- 1
- Morphological and phylogenetic data do not support the split of *Alexandrium* into four genera
 2

3	Kenneth Neil Mertens ^{1*} , Masao Adachi ² , Donald M. Anderson ³ , Christine Band-Schmidt ⁴ , Isabel
4	Bravo ⁵ , Michael L. Brosnahan ² , Christopher J.S. Bolch ⁶ , António J. Calado ⁷ , M. Consuelo Carbonell-
5	Moore ⁸ , Nicolas Chomérat ¹ , Malte Elbrächter ⁹ , Rosa Isabel Figueroa ⁵ , Santiago Fraga ¹⁰ , Ismael
6	Gárate-Lizárraga ¹¹ , Esther Garcés ¹² , Haifeng Gu ¹³ , Gustaaf Hallegraeff ¹⁴ , Philipp Hess ¹⁵ , Mona
7	Hoppenrath ¹⁶ , Takeo Horiguchi ¹⁷ , Mitsunori Iwataki ¹⁸ , Uwe John ¹⁹ , Anke Kremp ²⁰ , Jacob Larsen ²¹ ,
8	Chui Pin Leaw ²² , Zhun Li ²³ , Po Teen Lim ²² , Wayne Litaker ²⁴ , Lincoln MacKenzie ²⁵ , Estelle Masseret ²⁶ ,
9	Kazumi Matsuoka ²⁷ , Øjvind Moestrup ²¹ , Marina Montresor ²⁸ , Satoshi Nagai ²⁹ , Elisabeth Nézan ^{1,30} ,
10	Tomohiro Nishimura ²⁵ , Yuri B. Okolodkov ³¹ , Tatiana Yu. Orlova ³² , Albert Reñé ¹² , Nagore Sampedro ¹² ,
11	Cecilia Teodora Satta ³³ , Hyeon Ho Shin ³⁴ , Raffaele Siano ³⁵ , Kirsty F. Smith ²⁵ , Karen Steidinger ³⁶ ,
12	Yoshihito Takano ³⁷ , Urban Tillmann ¹⁹ , Jennifer Wolny ³⁸ , Aika Yamaguchi ¹⁷ , Shauna Murray ³⁹
13	
14	¹ Ifremer, LER BO, Station de Biologie Marine, Place de la Croix, BP40537, F-29185 Concarneau
15	Cedex, France
16	² Laboratory of Aquatic Environmental Science (LAQUES), Faculty of Agriculture and Marine
17	Science, Kochi University, 200 Otsu, Monobe, Nankoku, Kochi 783-8502, Japan
18	³ Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA
19	⁴ Departamento de Plancton y Ecología Marina, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Centro
20	Interdisciplinario de Ciencias Marinas (IPN-CICIMAR), La Paz, B.C.S. 23096, Mexico
21	⁵ Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO) and GeoBioTec Research Unit, C.O. Vigo, 36280 Vigo,
22	Spain
23	⁶ Institute for Marine & Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Locked Bag 1370, Launceston TAS
24	7250, Australia
25	⁷ Department of Biology, University of Aveiro, P-3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
26	⁸ Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, College of Agricultural Sciences, Oregon State
27	University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331-2902, USA

- 2
- 28 ⁹Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung Sylt, Hafenstr. 43,
- 29 25992 List/Sylt, Germany
- 30 ¹⁰Praza Mestra Manuela 1, 36340 Nigrán, Spain
- 31 ¹¹Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Centro Interdisciplinario de Ciencias Marinas, Apartado Postal 592,
- 32 Col. Centro, La Paz, B.C.S. 23000, Mexico
- 33 ¹²Departament de Biologia Marina i Oceanografía, Institut de Ciències del Mar, Consejo Superior de
- 34 Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Spain
- ¹³*Third Institute of Oceanography, Ministry of Natural Resources, Xiamen 361005, China*
- 36 ¹⁴Institute of Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 129, Hobart,
- 37 Tasmania 7001, Australia
- 38 ¹⁵Ifremer, DYNECO, Laboratoire Phycotoxines, Rue de l'Ile d'Yeu, 44311 Nantes, France
- 39 ¹⁶Senckenberg am Meer, German Center for Marine Biodiversity Research, Wilhelmshaven, Germany
- 40 ¹⁷Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, North 10, West 8,
- 41 Sapporo 060-0810, Hokkaido, Japan
- 42 ¹⁸Asian Natural Environmental Science Center, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-8657,
- 43 Japan
- 44 ¹⁹Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven,
- 45 *Germany*
- 46 ²⁰Leibniz Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Seestr. 15, 18119 Rostock, Germany
- 47 ²¹Marine Biological Section, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 4,
- 48 DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark
- 49 ²²Bachok Marine Research Station, Institute of Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Malaya,
- 50 16310 Bachok, Kelantan, Malaysia
- ²³Biological Resource Center/Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC), Korea Research Institute
- 52 of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Jeongeup 56212, Republic of Korea
- 53 ²⁴CSS Inc. Under contract to NOS/NOAA, Center for Coastal Fisheries and Habitat Research, 101
- 54 Pivers Island Road, Beaufort, North Carolina 28516, USA

- 3
- 55 ²⁵Coastal & Freshwater Group, Cawthron Institute, Private Bag 2, 98 Halifax Street East, Nelson
- 56 7042, New Zealand
- 57 ²⁶MARBEC, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Montpellier, France
- 58 ²⁷C/O Institute for East China Sea Research, Nagasaki University, 1551-7 Taira-machi, Nagasaki,
- 59 851-2213, Japan
- 60 ²⁸Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, 80121 Naples, Italy
- 61 ²⁹National Research Institute of Fisheries Science, 2-12-4 Fukuura, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama,
- 62 Kanagawa, 236-8648, Japan
- 63 ³⁰National Museum of Natural History, DGD-REVE, Station de Biologie Marine de Concarneau,
- 64 Place de la Croix, 29900 Concarneau, France
- 65 ³¹Universidad Veracruzana, Instituto de Ciencias Marinas y Pesquerías, Laboratorio de Botánica
- 66 Marina y Planctología, Calle Mar Mediterráneo No. 314, Fracc. Costa Verde, C.P. 94294, Boca del
- 67 Río, Veracruz, México
- 68 ³²A.V. Zhirmunsky National Scientific Center of Marine Biology of the Far Eastern Branch of the
- 69 Russian Academy of Sciences, Palchevskogo Street, 17, Vladivostok 690041, Russia
- 70 ³³Dipartimento di Architettura, Design e Urbanistica, University of Sassari, Via Piandanna 4, 07100
- 71 Sassari, Italy
- ³⁴Library of Marine Samples, Korea Institute of Ocean Science and Technology, Geoje, Republic of
- 73 Korea
- 74 ³⁵Ifremer, DYNECO, PELAGOS, F-29280 Plouzané, France
- 75 ³⁶Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Fish and Wildlife Research Institute
- 76 100 8th Avenue SE St. Petersburg, FL 33701, USA
- ³⁷Faculty of Science and Technology, Kochi University, Japan
- 78 ³⁸Maryland Department of Natural Resources, 1919 Lincoln Drive Annapolis, Maryland 21401 USA
- ³⁹Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW, 2007, Australia
- 80
- 81 *Corresponding author: Kenneth Neil Mertens
- 82 E-mail: <u>Kenneth.mertens@ifremer.fr</u>

83 Tel: +33-2-98-10-42-82

84

85 Abstract

86 A recently published study analyzed the phylogenetic relationship between the genera 87 Centrodinium and Alexandrium, confirming an earlier publication showing the genus Alexandrium as paraphyletic. This most recent manuscript retained the genus *Alexandrium*, introduced a new genus 88 89 Episemicolon, resurrected two genera, Gessnerium and Protogonyaulax, and stated that: "The 90 polyphyly [sic] of Alexandrium is solved with the split into four genera". However, these reintroduced 91 taxa were not based on monophyletic groups. Therefore this work, if accepted, would result in 92 replacing a single paraphyletic taxon with several non-monophyletic ones. The morphological data 93 presented for genus characterization also do not convincingly support taxa delimitations. The 94 combination of weak molecular phylogenetics and the lack of diagnostic traits (i.e., autapomorphies) render the applicability of the concept of limited use. The proposal to split the genus Alexandrium on 95 96 the basis of our current knowledge is rejected herein. The aim here is not to present an alternative 97 analysis and revision, but to maintain Alexandrium. A better constructed and more phylogenetically 98 accurate revision can and should wait until more complete evidence becomes available and there is a strong reason to revise the genus Alexandrium. The reasons are explained in detail by a review of the 99 100 available molecular and morphological data for species of the genera Alexandrium and Centrodinium. 101 In addition, cyst morphology and chemotaxonomy are discussed, and the need for integrative 102 taxonomy is highlighted.

