Microscopic and macroscopic dielectric description of mixed oxide thin films
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Compact Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O mixed oxide thin films are studied by optical characterization (refractive index, band gap energy) and local probes (Auger parameter obtained by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy). Interpretation of the obtained results is discussed in the framework of the classical dielectric theory that correlates the macroscopic refractive index to the microscopic electronic polarizability of each particular ion in the compound through the Lorentz-Lorenz relationship. Quantum mechanical cluster calculations have also been performed to support the correlations obtained between the experimental findings. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2801402]

I. INTRODUCTION

A way to precisely control the optical properties of oxide materials is to adjust the relative concentration of two single oxides mixed in a single phase.1–4 The range of variation of the refractive index \( n \) and extinction coefficient \( k \) achieved in this way can be very wide, particularly if the two oxides mixed together have rather different \( n \) and band gap energies \( E_g \). In the final mixed oxides, the actual values of the macroscopic quantities \( n \), \( k \), and \( E_g \) are tightly connected with the local electronic structure of the materials, whereby the polarizability of the constituent ions, or the existence of specific electronic transitions plays a key role. In this context, it is of key importance to be able to distinguish between just mixed phases (solid solution of pure single oxide phases) or the formation of advanced materials with characteristic local and extensive properties.

Information about microscopic electronic parameters such as binding energies of certain photoemission peaks, Auger parameters, extra-atomic relaxation energies, or the initial state energies of the system before the photoemission event can be gathered by means of x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS).5,6 However, the establishment of relationships between these local electronic parameters and extensive optical properties such as \( n \) or \( E_g \) of a given material has only been intended a few times in the literature.7,8 In this context, the use of the so called Auger parameter5,9 derived from the XPS measurements can be a powerful approximation that can justify the changes in the optical properties of the mixed oxide materials as a function of their composition. Besides, the use of quantum mechanical calculations with cluster models may provide an extra theoretical framework to account for the changes in their electronic parameters.10,11

In the present work, we study the connection between the optical properties and some electronic parameters derived from XPS analysis of mixed oxides materials (Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O thin films with different M/Si proportions, M: Ti and Zr). These two systems have been chosen due to the large range of variation between SiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\) single oxides for their refractive index (e.g., around 1.45 and 2.55/2.10 for bulk SiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\), respectively)12–14 and their band gap energies (e.g., 8.5 and 3.2/5.0 eV for bulk SiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\), respectively).15 A preliminary study for the Si–Ti–O mixed oxide thin films can be found in Ref. 16.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Mixed oxides Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O thin films, with thicknesses around 100–300 nm, have been prepared by ion beam induced chemical vapor deposition17 (IBICVD) and plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD).18 Samples with different Si/Ti and Si/Zr atomic ratios were obtained by changing the relative partial pressures of the corresponding precursors of Si, Ti, and Zr. Thus, the Si–Ti–O
thin films with more than 10% Ti were prepared at room temperature by IBICVD with 400 eV O$_2^+$ ions, using Si(C$_2$H$_5$O)$_3$Cl and TiCl$_4$ volatile precursors and O$_2^+$ ions. Si–Ti–O samples with less than 10% Ti were prepared by PECVD at 523 K. The Si–Zr–O thin films were also prepared by IBICVD at room temperature using (CH$_3$C$_2$H$_5$)$_3$SiH and Zr[O(CH$_2$)$_2$CH$_3$]$_4$ volatile precursors and O$_2^+$+Ar$^+$400 eV ions.

The films were amorphous and homogeneous in depth as determined by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry. This technique, together with x-ray fluorescence, was used to determine the composition of the films. Their bonding structure was examined by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and by x-ray absorption spectroscopy and their optical properties determined by UV-visible absorption spectroscopy and spectroscopic ellipsometry. A full account of the characterization can be found in Refs. 19 and 20.

XPS spectra were recorded with VG-Escalab210 and Specs-Phoibos100 spectrometers and using an unmonochromatized Al K$_\alpha$ excitation source. As a reference for binding energy calibration, the C 1s peak of the air adventitious carbon contaminating the surface of samples was taken at a value of 284.6 eV. All the samples presented some charging displacement in peaks positions of about 2–4 eV. The samples were introduced in the chamber and examined without any additional cleaning treatment. Auger parameters$^{5,9}$ of silicon $\alpha_{\text{Si}}$, titanium $\alpha_{\text{Ti}}$, and zirconium $\alpha_{\text{Zr}}$ cations in the films were defined as

$$\alpha_{\text{Si}} = \text{BE(Si}2p) + \text{KE(SiKV)},$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Ti}} = \text{BE(Ti}2p_{3/2}) + \text{KE(TiLMV)},$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Zr}} = \text{BE(Zr}3d_{3/2}) + \text{KE(ZrLM}_{4,5}M_{4,5}),$$

where BE and KE refer to the binding energy of the photoemitted peak and kinetic energy of the Auger transition in parenthesis.

