finot (7 species) and several isolated species such as *T*. *angustum* Swallen, *T. pringlei* (Scribn. ex Beal) Hitchc., and *T. filifolium* Scribn. ex Beal systems (Finot et al., 2004, 2005a, 2005b), are unplaced in current sectional or generic treatments (Barberá et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2018a).

Saarela et al. (2010) identified two major clades within Koeleriinae in their internal transcribed spacer (ITS) phylogeny, referring to them as the "Old World Trisetum Alliance" and the "New World Trisetum Alliance." These two clades were later renamed "Koeleriinae clade A" and "Koeleriinae clade B", respectively (Saarela et al., 2017). Species of T. sect. Acrospelion, T. sect. Trisetaera, and Old World species of T. sect. Trisetum were resolved as part of "Koeleriinae clade A", together with species of Koeleria, Trisetaria, Avellinia, and Gaudinia; whereas T. subg. Deschampsioidea and New World species of T. sect. Trisetum were part of "Koeleriinae clade B" together with Leptophyllochloa, Peyritschia, Sphenopholis, Limnodea, Trisetopsis, and the Central and South American species of the Calamagrostis/Deveuxia complex. However, relationships among T. sect. Sibirica and the "Koeleriinae clades A and B" in Saarela et al. (2017) were not resolved perhaps due to the small number of samples (the focus of the Saarela et al. analysis was on Calamagrostis s.l. and included only 17 of the 70 species of Trisetum). The North American T. cernuum Trin. and Graphephorum present discordant placements within the Koeleriinae in nuclear and plastid trees (Quintanar et al., 2007; Saarela et al., 2017; Wölk & Röser, 2017). To mitigate some of the problems of polyphyly within Trisetum noted above, and using our own unpublished results, Soreng et al. (2017) proposed to move the "Trisetum spicatum complex" (T. sect. Trisetaera) to Koeleria, as well as accepting generic status for T. sect. Acrospelion. A fuller solution is not readily apparent due to the complex taxonomic structure of Trisetum, particularly in the deep nodes (Barberá et al., in prep.).

Barberá et al. (2017b) included the following seven taxa in Trisetum sect. Sibirica: T. aeneum (Hook. f.) R. R. Stewart, T. bifidum (Thunb.) Ohwi, T. henryi Rendle, T. scitulum Bor ex Chrtek, T. sibiricum Rupr. subsp. sibiricum, T. sibiricum subsp. litorale Rupr. ex Roshev., and T. turcicum Chrtek. The section ranges from Eastern Europe, eastward to Alaska and Yukon Territory, Canada, with a center of diversity in eastern Asia. The species are characterized in having goldish-brown spikelets, lemmas with a callus glabrous or with short hairs, and glabrous ovaries. Chrtek (1968) first differentiated this group within T. sect. Trisetum as the series Sibirica Chrtek, having recurved and nongeniculate awns, not clearly twisted below and included T. sibiricum, the Central Asian T. altaicum Stephan ex Roshev., and the Himalayan species T. aeneum and T. micans (Hook. f.) Bor. (the latter with some doubts). Tzvelev (1976), in his treatment of Trisetum for the Soviet Union did not discuss the series but included all ser. Sibirica taxa of USSR in T. sect. Trisetum. Probatova (1979) and Veldkamp & Van der Have (1983) recognized this assemblage as T. subsect. Sibirica (Chrtek) Probat. including T. sibiricum and T. turcicum with acute lemmas, a callus glabrous or with very short hairs, and short aristules on the teeth of the lemma. The widespread T. sibiricum and the southeastern Asian T. bifidum were sister to the remaining members of the Koeleriinae in the ITS and *matK* trees of Saarela et al. (2017) and this topology was found in the ITS and the nuclear gene topo6 tree of Wölk & Röser (2017). However, in the plastid trees of these two studies, T. bifidum and T. sibiricum were resolved in the large polytomy within the Koeleriinae. Further efforts to effectively characterize and delimit Trisetum and relatives are necessary (Saarela et al., 2017).

Evaluating relationships among genera in the Koeleriinae from morphology alone is challenging since there are few synapomorphies, and when using molecules since there is incongruence among markers for some individuals and sets of taxa. Past reticulation and convergence events were evoked to explain the topological incongruence among phylogenetic trees in the tribe Poeae R. Br. sensu lato (Soreng & Davis, 2000; Quintanar et al., 2007, 2010; Gillespie et al., 2008). The objective of the present study is to present a more focused phylogeny of *Trisetum* and relatives using plastid (*rps16-trnK*, *rps16*, *rpl32-trnL*) and nuclear ribosomal (ITS) DNA regions exposing the extensive polyphyly of *Trisetum* and showing that species of sect. *Sibiricum* are phylogenetically isolated. We have increased the sampling of species in this section, including six of seven recognized taxa (all except the Chinese species *T. henryi*).

## 2 Material and Methods

#### 2.1 Taxon sampling

We included 74 ingroup samples, representing 48 species and most of the genera (and type species) within the subtribe Koeleriinae: Avellinia (1 species), Calamagrostis/Deyeuxia s.l. (10 species), Cinnagrostis (1 species), Gaudinia (1 species), Koeleria (5 species), Leptophyllochloa (1 species), Limnodea (1 species), Peyritschia (2 species), Rostraria (3 species), Sphenopholis (2 species), Trisetaria (4 species), Trisetopsis (2 species), and Trisetum s.l. (15 species). The monospecific subtribe Lagurinae, and Avena barbata Pott ex Link and Helictotrichon filifolium subsp. velutinum (Boiss.) Romero Zarco (Aveninae) were chosen as outgroups. Acrospelion Besser is included in this analysis. Graphephorum was excluded because it is reticulate between Koeleriinae A and B clades, and Tzveleviochloa was excluded because it is reticulate between Aveninae and Koeleriinae. Samples were collected in silica or obtained from herbarium material from existing collections housed in the United States National Herbarium (US) and the herbarium of the Royal Botanic Garden of Madrid (MA), GOET (1 sample), K (1 sample), LE (7 samples), M (1 sample), MEXU (1 samples), MO (1 sample), and NY (1 sample) (herbarium acronyms follow Thiers 2018). A complete list of taxa and voucher information can be found in Appendix S1.