103

104 Keywords: taxonomy, phylogenetics, paraphyletic, saxitoxin, spirolides, harmful algal blooms

105

106 Highlights

• Morpho-molecular data do not support the split of *Alexandrium* into four genera.

- The genera *Episemicolon, Gessnerium*, and *Protogonyaulax* should not be used.
- A proposal to conserve *Alexandrium* against *Centrodinium* will be submitted.

110

111 Introduction and aims

112

113 The genus Alexandrium includes many species that have caused extensive economic and human health impacts worldwide (e.g., Anderson et al., 2012). Alexandrium currently encompasses 34 114 accepted species, with A. camurascutulum considered invalid (Guiry in Guiry and Guiry, 2020). Of 115 these species, 14 are known to produce paralytic shellfish toxins (PSTs) (Moestrup et al., 2009), which 116 117 have caused extensive damage to aquaculture industries. The wide range of toxins produced by Alexandrium species, belong to four families – PSTs (saxitoxin (STX) and its derivatives), spiroimines 118 119 (spirolides and gymnodimines), goniodomins (e.g., Lassus et al., 2016), and lytic compounds (e.g., Tillmann and John, 2002; Blossom et al., 2019). The toxins with the most recognized potential for 120 121 economic impact are the PSTs, which are responsible for outbreaks of paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP), one of the most widespread harmful algal bloom (HAB)-related shellfish poisoning syndromes. 122 PSP outbreaks can cause human illness and death from contaminated shellfish or fish, loss of wild and 123 124 cultured seafood resources, impairment of tourism and recreational activities, alterations of marine 125 trophic structure, and death of marine mammals, fish, and seabirds (Anderson et al., 2012). Symptoms 126 of PSP in humans range from spreading numbress and tingling sensations, headache and nausea to more extreme fatal cases due to respiratory paralysis (Hallegraeff, 2003). Blooms of species such as 127 128 Alexandrium catenella, A. minutum, and A. pacificum regularly cause losses of tens of millions of 129 dollars to aquaculture industries in North and South America, Europe, Asia (e.g., Trainer and Yoshido, 130 2014; Sanseverino et al., 2016), and Australia and New Zealand (e.g., Jin et al., 2008; MacKenzie, 2014). For example, in late 2012, a single bloom of A. catenella along the east coast of Tasmania 131 (Australia) resulted in ~AUD\$ 23 million loss to the wild harvest and aquaculture industries (Condie 132 133 et al., 2019). Monitoring of Alexandrium cells in the water column and of toxins in shellfish is therefore critical for avoidance of adverse effects on human health (e.g., Nagai et al., 2019; EFSA, 134 135 2009) and nationally and internationally standardized methods have been established to guide PSP testing (e.g., FAO Marine Biotoxins, 2004; [U.S.] National Shellfish Sanitation Program, 2017; 136 137 Australian Shellfish Quality Assurance Program, 2019; Turner et al., 2019). The European Union

requires all its member states to monitor coastal waters for toxin-producing plankton and toxins in
mussels (Directive 91/492d/EC and Commission Decision 2002/225/EC). In parallel, research on *Alexandrium* species is vigorous: since 1975, there have been 2,768 published studies that include the
word *Alexandrium*, which have been cited 70,322 times, for an average of about 150 publications per
year over the last 10 years (Clarivate Analytics search on Web of Science Core Collection on 20
August 2020).

The taxonomic history of the genus Alexandrium is complex, and nomenclatural stability was not 144 145 attained for some time, as detailed by Balech (1995, pp. 1–3) and Taylor and Fukuyo (1998). The 146 genus Alexandrium was erected by Halim (1960) with the PST-producing Alexandrium minutum as its type. A few years later, Halim (1967) erected Gessnerium with Gessnerium mochimaense Halim as its 147 148 type; this species had a pentagonal first apical (1') plate not in contact with the pore plate (Po). 149 Loeblich III and Loeblich (1979) considered Alexandrium minutum to be inadequately described, left 150 it in the genus Alexandrium and transferred seven Gonyaulax species and two Goniodoma species into the genus Gessnerium. At the same time, Taylor (1979) erected Protogonvaulax, with P. tamarensis as 151 the type species and defined it as having a 1' plate directly contacting the Po of the apical pore 152 153 complex, and transferred eight species of the genus Gonyaulax and one Pyrodinium species into Protogonyaulax. Taylor's proposal was followed by Fukuyo et al. (1985), who described two new 154 Protogonyaulax species (P. affinis and P. compressa). After a detailed examination of samples from 155 the type locality of A. minutum (the type species of Alexandrium), Balech (1989) noted that plate 1' 156 157 does not necessarily directly contact the Po in this species (the same applies to A. fraterculus and A. 158 kutnerae). He therefore re-established the genus Alexandrium, considering Protogonyaulax a junior synonym of Alexandrium. All Protogonyaulax and Gessnerium species were thus transferred to 159 160 Alexandrium (Balech 1985, 1995) and Gessnerium was retained as a subgenus of Alexandrium for 161 species in which plate 1' is not rhomboidal and does not contact the Po (Balech, 1995). Since Balech (1989), the consensus has been to only use the genus name Alexandrium. The currently accepted plate 162 formula for Alexandrium is Po, 4', 6'', 6c, 9–10s, 5''', 2'''' (Balech, 1980, 1995; Balech and Tangen, 163

164 1985).

The advent of molecular approaches provided significant contributions to the circumscription 165 166 of species within this important genus. Morpho-molecular studies suggested that species placed in the 167 subgenus Gessnerium do not form a monophyletic group (John et al., 2003; MacKenzie et al., 2004; 168 Kim et al., 2005; Rogers et al., 2006; Penna et al., 2008; Gu et al., 2013). More recently, a detailed study encompassing the morphology of vegetative cells, phylogenies based on multiple molecular 169 markers, mating compatibility and presence/absence of genes coding for STX and analogues has 170 171 shown that morphological characters used to identify species within the Alexandrium tamarense 172 complex (A. tamarense and related species) were not consistent, but that molecular markers were able to delineate unambiguous species boundaries (John et al., 2014; but see Fraga et al., 2015 and Litaker 173 et al., 2018). 174

