Nanostructure stabilization by low-temperature dopant pinning in multiferroic BiFeO$_3$-based thin films produced by aqueous chemical solution deposition$^\dagger$
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The metastability impediment which usually prevents the obtaining of a phase-pure BiFeO$_3$ material can be dramatically stressed when taking the system to the thin film configuration. In order to preserve the stoichiometry, the films need to be processed at low temperatures and hence the solid-state diffusion processes which usually govern the microstructural evolution in bulk cannot be expected to also rule the development of the functional films. All these circumstances were presumed when exploring the possibilities of an aqueous solution–gel process plus spin-coating deposition method to reproduce, in thin film dimensions, the excellent multiferroic properties that have been previously observed with an optimized rare-earth and Ti$^{4+}$-codoped BiFeO$_3$ bulk composition. The experiments indicate high reliability for the tested methodology, allowing for the obtaining of homogeneous dense films at temperatures as low as 600 °C and with a tunable multiferroic response depending on the formulated rare-earth (Sm or Nd). Thorough structural characterization of the films reveals that despite the low temperature processing restrictions, effective microstructural control is achieved at the nanoscale, which is attributed to effective retention (pinning) of the dopants inside the perovskite structure of BiFeO$_3$.

Introduction

The metastability of single-phase BiFeO$_3$ is one of the most investigated issues within this promising material since it represents a serious obstacle to exploit its multiferroic possibilities.$^{1-3}$ The different diffusion processes involved in the obtaining of an (almost) pure material with a practical response are ruled by a temperature-dependent kinetic mechanism. Eventually this leads to a very narrow stability window in terms of sintering temperature and time. In bulk, solid-state processed BiFeO$_3$ ceramics, it has been proposed that the BiFeO$_3$ perovskite phase is metastable with regards to the co-existent Bi$_2$Fe$_2$O$_7$ and Bi$_2$Fe$_3$O$_{10}$ secondary compounds in a confined range between 447 °C and 767 °C. In recent years, research has been conducted around this window, and recently our team came to satisfactory (functional) results upon doping the parent BiFeO$_3$ structure with RE$^{3+}$ (rare-earth) and Ti$^{4+}$. Specifically, we were able to obtain bulk samples through a sequential two-step process comprising a calcination stage of synthesis and a subsequent sintering treatment, in a strict range of heating conditions which do not allow synthesis temperatures lower than 800 °C and sintering temperatures higher than 900 °C. Within these temperature limits, several solid-state diffusion processes are successively activated, which provoke first the incorporation of titanium into the perovskite lattice during the synthesis episode, and then its posterior segregation to the grain boundaries during the subsequent recrystallization stage of sintering. In this way titanium delineates the microstructure of the bulk specimen, generating an interconnected skeleton of highly-resistive Ti-rich grain boundaries that controls the macroscopic conductivity of the material and allows for improved exploitation of its ferroelectric characteristics.$^4$

This, combined with the structure-related effect that the rare-earth produces on both the electric and the magnetic properties of the parent compound,$^5-9$ eventually leads to a promising multiferroic
behaviour with potential application in practical devices. Now the
question is, is this also accessible in a thin film configuration?
Certainly, from the point of view of applications, the utilization of
film-based arrangements can be more realistic when it comes to
miniaturization and integration into microelectronic circuits for
data storage, sensors, spintronics, or visible-light photovoltaic
devices.10–12 This interest has triggered stimulating research
during the past decade, involving several ranges of BiFeO3 doped
compositions and the assessment of new methodologies of
diverse complexity,13–18 but the attained results are yet quite
heterogeneous. This illustrates the magnitude of the challenge
involved: the change in processing, from the fabrication of bulk
specimens to the production of thin films, can dramatically
modify the microstructure development and the physical properties
derived from that. Specifically, interdiffusion processes within
the substrate, interfacial reactions and a sizeable volatilization
of components (much more critical in the film geometry) can be
expected19–22 meaning that the films are to be produced at
much lower temperatures than the mentioned threshold of
800–900 °C optimized for the bulk ceramics. Thus, the issue
of the stability window rises again. These low temperature
restrictions imply that the thermodynamic and kinetic mechanism
found in the bulk, solid-state processed material may not proceed
the same in the film samples; i.e., the described diffusion mechan-
isms may be considerably slowed down or, even, they may not be
activated at all. In this context, different low-temperature process-
ing strategies are being investigated and, among them, those
based on chemical solution deposition approaches (CSD) are
especially appealing because of their intrinsic simplicity. Another
advantage of CSD methods over the gas phase processes currently
used in microelectronics is their lower energy consumption, which
can make this approach a sustainable alternative with a view to
drive climate change solutions. Dong et al. for example applied a
conventional sol–gel routine to produce BiFeO3 films with a
composition similar to the one investigated here, reaching
remnant polarization (P_r) values of about 8.5 μC cm⁻².23 Changing the dopant formulation, Liu et al. increased this
polarization to 55 μC cm⁻², also reporting a remnant magnetiza-
tion (M_r) of about 15 emu mol⁻¹.24 Actually, the range of
multiferroic properties reported using the CSD methodology is
very wide (P_r, fluctuating between 3 and 77 μC cm⁻², M_r between
9 and 80 emu mol⁻¹),25–27 which in a way indicates that the mechanism by which it is possible to stabilize the perovskite phase
at low temperature is not yet properly described. Accordingly, the
aim of the present contribution is to address the possibility of
designing microstructural development capable of controlling the
multicomponent BiFeO3 system but facing the low temperature
restrictions imposed by thin film processing. To achieve this, thin
films with the same optimal formulation already tested in bulk
RE₃⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ co-doped BiFeO₃ have been prepared by an aqueous
solution–gel plus spin-coating deposition methodology.28–30 This
synthesis approach allows for high control of the stoichiometry,
which turns out to be critical to avoid the appearance of chemical
gradients31 and even the formation of the secondary phases
mentioned above. Besides, it requires no vacuum conditions nor
sophisticated machinery, which, added to the fact that it proceeds
in aqueous medium (conventional sol–gel processing and CSD
methods alike are routinely based on metalorganic precursors
in organic solvents of proven toxicity),32 further observes
environmentally-benign conditions.