#### 2.2 DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

Most procedures were performed in the Laboratory of Analytical Biology (LAB) at the Smithsonian Institution, and some in the Laboratory of Molecular Systematic (LSM) at the Madrid Royal Botanic Garden. DNA isolation, amplification, and sequencing of *rpl32-trnL* spacer (small single-copy region), *rps16-trnK* spacer, *rps16* intron (large single-copy region), and ITS follow the procedures outlined in Peterson et al. (2010a, 2010b). We specifically targeted three plastid regions that were highly variable in previous studies on chloridoid grasses (Peterson et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2011, 2012, 2014a, 2014b, 2014c, 2015a, 2015b).

#### 2.3 Phylogenetic analyses

We used Geneious v.8.0.3 (Kearse et al., 2012) for contig assembly of bidirectional sequences of the four markers, and MUSCLE v.9.1.3 (Edgar, 2004) to align consensus sequences, and then adjusted the final alignment manually.

All the analyses were conducted on the CIPRES science Gateway (Miller et al., 2010). We applied maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian searches to infer the overall phylogeny. The combined datasets were partitioned in accordance with the number of markers used. We selected the models of molecular evolution for the cpDNA and nrDNA regions using Akaike's information criterion, as implemented in MrModeltest v.2.3 (Nylander, 2004). The best fit models for the data partitions were SYM+G for each marker and the combined plastid and nuclear dataset, incorporating a gamma distribution for the combined plastid and ITS. ML analyses were performed using RAxML-HPC2 on XSEDE (Stamatakis, 2014), assuming a generalized time-reversible (GTR) model (default) using the rapid bootstrap algorithm option, and 1000 replicates for assessing branch support. In all analyses, gaps were treated as missing data. Bootstrapping was automatically halted based on default criteria. Bootstrap (BS) values of 90-100% were interpreted as strong support, 70-89% as moderate, and 50-69% as weak.

Bayesian analyses (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) were performed using Mr. Bayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012). Two runs were executed each with eight Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains for twenty million generations, sampling once per 1000 generations. The analysis was run until the value of the standard deviation of split sequences dropped below 0.01 and the potential scale reduction factor was close to or equal to 1.0. The fraction of the sampled values discarded as burn-in was set at 0.25. Posterior probability (PP) of 0.95–1.00 were considered to be strong support. Trees were visualized in FigTree v.1.4.3.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Phylogenetic analyses

Ninety-nine percent (283/284) of the sequences used in our study are newly reported here (Appendix S1). All of the

sequences of rpl32-trnL (73), rps16 intron (68), and rps16-trnK (70) are newly reported; and 72 sequences of ITS (99%) are newly reported. The sequence alignment length for rpl32-trnL is 1589 bp, rps16 intron is 1075 bp, rps16-trnK is 1044 bp, and ITS is 799. Nine sequences or 0.03% (9/284) were missing.

#### 3.2 Analysis of ITS sequences

The phylogenetic tree derived from the ITS sequences (Fig. 1) strongly supports Lagurinae and Koeleriinae as sister (BS = 94, PP = 1.00) while the Koeleriinae clade is weakly supported (BS = 61, PP = 0.53). Koeleriinae includes a strongly supported *Trisetum* sect. *Sibirica* (*Sibirotrisetum*) clade (BS = 99, PP = 1.00) sister to the remaining members of the subtribe.

Within Trisetum sect. Sibirica, one of the samples (Tatli 5331) of Trisetum turcicum is sister (BS = 97, PP = 1.00) to the remaining samples of the section. Two subclades occur, one moderate to strongly supported (BS = 70, PP = 0.98) containing *T. aeneum* (BS = 92, PP = 1.00) and *T. bifidum*, together with *T. scitulum* (BS = 89, PP = 1.00), and other strongly supported subclade (BS = 96, PP = 1.00) including *T. sibiricum* taxa (subspecies *sibiricum* and *litorale*). The other sample of *T. turcicum* (*Soreng* 7950) is a member of a trichotomy that includes the two subclades above. The remaining species of Koeleriinae are separated into two clades, a strongly supported (BS = 97, PP = 1.00) "Koeleriinae clade A", and a moderately supported (BS = 79, PP = 0.92) "Koeleriinae clade B."

Within "Koeleriinae clade A", Trisetum glaciale is sister to the remaining species, which are included in an unsupported polytomy containing three clades. One of these includes two samples of Trisetum distichophyllum (BS = 88, PP = 1.00). Another strongly supported clade (BS = 100, PP = 1.00)contains the type species of Trisetaria (T. linearis) that is sister to a weakly supported clade (BS = 51, PP = 0.53) comprising a strongly supported clade (BS = 91, PP = 0.99) of Trisetum gracile and T. flavescens (type) sister to a weakly supported clade (BS = 59, PP = 0.53) containing two species of Rostraria (R. litorea and R. pumila). The third strongly supported clade (BS = 91, PP = 1.00) of this polytomy contains a polytomy of four subclades: (i) a strongly supported clade (BS = 92, PP = 0.99) containing Trisetaria ovata sister with weak support (BS = 55, PP = 0.53) to Rostraria cristata, Gaudinia fragilis, and Trisetaria dufourei–Trisetaria loeflingiana (BS = 83, PP = 0.99); (ii) Avellinia festucoides; (iii) a weak to moderately supported clade (BS = 69, PP = 0.94) of Koeleria vallesiana, K. pyramidata, and K. crassipes; and (iv) a moderately supported clade (BS = 83, PP = 0.93) of Koeleria capensis sister with moderate support (BS = 86, PP = 1.00) to Trisetum montanum, T. spicatum subsp. spicatum, and T. spicatum subsp. virescens (BS = 88, PP = 1.00), sister to Koeleria vurilochensis and Trisetum rosei (BS = 75, PP = 0.64).