Recently, Li et al. (2019) showed that the fusiform dinoflagellate Centrodinium punctatum 175 176 forms a clade nesting within Alexandrium. Through a morpho-molecular study of two other Centrodinium species (C. eminens and C. intermedium), but without a re-investigation of the type, C. 177 elongatum, Gómez and Artigas (2019) proposed to retain *Centrodinium* and subdivide the species 178 179 formerly included in the genus Alexandrium, sharing a common thecal plate pattern, into four distinct 180 genera, namely Alexandrium sensu stricto (s.s.) (emended diagnosis), the re-introduced genera 181 Gessnerium and Protogonyaulax, and the new genus Episemicolon. Here, the data presented in Gómez and Artigas (2019) are critically discussed, and it is shown that they do not support such a drastic 182 183 taxonomic rearrangement. The aim of this study is not to present an alternative analysis and revision, 184 but to maintain the genus Alexandrium. Furthermore, it is emphasized to use integrative taxonomy 185 which delimits taxa using multiple and complementary perspectives (Dayrat, 2005), including the consideration of cvst morphology in recognizing taxa (as previously suggested by Taylor and Fukuyo, 186 1998, p. 6) and chemotaxonomy, amongst other criteria. 187

188

189 The presented phylogenetic trees do not support the proposed subdivision of Alexandrium.

The finding that species of *Centrodinium* nest phylogenetically in *Alexandrium* (Li et al.,
2019; Gómez and Artigas, 2019) makes the latter paraphyletic. Gómez and Artigas (2019) proposed to
taxonomically split *Alexandrium* into four separate genera (not including *Centrodinium*, which never

193 belonged to Alexandrium). This proposal establishes a new genus Episemicolon, while the diagnosis of 194 three other genera (Alexandrium, Gessnerium, and Protogonyaulax) was emended to group subsets of 195 former Alexandrium species. The authors stated that "The polyphyly [sic] of Alexandrium is solved 196 with the split into four genera". Notably, Alexandrium was not polyphyletic, but paraphyletic. The 197 suggestion that their proposal 'solved' this problem is the basis for our critique, and thus it is therefore worth evaluating the phylogenetic information presented by Gómez and Artigas (2019) in detail. Our 198 199 question was: Does the data justify splitting a phylogenetically well-defined group (e.g., John et al., 200 2003; Rogers et al., 2006; Orr et al., 2011; Anderson et al., 2012; Baggesen et al., 2012; Gu et al., 201 2013; Murray et al 2015; Menezes et al., 2018; Kretzschmar et al., 2019), sharing the same Kofoidian 202 plate tabulation, into segregate genera? Gómez and Artigas (2019) presented two phylogenetic trees, Fig. 4 a phylogenetic analysis of 203 204 alignments of the SSU (18S) region of the rRNA operon and Fig. 5 the D1–D2 region of the LSU (28S) region of the rRNA operon. Each alignment was analyzed using a maximum likelihood (ML) 205 206 approach and clades were given with bootstrap support. There was no information on the number of 207 base pairs analyzed, the number of informative sites, and the alignments were not made available for 208 evaluation. These phylogenetic analyses are problematic for several reasons:

209

1. In their Fig. 5, the phylogeny using the D1–D2 regions of rRNA, which are generally ~600 bp in
length, the proposed *Alexandrium s.s.* and *Protogonyaulax* are polyphyletic. In their Fig. 4, the
phylogeny using (presumably) partial SSU regions of rRNA, of an unknown length, *Protogonyaulax, Episemicolon* and *Alexandrium s.s.* are all para- or polyphyletic. In other words, based on their own
analysis, the authors propose the replacement of a single paraphyletic taxon (*Alexandrium*) with
several non-monophyletic ones. The generic concepts are not substantiated by the molecular data.
Thus, rather than solving the taxonomic problems, they exacerbate them.

217

218 2. There is very little genetic difference between species of *Centrodinium* and *Episemicolon*. In a

comparison of sequences of *C. punctatum* in the NCBI database, a difference of < 1% and < 5% was

220 found between it and A. affine in aligned sequences of SSU and LSU rRNA, which was less than the

genetic differences among species of *Centrodinium*. Species that are highly similar in molecular
genetic sequences of rRNA genes, with identical tabulation when considering plate homologies, need
exceptionally different other autapomorphies in order to be placed in separate genera, and little
evidence of this is found (see below).

225

3. The Gómez and Artigas (2019) phylogenies have used short alignments with too few characters and 226 227 taxon information to accurately infer deeper, clade level branchings within the genus Alexandrium 228 which will be stable into the future. Of the major factors that impact the accuracy of phylogenetic 229 inference, two are particularly important: the length of aligned sequence/number of genes used, and 230 the taxon sampling. Past studies of *Alexandrium* phylogenetics were reviewed (Table 1, John et al., 231 2003; Leaw et al., 2005; Rogers et al., 2006; Orr et al., 2011; Anderson et al., 2012; Baggesen et al., 2012; Gu et al 2013; Murray et al., 2014; Murray et al., 2015; Menezes et al., 2018; Gottschling et al., 232 2020), and this showed that clades within *Alexandrium* differed depending on gene and taxon 233 234 sampling (exemplified by basal clades shown in Table 1). All else being equal, more sequence data 235 and greater taxon sampling generally leads to more accurate and well-supported phylogenies. Murray 236 et al. (2015) conducted an analysis of Alexandrium using a concatenated alignment of eight genes, 237 with a total length of 7308 bp. The position of several of the major clades of *Alexandrium* differed in that analysis, compared to the analysis presented by Gómez and Artigas (2019). Similarly, new 238 239 ribotypes are still being reported, such as Alexandrium fragae (Branco et al., 2020) and three new 240 phylotypes nested within the genus (Nishimura et al., in review). It is likely that these new discoveries 241 and longer alignments/greater gene sampling will lead to more strongly supported phylogenies than those of Gómez and Artigas (2019), whose phylogenies appear to be too weak to support taxonomic 242 rearrangements. 243

As any taxonomic rearrangement of *Alexandrium* will potentially impact hundreds or thousands of scientists, government regulators, and the seafood industry, it is crucial that the phylogenetic basis for such a change be exceptionally clear, highly stable, and reproducible by other scientists. However, this has not occurred in this case. Gómez and Artigas (2019) have not provided access to their alignment or details of their analytical output. Dinoflagellate lineages display major

differences in evolutionary rates in ribosomal RNA genes, particularly in the gonyaulacoid lineage. 249 Examples of this can be seen in Orr et al. (2012), Gu et al. (2013) and Gottschling et al. (2020), in 250 which the species of the Gonyaulacales are generally present on much longer branches than most other 251 252 clades of dinoflagellates, a difference not seen in analyses using nuclear genes (e.g., Kretzschmar et al., 2019). While Gómez and Artigas (2019) selected a potentially suitable model for their ML 253 analyses, inclusion of divergent taxa can still lead to misplacement of taxa/clusters, with high support 254 255 values, due to various long-branch effects (Kück et al., 2012). No phylogeny-testing (such as leave-256 one-out testing and jackknifing by species/clusters) apart from the bootstrap support was used to determine whether the branch order of taxa/clusters was stable or unaffected by long-branch artifacts. 257 258

259 The morphological concepts of the reinstated and emended genera proposed by Gómez and Artigas 260 (2019) have little taxonomic value

261 As mentioned in the introduction, *Protogonyaulax* was described by Taylor (1979) as having a plate 1' in contact with the Po. As remarked by Balech (1989, p. 210) for the type species of 262 263 Alexandrium, A. minutum, as well as for A. fraterculus and A. kutnerae, this feature is variable, and the 264 plate 1' can have an indirect contact with the Po through a filiform prolongation of plate 1' (Alexandrium acatenella, A. andersonii, A. ostenfeldii, A. tropicale are additional examples; Balech, 265 1995). Gómez and Artigas (2019) considered species exhibiting only a direct contact of plate 1' to the 266 267 Po as belonging to their emended concept of *Protogonvaulax*, and species with direct or indirect 268 contact (presumably meaning displaying both types) as belonging to their emended genus 269 Alexandrium. However, when reviewing the literature, it is clear that there is no consistency with the application of both genus concepts (Table 2); furthermore, the high variability of the contact between 270 plate 1' and Po within Alexandrium species speaks against considering this as a reliable taxonomic 271 272 character. In addition, Gómez and Artigas (2019) describe the 6" plate as being "usually narrow" in Alexandrium s.s., however, this is not so in A. insuetum, A. ostenfeldii, and A. tamutum, species which 273 are retained in *Alexandrium s.s.* in their proposed phylogeny. Finally, the posterior sulcal plate (Sp) of 274 the emended genus Protogonyaulax is longer than wide, and in Alexandrium s.s. wider than long, but 275 the Sp of A. leei, included in Protogonyaulax, is wider than long and in A. margalefii, included in 276

Alexandrium s.s., longer than wide with an extremely oblique anterior margin (Balech, 1995). In
summary, the considerations of Balech (1995) which support synonymization of *Protogonyaulax* with *Alexandrium*, still stand.