Experimental
Materials and methods
Thin films samples with nominal composition BiFeO₃ (termed
hereafter as BFO), BiFe₀.₉₅Ti₀.₀₅Fe₀.₃₂₅ (BFTFO), Bi₀.₈₈Sm₀.₁₂FeO₃
(BSFO), Bi₀.₈₅Nd₀.₁₅FeO₃ (BNFO), Bi₀.₈₈Sm₀.₁₂Fe₀.₉₅Ti₀.₀₅O₃.₀₂₅
(BSFTO) and Bi₀.₈₅Nd₀.₁₂Fe₀.₉₅Ti₀.₀₅O₃.₀₂₅ (BNFTO) were prepared
by performing an aqueous solution–gel plus spin-coating pro-
dure as described elsewhere.30 These specific compositions were
selected on the basis of different reports in the specialized
literature which catalogue them as ideal from the point of view
of the multiferroic properties.33 The starting products used for the
synthesis were bismuth(III) citrate (BiC₆H₅O₇, 99.99%), iron(m)
citrate hydrate (FeC₆H₅O₂·H₂O, 98%, 18–20% Fe), samarium(III)
oxide (Sm₂O₃, 99.9%), neodymium(III) oxide (Nd₂O₃, 99.9%) and
titanium(IV) isopropoxide (Ti(OPr)₄, 98±2%). Extra pure ammonia
(NH₃, 32% in H₂O), redistilled ethanolamine (C₂H₇NO, 99.5%+),
citrin acid (C₆H₈O₇, 99%) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 35 wt% in
H₂O, p.a., stabilized) were occasionally employed to facilitate the
dissolution of each metal precursor.

Synthesis of precursor solutions
The solution process consists of two steps: the preparation of
each monometal solution and their subsequent combination to
obtain the corresponding multimetal precursor solutions that
will be spun on the substrate. The Fe(III) and Bi(III) aqueous
solutions were prepared by dissolving the required amount of
the corresponding metal citrates in water. The first solution
demands 80 °C to assist with the dissolving process, and the pH
has to be kept below 1.5 to avoid the precipitation of unwanted
species (e.g. Fe(OH)₃). The Bi(III) solution instead requires the
addition of ammonia and ethanolamine (the last in a 1.5 : 1
molar ratio to Bi³⁺), in order to increase the citrate solubility
and the solution stability, respectively.34 For the RE(III) aqueous
solutions, the starting RE₂O₃ powders are mixed with citric acid
(3 : 1 molar ratio to RE³⁺) and water, and refluxed overnight at
120 °C until the solid citrate is obtained. The subsequent addition
of NH₃, while refluxing at 110 °C for 2 h eventually dissolves the citrate
and results in a stable precipitate-free RE(III) solution. Finally, the
Ti(IV) aqueous solution is indeed a solution of the peroxycito-
trate–Ti(IV) complex.28,35 This one is obtained by first hydrolyzing
Ti(IV)-isopropoxide to precipitate the Ti(IV) hydroxide; the
addition of a mixture of citric acid (2 : 1 molar ratio to Ti⁴⁺)
and hydrogen peroxide (in a 1.1 : 1 molar ratio to Ti⁴⁺) while
heating at 60 °C dissolves the precipitated hydroxide. The
accurate concentration of each monometal solution was deter-
mined by ICP-AES. The multimetal solutions were then prepared
with a 0.2 M concentration (25 ml) by mixing the corresponding
amounts of the monometal counterparts and using ammonia to
control the pH variations.
Spin-coating deposition and consolidation of the films