"Koeleriinae clade B" contains a monophyletic Sphenopholis (BS = 77, PP = 1.00) that is sister to the remaining species that form a polytomy. Within this polytomy, the species are organized in several subclades that correspond to: (i) a strongly supported subclade (BS = 93, PP = 1.00) of Calamagrostis tolucensis–Trisetum virletii; (ii) two samples of Leptophyllochloa micrathera; (iii) a weak supported clade (PP = 0.57) of Limnodea arkansana, Calamagrostis vulcanica, and Trisetum palmeri; (iv) Calamagrostis erectifolia; (v) a moderately supported subclade (BS = 80, PP = 0.87) of Peyritschia; (vi) a weakly supported



**Fig. 1.** Maximum-likelihood tree inferred from nuclear ribosomal ITS sequence data. Numbers above branches are bootstrap values; numbers below branches are posterior probabilities; color blue indicates species of *Trisetum s.l.*; color red indicates species now included in *Sibirotrisetum*; scale bar = 2% substitutions/site.

subclade (BS = 61, PP = 0.85) of Trisetopsis milanjiana and T. elongata; (vii) Trisetum durangense, Deyeuxia rigescens, Deyeuxia minima, and Calamagrostis pringlei form a weak subclade (PP = 0.56); and (viii) a strongly supported clade (BS = 91, PP = 0.96) of several species of Deyeuxia (Deyeuxia rigida, D. tarmensis, D. violacea, and D. polygama subsp. filifolia) and Cinnagrostis polygama.

#### 3.3 Analysis of combined plastid sequences

The phylogenetic tree based on combined plastid sequences (Fig. 2) supports Lagurinae and Koeleriinae as sister (BS = 93, PP = 0.75), while the Lagurinae and Koeleriinae are each strongly supported as separate clades (BS = 100, PP = 1.00).

Koeleriinae includes two strongly supported clades corresponding to "Koeleriinae clade A" (BS = 93, PP = 1.00) and "Koeleriinae clade B" (BS = 97, PP = 1.00).

The "Koeleriinae clade A" is organized into three subclades, two strongly supported (BS = 96–100, PP = 1.00) and one weakly supported (BS = 69, PP = 0.80). One strongly supported subclade (BS = 96, PP = 1.00) contains *Trisetum distichophyllum* and *T. glaciale*. A second weakly supported subclade (BS = 69, PP = 0.80) contains two samples of *Avellinia festucoides* sister to a strongly supported clade (BS = 100, PP = 1.00) of *Trisetaria linearis* (type) as sister to a strongly supported clade (BS = 90, PP = 0.85) with two subclades: (i) a moderately supported clade (BS = 87,



**Fig. 2.** Maximum-likelihood tree inferred from combined plastid (*rpl32-trnL*, *rps16 intron*, and *rps16-trnK*) sequences. Numbers above branches are bootstrap values; numbers below branches are posterior probabilities; color blue indicates species of *Trisetum s.l.*; color red indicates species now included in *Sibirotrisetum*; scale bar = 0.3% substitutions/site.

PP = 1.00) with Trisetum gracile as sister to T. flavescens; (ii) a strongly supported clade (BS = 100, PP = 1.00) with Rostraria pumila, R. litorea, and R. cristata (type). The third strongly supported subclade (BS = 100, PP = 1.00) in the "Koelerinae clade A" includes two strongly supported clades: (i) a polytomy with three subclades that include Gaudinia fragilis, Trisetaria ovata, and a strongly supported clade (BS = 91, PP = 0.90) including Trisetaria loeflingiana and Trisetaria dufourei; (ii) clade (BS = 96, PP = 1.00) with two local clades containing: (a) a moderately supported subclade (BS = 80, PP = 0.95) of Koeleria capensis and K. pyramidata (type); (b) a strongly supported clade (BS = 99, PP = 1.00) with a moderately supported clade (BS = 77, PP = 0.98) of Koeleria crassipes-K. vallesiana, sister to a strongly supported clade (BS = 90, PP = 1.00) of Trisetum montanum, T. spicatum

subsp. spicatum, T. spicatum subsp. virescens, Koeleria vurilochensis, and Trisetum rosei.

"Koeleriinae clade B" includes a strongly supported clade of Trisetum sect. Sibirica (Sibirotrisetum) (BS = 100, PP = 1.00) sister to the remaining species of "Koeleriinae clade B" (BS = 100, PP = 1.00).

Sibirotrisetum contains a polytomy that includes (i) a poorly supported (PP = 0.94) clade with a moderately supported clade (BS = 76, PP = 1.00) of *T. aeneum*, *T. bifidum*, and *T. scitulum*, and another with weakly supported clade (BS = 66, PP = 0.99) of three samples of *Trisetum sibiricum* subsp. *sibiricum*; (ii) a strongly supported clade (BS = 96, PP = 1.00) with three samples of *Trisetum sibiricum* subsp. *sibiricum* (BS = 86, PP = 0.99); (iii) one sample each of *Trisetum sibiricum* subsp. *sibiricum* subsp. *sibiricum*; (iv) one sample of

www.jse.ac.cn

T. sibiricum subsp. litorale; (v) a weakly supported clade (BS = 66, PP = 0.91) with two samples of Trisetum turcicum.

In the second large "Koeleriinae clade B" clade, there is a polytomy with three clades: (i) two samples of *Limnodea arkansana* (BS = 99, PP = 1.00); (ii) with *Sphenopholis obtusata* and *S. intermedia* (BS = 97, PP = 1.00); (iii) a weakly supported clade (BS = 69, PP = 0.97) with two subclades. One weakly supported subclade includes (i) *Calamagrostis tolucensis, Calamagrostis vulcanica,* a strongly supported clade with *Peyritschia koelerioides* and *P. pringlei* (BS = 95, PP = 1.00) sister to a weakly supported clade (BS = 63, PP = 1.00) of *Calamagrostis pringlei, Trisetum durangense* (BS = 66, PP = 0.78), and to *Calamagrostis erectifolia, Trisetum palmeri,* and *Trisetum virletii* (BS = 59, PP = 1.00) contains two samples of *Leptophyllochloa micrathera* sister

to Trisetopsis elongata and Trisetopsis milanjiana, a weakly supported clade (BS = 66, PP = 1.00) of Deyeuxia rigida sister to Deyeuxia minima and Deyeuxia rigescens (BS = 70, PP = 0.99), and (iii) unsupported members Deyeuxia tarmensis, Cinnagrostis polygama, Deyeuxia polygama subsp. filifolia, and Deyeuxia violacea.