280 The reinstated genus Gessnerium also presents problems. Gómez and Artigas (2019) included within this genus species with a pentagonal plate 1' not in contact with the Po. They excluded three 281 species with a plate 1' not in contact with the Po from their concept of Gessnerium: A. insuetum, which 282 283 they included in *Alexandrium s.s.* despite the fact that this species has a pentagonal 1' plate that does 284 not touch the Po (Balech, 1995, Plate XVII, Figs. 1-23), and A. pohangense and A. margalefii, which were not formally attributed to any genus, although were assigned to the *Alexandrium s.s.* clade in 285 their Fig. 4. The authors considered the quadrangular plate 1' of A. pohangense and A. margalefii as a 286 287 unique character distinguishing them from the other Gessnerium. However, the plate 1' in A. 288 pohangense has a short suture with plate 2' and can therefore be considered pentagonal (Lim et al., 2015, their Fig. 4B), and such a short suture can also be observed in A. balechii and A. foedum 289 290 according to Balech (1995, p. 103), which were classified as Gessnerium by Gómez and Artigas 291 (2019). Within A. taylorii, the plate 1' is known to vary between a quadrangular and pentagonal shape 292 (Delgado et al., 1997). The infraspecific variability of the shape of this plate indicates that it cannot be 293 used as a diagnostic character at the genus level (Table 2). Finally, the Sp of the emended genus Gessnerium is longer than wide and extending obliquely, but in A. monilatum, which is included in 294 295 this genus, the Sp is rhomboid (Balech, 1995).

296 The main diagnostic character of the new genus *Episemicolon* is the presence of an anterior 297 attachment pore placed on the dorsal side of the apical pore plate. However, in A. gaarderae the 298 anterior attachment pore is defined as semi-dorsal (Larsen and Nguyen-Ngoc, 2004) and in A. monilatum, included in Gessnerium, the anterior attachment pore is slightly to the right of the dorsal 299 300 side (Balech, 1995). Moreover, A. concavum, which also has a semi-dorsal attachment pore (Larsen and Nguyen-Ngoc, 2004) was placed in Gessnerium by Gómez and Artigas (2019). There is presently 301 insufficient evidence to accept the location of the anterior attachment pore in the apical pore plate as a 302 diagnostic character to separate these taxa at the generic level from other Alexandrium species. In 303 addition, Gómez and Artigas (2019) claim that the shape of the apical pore of *Episemicolon* is unique 304

because it is "oval or bullet" (their Table 1, as "Shape of apical pore plate") which is incorrect because 305 it is comma-shaped (Larsen and Nguyen-Ngoc, 2004), just like other Alexandrium species. 306 307 The tabulation of the genus Centrodinium, as displayed in Centrodinium punctatum, is 308 identical to that of Alexandrium when taking into account plate homologies (Li et al., 2019). It can not be excluded that some of the differences listed by Gómez and Artigas (2019) such as the shape of the 309 apical pore, the presence of a pore in the anterior sulcal plate, etc., could be sufficient to separate this 310 taxon on a subgeneric level. More detailed morphological information on the type species of 311 312 Centrodinium, C. elongatum, is however required. The large variation in tabulation reported in species of Centrodinium by Hernández-Becerril et al. (2010, see their Table 1 for a summary) indicates that 313 further investigation into this genus is needed to properly report on its phylogenetic placement. 314 In summary, the morphological concepts used to separate the reinstated genera from 315 Alexandrium s.s. are highly variable and insufficient to justify a split of the genus Alexandrium. There 316 is also insufficient morphological evidence to decide whether Episemicolon and Centrodinium warrant 317 separate generic names. 318

319

320 The cyst morphology does not support the new genera

321 Cysts of *Alexandrium* are morphologically diverse (Table 2) and different from cysts of closely related genera, such as *Pyrodinium*, which has process-bearing cysts (e.g., Wall and Dale, 322 323 1968, pp. 102–103) or *Fragilidium*, which has a very thick layer of mucus (12–18 µm; Owen and 324 Norris, 1985). Cyst morphology can serve to subdivide genera, as has been proposed for the genus 325 Protoperidinium (Harland, 1982). Because cysts are well-known within the genus Alexandrium (e.g., Bolch et al., 1991; Matsuoka and Fukuyo, 2000; Bravo et al., 2006), they should be taken into account 326 for the best possible integrative taxonomy. Since there is no consistent cyst morphology that can be 327 328 associated with any of the genera proposed by Gómez and Artigas (2019), cyst morphology also does not unambiguously support the subdivision of Alexandrium into these genera. 329

330

331 *There is no evident relationship of the proposed genera to toxin production (chemotaxonomy).*

333 because the same toxins have been described in very distantly related dinoflagellate genera, e.g., 334 okadaic acid in *Prorocentrum* spp. and *Dinophysis* spp., STX or analogs in *Alexandrium* spp., 335 Gymnodinium catenatum, Pyrodinium bahamense as well as in several cyanobacterial species (e.g., Aphanizomenon flosaquae and Lyngbya wollei), or domoic acid which is produced in several diatom 336 genera of Pseudo-nitzschia and Nitzschia, as well as in the macroalga Chondria armata. 337 For the genera in question here, three toxin groups are worth considering for chemotaxonomy: 338 339 saxitoxins (STXs), spiroimines (spirolides and gymnodimines) and goniodomins. Based on John et al. (2014), Murray et al. (2015), Lassus et al. (2016), Lugliè et al. (2017), and Branco et al. (2020), STX 340 or analogs are produced by 14 Alexandrium species (A. acatenella, A. affine, A. andersonii, A. 341 342 australiense, A. catenella, A. cohorticula, A. fragae, A. leei, A. minutum, A. ostenfeldii, A. pacificum, A. tamarense¹, A. tamiyavanichii, A. taylorii), which do not form a clear monophyletic cluster (Murray 343 344 et al., 2015, Fig. 1). Due to the spread of STX-production across a range of phylogenetically different Alexandrium species, STX-production in this genus appears to be very common but it is not clear 345 whether it should be considered a coherent taxonomic feature for this genus. The increasing number of 346 347 STX analogues should be systematically re-verified in a large number of geographically diverse strains, with the limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) provided. 348 349 Spiroimines are solely known to be produced by Alexandrium ostenfeldii (= Alexandrium 350 peruvianum; Kremp et al., 2014; Zurhelle et al., 2018). Goniodomins have been reported to be produced by Alexandrium monilatum, Alexandrium 351 352 hiranoi and Alexandrium pseudogonyaulax (Harris et al., 2020). Overall, it should be noted that many papers only report positive presence of toxins in a strain 353 but not the LOD or LOQ for those analogues that were not discovered. There are few studies 354 systematically reporting comparative presence of analogues in a wide range of species, e.g. Wiese et 355

Toxin production has long been considered to be a character independent of chemical taxonomy

al. (2010), for STX group toxins. However, spirolides have not been systematically searched in most

^{1 1}Following the taxonomic concept of *A. tamarense* of John et al. (2014), only one strain of *A. tamarense* is

² currently considered to produce low amounts of gonyautoxins (Lugliè et al., 2017).