The multimetal precursor solutions were spin-coated at 3000 rpm for 30 s onto 1 cm² square-shaped portions of a Pt(111)/SiO₂/Si substrate. The substrate is pretreated by cleaning the surface with a mixture of sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide (SPM, 4:1, H₂SO₄, 95–97%; H₂O₂, 35%), followed by a second cleaning with an ammonia–hydrogen peroxide mixture (APM, 5:1:1, H₂O: H₂O₂: NH₃). Aiming for the deposition of uniform films with an optimum thickness, up to eight deposition steps were conducted (8-layered films); fewer depositions have been seen to strongly affect the stabilization of the perovskite phase, eventually producing a large quantity of secondary phases, whereas a higher number of depositions can ultimately lead to micro-cracks due to residual stress between layers. After each individual deposition, the layers are heated on hot plates to remove the residues of ethanolamine, ammonia and citric acid, as well as other as-formed organics and water remnants that may be trapped in the deposited films. According to thermogravimetric analyses this drying + pyrolysis treatment should be done in three different steps: 95 °C/1 min, 260 °C/1 min and 460 °C/1 min. At the end of the eighth deposition–heating sequence, a last hot-plate thermal annealing at 600 °C/1 h is applied to the whole array to crystallize and consolidate the films. Up to 5 films were prepared for each of the six compositions under study and in all cases homogeneous films with uniform morphology and thickness over the entire substrate were obtained. The routine XRD and SEM analyses revealed no appreciable differences between films of the same composition.

Characterization techniques

ICP-AES was used to disclose the precise concentration of each monometal solution. Measurements were carried out on Optima 3000 equipment, PerkinElmer. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to study the phase composition in the consolidated films samples. Patterns were collected between 2θ = 15° and 2θ = 65°, in steps of 0.015° and with a counting time of 0.5 s per step, on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer using CuKα radiation. Further structural differences within the films were investigated by grazing-incidence diffraction analyses (GID) collected from selected areas of the XRD patterns; the GID data were taken for incidence angles of 0.3°, 0.5°, 0.7° and 1°. These measurements were used to also estimate the crystallite size by specifically applying the Scherrer equation to the 012 reflections. Raman studies were conducted on a spectrometer (In Via Renishaw plc.) with an excitation wavelength of 514 nm. The samples were focused with a 50× magnification objective and the 100–700 cm⁻¹ spectral range was evaluated acquiring 10 scans for each sample. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS UV-vis) was carried out on an Analytik-Jena Specord 200 plus spectrometer equipped with an integrating sphere. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was employed to characterize the main microstructural features of the films on the as prepared and chemically etched samples (aq. diluted HCl); a cold FESEM Hitachi S-4700 microscope was used for that purpose. For the electrical characterization, capacitive contacts with a diameter size around 100 μm were fabricated by sputtering gold electrodes on the film surfaces. Hysteresis loops were measured at −133 °C (140 K) with a home-built system. A HP-8116A pulse generator was used to apply sinusoidal voltage waves at 1 kHz. The loops were traced with a Tektronix TDS520 oscilloscope. Non-switching contributions were calculated by a fitting procedure that simulates the linear contributions with the capacitance and resistance of the films, and the nonlinear leakage contribution. In the case of the ferromagnetic measurements, magnetic field (M–H) hysteresis loops at 4 and 300 K were measured in the films, using a superconducting quantum interface device magnetometer from Quantum Design, with a 5-Tesla coil. The magnetic field was applied parallel to the film surface and the diamagnetic contributions from the substrate were eliminated by fitting the high field data to a straight line with a negative slope. The thermal dependence of the magnetization upon zero field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) conditions was measured using the same equipment. For all the tested compositions, the measurement uncertainties were below 15% for the remnant polarization and below 5% for the magnetization values. Both the electrical and the magnetic measurements were repeated with a three-month interval without leading to any change in the observed multiferroic performance.