Reflection electron energy loss spectroscopy (REELS) measurements were recorded in the VG spectrometer using a primary electron beam of 1500 eV that was supplied with a LEG62 electron gun from VG.

For the quantum mechanical calculations, we have considered cluster models similar to those used previously by some of us.$^{10,11}$ To account for the nearest environments of the Si, Ti, and Zr atoms, we use small clusters in which the Si and M (M=Zr, Ti) are connected through one or two oxygen atoms, and then the coordinations of both Si and M are completed with OH or H$_2$O fragments. The resulting structures are optimized by means of Density functional theory$^{21}$ (DFT) calculations using the B3LYP exchange-correlation potential$^{22}$ and 6–31G** basis set$^{23}$ on all atoms except for Zr for which SDD basis set and core pseudopotential$^{24}$ are used. The electronic excitation energies are then calculated using time dependent DFT-B3LYP theory$^{25}$ and including the lower 40 singlet excited states. For the calculation of the relaxation energies, we make use of the Z+1 approximation, in which the effect of the core hole formation on the valence electrons is simulated increasing by one the atomic number of the metal and adding a positive charge to the cluster. The extra-atomic relaxation energy is calculated as the difference between the relaxation energy of the cluster with Ti, Zr, or Si, and the energy of the Ti$^{4+}$, Zr$^{4+}$, or Si$^{4+}$ free ions. In these calculations a 6–31G** basis set is used for P and V and SDD including core pseudopotential for Nb. All the calculations are made with the GAUSSIAN03 program.$^{26}$

III. RESULTS

A. Valence band photoemission, low loss electron energy losses, and band gap energy

XPS provides a view of the occupied valence band density of states of the analyzed mixed oxide materials. Figures 1 (left) and 2 (left) show the evolution of the valence band spectra of these Si–M–O (M: Ti/Zr) mixed oxides from the situation of SiO$_2$ (bottom) to that of TiO$_2$ and ZrO$_2$ (top), respectively. Note that the onset of the valence band is progressively shifted to lower binding energies as the content on Ti/Zr in the mixed oxides is increased.

On the other hand, REELS provides a clear view of the electronic transitions from the occupied states at the top of the valence band to the unoccupied states at the bottom of the conduction band. Figures 1 (right) and 2 (right) show the evolution of the REELS spectra of these Si–M–O (M: Ti/Zr) mixed oxides from the situation of SiO$_2$ (bottom) to that of
TiO$_2$ and ZrO$_2$ (top), respectively. In this case, transitions appear inside the wide SiO$_2$ band gap as soon as the weakest amount of Ti/Zr is incorporated in the mixed oxides. Besides, the onset of these energy losses is progressively shifted to lower energy losses as the content on Ti/Zr in the mixed oxides is increased. As it is well known, the onset of these energy losses is a measure of the band gap energy $E_g$ of the analyzed mixed oxide material.\textsuperscript{27}

Figure 3 shows the gap $E_g$ of the Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O mixed oxide thin films. The evolution profile of $E_g$ is characterized by a sharp decay from the value of SiO$_2$\textsuperscript{i.e., 8 eV (Ref. 27)} to that of a sample with 2% Ti or 15% Zr with $E_g$ of approximately 4 and 6 eV, respectively. This sharp decrease is followed by a smoother decrease to reach the value of pure TiO$_2$\textsuperscript{i.e., 3.2 eV (Refs. 13 and 15)} and pure ZrO$_2$\textsuperscript{i.e., 5.0 eV (Refs. 15, 28, and 29)}.

### B. Refractive index

Figure 4 shows the refractive index $n$ at $\lambda=550$ nm in the Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O mixed oxide thin films. Note that for both mixed oxides $n$ increases steadily with the amount of Ti or Zr in the films from $n=1.45$ for SiO$_2$ to $n=2.35$ for TiO$_2$ or $n=1.95$ for ZrO$_2$.