#### 3.4 Analysis of combined plastid and ITS sequences

The overall topology of the combined phylogram (Fig. 3) is similar to that of the plastid-derived tree, even in the terminal branches. As in the plastid phylogram, *Trisetum* sect. *Sibirica* (*Sibirotrisetum*) is included in "Koeleriinae clade B" and sister to the remaining members. Some notable minor differences with the plastid phylogeny occur in "Koeleriinae clade A", where Avellinia festucoides clade is sister (with



**Fig. 3.** Maximum-likelihood tree inferred from combined plastid ( $rpl_{32}$ -trnL,  $rps_{16}$  intron, and  $rps_{16}$ -trnK) and ITS sequences. Numbers above branches are bootstrap values; numbers below branches are posterior probabilities; color blue indicates species of *Trisetum s.l.*; color red indicates species now included in *Sibirotrisetum*; **T** indicates the type species of the genus; scale bar = 0.3% substitutions/site.

weak support, BS = 66, PP = 0.8) to a Trisetaria ovata, Gaudinia fragilis, Trisetaria dufourei, and T. loeflingiana clade plus a clade of Trisetum spicatum, T. rosei, T. montanum, and the Koeleria species clade. Another difference with the combined plastid tree is the placement of Trisetum sect. Sibirica in "Koeleriinae clade B". One sample of Trisetum turcicum (Tatli 5331) is sister to the remaining species of this clade, also found in the ITS-derived phylogram.

There are two main differences between the ITS and combined plastid trees. In "Koeleriinae clade A", *Trisetaria linearis* is sister (with strong support, BS = 99, PP = 1.00) to Rostraria cristata (only plastid accessions), R. pumila, and R. litorea. While in "Koeleriinae clade B", *Limnodea arkansana, Sphenopholis intermedia*, and *S. obtusata* form a clade (weak supported, BS = 61, PP = 0.69) sister to the remaining species of "Koeleriinae clade B" instead of *Limnodea* aligning among those.

## 4 Discussion

Our analyses show that Trisetum s.l., as traditionally circumscribed, is polyphyletic with representative species distributed in multiple clades that include the other genera of Koeleriinae (see Figs. 1-3, names in blue and red text). The species align in both Koeleriinae A (with Acrospelion, Avellinia, Gaudinia, Koeleria, Rostraria, Trisetaria s.s. and s.l., Trisetum s.s.), and Koeleriinae B (with Cinnagrostis, Leptophyllochloa, Limnodea, Peyritschia, Sphenopholis, and Trisetopsis). This is the first study to include the type species of all these genera (see Fig. 3 with labeled types). Our subdivision of the Koeleriinae into clades A and B is in agreement with Saarela et al. (2017) who employed a different set of plastid markers to confirm these two clades. Given this extensive polyphyly, if Trisetum monophyly is to be maintained, the genus will be limited to its type species, T. flavescens, and perhaps one or two others. If it is expanded at all beyond this, it would be supplanted in priority by the older name Trisetaria. Kellogg (2015), relying on earlier published DNA studies and sequences with more limited sampling within genera, proposed lumping most of the Koeleriinae into Trisetaria, while accepting Graphephorum, Limnodea and Sphenopholis, and not mentioning Acrospelion, Cinnagrostis or Trisetopsis. Deeper sampling in recent investigations has shed new light on the problem (Saarela et al., 2017; Barberá et al., 2018b and in prep.). For instance, we now have data indicating that most of the Central and South American Deyeuxia or Calamagrostis s.l. belong to Koeleriinae clade B. The oldest available name for these species appears to be Cinnagrostis, a little known genus described by Grisebach (1874) for a single dichogamous species, C. polygama (type), initially thought by the author to be near Cinna L. and Agrostis L. Now that we have evidence of the substantial phylogenetic structure within Koeleriinae, we are breaking up Trisetum s.l. into smaller genera as proposed by Soreng et al. (2017), yet some new genera and substantial realignments of species are needed. We have sampled nearly all of the 70 species of Trisetum s.l. (Barberá et al., 2018b), and there is strong evidence of reticulation among several other lineages. In our study, Sibirotrisetum appears as a phylogenetically isolated and strongly supported lineage.

All species of *Sibirotrisetum* align in a strongly supported monophyletic clade sister to the remaining species of "Koeleriinae clade B" in the plastid (Fig. 2) and combined plastid/ITS (Fig. 3) trees, whereas, in the ITS-derived tree, they are sisters with a weak support to the entire Koeleriinae. Previous molecular studies (Saarela et al., 2017; Wölk & Röser, 2017) published before the revision of the section by Barberá et al. (2017b) identified a lineage in the plastid and nuclear trees that included only *T. sibiricum* and *T. bifidum*, and their relationship within the Koeleriinae was unresolved. We have increased the species sampling here to include six of the seven taxa of *T. sect. Sibirica* (all except the Chinese species *T. henryi*), and propose a new genus for this group.