Results

Microstructural and structural characterization of the films

Systematic characterization using the FESEM microscope was first performed to reveal the morphology and the main microstructural features of the films. This is summarized in Fig. 1, where representative micrographs corresponding to several of the consolidated films are displayed. In all cases, homogeneous thin films are obtained which have a uniform morphology over the entire substrate. Some of the micrographs have been taken with a certain degree of tilting and further show that no clusters of agglomerated material, nor discontinuities or any type of sizeable macroscopic defects, are ever produced at the surface of the films (Fig. 1a). This happens independently of the specific film composition, which evidences the robustness and high reproducibility of the solution + deposition process. An average thickness of ca. 250 nm is measured for all the consolidated films, Fig. 1a, d and e; this value is directly estimated from the FESEM micrographs using an Image Analyzer (Leica), after collecting up to twenty measurements per sample on different areas of the film. The individual layers constituting the films (the 8 depositions) cannot be differentiated in the cross-section images, and neither are any of the above mentioned secondary phases observed. For example, Pavlovic et al. working with this same aqueous methodology to deposit a pristine BiFeO₃ composition, reported the formation of big square-like shape grains crossing the whole thickness of the film, from the substrate to the surface; these grains were ascribed to the Bi₂Fe₄O₉ mullite phase, and their occurrence was observed when applying annealing treatments above 600 °C. The top-view images of the sintered etched surfaces (Fig. 1b and c) further give an indication of the
absence of secondary phases in the samples: all the prepared films exhibit a uniform, crack-free surface texture comprising just one type of grains, with no trace of the mentioned big square grains of mullite, nor of those characteristic of the Bi$_{25}$FeO$_{39}$ sillenite compound (these other grains typically display a brighter white coloration in secondary-electron SEM images, which is also not seen here). The imaging analyses of these pictures evidence an average grain size below 100 nm, this again being measured for all the compositions under investigation.

The XRD patterns of the films right after the consolidation step at 600 °C/1 h initially exposed the same phase distribution for all the tested compositions. Fig. 2 shows two of these representative patterns, the one corresponding to the co-doped BSFTO film and that of the undoped BFO sample. As observed, the reflections of BiFeO$_3$ are obtained and all belong to its $R3c$ rhombohedral structure, ICDD file no. 071-2494. These peaks are accompanied by a strong reflection corresponding to the (111) oriented platinum of the substrate, which eventually results in a poor peak intensity to background ratio collected in the patterns. All the doped compositions evidence a significant displacement of the BiFeO$_3$ diffraction maxima to higher angles (lower $d$ values), pointing towards a distinctive incorporation of the dopants into the perovskite lattice (see Fig. S1 in the ESI†). Additional structural information was extracted from the GID analyses that were performed focusing on the $R3c$ peak detected within the $2\theta$ range between 45 and 48°. The angle of incidence was varied from 0.3 to 1°. Fig. 3 shows the results corresponding to the two co-doped systems, the BSFTO and the BNFTO compositions. In both cases the intensity of the BiFeO$_3$ peak gradually decreases as the incidence angle is decreased, agreeing with lower penetration in the film. In the BSFTO film, this eventually leads to a complete disappearance of any diffraction peak (Fig. 3a), but in the BNFTO sample it goes together with the entrance of a new maximum around $2\theta = 47.5^\circ$ which must be ascribed to the orthorhombic $Pbam$ phase of BiFeO$_3$. This different scenario is nevertheless not surprising and relates to the specific formulation used for the two co-doped compositions. On one hand, the BSFTO sample was formulated with 12 mol% of samarium, which places this composition right on the edge of a theoretical morphotropic phase boundary (MPB); this is found to render an enhancement of the electromechanical (piezoelectric) properties of the material and, actually, in the particular case of Sm-modified BiFeO$_3$ ceramics it has been observed that such enhancement could be quite significant in the vicinity of the MPB (i.e., with no need to be inside the MPB itself).
the pattern of Fig. 3a, certainly denotes that we have not reached the MPB in the BSFTO formulated material.

On the other hand, the BNFTO sample was formulated with 15 mol% of neodymium; this now falls within the postulated MPB for the isovalent substitution of bismuth for neodymium,\(^{39,40}\) and hence the presence of both \(R_3c\) and \(Pbam\) peaks in the pattern of Fig. 3b is consistent. It should be noticed however that the \(Pbam\) phase is only detected at the film surface (an incidence angle of 0.3\(^\circ\)); this circumstance should be attributed to a substrate clamping effect, i.e. clamping due to a mismatch in the thermal expansion coefficient with the substrate, which is suppressing the \(R_3c-Pbam\) phase transition at the interface.\(^{41}\) Finally, X-ray measurements were also used to estimate the crystallite size. In all cases values around 50–60 nm were obtained in spite of the different formulations under investigation.

The structural analysis of the films was completed by Raman spectroscopy. The theoretical Raman active modes of the rhombohedral phase of BiFeO\(_3\), \(R_3c\) space group, can be summarized as the irreducible representation \(\Gamma = 4A_1 + 9E\),\(^{42}\) which, within the frequency range under analysis here, shall give rise to a maximum of 12 peaks in the spectrum. Our measurements are depicted in Fig. 4; the peak position of each mode being revealed by fitting the measured spectra and decomposing the fitted curves into individual Gaussian components. This peak positions are all displayed in Table 1.