### C. Core level photoemission

Figure 5 (Fig. 6) shows a series of Si 2$p$ and Ti 2$p$ (Si 2$p$ and Zr 3$d$) photoemission spectra for the Si–Ti–O (Si–Zr–O) mixed oxide thin films. It is interesting that the binding energy of the Si 2$p$, and Ti 2$p$/Zr 3$d$ peaks changes with the amount of Ti/Zr in the films. A similar effect is found when looking to the kinetic energies of the corresponding Ti $LMV$, Zr $LMM$, and Si $KVV$ Auger peaks (not shown). As a result, the Auger parameters\textsuperscript{5,9} of silicon $\alpha'_Si$, titanium $\alpha'_Ti$, and zirconium $\alpha'_Zr$ cations in the films change to a large extent when comparing the values of the different samples. An advantage of using the Auger parameter instead of the BE of photoemission peaks to distinguish between different mixed oxide films is that the former is not affected by charging effects on the samples. Plots of $\alpha'_Si$ and $\alpha'_Ti$/\alpha'_Zr as a function of the percentage of Ti and Zr in the Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O mixed oxide films are reported in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. In Fig. 7 we observe that both $\alpha'_Ti$ and $\alpha'_Si$ increase by $\sim 2.0$ eV when going from pure SiO$_2$ to pure TiO$_2$ samples. Similarly, Fig. 8 shows that $\alpha'_Zr$ and $\alpha'_Si$ increase by $\sim 1.5$ eV when going from pure SiO$_2$ to pure ZrO$_2$ samples.

From the binding energies of the Si 2$p$, Ti 2$p$, and Zr 3$d$ and the corresponding Auger parameters, we have deter-
TABLE I. \(\Delta BE_{\text{max}}, \Delta a'_{\text{max}}, \Delta R_{e\alpha},\) and \(\Delta e\) of Ti\(^{4+}\) and Si\(^{4+}\) cations in Si–Ti–O mixed oxide thin films obtained from XPS measurements (all energies in eV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>(\Delta BE_{\text{max}}) (eV)</th>
<th>(\Delta a'_{\text{max}}) (eV)</th>
<th>(\Delta R_{e\alpha}) (eV)</th>
<th>(\Delta e) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si–Ti–O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(^{4+}) (Ti 2(p))</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(^{4+}) (Si 2(p))</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si–Zr–O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr(^{4+}) (Zr 3(d_{z^2}))</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(^{4+}) (Si 2(p))</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Quantum mechanical calculations with cluster models

In previous publications we have shown the possibility to account for the variations of extra-atomic relaxation energies \(\Delta R_{e\alpha}\) and band gap energies \(E_{\text{g}}\) of transition metal cations in metal oxides, when their structure changes from a M–O–M to a M–O–M' local environment, by means of quantum mechanical (QM) calculations with clusters models.\(^{10,30}\) Similar calculations have been carried out here with clusters that model the local environments of Si, Ti, and Zr in the investigated mixed oxide thin films. Schematic representations of the clusters used in the QM calculations are drawn in Fig. 9. Tetrahedral (t) and octahedral (o) coordinated M\(^{4+}\) cations are considered connected either by 1 or 2 bridging oxygen atoms. The results of these QM calculations are reported in Tables III and IV. These tables include calculated \(E_{\text{g}}\) energies, defined as the energy difference between the highest occupied molecular orbital and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital of these clusters. They also contain relaxation energies in the final states \(R_{e\alpha}\) by assuming that a core electron has been extracted from the Si 2\(p\), Ti 2\(p\), or Zr 3\(d\) levels (the energy of free Si\(^{4+}\)\(\rightarrow P^{5+}\), free Ti\(^{4+}\)\(\rightarrow V^{5+}\), and free Zr\(^{4+}\)\(\rightarrow Nb^{5+}\) has been subtracted to all the values given in the table). Details about the used approximation can be found in Ref. 10. These tables also report on the differences in the relaxation energies in the final state according to the actual local environment of the cations (i.e., depending on the type of clusters). The differences have been calculated by assuming the clusters Si(t)-Si(t)-1, Ti(o)-Ti(o), and Zr(o)-Zr(o) (see Fig. 9 and Tables III and IV) as representative of pure SiO\(_2\), TiO\(_2\), and ZrO\(_2\), respectively. The calculated values can be directly compared with the measured values of \(\Delta R_{e\alpha}\) reported in Tables I and II. It appears that the calculated and measured values of \(\Delta R_{e\alpha}\) show a good correlation with, in some cases, quantitative agreement. Thus, for example, according to the QM calculations, larger difference in relaxation energy at the Si\(^{4+}\) sites are expected for the Si–Ti–O system (1.0 eV) than for the Si–Zr–O system (0.7 eV), that is supported by the experimental findings.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Electronic parameters and composition of the films

The values of the experimentally determined electronic parameters (cf. Tables I and II) correlate with the differences
changing the local coordination of the Ti\(^{4+}\) and Zr\(^{4+}\) cations.