Trisetum turcicum, a species often confused with T. sibiricum but clearly differentiated by its longer anthers and geniculate awns (Probatova, 1979; Barberá et al., 2017b), is a member of T. sect. Sibirica. However, in our ITS and combined plastid/ITS trees, the Tatli 5331 sample (from Turkey) was sister to the remaining clade while the Soreng 7950 sample (from the Caucasus) appeared in a polytomy. Further study of this species is needed.

Trisetum bifidum and T. scitulum appear to be closely related and are sympatric in their distribution. Both species have morphological similarities that include very lax panicles and paleas much shorter than the lemma. These two species formed a clade together with the Himalayan species T. aeneum, a species with short anthers.

To test our subspecific ranking, we included seven samples from different Asian regions of *T. sibiricum*, the most polymorphic and widespread species of this section. All samples of this species form a clade in the ITS (strongly supported) and plastid/ITS trees. However, in the plastid tree, three samples of *T. sibiricum* subsp. *sibiricum* from Kyrgyzstan form a clade sister to *T. bifidum*, *T. scitulum*, and *T. aeneum* while the remaining samples of *T. sibiricum* subsp. *litorale* and *T. sibiricum* subsp. *sibiricum* from Mongolia, Russia, and Alaska form a polytomy. None of our topologies present support for a monophyletic origin of the two subspecies, perhaps further study using low copy nuclear genes might resolve their relationships.

Since Avena distichophylla, lectotype species designated by Pfeiffer (1871) for Trisetum sect. Acrospelion, has not yet been combined in Acrospelion, we make the new combination below. We previously accepted Acrospelion placing 14 species in the genus (Soreng et al., 2017). More study is needed on this genus since the nuclear ITS marker is not congruent with the plastid signal, suggesting hybridization.

### 5 Taxonomy

Because our molecular analysis recognizes a monophyletic and morphologically cohesive *Trisetum* sect. *Sibirica*, isolated from *Trisetum* s.s., we elevate the section to generic rank and provide seven new combinations. We also provide a new combination in *Acrospelion*. The species preceded by an asterisk (\*) was not included in our DNA analysis.

Acrospelion distichophyllum (Vill.) Barberá, comb. nov.  $\equiv$  Avena distichophylla Vill., Prosp. Hist. Pl. Dauphine 16, tab. 1, f. 3. 1779  $\equiv$  Avena disticha Lam., Encycl. 1: 333. 1783, nom.

superfl.  $\equiv$  Trisetum distichophyllum (Vill.) P. Beauv., Ess. Agrostogr. 88, 153. 1812  $\equiv$  Trisetaria distichophylla (Vill.) Paunero, Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 9: 514. 1950 — Type: FRANCE. Dauphiné, 45°0′N, 5°15′E, D. Villars 1489 (lectotype, designated by P. Barberá et al., Syst. Bot. 42(4): 759. 2017: P-LAM-564210! [image P00564210!]).

Sibirotrisetum Barberá, Soreng, Romasch., Quintanar & P.M. Peterson, stat. nom. & gen. nov.  $\equiv$  Trisetum ser. sibirica Chrtek, Acta Univ. Carol., Biol. 1967: 107. 1968  $\equiv$  T. subsect. Sibirica (Chrtek) Prob., Novosti Sist. Vyssh. Rast.15: 20. 1979  $\equiv$  T. sect. Sibirica (Chrtek) Barberá, Syst. Bot. 42: 784. 2017b—Type: Trisetum sibiricum Rupr.

Diagnosis: The species of Sibirotrisetum differs from Trisetum flavescens (L.) P. Beauv. in having panicles with a glabrous rachis or slightly hairy on the upper part, goldenbrown, rarely pale yellowish spikelets, a glabrous or with short hairs up to 0.7 mm long callus, and a recurved or basally slightly twisted and rarely geniculate dorsal awn.

Description: Perennial herbs, sub rhizomatous with slightly isolated shoots in loose tufts or strictly tufted. Culms are 12–150 (–165) cm tall, straight, glabrous; internodes are elongated and separated along the culms, rarely with short internodes concentrated below; extravaginal shoots arise from the lower nodes, rarely from upper nodes. Leaf sheaths are sometimes longer than the internodes, glabrous to hairy, usually ciliate, margins of uppermost fused (5-) 10 or more mm (2.5-66% the length). Collars are centric. Ligules are 0.4-3.5 mm long, membranous, glabrous or hairy abaxially, apex truncate, denticulate or lacinate usually with some hairs on the upper part. Leaf blades are (1.2-) 2–29.5 (-34.5) cm long x 1.5-8 (-11) mm wide, linear, flat, non-rigid, smooth or adaxially sparsely scabrous, glabrous or loosely hairy, usually ciliolate, midrib rarely conspicuously marked, persistent. Panicles lax, sometimes dense, oblong to elliptic or lanceolate in outline, sometimes ovate, with glabrous rachis or slightly hairy on the upper part, branches smooth or lightly to moderately rarely densely scabrous distally. Spikelets are (4.5-) 5.8-9.7 (-11.1) mm long, with (1-) 2-3 (-4) bisexual flowers, compressed laterally, pedicellate, disarticulating above the glumes, golden-brown, rarely pale yellowish; rachilla hairy. Glumes are unequal, rarely subequal, back thinly herbaceous (at least of upper glume), scabrous from the middle to top of the midvein and along the margins, outer sides and margins scareous, apex acuminate or sometimes acute; lower glumes (0.7-) 2.8-7.2 mm long, narrowly to broadly lanceolate, rarely narrowly elliptic, 1-veined; upper glumes (3.7–) 4.6–8 (–8.7) mm long, narrowly elliptic or elliptic to oblanceolate, sometimes lanceolate, 3-veined. Lemmas (3.8-) 4.7-8.7 mm long, herbaceous to chartaceous with narrow scareous margins, elliptic to oblong or lanceolate, golden brown or brownish to yellowish, punctate to scabridulous with prickle hairs from middle to the upper part of the midvein, 3-veined, apex with two apical teeth ending in two aristules, rarely without them; dorsal awn is (3-) 4.7–15 mm long, inserted near the middle of the lemma, sometimes closer to the apex, recurved or basally slightly twisted, rarely geniculate; callus is short, obscure or prominent, obliquely angled dorsally, smooth, glabrous or with short stiff hairs up to 0.7 mm long, scar orbicular to elliptical. Paleas are half as long as the lemma, hyaline, obscurely 2-keeled, keels scaberulous distally, apex toothed. Lodicules 2, membranous, free, glabrous, apex lobate with 2 or 3 lobes, the lobes are sometimes irregular, rarely lacinate or denticulate. Stamens 3; anthers (0.6-) 1–4 mm long. Ovary glabrous. Caryopsis ca 2 mm long, narrowly elliptic to oblong in side view, laterally moderately compressed, not sulcate. Hilum narrowly elliptical, less than 1/5 the grain in length. Endosperm soft and liquid (with lipid).