The results initially indicate that all compositions crystallize with a rhombohedrally distorted perovskite structure with the mentioned \(R_3c\) space group. The specific bands of the Bi\(_2Fe_4O\(_9\) and Bi\(_{25}FeO_{39}\) phases (as, for example, identified by Bernardo et al.)\(^{43}\) cannot be detected, again validating the competence of the processing approach to prevent the formation of secondary phases in the crystallized films. The BNFO and the BNFTO films besides show the presence of some extra peaks at approximately 192, 276, 360 and 537 cm\(^{-1}\) (marked with an asterisk in Fig. 4a).

The specialized literature ascribes these peaks to the orthorhombically distorted \(Pbam\) phase of BiFeO\(_3\),\(^{41,44}\) which is in consonance with the mentioned existence of an MPB in the Nd-containing compositions. But, moreover, a number of subtle changes can be observed between the collected spectra which can also provide some valuable information on the specific incorporation of the formulated dopants. For example, focusing again on the structural parameters of the \(R_3c\) phase, the first-order phonons are typically confined below 600 cm\(^{-1}\), with the most characteristic features being four sharp peaks at approximately 142, 172, 221 and 263 cm\(^{-1}\) (BFO undoped sample, Table 1). Among them, the low-frequency Raman modes below 170 cm\(^{-1}\) are associated with the Bi atoms, while the median frequency modes between 170 and 260 cm\(^{-1}\) relate to the Fe atoms;\(^{44}\) the Raman modes above 260 cm\(^{-1}\) mainly correlate to
oxygen motions. Upon doping with Sm or Nd all these features however appear broadened and with a decreasing relative intensity, in a process which might be caused by the structural disorder resulting from the random substitution of the rare-earth at the A-sites of the perovskite lattice, and the concurrent lattice distortion induced by the ionic size mismatch. Moreover, as the amount of dopant is increased, the A-site substitution dilutes the stereochemical activity of the Bi lone electronic pair and eventually induces a change of the Bi–O covalent bonds and the Bi–O bond distance; this leads to a decrease in the Raman scattering intensity and a broadening in the width of the Raman modes and it can also produce a shift in wavenumber of the Raman modes, particularly in the low frequency ones. This is exactly what we observe in our film samples, where the A1(2) mode shifts to higher frequencies upon doping with the rare-earths: 172 cm\(^{-1}\) for BFO, 180 cm\(^{-1}\) for BFSO and 182 cm\(^{-1}\) for BFNO, see Table 1; it should be noticed that the increase in frequency is in agreement with the lower mass of the Sm\(^{3+}\) and Nd\(^{3+}\) ions. On the other hand, the effect of the Ti-doping can be better inferred when looking at the relative intensity of the vibrational mode near 220 cm\(^{-1}\), which is assigned to the A1(3) tilt mode of the FeO\(_6\) octahedra. Although the local FeO\(_6\) octahedral environment can be affected by both the doping in A- or B-sites, a direct modification of the Fe–O interactions by a B-site substitution would certainly have a more pronounced effect on this vibrational mode. In our films, the decrease in the relative intensity for this A1(3) tilt mode is more pronounced for the Ti-containing compositions, pointing to a sizeable incorporation of titanium into the B-sites of the perovskite lattice.

Finally, a series of UV-vis spectroscopy measurements were conducted to further provide information on how the electronic structure of BiFeO\(_3\) is modified upon doping, this being essential for elucidating the specific mechanisms governing the microstructural development of the low-temperature processed films. Fig. 5 shows the UV-visible absorption spectra of all the tested samples. BFO has a rhombohedral structure (\(R3c\)), so considering a \(R3c\) local symmetry of Fe\(^{3+}\) ions (3d\(^5\) – high spin configuration; \(t_{2g}^3e_{g}^2\))

---

**Table 1** Comparison of the Raman modes (wavelength number, cm\(^{-1}\)) observed in the experimental spectra of the films