Alexandrium species and goniodomins have been largely overlooked other than in the three speciesmentioned above.

359

360 *Conclusions*

The data presented by Gómez and Artigas (2019) are insufficiently robust to form the basis on 361 which to subdivide species of the genus Alexandrium into four different genera, and maintain 362 Centrodinium. Resolving consistent generic-level clusters within the genus Alexandrium and across 363 364 the gonyaulacoids more generally, would require additional detailed morphological re-investigations and more extensive multigene phylogenies, with careful attention to rigorous testing of taxon sampling 365 effects, branching order stability, long-branch effects, and careful selection of appropriate multiple 366 outgroups for rooting local versus global dinoflagellate phylogenies. A secondary structure analysis of 367 a more expanded dataset could also be beneficial. Inclusion of cyst morphology and chemotaxonomic 368 information should also be strived for. There is an extensive literature on Alexandrium species and this 369 is a very active area of research. If the proposal of Gómez and Artigas (2019) is adopted there will be 370 371 considerable disruption and confusion to this field of study.

372 Therefore, here it is recommended to continue using the generic name *Alexandrium* for species of this complex, and to refrain from using Protogonyaulax, Gessnerium, and Episemicolon. 373 The proposals by Gómez and Artigas (2019), if adopted, would introduce taxonomical instability into 374 375 this group of species. A proposal to conserve Alexandrium against Centrodinium will be submitted to 376 the International Nomenclature Committee for Algae (INA) in parallel to this note. The nomenclatural 377 stability has particular importance as many species of Alexandrium cause harmful algal blooms and produce potent biotoxins. In addition to the biological scientific community the generic name 378 Alexandrium is used also by chemists, medical scientists such as toxicologists, veterinarians, seafood 379 380 safety regulators, fisheries and aquaculture industry personnel, administrators, and environmental and fisheries policy makers as outlined by Litaker et al. (2018). Furthermore, Alexandrium species are an 381 important component of planktonic assemblages and taxonomic changes can create confusion for 382 climate change studies and interpretations of long-term data sets. Finally, it is recommended that 383 384 morphological criteria used to separate taxa are unambiguous and leave no room for doubt in the

385	attribution of taxa (cf. paragraph 1 of the preamble of the ICN, Turland et al., 2018), that authors make
386	their alignments freely available to allow for coherent progress in the field, and that authors strive for
387	integrative taxonomy (Dayrat, 2005). Conservation of taxon names has been promoted across all
388	organisms, to avoid taxonomic anarchy (Garnett and Christidis, 2017). As such, taxonomists should
389	aim to conserve original names as much as possible and new taxa and combinations should only be
390	created when robust morpho-molecular data obliges it (cf. paragraph 12 of the preamble of the ICN,
391	Turland et al., 2018).
392	
393	Acknowledgements
394	Support to DMA from the NOAA ECOHAB program (Grant #NA15NOS4780181) is gratefully
395	acknowledged. Support to EG, AR, NS from the COPAs project (CTM2017-86121-R) is
396	acknowledged. Marc Gottschling is acknowledged for interesting and civil discussions. Two
397	anonymous reviewers are acknowledged for their useful comments.
398	
399	References
400	Anderson, D.M., Wall, D., 1978. Potential importance of benthic cysts of Gonyaulax tamarensis and
401	G. excavata in initiating toxic dinoflagellate blooms. J. Phycol. 14, 224–234.
402	
403	Anderson, D.M., Alpermann, T.J., Cembella, A.D., Collos, Y., Masseret, E., Montresor, M., 2012. The
404	globally distributed genus Alexandrium: Multifaceted roles in marine ecosystems and impacts on
405	human health. Harmful Algae 14, 10–35.
406	
407	The Australian Shellfish Quality Assurance Program Operations Manual. 2019. Available at:
408	http://safefish.com.au/reports/manuals-and-guidelines/the-australian-shellfish-quality-assurance-

409 program-manual (last accessed 2 March 2020).

- 411 Baggesen, C., Moestrup, Ø., Daugbjerg, N., Krock, B., Cembella, A.D., Madsen, S., 2012. Molecular
- 412 phylogeny and toxin profiles of Alexandrium tamarense (Lebour) Balech (Dinophyceae) from the west
- 413 coast of Greenland. Harmful Algae 19, 108–116.
- 414
- 415 Balech, E., 1980. On thecal morphology of dinoflagellates with special emphasis on cingular and
- 416 sulcal plates. An. Inst. Cienc. del Mar y Limnol. Univ. Nal. Autón. México 7(1), 57–68.
- 417
- 418 Balech, E., 1985. The genus Alexandrium or Gonyaulax of the tamarensis group. In: Anderson, D.M.,
- 419 White, A.W., Baden, D.G. (Eds.), Toxic Dinoflagellates. Elsevier Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, pp.
- 420 33–38.
- 421
- Balech, E., 1989. Redescription of *Alexandrium minutum* Halim (Dinophyceae) type species of the
 genus *Alexandrium*. Phycologia 28(2), 206–211.
- 424
- Balech, E., (Ed.) 1995. The genus *Alexandrium* Halim (Dinoflagellata). Sherkin Island Marine Station,
 Co. Cork, 151 p.
- 427
- 428 Balech, E., Tangen, K., 1985. Morphology and taxonomy of toxic species in the *tamarensis* group
- 429 (Dinophyceae) Alexandrium excavatum (Braarud) comb. nov. and Alexandrium ostenfeldii (Paulsen)
- 430 comb. nov. Sarsia 70, 333–343.
- 431
- 432 Blossom, H.E., Markussen, B., Daugbjerg, N., Krock, B., Norlin, A., Hansen, P.J., 2019. The cost of
- 433 toxicity in microalgae: direct evidence from the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium*. Front. Microbiol. 10,
- 434 1065.
- 435
- 436 Bolch, C.J., Blackburn, S.I., Cannon, J.A., Hallegraeff, G.M., 1991. The resting cyst of the red-tide
- 437 dinoflagellate *Alexandrium minutum* (Dinophyceae). Phycologia 30(2), 215–219.
- 438

- 439 Branco, S., Oliveira, M.M.M., Salgueiro, F., Vilar, M.C.P., Azevedo, S.M.F.O., Menezes, M., 2020.
- 440 Morphology and molecular phylogeny of a new PST-producing dinoflagellate species: Alexandrium
- 441 fragae sp. nov. (Gonyaulacales, Dinophyceae). Harmful Algae 95, 101793.
- 442
- 443 Bravo, I., Garcés, E., Diogène, J., Fraga, S., Sampedro, N., Figueroa, R.I., 2006. Resting cysts of the
- 444 toxigenic dinoflagellate genus Alexandrium in recent sediments from the Western Mediterranean
- coast, including the first description of cysts of *A. kutnerae* and *A. peruvianum*. Eur. J. Phycol. 41(3),
 293–302.