Sibirotrisetum aeneum (Hook. f.) Barberá, comb. nov.  $\equiv$ Avena aenea Hook. f., Fl. Brit. India 7: 279. 1896  $\equiv$  Trisetum aureum Nees ex Steud., Syn. Pl. Glumac. 1: 225. 1854, nom. illeg., non Trisetum aureum (Ten) Ten. 1820  $\equiv$  Trisetum aeneum (Hook. f.) R.R. Stewart, Brittonia 5: 431. 1945 — Type: INDIA. Himachal Pradesh, Shimla distr., Buran Pass, Kedarkanta, 31°23' N 78°8'E, J. F. Royle 94 (lectotype, designated by Barberá et al., 800. 2017b: LIV–1952.121.10949 [image!]; isolectotypes: LIV–1952.121.10948 [image!], LIV–1952.121.10950 [image!]).

Sibirotrisetum bifidum (Thunb.) Barberá, comb. nov.  $\equiv$ Bromus bifidus Thunb., Syst. Veg. ed. 14: 119. 1784  $\equiv$  Trisetum bifidum (Thunb.) Ohwi, Bot. Mag. (Tokyo) 45: 191. 1931 — Type: JAPAN. Probably near Nagasaki, C. P. Thunberg s.n. (lectotype, designated by Veldkamp & Van der Have, 129. 1983: UPS-THUNB-002576 [image!]; isolectotypes: L-50296!, P-2255904!, S-13-31156!, S-13-31784!).

\*Sibirotrisetum henryi (Rendle) Barberá, comb. nov.  $\equiv$ Trisetum henryi Rendle, J. Linn. Soc., Bot. 36: 400. 1904 — Type: CHINA. Hubei, Fang Xian, 32°05′N 110°35′E, 1885–1888, A. Henry 6643 (lectotype, designated by Barberá et al., 798. 2017b: K–808693!; isolectotypes: B–10\_0279997!, BM–959387!, GH–24560!).

Sibirotrisetum scitulum (Bor ex Chrtek) Barberá, comb. **nov.**  $\equiv$  Avena flavescens Hook. f. 1897, nom. illeg. hom., non Trisetum flavescens L. 1753  $\equiv$  Trisetum scitulum Bor ex Chrtek, Acta Univ. Carol., Biol. 1967: 105. 1967— Type: INDIA. Sikkim, 27°20'N 88°37'E, J. D. Hooker s.n. (holotype: K-32269!; isotypes: GH!, K!, L-50297 [image!], P-2255859!, W-25045!).

Sibirotrisetum sibiricum (Rupr.) Barberá, comb. nov.  $\equiv$ Trisetum sibiricum Rupr., Beitr. Pflanzenk. Russ. Reiches 2: 65. 1845  $\equiv$  Trisetum flavescens var. sibiricum (Rupr.) Ostenf. ex B. Fedtsch., Izv. Imp. Bot. Sada Petra Velikago 14 (Suppl. 2): 64. 1913  $\equiv$  Trisetum bifidum subsp. sibiricum (Rupr.) T. Koyama, Grass. Jap. Neighb. Reg. 533. 1987  $\equiv$  Trisetaria sibirica (Rupr.) Banfi & Soldano, Atti Soc. Ital. Sci. Nat. Mus. Civico Storia Nat. Milano 135(2): 385. 1996 — Type: RUSSIA. Bashkiria (now Bashkortostan Republic), Belaja River, 55°53'N 53°36'E, F. J. I. Ruprecht s.n. (lectotype, designated by Tzvelev, 262. 1976: LE–01043584!).

Sibirotrisetum sibiricum subsp. litorale (Rupr. ex Roshev.) Barberá, comb. nov.  $\equiv$  Trisetum sibiricum subsp. litorale Rupr. ex Roshev., Izv. Glavn. Bot. Sada R. S. F. S. R. 21: 90. 1922 — Type: RUSSIA. Kanin Peninsula (Arkhangelsk Oblast), 67°27′N 47°32′E, 13–14 Aug, F. J. I. Ruprecht s.n. (lectotype, designated by Tzvelev, 262. 1976: LE–01043580!; isolectotype: LE–01043581!).

Sibirotrisetum turcicum (Chrtek) Barberá, comb. nov.  $\equiv$ Trisetum turcicum Chrtek, Bot. Not.119: 487. 1966 — Type: TURKEY. Lazistan, Djimil valley, 40°40′N 39°40′E, Aug 1866, B. Balansa 1551 (holotype: PRC-454965 [image!]; isotypes: G-176299!, G-176300!, GH!, GOET-6970!, JE-18873!, K-808699!, LE-9412!, LE-9413!, P-3644578!, P-3645245!, P-3351081!, P-3351082!, P-3351137!, P-2248780!, P-2248781!, RO [image!], US-1064357!, W-1889-0033667!).