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symmetry assignment(^a)</th>
<th>BFO (wavenumber)</th>
<th>BFTO (wavenumber)</th>
<th>BNFO (wavenumber)</th>
<th>BSFO (wavenumber)</th>
<th>BNFTO (wavenumber)</th>
<th>BSFTO (wavenumber)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1(1)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1(2)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pbam)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1(3)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pbam)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pbam)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1(4)</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pbam)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2) fitting(^a)</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) The A1 and E modes all corresponding to the \(R3c\) space group.
and using the correlation group and subgroup analyses for the symmetry breaking from $O_h$ to $C_{3v}$, up to six d–d transitions can be expected over 410 eV. In our measurements, the BFO undoped film exhibits a couple of bands centered at 440 nm and 923 nm. Both can be ascribed to $^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g}$ excitations which arise from the d–d crystal field excitations of Fe$^{3+}$ ions, and although formally these are forbidden excitations (since they change the total spin of Fe$^{3+}$), spin orbit coupling eventually relaxes the spin selection rule and allows for them to be produced. Below 400 nm, the spectrum also displays a shoulder around 360 nm which is assigned to the charge transfer (CT) excitation between O 2p and Fe 3d. According to Fig. 5, these same absorption bands are initially detected for the BFTO, the BNFO and the BSFO monodoped compositions, although they are distinctly shifted from those of the undoped material; moreover, the shifting is observed to occur in an opposite way and whereas the bands of the BFTO sample shift towards the blue, in the RE-monodoped films a red-shift is instead produced, which is more pronounced for the composition containing neodymium. In the BNFTO and the BSFTO co-doped samples an intermediate displacement is eventually observed, which is likely to result from the combined effect of both dopants. As mentioned, all these band shifts are essentially due to changes in the electronic structure of the parent BiFeO$_3$. The position of the d–d transitions strongly depends on the Fe–O bond length, the site symmetry and the Fe–O–Fe exchange interaction, and, for example, by means of a pressure-induced spectroscopy study Gómez-Salces et al. have also reported a redshift in the $^6A_{1g} \rightarrow ^4T_{2g}$ transition for pure BiFeO$_3$ $^{52}$ In our films, the isovalent Bi$^{3+}$-substitution which is provoked upon RE$^{3+}$ doping would produce a similar effect: the smaller RE ions, causing a contraction of the unit cell (XRD confirmed), would increase the internal chemical pressure of the lattice, this leading to the observed red-shift; $^{52}$ the FeO$_6$ local environment is even more affected in the particular case of the Nd-containing compositions, due to the octahedral symmetry breaking from $C_{3v}$ to $D_{2h}$ (MPB formulation), which leads to the coexistence of an orthorhombic phase, so the band displacements are even more pronounced. On the other hand, the blue-shift observed upon Ti-doping is far more difficult to explain since several factors can be exerting an influence (non isovalent B-site substitution). This goes beyond the scope of this work, but yet we can collect relevant information here: the existence of significant band shifting in the Ti-containing samples is itself indicative of a wide-ranging incorporation of the dopant (Ti-ion substitution) into the perovskite lattice.

**Multiferroic properties**

The measurement of the electrical capacitance and the dielectric loss was carried out on each of the capacitors fabricated on the films by the sputtering of small top electrodes on the film surface. Only in those capacitors where low dielectric losses are observed is the measurement of the ferroelectric hysteresis behavior possible, and, for example, the high leakage currents exhibited by the undoped BFO films impede any electrical characterization of this particular composition. In the doped films, a non-switching contribution was found for the compositions without titanium in the starting formulation, so eventually the obtaining of representative P–E and J–E hysteresis loops was only possible in the Ti-doped compositions, even though at room-temperature the conductivity of the films is still too high and so the ferroelectric characterization has to be done at low temperature, under liquid nitrogen. Specifically, we found that at $\sim$140 K the non-switching leakage contributions are minimized, allowing the application of an increasing electric field to the film before the electric breakdown. Fig. 6 thus shows the P–E and J–E loops measured for the BFTO, the BNFTO and the BSFTO films. The pure ferroelectric switching of each loop (depicted in blue), which is obtained after subtracting the non-switching contribution from the experimental loop (depicted in black), shows the corresponding values of the remnant polarization ($P_r$) and the coercive field ($E_c$). These have been listed in Table 2. Interestingly, while both the BFTO and the BNFTO compositions display quite similar polarization (15 and 12 µC cm$^{-2}$, respectively), this value is doubled for the Sm,Ti co-doped thin film, $\sim$27 µC cm$^{-2}$. Fig. 7 compares the P–E hysteresis loops for the three materials as measured at 140 K.

Regarding the ferromagnetic response, Fig. 8 shows the M–H hysteresis loops taken at 4 K for the co-doped compositions (the BNFTO and the BSFTO films), both exhibiting magnetic ordering.
The same measurements at room temperature revealed a similar ferromagnetic tendency, although the hysteresis loops show a considerably smaller coercive field (not shown here). At low temperature, the materials, as expected, have all evolved to a more robust ferromagnetic ordering, since the system is less thermally disordered. Nevertheless, no saturation magnetization was ever achieved for any of the tested samples (not even at 5 Tesla), which indicates the existence of an intrinsic magnetic disorder in the films. The values of the remnant magnetization are listed in Table 2. As observed, the composition containing neodymium exhibits a better ferromagnetic response (higher magnetization) than the one doped with samarium, in a tendency which just opposes what was observed for the ferroelectric properties. Finally, the thermal dependence of the magnetization upon ZFC and FC conditions was analyzed for the two co-doped materials. As shown in Fig. 9, both samples evidence a certain degree of irreversibility that increases at low temperatures, which confirms the existence of the intrinsic magnetic disorder revealed by the unsaturated hysteresis loops.