- 448 Condie, S.A., Oliver, E.C.J., Hallegraeff, G.M., 2019. Environmental drivers of unprecedented
- 449 *Alexandrium catenella* dinoflagellate blooms off eastern Tasmania, 2012–2018. Harmful Algae 87,
- 450 101628.
- 451
- 452 Dayrat, B., 2005. Towards integrative taxonomy. Biol. J. Linnean Soc. 85, 407–415.
- 453
- 454 Delgado, M., Garcés, E., Vila, M., Camp, J., 1997. Morphological variability in three populations of
- 455 the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium taylori*. J. Plankton Res. 19(6), 749–757.

456

- 457 EFSA, 2009. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain on a request from the
- European Commission on Marine Biotoxins in Shellfish Saxitoxin Group. The EFSA Journal 1019,

459 1–76.

- 460
- 461 FAO, 2004. Marine biotoxins. Food & Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 80.

- 463 Fraga, S., Sampedro, N., Larsen, J., Moestrup, Ø., Calado, A.J., 2015. Arguments against the proposal
- 464 2302 by John & al. to reject the name Gonyaulax catenella (Alexandrium catenella). Taxon 64(3),
- **465** 634–635.
- 466

- 467 Fukuyo, Y., 1985. Morphology of *Protogonyaulax tamarensis* (Lebour) Taylor and *Protogonyaulax*
- *catenella* (Whedon and Kofoid) Taylor from Japanese coastal waters. Bull. Mar. Sci. 37(2), 529–537,
 1 pl.
- 470
- 471 Fukuyo, Y., Inoue, H., 1990. Alexandrium affine (Inoue et Fukuyo) Balech. In: Fukuyo, Y., Takano,
- 472 H., Chihara, M., Matsuoka, K. (Eds.), Red tide organisms in Japan an illustrated taxonomic guide.
- 473 Uchida Rokakuho Co. Ltd., Tokyo, pp. 84–85.
- 474
- 475 Fukuyo, Y., Pholpunthin, Y., 1990a. Alexandrium cohorticula (Balech) Balech. In: Fukuyo, Y.,
- 476 Takano, H., Chihara, M., Matsuoka, K. (Eds.), Red tide organisms in Japan an illustrated taxonomic
- 477 guide. Uchida Rokakuho Co. Ltd., Tokyo, pp. 88–89.
- 478
- 479 Fukuyo, Y., Pholpunthin, Y., 1990b. Alexandrium leei (Balech) Balech. In: Fukuyo, Y., Takano, H.,
- 480 Chihara, M., Matsuoka, K. (Eds.), Red tide organisms in Japan an illustrated taxonomic guide.
- 481 Uchida Rokakuho Co. Ltd., Tokyo, pp. 92–93.
- 482
- 483 Fukuyo, Y., Yoshida, K., Inoue, H., 1985. Protogonyaulax in Japanese coastal waters. In: Anderson,

484 D.M., White, A.W., Baden, D.G. (Eds.), Toxic dinoflagellates. Elsevier, New York, p. 27–32.

- 486 Gaarder, K.R., 1954. Dinoflagellates from the Michael Sars North Atlantic Deep-Sea Expedition 1910.
- 487 In: Report on the scientific results of the "Michael Sars" north Atlantic deep-sea expedition 1910,
- 488 carried out under the auspices of the Norwegian government and the superintendence of Sir John
- 489 Murray, K.C.B., and Dr. Johan Hjort, Volume 2, 1–62.
- 490
- 491 Garnett, S.T., Christidis, L., 2017. Taxonomy anarchy hampers conservation. Nature 546, 25–27.
- 492

493	Garrett, M.J.,	Wolny, J.L.	Williams.	B.J., Dirks	. M.D., Bram	e. J.A.	Richardson.	R.W.	2011.
133	Ourrett, 111.0.,	,, om, , o	, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		, 101011010011	, ,, ,,	, 2011.

- 494 Methods for sampling and analysis of marine microalgae in ship ballast tanks: a case study from
- 495 Tampa Bay, Florida, USA. Algae 26, 181–192.
- 496
- 497 Gómez, F., Artigas, L.F., 2019. Redefinition of the dinoflagellate genus Alexandrium based on
- 498 *Centrodinium*: Reinstatement of *Gessnerium* and *Protogonyaulax*, and *Episemicolon* gen. nov.
- Hindawi Journal of Marine Biology, 1284104.
- 500
- 501 Gottschling, M, Chacón, J, Žerdoner Čalasan, A, Neuhaus, S., Kretschmann, J., Stibor, H., John, W.,
- 502 2020. Phylogenetic placement of environmental sequences using taxonomically reliable databases
- helps to rigorously assess dinophyte biodiversity in Bavarian lakes (Germany). Freshw. Biol. 65, 193–
 208.
- 504 505
- 506 Gu, H., Zeng, N., Liu, T., Yang, W., Müller, A., Krock, B., 2013. Morphology, toxicity, and
- phylogeny of *Alexandrium* (Dinophyceae) species along the coast of China. Harmful Algae 27, 68–81.
- 509 Guiry, M.D. in Guiry, M.D., Guiry, G.M., 2020. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication,
- 510 National University of Ireland, Galway. http://www.algaebase.org; searched on 24 February 2020.
- 511
- Halim, Y., 1960. *Alexandrium minutum*, n. gen. n. sp. dinoflagellé provocant des "eaux rouges". Vie et
 Milieu 11, 102–105.
- 514
- Halim, Y., 1967. Dinoflagellates of the south-east Caribbean sea. Int. Rev. gesamten Hydrobiol. 52(5),
 701–755.
- 517
- 518 Hallegraeff, G.M., 2003. Harmful algal blooms: a global overview. In: Hallegraeff, G.M., Anderson,
- 519 D.M., Cembella, A.D. (Eds), Manual on Harmful Marine Microalgae, Vol. 11, 2nd ed. IOC-UNESCO,
- 520 Paris, pp. 25–49.

522	Harland, R., 1982. A review of Recent and Quaternary organic-walled dinoflagellate cysts of the genus
523	Protoperidinium. Palaeontology 25(2), 369–397, pl. 38–42.
524	
525	Harris, C.M., Reece, K.S., Stec, D.F., Scott, G.P., Jones, W.M., Hobbs, P.L.M., Harris, T.M., 2020.
526	The toxin goniodomin, produced by Alexandrium spp., is identical to goniodomin A. Harmful Algae
527	92, 101707.
528	
529	Hernández-Becerril, D.U., Bravo-Sierra, E., Ceballos-Corona, J.G.A, Esqueda-Lara, K., Escobar-
530	Morales, S., Parra-Toriz, D., 2010. Morphology and taxonomy of the marine planktonic dinoflagellate
531	Centrodinium pulchrum Bohm (Dinophyta) from the tropical Mexican Pacific, with comments on the
532	taxonomy and distribution of the genus Centrodinium. Phycologia 49(5), 461-470.
533	
534	Jin, D., Thunberg, E., Hoagland, P., 2008. Economic impact of the 2005 red tide event on commercial
535	shellfish fisheries in New England. Ocean Coast. Manag. 51(5), 420-429.
536	
537	John, U., Fensome, R.A., Medlin, L.K., 2003. The application of a molecular clock based on
538	molecular sequences and the fossil record to explain biogeographic distributions within the
539	Alexandrium tamarense "species complex" (Dinophyceae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 20(7), 1015–1027.
540	
541	John, U., Litaker, R.W., Montresor, M., Murray, S., Brosnahan, M.L., Anderson, D.M., 2014. Formal
542	revision of the Alexandrium tamarense species complex (Dinophyceae) taxonomy: The Introduction of
543	five species with emphasis on molecular-based (rDNA) classification. Protist 165, 779-804.
544	
545	Kim, KY., Yoshida, M., Kim, C.H., 2005. Molecular phylogeny of three hitherto unreported
546	Alexandrium species: Alexandrium hiranoi, Alexandrium leei and Alexandrium satoanum
547	(Gonyaulacales, Dinophyceae) inferred from the 18S and 26S rDNA sequence data. Phycologia 44(4),
548	361–368.