Referring to the local environment of the Ti\(^{4+}\) ions in Si–Ti–O mixed oxides, the obtained negative values of the corresponding \(\Delta \alpha^\prime_{\text{max}}\) and \(\Delta R_{\text{ea}}\) indicate that relaxation of the photoholes at the Ti sites is more favorable (i.e., more energy is released) when the surrounding matrix is TiO\(_2\)-like than when it is SiO\(_2\)-like. This is confirmed by the QM cluster calculations reported in Table III. The opposite occurs for the photoholes at the Si sites that relax more easily when the Si\(^{4+}\) ion is diluted within the TiO\(_2\) matrix. A similar conclusion can be met for the case of the Si–Zr–O mixed oxide thin films from Tables II and IV.

The QM cluster calculations reported in Tables III and IV provide additional evidences about the importance of changing the local coordination of the Ti\(^{4+}\) and Zr\(^{4+}\) cations when they are present in small concentrations in the films. Previous spectroscopic studies by FTIR and x-ray absorption near edge structure spectroscopies of the Si–Ti–O mixed oxide films have revealed that for concentrations of Ti below 30\%, the majority of the Ti\(^{4+}\) ions in the glass films present a tetrahedral coordination. A similar situation is expected for Zr in the Si–Zr–O films.\(^\text{31}\) According to the calculations in Tables III and IV, \(\Delta R_{\text{ea}}\) values of the different cations are affected by both the presence of Si–O–M cross linking structures (M:Ti or Zr) and the local coordination around them. In this regard, the presence of a tetrahedral coordination, particularly when M\(^{4+}\) can be bonded through two oxygen [i.e., clusters Ti(t)-Si(t)-2 and Zr(t)-Si(t)-2] present the maximum \(\Delta R_{\text{ea}}\) values.

Data in Tables I and II also show that differences in \(\Delta \varepsilon\) have an opposite sign when Si\(^{4+}\) and Ti\(^{4+}/\text{Zr}^{4+}\) cations are diluted within a network of, respectively, TiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\) and SiO\(_2\). In previous works, we have studied the evolution of the electronic parameters of oxide cations when a subnanometric thin film of one oxide is deposited on the surface of another oxide.\(^\text{6,32}\) In general, the observed differences in \(\Delta \varepsilon\) were attributed to variations of the Madelung potential at the cation sites due to the different ionic character of the M–O–M' bonds. A similar interpretation can be accepted here. Thus, in samples where few percents of Si ions are distributed within a network of TiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\), silicon will always have titanium/zirconium atoms as second neighbors. In this structure, the linking oxide ions will be rather ionic and contribute through a change in the Madelung potential to decrease the initial state energy of silicon with respect to the value in SiO\(_2\). A reverse effect can be assumed for the titanium which, for the diluted conditions, will be surrounded by silicon as second neighbors. Here, a change from fourfold to sixfold coordination can be an additional reason for the observed effects.\(^\text{4,10}\) The existence of this kind of mixed structures where silicon and titanium/zirconium ions are linked by oxide ions with different electronic characteristics that in the bulk oxides has been previously evidenced by FTIR.\(^\text{4,10,33}\) Intermediate species can be tentatively attributed to oxygen ions bonded to silicon and titanium/zirconium (i.e., Si–O–Ti and Si–O–Zr bond structures). The existence of such bonding structures has been previously evidenced by FTIR for the Si–Ti–O thin films\(^\text{19,33}\) and Si–Zr–O.\(^\text{34}\)

### B. Band gap and composition of the films

Band gap energies of the different samples have been determined by both optical and REELS measurements. The distribution of electron density of the valence band of the different samples has been also reported in Figs. 1 and 2. The \(E_g\) values obtained by optical and REELS analysis are equivalent and define a tendency characterized by a sharp decrease from the value of SiO\(_2\) to that of the sample with 2\% Ti. Then, it follows a smooth linear decay up to reach the situation of TiO\(_2\). The appearance of band states induced by the presence of titanium ions in the system is responsible for this type of behavior. A narrowing of the electron density