Table 2  Multiferroic specifications of the Ti-containing thin films as extracted from the respective ferroelectric and ferromagnetic hysteresis plots. In all cases, the measurement uncertainties were below 15% for the remnant polarization and below 5% for the magnetization values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal composition</th>
<th>$P_r$ (μC cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$P_c$ (μC cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$P_s$ (μC cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$M_r$ (emu mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_m$ (emu mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BFTO</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSFTO</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>−50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNFTO</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>−79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interestingly, the BNFTO co-doped sample exhibits a structural transition around 60 K which is not produced in the Sm-containing composition. As described, the BNFTO material was formulated with 15 mol% of neodymium to fall within the corresponding MPB range, and so the synthesized film contains a mixture of the $R3c$ and $Pbam$ phases of BiFeO$_3$ (confirmed by the XRD-GID and the Raman analyses, Fig. 2b and 4a). In this frame, the observed transition in the FC–ZFC

Fig. 6  (a, c, and e) $P$–$E$ and (b, d, and f) $J$–$E$ hysteresis loops of the Ti-containing films rendering an effective switching contribution. Measurements were conducted at 140 K.
curves of the BNFTO sample could be related to the elimination of one of these two phases.

We have confirmed this issue by preparing a BNFTO film formulated out of the MPB, specifically containing 12 mol% of neodymium; the GID analyses of this film confirmed the absence of the $Pbam$ phase (no MPB) and no structural transition was observed in the corresponding FC–ZFC curves (see Fig. S2 in the ESI†).

Discussion

The structural characterization performed on the consolidated films indicates that the metastability burden which usually prevents the obtaining of single-phase BiFeO$_3$ in bulk materials can be also eluded in the film configuration.

As in the case of the analogous bulk ceramic samples, this is largely linked to the ability of controlling the thermally-activated diffusion processes that trace the microstructural development of the system; however, the low temperature restrictions imposed by thin film processing necessarily make the underlying mechanisms quite different in the film specimens. The difference is particularly significant for the compositions doped with titanium, whose presence is anyhow essential to harvest the multiferroic response of single-phase BiFeO$_3$. Both the Raman and the UV-vis structural analyses indicate that the formulated titanium ions stay largely incorporated inside the perovskite crystal lattice of the consolidated films. This can be attributed to the aqueous solution–gel + deposition methodology here practiced, which somehow quenches this titanium inside the BiFeO$_3$ perovskite structure from the very beginning of the ferrite formation. The process may progress as follows: during the first drying treatment of the film at 95$^\circ$C most of the water evaporates, leading to the formation of a homogeneous citrate precursor gel that consists of a tridimensional network of citrate ligands coordinated to the metal ions. 34 Like the other cations, the added Ti$^{4+}$ ions are trapped within this network. During the subsequent pyrolysis/decomposition heat treatments at 260 and 460$^\circ$C, the decomposition of the citrate ligands gives rise to the formation of metal oxide bonds, but the temperature is yet too low and the time on the hot plate too short (1 minute steps) that no titanium is susceptible to diffuse out from the BiFeO$_3$ structure under formation. The last treatment of crystallization consolidates the material, but it does not change the situation meaningfully: Ti$^{4+}$ ions are known to have poor inertia to diffuse and the 600 $^\circ$C/1 h of the consolidation stage still provide not enough energy for them to leave the perovskite lattice. Now this scenario makes a big difference with the solid-state processed ceramic
counters and it mainly affects the conductivity of the sintered specimens. As described, in the bulk samples the formulated titanium ions segregate to the grain boundaries as the temperature rises, until they form a highly resistive Ti-rich interconnected skeleton that neatly reduces the conductivity of the material$^{5,5}$.

In the films, on the contrary, this titanium stays confined in the perovskite structure, no such skeleton of Ti-enriched boundaries is ever formed, and as a direct consequence the conductivity is not sufficiently restrained: at room temperature, some leakages are still present in the films, which initially hinders the exploitation of their ferroelectric potential. This impediment however disappears when the ferroelectric response of the films is measured at liquid nitrogen temperatures. At such low temperatures, an increase is produced in the barrier height for detrapping charges (i.e., charge pinned at the grain boundaries, domain walls, or the metal–ferroelectric interfaces)$^{20,27,54}$ and as a consequence a significant decrease in the leakages is observed.