549

Kita, T., Fukuyo, Y., Tokuda, H., Hirano, R., 1993. Sexual reproduction of Alexandrium hiranoi 550 551 (Dinophyceae). Bull. Plankton Soc. Japan 39, 79-85. 552 Kremp, A., Lindholm, T., Dreßler, N., Erler, K., Gerdts, G., Eirtovaara, S., Leskinen, E., 2009. Bloom 553 forming Alexandrium ostenfeldii (Dinophyceae) in shallow waters of the Åland Archipelago, Northern 554 555 Baltic Sea. Harmful Algae 8, 318–328. 556 Kremp, A., Tahvanainen, P., Litaker, W., Krock, B., Suikkanen, S., Leaw, C.P., Tomas, C., 2014. 557 Phylogenetic relationships, morphological variation, and toxin patterns in the Alexandrium ostenfeldii 558 (Dinophyceae) complex: implications for species boundaries and identities. J. Phycol. 50, 81-100. 559 560 Kretzschmar, A.L., Verma, A., Murray, S., Kahlke, T., Fourment, M., Darling, A.E., 2019. Trial by 561 phylogenetics - evaluating the multi-species coalescent for phylogenetic inference on taxa with high 562 563 levels of paralogy (Gonyaulacales, Dinophyceae). bioRxiv 683383; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/683383. 564 565 Kück, P., Mayer, C., Wägele, J.-W., Misof, B., 2012. Long branch effects distort maximum likelihood 566 567 phylogenies in simulations despite selection of the correct model. PLoS One 0036593. 568 569 Larsen, J., Nguyen-Ngoc, L. (Eds.), 2004. Potentially toxic microalgae of Vietnamese waters. Opera Bot. 140, 5–216 [in English and Vietnamese]. 570 571 572 Lassus P., Chomérat, N., Hess, P., Nézan, E., 2016. Toxic and Harmful Microalgae of the World Ocean / Micro-algues toxiques et nuisibles de l'océan mondial. Denmark, International Society for the 573 Study of Harmful Algae / Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO. IOC Manuals 574 and Guides, 68 [Bilingual English/French]. 575 576

- 577 Leaw, C.P., Lim, P.T., Ng, B.K., Cheah, M.Y., Ahmad, A., Usup, G., 2005. Phylogenetic analysis of
- 578 Alexandrium species and Pyrodinium bahamense (Dinophyceae) based on theca morphology and
- nuclear ribosomal gene sequence. Phycologia 44(5), 550–565.
- 580
- 581 Li, Z., Mertens, K.N., Nézan, E., Chomérat, N., Bilien, G., Iwataki, M., Shin, H.H., 2019. Discovery of
- 582 a new clade nested within the genus *Alexandrium* (Dinophyceae): Morpho-molecular characterization
- 583 of Centrodinium punctatum (Cleve) F.J.R. Taylor. Protist 170(2), 168–186.
- 584
- 585 Lim, A.S., Jeong, H.J., Kim, J.H., Lee, S.Y., 2015. Description of the new phototrophic dinoflagellate
- 586 *Alexandrium pohangense* sp. nov. from Korean coastal waters. Harmful Algae 46, 49–61.
- 587
- 588 Litaker, R.W., Fraga, S., Montresor, M., Brosnahan, M., Anderson, D.M., Hoppenrath, M., Murray, S.,
- 589 Wolny, J., John, U., Sampedro, N., Larsen, J., Calado, A.J., 2018. A practical guide to new
- 590 nomenclature for species within the "*Alexandrium tamarense* species complex". Harmful Algae News591 61, 13–15.
- 592
- Loeblich III, A.R., Loeblich, L.A., 1979. The systematics of *Gonyaulax* with special reference to the
 toxic species. In: Taylor, D.L., Seliger, H.H. (Eds.), Toxic dinoflagellate blooms. Elsevier North.
- 595 Holl., New York, pp. 41–46.
- 596
- 597 Lugliè, A., Giacobbe, M.G., Riccardi, E., Bruno, M., Pigozzi, S., Mariani, M.A., Satta, C.T., Stacca,
- 598 D., Bazzoni, A.M., Caddeo, T., Farina, P., Padedda, B.M., Pulina, S., Sechi, N., Milandri, A., 2017.
- 599 Paralytic Shellfish Toxins and Cyanotoxins in the Mediterranean: New Data from Sardinia and Sicily
- 600 (Italy). Microorganisms 5, 72.
- 601
- 602 MacKenzie, A.L., 2014. The risk to New Zealand shellfish aquaculture from paralytic shellfish
- 603 poisoning (PSP) toxins. N. Z. J. Mar. Freshwater Res. 48(3), 430–465.
- 604

- 605 MacKenzie, L., Todd, K., 2002. Alexandrium camurascutulum sp. nov. (Dinophyceae): a new
- 606 dinoflagellate species from New Zealand. Harmful Algae 1(3), 295–300.
- 607
- 608 MacKenzie, L., de Salas, M., Adamson, J., Beuzenberg, V., 2004. The dinoflagellate genus
- 609 Alexandrium (Halim) in New Zealand coastal waters: comparative morphology, toxicity and molecular
- 610 genetics. Harmful Algae 3(1), 71-92.
- 611
- 612 Matsuoka, K., Fukuyo, Y., 2000. Technical guide for modern dinoflagellate cyst study. WESTPAC-
- 613 HAB/WESTPAC/IOC, Japan Society of the Promotion Science, Tokyo, 29 p.
- 614
- 615 Menezes, M., Branco, S., Miotto, M.C., Alves-de-Souza, C., 2018. The Genus Alexandrium
- 616 (Dinophyceae, Dinophyta) in Brazilian Coastal Waters. Front. Mar. Sci. 5, p. 421.
- 617
- 618 Moestrup, Ø., Akselmann-Cardella, R., Churro, C., Fraga, S., Hoppenrath, M., Iwataki, M., Larsen, J.,
- 619 Lundholm, N., Zingone, A. (Eds.), 2009 onwards. IOC-UNESCO Taxonomic Reference List of
- 620 Harmful Micro Algae. Accessed at http://www.marinespecies.org/hab on 2020-02-20.
- 621 doi:10.14284/362
- 622
- 623 Montresor, M., Zingone, A., Marino, D., 1993. The paratabulate resting cyst of Alexandrium
- 624 pseudogonyaulax (Dinophyceae). In: Smayda, T.J., Shimizu, Y. (Eds), Toxic Phytoplankton Blooms
- 625 in the Sea. Elsevier, pp. 159–164.
- 626
- 627 Montresor, M., Zingone, A., Sarno, D., 1998. Dinoflagellate cyst production at a coastal
- 628 Mediterranean site. J. Plankton Res. 20, 2291–2312.
- 629
- 630 Montresor, M., John, U., Beran, A., Medlin, L.K., 2004. *Alexandrium tamutum* sp. nov.
- 631 (Dinophyceae): a new nontoxic species in the genus *Alexandrium*. J. Phycol. 40, 398–411.
- 632