![Schematic representation of the Si–M (M: Si, Ti, Zr) clusters used in the quantum mechanical calculations. (t) refers to tetrahedral coordination, (o) refers to octahedral coordination.](Image)

**TABLE III.** \(E_g\) and \(R_{\text{ea}}\) obtained from QM cluster calculation (see Fig. 9). The energy of free Ti\(^{4+}\)–V\(^{5+}\) or free Si\(^{4+}\)–P\(^{5+}\) has been subtracted to all the \(R_{\text{ea}}\) values given in the table. The corresponding \(\Delta R_{\text{ea}}\) are indicated in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>(E_g) (eV)</th>
<th>(R_{\text{ea}} (\Delta R_{\text{ea}})) (eV)</th>
<th>(R_{\text{ea}} (\Delta R_{\text{ea}})) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si(t)-Si(t)-1</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>62.22(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(t)-Si(t)-2</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>62.19(-0.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(t)-Si(t)-1</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>64.48(-1.33)</td>
<td>62.57(0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(t)-Si(t)-2</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>64.10(-1.7)</td>
<td>62.61(0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(o)-Si(t)</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>64.49(-1.32)</td>
<td>63.24(1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(o)-Ti(o) anatase structure</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>65.81(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV.** \(E_g\) and \(R_{\text{ea}}\) evaluated by QM cluster calculation (see Fig. 9). The energy of free Zr\(^{4+}\)–Nb\(^{5+}\) or free Si\(^{4+}\)–P\(^{5+}\) has been subtracted to all the \(R_{\text{ea}}\) values given in the table. The corresponding \(\Delta R_{\text{ea}}\) are indicated in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>(E_g) (eV)</th>
<th>(R_{\text{ea}} (\Delta R_{\text{ea}})) (eV)</th>
<th>(R_{\text{ea}} (\Delta R_{\text{ea}})) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si(t)-Si(t)-1</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>62.22(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr(t)-Si(t)-1</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>48.33(-1.24)</td>
<td>62.62(0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr(t)-Si(t)-2</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>47.78(-1.79)</td>
<td>62.86(0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr(o)-Si(t)-1</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>48.35(-1.22)</td>
<td>62.95(0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr(o)-Zr(o) monoclinic</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>49.57(0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
distribution in the valence band can be also deduced from the x-ray photoemission spectra reported in Figs. 1 and 2.

The narrowing of the band gap as the concentration of Zr/Ti in the films increases has been also reproduced by the QM calculations with cluster models (see Tables III and IV). In fact, the calculated $E_g$ data in Tables III and IV, although not always in a good quantitative agreement with the experimental band gaps, clearly evidence that the band gap energy of pure SiO$_2$ drops drastically when Si–O–M (M: Ti, Zr) bond structures are present in the system.

### C. Correlation between local electronic parameters and macroscopic optical properties

The classical theory of dielectrics provides the way to correlate extensive and local dielectric properties of a given material. The microscopic electronic polarizability $\alpha_e$ correlates the local field at a given position and the dipole moment induced by this local field. It is a measurement of the ability of the electronic clouds around the ions to move as a response to the local electric fields to form permanent dipoles. The microscopic electronic polarizability $\alpha_e$ is related to the macroscopic refractive index $n$ by the general refractivity formula,

$$\alpha_e = \frac{W}{\rho} f(n),$$

where $W$ is the molecular weight in g/mol, $\rho$ is the density in g/cm$^3$, and $f(n)$ is a function of the refractive index that takes the general form

$$f(n) = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4\pi + b(n^2 - 1)},$$

where $b$ is a dimensionless constant. In the case of point dipole ions and cubic symmetry without overlap of the electron distribution, $b$=4/3 so $f(n)=(n^2-1)/(n^2+2)$, and Eq. (1) takes the well-known Lorentz-Lorenz form. Introducing covalency (i.e., overlapping between electron distributions) leads to lower values of $b$ as it is reported for silicates, for which $b=1.2–1.3$. In any case, the dependence of the electronic polarizability with the refractive index is a smooth increasing function of $n$.

In a previous publication on Al–Ti–O thin films, we correlated the Auger parameter of Ti with the optical properties of the films, evidenced by the values of $n$ as the Ti/Al ratio varied. Such a correlation between an extensive magnitude such as $n$ and a local prove (i.e., the Auger parameter) is possible through the Eqs. (1) and (2). In particular, it is found that, to a good approximation, $\alpha_e$ is proportional to $f(n)=(n^2-1)/(n^2+2)$ (i.e., Lorentz-Lorenz approximation).