# Acknowledgements

This work was financed by the Smithsonian Institution, Flora CGL2014-52787-C3-1-P, iberica CGL2012-32914, and CGL2017-85204-C3-1-P projects of the Spanish Government, and through the FPI fellowship BES-2012-053754 to P. Barberá. We are indebted to the curators and staff at GOET, K, LE, M, MA, MEXU, NY, and US for providing specimens. We thank Alberto Herrero and Emilio Cano (LSM) of the Real Jardín Botánico de Madrid; Gabriel Johnson (LAB) of the Smithsonian Institution for assistance with laboratory work; Miguel Ángel García for his help with the different analyses; Irene Villa, Kina, Javier Fernández, Laura Martín, Alicia Agudo, and Toni Buira for technical support; Maria Vorontsova (K) for supplying a sample; and two anonymous reviewers. We also acknowledge N.N. Tzvelev, Dmitry Geltman, Elena Glazkova, and Denis Melnikov at the Komarov Institute (LE) for their help and welcoming demeanor during our stay.

## References

- Barberá P, Romero-Zarco C, Aedo C. 2017a. Taxonomic revision of Trisetum section Acrospelion (Poaceae: Pooideae: Aveninae) from Eurasia. Systematic Botany 42: 754–781.
- Barberá P, Romero-Zarco C, Aedo C. 2017b. Taxonomic revision of *Trisetum* section *Sibirica* (Poaceae: Pooideae: Aveninae). *System atic* Botany 42: 782–806.
- Barberá P, Romero-Zarco C, Aedo C. 2018a. Taxonomic revision of Trisetum sect. Trisetum (Poaceae: Pooideae: Aveninae) from Eurasia and North Africa. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 103: 350–392.
- Barberá P, Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Quintanar A, Romero-Zarco C, Aedo C. 2018b. A molecular phylogeny andclassification of the subtribe Koeleriinae and allied lineages (Poaceae: Pooideae: Poeae). In: Barberá P ed. Taxonomy and systematics of the genus Trisetum Pers. in the Paleartic and of the subtribe Aveninae (Poaceae: Pooideae: Poeae). Ph.D. Dissertation. Madrid: Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. 285–352.
- Chrtek J. 1968. Bemerkungen zu Einigen Arten der Gattung Trisetum aus dem Sikkim-Gebiet. Acta Universitatis Carolinae Biologica 1967: 103–107.
- Clayton WD, Renvoize SA. 1986. Genera graminum: grasses of the world. Kew Bulletin Additional Series 13: 1–389.
- Döring E, Schneider J, Hilu KW, Röser M. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships in the Aveneae/Poeae complex (Pooideae, Poaceae). Kew Bulletin 62: 407–424.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32: 1792–1797.

Finot VL. 2010. Analysis of species boundaries in Trisetum Pers. sect. Trisetaera Asch. & Graebn. (Poaceae) in America using statistical multivariate methods. Current Topics in Plant Biology 11: 39–74.

Finot VL, Peterson PM, Soreng RJ, Zuloaga FO. 2004. A revision of Trisetum, Peyritschia, and Sphenopholis (Poaceae: Pooideae: Aveninae) in Mexico and Central America. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 91: 1–30.

- Finot VL, Peterson PM, Soreng RJ, Zuloaga FO. 2005b. A Revision of *Trisetum* and *Graphephorum* (Poaceae: Pooideae: Aveninae) in North America, North of Mexico. *Sida* 21: 1419–1453.
- Finot VL, Peterson PM, Zuloaga FO, Soreng RJ, Matthei O. 2005a. A Revision of Trisetum (Poaceae: Pooideae: Aveninae) in South America. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 92: 533–568.
- Gillespie LJ, Soreng RJ, Bull RD, Jacobs SWL, Refulio-Rodriguez NF. 2008. Phylogenetic relationships in subtribe Poinae (Poaceae, Poeae) based on nuclear ITS and plastid trnT-trnL-trnF sequences. Botany 86: 938–967.
- Grebenstein B, Röser M, Sauer W, Hemleben V. 1998. Molecular phylogenetic relationships in Aveneae (Poaceae) species and other grasses as inferred from ITS1 and ITS2 rDNA sequences. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 213: 233–250.
- Grisebach A. 1874. Plantae Lorentzianae. Abh. Königl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen 19: 49–279.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist FR. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17: 754–755.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Mentjies P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data (version 8.0.3). *Bioinformatics* 28: 1647–1649.
- Kellogg EA. 2015. Flowering Plants. Monocots: Poaceae. In: Kubitzki K ed. The families and genera of vascular plants. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. 13: 1–416.
- Maire R, Guinochet M, Faurel L. 1953. Flore de l'Afrique du Nord. Paris: P. Lechevalier. 2: 374.
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for Inference of Large Phylogenetic Trees. Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 November 2010, New Orleans, Louisiana. Washington, DC: IEEE. 1–8.
- Mosulishvili M. 1991. Conspectus systematis specierum Caucasicarum subribus Koeleriinae Aschers. et Graebn. (Gramineae). Zametki po Sistematike i Geografii Rastenii 42: 32–45.
- Nylander JAA. 2004. MrModeltest Version 2. Program Distributed by the Author. Uppsala: Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Barker NP, Linder HP. 2011. Centropodieae and *Ellisochloa*, a new tribe and genus in the Chloridoideae (Poaceae). *Taxon* 60: 1113–1122.
- Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Herrera Arrieta Y. 2014a. A molecular phylogeny and classification of the Cteniinae, Farragininae, Gouiniinae, Gymnopogoninae, Perotidinae, and Trichoneurinae (Poaceae: Chloridoideae: Cynodonteae). *Taxon* 63: 275–286.
- Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Herrera Arrieta Y. 2015a. Phylogeny and subgeneric classification of Bouteloua with a new species, B. herrera-arrietae (Poaceae: Chloridodieae: Cynodonteae: Boutelouinae). Journal of Systematic and Evolution 53: 351–366.
- Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Herrera Arrieta Y. 2015b. A molecular phylogeny and classification of the Eleusininae with a new genus, *Micrachne* (Poaceae: Chloridoideae: Cynodonteae). *Taxon* 64: 445–467.
- Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Herrera Arrieta Y, Saarela JM. 2014b. A molecular phylogeny and new subgeneric classification of *Sporobolus* (Poaceae: Chloridoideae: Sporobolinae). *Taxon* 63: 1212–1243.