**Multiferroic performance of the BiFeO$_3$ doped films**

Once the issue with the leakages is restrained at low temperatures, the ferroelectric characterization of the films evidences strong polarization for the Ti-containing compositions, which reaches the highest value for the Sm,Ti co-doped BiFeO$_3$ film (BSFTO, Fig. 7). As indicated, the two RE,Ti co-doped materials were initially formulated to make the most (functionally speaking) of the structure-related benefits provided by a composition on a morphotropic phase boundary, the BNFTO system, or in its vicinity, BSFTO. The biggest benefits would actually be expected for RE mono-doped BiFeO$_3$ and, indeed, our work with bulk samples already showed that co-doping with titanium can significantly disturb the regular formation of the MPB postulated relations. In the films this is further stemmed by the mechanical constraints induced by the substrate in the film structure,$^{11}$ particularly for the Nd co-doped film, which, as shown by the XRD analyses, displays a different bottom-to-top distribution of the MPB phases (Fig. 2b). This asymmetrical evolution of the MPB solid solution may probably explain why the ferroelectric performance of the Ti-doped composition is not improved upon co-doping with neodymium (Fig. 7 and Table 2), but some other factors should be causing the observed differences between compositions. For example, as a single-phase Type I multiferroic material, the ferroelectric properties of doped-BiFeO$_3$ are directly proportional to the degree of distortion of its rhombohedral structure.$^{55,56}$ Actually, it is well documented that rare-earth doping produces a gradual decrease of the rhombohedral distortion of the BiFeO$_3$ parent compound, in a process which is associated with the decrease in the stereochemical activity of the A-site cation with a lone pair (the $6s$ electrons in Bi).$^{57}$ This evolution can be followed by X-ray diffraction, provided that the characteristic double $hkl$ reflection profile of the $R3c$ phase in the undoped compound converges into a single $hkl$ reflection in the doped material. In our previous experiments with bulk ceramics$^5$ we have easily identified this convergence in the $2\theta$ range between 31° and 34°. Accordingly, we conducted a new set of GID analyses of the films focusing on this specific region and the results initially showed the presence of a single

![Fig. 10](image_url)  
**Fig. 10** Deconvolution of the BiFeO$_3$ $R3c$ peak in the $2\theta$ region between 31° and 34° for the two co-doped materials (films crystallized at 600 °C/1 h). The XRD patterns were registered with a grazing angle of 1°.
magnetic moment can therefore be obtained.\(^{50,61}\) In this frame, working with a Ba-doped BiFeO\(_3\) system, Rojas-George and co-workers have performed comprehensive Rietveld analyses which further evidence how the Fe–O–Fe angle increases as the distortion of the R\(_{3c}\) rhombohedral structure is decreased, this leading to an increased magnetic moment.\(^{58}\) In our film samples, that is the case of the BFNTO sample (Fig. 8 and Table 1), i.e. the same one that rendered the lowest polarization of the two co-doped compositions. Indeed, driven by a structure-related condition, these results essentially confirm what is characteristically expected for a single-phase multiferroic system like BiFeO\(_3\); physical constraints associated with the simultaneous existence of ferroelectricity and ferromagnetism in a sole single-phase material make a compromise necessary between the magnitude of both ferroic orders, meaning that the output of one of them can seriously affect that of the other (even provoking its cancellation in the worst-case scenario).

**Conclusions**

The intrinsic metastability of the BiFeO\(_3\) perovskite largely hinders it being obtained as a single-phase material and yet prevents its practical exploitation in multiferroic-based applications. The situation is further stressed when jumping to the thin film configuration, provided that both the processing conditions and the presence of a substrate critically assist the crystallization of the – thermodynamically stable – mullite secondary phase. Here, we have overcome these two impediments by combining a suitable formulation of dopants with a straightforward solution deposition methodology that not only allows the low-temperature stabilization of the perovskite phase, but also provides a genuine route to pertinently tune its multiferroic characteristics. On one hand, the incorporation of a donor dopant such as titanium first leads to a significant reduction of the material’s leakage current, which thus permits an effective release of its ferroelectric response. No interaction is ever observed with this dopant and the rare-earth ions formulated to amplify the multiferroic properties of the perovskite phase, which shall be attributed to the exceptionally soft conditions of the processing protocol. The whole process is conducted in water, no vacuum settings nor sophisticated equipment is required, and a maximum temperature of 600 °C is applied for one hour to nevertheless achieve effective control of the microstructure at the nanoscale. Comprehensive characterization of the main structural and microstructural features of the obtained films reveals that the underlying mechanism behind this improved microstructural control at low temperature is related to the ability to retain (pin) the dopants inside the BiFeO\(_3\) perovskite structure since the very beginning of the ferrite formation in the film. This avoids extensive diffusion or segregation of the dopants, titanium in particular, to the grain boundaries and/or the film interfaces, and further facilitates the stabilization of the parent perovskite compound against the formation of unwanted secondary phases. As a consequence, single-phase BiFeO\(_3\)-based thin films are feasibly obtained in aqueous media, under mild processing conditions, and showing a relevant multiferroic response for practical applications.
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