In our case, the changes in electronic parameters of Ti/Zr and Si can be related to the optical properties of the thin films in the same manner. In particular, it has been shown that the refractive index $n$ of the Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O mixed oxide films increase with the content of Ti and Zr, respectively (see Fig. 4). This increase runs in parallel to the Auger parameter as the mixed oxide composition changes (cf. Figs. 7 and 8).

The main contribution to the electronic polarizability in mixed oxide systems comes from the oxygen ions. Changes in extra-atomic relaxation energies of a given cation in an oxide (note that oxygen atoms are always their nearest neighbors) show up as variations of the Auger parameter. This is the reason why the Auger parameter is so sensitive to the electronic polarizability of the system. The effect is as strong at the Si sites as at Ti/Zr sites (similar $\Delta\alpha'_{\text{max}}$ observed) for the two systems studied. Thus, variations in the Auger parameter of cations in mixed oxide system, disregarding the type of cation, correlate qualitatively with changes in the electronic polarizabilities of the oxygen anions in the corresponding compounds.

Figure 10 shows $(n^2-1)/(n^2+2)$ as a function of the Ti/Zr content in the films ($n$ taken at 550 nm). It is apparent that in both cases this function varies in a similar way with the percentage of Ti/Zr, thus indicating that the two curves are generated by similar physical phenomena. It is only for Ti/Zr content larger than 60% that they differ significantly from each other. As mentioned before, $\alpha_e$ [and hence $(n^2-1)/(n^2+2)$] is related with the ease by which the electron cloud around a given ion can be reorganized to create a local electronic dipole. Meanwhile, differences in Auger parameter with respect to a reference compound are a measure of the different relaxation energies of the photoholes once the photoemission event has taken place.

In dielectric materials, the main mechanism for the screening of the photoholes is the formation of local dipoles in the surrounding medium. The ease with which these local dipoles are formed around the photoemitting atom depends on $\alpha_e$ and hence would be connected with the value of $(n^2-1)/(n^2+2)$.

An apparent misfit in the plots of Fig. 7 is the low value of $\alpha'_{\text{Ti}}$ for the samples with the minimum content of Ti. This deviation from the linear relationship defined by the other samples can be related with the actual local structure around Ti in each case. It has been proven by x-ray absorption spectroscopy that the Ti ions in the 2%–10% samples are fourfold coordinated and that the coordination increases up to six with the percentage of this element. It has been previously reported that the changes in the Auger parameter of oxides are the result of two contributions, a larger one due to the nearest coordination sphere and a second one due to the polarization of the rest of the matrix. We attribute the loss of linearity of the two samples with a small Ti content to this
fourfold coordination. Recently, Moretti et al. \(^{39}\) have identified four coordinated titanium ions in titanosilicate compounds. The Auger parameter of these ions was much smaller than that of six-coordinated Ti\(^{4+}\), a similar tendency than that found in our thin films.

Figure 11 shows the correlation between the electronic polarizability, through the \((n^2-1)/(n^2+2)\) function, and the \(\alpha_s'\) for Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O mixed oxides. We observe that there exists a quasilinear correlation between these two magnitudes, disregarding the type of mixed oxide considered. In fact, it is remarkable that larger range of variation in electronic polarizability is correlated to larger range of variation of the Auger parameter. Besides, the steeper initial variation of the Si–Ti–O system might be related to the larger difference for this system between extra-atomic relaxation energies observed in the QM calculation between Si(t)-M(t) and Si(t)-M(0) clusters.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Variation of local electronic parameters obtained by standard XPS analysis as the Auger parameter, extra-atomic relaxation energies, or binding energy of core levels in mixed oxide thin films can be easily correlated to extensive optical properties as the refractive index within the framework of the classical theory of dielectrics. It is shown that the Auger parameter in mixed oxides correlates linearly with the electronic polarizability obtained from the refractive index through the Lorentz-Lorenz relationship. In particular it is shown that the Auger parameter of Si in Si–Ti–O and Si–Zr–O mixed oxide thin films can be used as a way to assess their refractive index. This method might be very useful to quantify optically ultrathin films (<10 nm) where optical methods are difficult to apply to determine \(n\). QM cluster calculations support the experimental findings and the correlations established between the local electronic parameters and the extensive optical properties of the mixed oxide phases.
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