Aarhus University Press. 557-588.

Taxon 58: 405-424.

Botanical Review (Lancaster) 64: 1–85. Soreng RJ, Davis JI. 2000. Phylogenetic structure in Poaceae subfamily Pooideae as inferred from molecular and morphological characters: Misclassification versus reticulation. In: Jacobs SWL, Everett J eds. *Grasses: Systematic and evolution*. Melbourne: CSIRO. 61–74.

- Soreng RJ, Davis JI, Voionmaa MA. 2007. A phylogenetic analysis of Poaceae tribe Poeae sensu lato based on morphological characters and sequence data from three plastid-encoded genes: evidence of reticulation, and new classification for the tribe. *Kew Bulletin* 62: 425–454.
- Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Davidse G, Judziewicz EJ, Zuloaga FO, Filgueiras TS, Morrone O. 2003. Catalogue of New World grasses (Poaceae): IV. Subfamily Pooideae. Contributions from the United States National Herbarium 48: 1–730.
- Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Davidse G, Teisher JK, Clark LG, Barberá P, Gillespie LJ, Zuloaga FO. 2017. A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae) II: An update and a comparison of two 2015 classifications. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 55: 259–290.
- Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Davidse G, Zuloaga FO, Judziewicz E, Filgueiras TS, Davis JI, Morrone O. 2015. A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae). Journal of Systematics and Evolution 53: 117–137.
- Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML Version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30: 1312–1313.
- Thiers B. 2018. Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium. Available from http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/
- Tzvelev NN. 1976. Zlaki SSSR. Saint Petersburg: Nauka Publishers. [English translation: 1983. Grasses of the Soviet Union. New Delhi: Amerid Publishing.].
- Veldkamp JF, Van der Have JC. 1983. The genus Trisetum (Gramineae) in Malesia and Taiwan. Gardens' Bulletin (Singapore) 36: 125–135.
- Wölk A, Röser M. 2014. Polyploid evolution, intercontinental biogeographical relationships and morphology of the recently described African oat genus Trisetopsis (Poaceae). Taxon 63: 773–788.
- Wölk A, Röser M. 2017. Hybridization and long-distance colonization in oat-like grasses of South and East Asia, including an amended circumscription of *Helictotrichon* and the description of the new genus *Tzveleviochloa* (Poaceae). *Taxon* 66: 20–43.

## Supplementary Material

The following supplementary material is available online for this article at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ jse.12523/suppinfo:

**Appendix S1** List of specimens sampled. Taxon name, country and next division, voucher (collector, number, and where the specimen is housed), and GenBank accession number for DNA sequences ITS, *rps16-trnK*, *rps16 intron*, and *rpl32-trnL*; a dash (–) indicates missing data; \*asterisk indicates the sequences was previously published in GenBank.

Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Johnson G. 2010a. A classification of

Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Johnson G. 2010b. A phylogeny and

Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Snow N, Johnson G. 2012. A molecular phylogeny and classification of *Leptochloa* (Poaceae:

Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, Soreng RJ. 2014c. A laboratory guide for generating DNA barcodes in grasses: A case study of

Leptochloa s.l. (Poaceae: Chloridoideae). Webbia 69: 1-12.

Pfeiffer LKG. 1871. Nomenclator botanicus. Kassel: Theodori

Probatova NS. 1979. De Generum Trisetum Pers. et Koeleria Pers.

Quintanar A, Castroviejo S, Catalán P. 2007. Phylogeny of tribe Aveneae

Quintanar A, Catalán P, Castroviejo S. 2010. A review of the

Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. Mr Bayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna

across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61: 539-542.

Saarela JM, Bull RD, Paradis MJ, Ebata SN, Peterson PM, Soreng RJ,

Saarela JM, Liu Q, Peterson PM, Soreng RJ, Paszko B. 2010. Phylogenetics of the grass "Aveneae-type plastid DNA clade". In: Seberg O, Petersen G, Barfod AS, Davis JI eds. Diversity, phylogeny, and evolution in the monocotyledons. Denmark:

Schneider J, Döring E, Hilu KW, Röser M. 2009. Phylogenetic

structure of the grass subfamily Pooideae based on comparison

of plastid matK gene-3'trnK exon and nuclear ITS sequences.

logical and chloroplast DNA restriction site character sets.

Poeae, Poeae chloroplast group I). PhytoKeys 87: 1-139.

sequences. American Journal of Botany 94: 1554–1569.

Denmark: Aarhus University Press. 539-556.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180

Speciebus Caucasicis Notulae Systematicae. Novitates System-

(Pooideae, Poaceae) inferred from plastid trnT-F and nuclear ITS

systematics and phylogenetics of the Koeleriinae (Poaceae:

Poeae). In: Seberg O, Petersen G, Barfod AS, Davis JI eds.

Diversity, phylogeny, and evolution in the monocotyledons.

inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572-1574.

S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice

Paszko B. 2017. Molecular phylogenetics of cool-season grasses in the subtribes Agrostidinae, Anthoxanthinae, Aveninae, Brizinae,

Calothecinae, Koeleriinae and Phalaridinae (Poaceae, Pooideae,

trees. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 55: 580-598.

American Journal of Botany 97: 1532-1554.

aticae Plantarum Vascularium 15: 17-22.

of Botany 109: 1317-1330.

Fischeri, 1–80.

the Chloridoideae (Poaceae) based on multi-gene phylogenetic

classification of the Muhlenbergiinae (Poaceae: Chloridoideae:

Cynodonteae) based on plastid and nuclear DNA sequences.

Chloridoideae: Chlorideae) sensu lato and related genera. Annals