- 24
- 633 Murray, S.A., Hoppenrath, M., Orr, R.J., Bolch, C., John, U., Diwan, R., Yauwenas, R., Harwood, T.,
- 634 de Salas, M., Neilan, B., Hallegraeff, G., 2014. Alexandrium diversaporum sp. nov., a new non-
- 635 saxitoxin producing species: Phylogeny, morphology and *sxtA* genes. Harmful Algae 31, 54–65.
- 636
- 637 Murray, S.A., Diwan, R., Orr, R.J., Kohli, G.S., John, U., 2015. Gene duplication, loss and selection in
- the evolution of saxitoxin biosynthesis in alveolates. Mol. Phylogenetics Evol. 92, 165–180.
- 639
- 640 Nagai, S., Itakura, S., Matsuyama, Y., Kotani, Y., 2003. Encystment under laboratory conditions of
- 641 the toxic dinoflagellate Alexandrium tamiyavanichii (Dinophyceae) isolated from the Seto Inland Sea,
- 642 Japan. Phycologia 42, 646–653.
- 643
- Nagai, S., Nishitani, G., Takano, Y., Yoshida, M., Takayama, H., 2009. Encystment and excystment
 under laboratory conditions of the nontoxic dinoflagellate *Alexandrium fraterculus* (Dinophyceae)
 isolated from the Seto Inland Sea, Japan. Phycologia 48, 177–185.
- 647
- 648 Nagai, S., Chen, H., Kawakami, Y., Yamamoto, K., Sildever, S., Kanno, N., Oikawa, H., Yasuike, M.,
- 649 Nakamura, Y., Hongo, Y., Fujiwara, A., Kobayashi, T., Gojobori, T., 2019. Monitoring of the toxic
- 650 dinoflagellate Alexandrium catenella in Osaka Bay, Japan using a massively parallel sequencing
- 651 (MPS)-based technique. Harmful Algae 89, 101660.
- 652
- National Shellfish Sanitation Program. 2017. Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish 2017
 Revision. Available at:
- 656 accessed 2 March 2020).
- 657
- 658 Orr, R.J., Stüken, A., Rundberget, T., Eikrem, W., Jakobsen, K.S., 2011. Improved phylogenetic
- 659 resolution of toxic and non-toxic Alexandrium strains using a concatenated rDNA approach. Harmful
- 660 Algae 10(6), 676–688.

- Orr, R.J., Murray, S.A., Stüken A., Rhodes, L., Jakobsen, K.S., 2012. When naked became armored: 662 663 an eight-gene phylogeny reveals monophyletic origin of theca in dinoflagellates. PLoS One 7(11), e50004. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050004 664 665 Owen, K.C., Norris, D.R., 1985. Cysts and life cycle considerations of the thecate dinoflagellate 666 667 Fragilidium. J. Coast. Res. 1(3), 263-266. 668 Penna, A., Fraga, S., Masó, M., Giacobbe, M.G., Bravo, I., Garcés, E., Vila, M., Bertozzini, E., 669 Andreoni, F., Luglie, A., 2008. Phylogenetic relationships among the Mediterranean Alexandrium 670 (Dinophyceae) species based on sequences of 5.8 S gene and Internal Transcript Spacers of the rRNA 671
- 672 operon. Eur. J. Phycol. 43(2), 163–178.
- 673
- 674 Rogers, J.E., Leblond, J.D., Moncreiff, C.A., 2006. Phylogenetic relationship of Alexandrium
- 675 monilatum (Dinophyceae) to other Alexandrium species based on 18S ribosomal RNA gene
- 676 sequences. Harmful Algae 5(3), 275–280.
- 677
- Sanseverino, I., Conduto, D., Pozzoli, L., Dobricic, S., Lettieri, T., 2016. Algal bloom and its
 economic impact; EUR 27905 EN; doi:10.2788/660478.
- 680
- 681 Shin, H.H., Baek, S.H., Li, Z., Han, M.-S., Oh, S.J., Youn, S.-H., Kim, Y.S., Kim, D., Lim, W.-A.
- 682 2014. Resting cysts, and effects of temperature and salinity on the growth of vegetative cells of the
- 683 potentially harmful species Alexandrium insuetum Balech (Dinophyceae). Harmful Algae 39, 175-
- **684** 184.
- 685
- Taylor, F.J.R., 1979. The toxigenic gonyaulacoid dinoflagellates. In: Taylor, D.L., Seliger, H.H.
- 687 (Eds.), Toxic dinoflagellate blooms. Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Toxic

Dinoflagellate Blooms, Key Biscayne, Florida, October 31–November 5, 1978, Elsevier/NorthHolland, New York pp. 47–56.

- 690
- 691 Taylor, F.J.R., Fukuyo, Y., 1998. The neurotoxigenic dinoflagellate genus *Alexandrium* Halim:
- 692 general introduction. In: *Physiological ecology of harmful algal blooms*. In: Anderson, D.M.,
- 693 Cembella, A.D., Hallegraeff, G.M. (Eds.), NATO ASI Series, vol. G 41, Springer-Verlag Berlin,
 694 Heidelberg, pp. 283–317.

695

- 696 Tillmann, U., John, U., 2002. Toxic effects of Alexandrium spp. on heterotrophic dinoflagellates: an
- 697 allelochemical defence mechanism independent of PSP-toxin content. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 230, 47-

698 58.

- 700 Trainer, V.L., Yoshida, T. (Eds.) 2014. Proceedings of the Workshop on Economic Impacts of
- 701 Harmful Algal Blooms on Fisheries and Aquaculture. PICES Sci. Rep. No. 47, 85 p.
- 702
- 703 Turland, N.J., Wiersema, J.H., Barrie, F.R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D.L., Herendeen, P.S., Knapp,
- S., Kusber, W.-H., Li, D.-Z., Marhold, K., May, T.W., McNeill, J., Monro, A.M., Prado, J., Price, M.
- J., Smith, G.F. (Eds.) 2018. International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen
- Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017.
- 707 Regnum Vegetabile 159. Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical Books. DOI
- 708 <u>https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018</u>.
- 709
- 710 Turner, A.D., Dhanji-Rapkova, M., Fong, S.Y., Hungerford, J., McNabb, P.S., Boundy, M.J. and
- 711 Harwood, D.T., 2019. Ultrahigh-Performance Hydrophilic Interaction Liquid Chromatography with
- 712 Tandem Mass Spectrometry Method for the Determination of Paralytic Shellfish Toxins and
- 713 Tetrodotoxin in Mussels, Oysters, Clams, Cockles, and Scallops: Collaborative Study. Journal of
- 714 AOAC International, https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.19-0240.
- 715

716	Walker, L.M., Steidinger, K.A., 1979. Sexual reproduction in the toxic dinoflagellate Gonyaulax
717	monilata. J. Phycol. 15, 312–315.

- 718
- 719 Wall, D., Dale, B., 1969. The "hystrichosphaerid" resting spore of the dinoflagellate Pyrodinium
- 720 *bahamense* Plate 1906. J. Phycol. 5, 140–149.
- 721
- 722 Wiese M., D'Agostino P.M., Mihali T.K., Moffitt M.C., Neilan B.A. 2010 Neurotoxic Alkaloids:

723 Saxitoxin and Its Analogs. Mar. Drugs 8(7), 2185–2211.

- 724
- 725 Yoshida, M., Mizushima, K., Matsuoka, K., 2003. Alexandrium acatenella (Gonyaulacales:
- 726 Dinophyceae): Morphological characteristics of vegetative cell and resting cyst. Plankton Biol. Ecol.
- 727 50(2), 61–64.
- 728
- Yuki, K., Fukuyo, Y., 1992. *Alexandrium satoanum* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae) from Matoya Bay, central
 Japan. J. Phycol. 28, 395–399.
- 731
- Zurhelle, C., Nieva, J., Tillmann, U., Harder, T., Krock, B., Tebben, J., 2018. Identification of Novel
 Gymnodimines and Spirolides from the Marine Dinoflagellate *Alexandrium ostenfeldii*. Mar. Drugs.
- **734** | 16(11), 446, doi: 10.3390/md16110446.
- 735