Removal of Refractory Organosulfur Compounds via Oxidation with Hydrogen Peroxide on Amorphous Ti/SiO₂ Catalysts
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Efficient removal of benzothiophene (BT), dibenzothiophene (DBT) and 4,6-dimethyl dibenzothiophene (DMDBT) has been successfully achieved via oxidation with hydrogen peroxide in liquid phase using an amorphous silica-loaded titanium oxide catalyst. Both BT and DBT are easily oxidized to the corresponding sulfones however in the DMDBT the steric hindrance of the alkyl groups makes difficult the approach of the S-atom to catalyst active centre (isolated Ti(IV) species) and therefore its reactivity is inhibited. Concentration of the organosulfur compound, H₂O₂ concentration and nature of the solvent play key role in the rate of S-removal.

Introduction

Much attention has been focused on the deep desulfurization of light oil, since the sulfur oxy-acids (SOₓ) contained in diesel exhaust gas cause air pollution and acid rain. The current technology of hydrodesulfurization (HDS) can desulfurize aliphatic and acyclic sulfur-containing compounds quite adequately, when adopted on the industrial scale. This process however is limited, when treating dibenzothiophene (DBT), especially DBTs having alkyl substituents on their 4 and/or 6-position. Thus, the production of light oil, of very low sulfur level, inevitably requires severe high energy conditions and especially active catalysts.

In the development of any alternative energy-efficient desulfurization process, a radical approach, which is not limited to conventional HDS technology, is required. Among these new approaches, the process generally known as “oxidative desulfurization” (ODS) appears particularly promising and is currently receiving a growing attention. This process is based on the well known propensity of organic sulfur compounds to be oxidized; it consists of an oxidation process followed by the extraction of the oxidized products. The greatest advantage of oxidative desulfurization, compared with the conventional HDS technology, is that it can be carried out in the liquid phase under very mild conditions near room temperature and under atmospheric pressure. Various oxidants have been used in ODS, such as NO₂, O₃, tert-butyl hydroperoxide, H₂O₂, molecular oxygen, K₂FeO₄ and solid oxidizing agents. Among these oxidants, H₂O₂ is mostly chosen as an oxidant, because it only produces water as a by-product. Therefore, H₂O₂ was often used in the presence of a catalyst composition to produce oxygen-containing chemicals, such as acetic acid, formic acid, polyoxymatate, phosphoguttinic acid, titano silicates, solid bases, sodium tungstate-acetic acid, ionic liquids, and Mo/Al₂O₃. Ti-containing molecular sieves are high active oxidation catalysts. These catalysts were used to perform the catalytic oxidation of sulfur compounds under mild reaction conditions. Although the catalytic activity of titano-silicate (TS-1) for the oxidation of thiophene using hydrogen peroxide is high, its activity for the oxidation of benzothiophene and dibenzothiophene remains very low due to the small pore size of TS-1 catalyst. Other titanium-containing catalysts such as Ti-beta, Ti-HMS with larger pore size have been used as catalysts for the oxidation of thiophenes. However, there is an increasing interest to develop cheap Ti/SiO₂ supported catalysts, which display very good mechanical strength and thermal stability. We found that Ti/SiO₂ catalysts had high oxidative activity in alkene epoxidation with hydrogen peroxide.

In the current research, we report an efficient heterogeneous Ti/SiO₂ catalyst for the liquid-phase oxidation with hydrogen peroxide of benzothiophene (BT), dibenzothiophene (DBT) and 4,6-dimethyl dibenzothiophene (DMDBT). The catalyst was obtained by impregnation of titanium precursor over an amorphous mesoporous silica substrate. The resulting Ti/SiO₂ catalyst was characterized by chemical analysis and UV–vis spectroscopy. The catalytic activity and selectivity of the catalysts were systematically evaluated in the desulfurization of model fuel. Finally the use of the optimum reaction conditions found was employed for ODS of a kerosene sample.

Experimental Methods

The catalyst was prepared as described elsewhere. A triethanolaminato isopropoxide solution (TYZOR®Te) (2.0 mmol) was dispersed in isopropanol (25 mL). The solution was heated to 353 K under stirring conditions, then 5.0 g of silica (Grace Davison XPO 2407) was added to the solution, with the mixture maintained under vigorous stirring at 353 K for 2 h. The solid thus obtained was filtered off and washed twice with 25 mL of solvent. The solid was dried at 383 K, and finally calcined in air at 773 K for 5 h. Sample Ti/SiO₂-Te. The Ti/SiO₂ catalyst was silylated with 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS). The procedure was as follows: the silylant reagent was fed continuously by a syringe pump to a continuous flow of nitrogen on the sample bed with a temperature of 473 K for 2 h, and then a nitrogen flow was fed for 2 h. The silylation reagent/catalyst ratio was of 0.23. This sample is labelled Sil-Ti/SiO₂.
The titanium contents of the Ti/SiO₂ of the catalysts were determined using inductively coupled plasma absorption spectrometry, with a Perkin-Elmer Optima 3300 DV instrument. The amount of titanium in the Ti/SiO₂ catalyst prepared was approximately 1% weight.

Textural properties were determined from the adsorption-desorption isotherms of nitrogen recorded at 77 K with a Micromeritics TriStar 3000. Specific area was calculated by applying the BET method to the relative pressure (P/P₀) range of the isotherms between 0.03 and 0.3, and taking a value of 0.162 nm² for the cross-section of adsorbed nitrogen molecule at 77 K. Pore size distributions were computed by applying the BJH model to the desorption branch of the nitrogen isotherms.

Ultraviolet–visible spectra were measured on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere. A BaSO₄ disk was used as reference. All spectra were acquired under ambient conditions.

The catalytic sulfoxidation of sulfur-containing organic compounds with hydrogen peroxide was carried out batchwise in a mechanically stirred 250-mL thermostated glass reactor equipped with thermometer, reflux condenser, and a septum for withdrawing samples. In a typical experiment, 90 g of the sulfur compound solution in n-hexadecane (Aldrich) were heated to the reaction temperature. Then, a solution of hydrogen peroxide (70% w/w, kindly supplied by Solvay Quimica, S.L.) mix with acetonitrile was added to the apolar phase, and finally 0.25 g of catalyst was loaded into the reactor. Aliquots were taken from the reactor at different reaction times; the total amount withdrawn from the reactor was less than 10% in order to avoid interferences in the reaction results due to changes in the total mass inside the reactor. The apolar phase was recovered by decantation and analysed by GC-FID equipped with a capillary column (HP-WAX, 25 m, ~0.2 mm, 1.0 mm film thickness). The hydrogen peroxide concentration was determined by standard iodometric titration.

The desulfurization of kerosene (kindly provided by Repsol-YPF) by oxidation with H₂O₂ was also investigated. In a 250-mL glass batch reactor, 90 g of kerosene (1291 ppm sulfur) and a solution of hydrogen peroxide in 25 mL of acetonitrile were heated to 333 K. Then, 0.25 g of catalyst was added. The amount of sulfur in the apolar phase was determined by Total Sulfur Analyzer Mitsubishi Chemical TOX-100 equipped with a sulfur selective titration cell unit.

Results and Discussion

We investigated the oxidation of some thiophenes with H₂O₂ in a three-phase S-L₁-L₂ system: catalyst (S), an organic layer consisting of the substrate dissolved in n-hexane (L₁), and an aqueous layer containing a polar solvent and 70% H₂O₂ (L₂). Initially all the thiophenes were in n-hexane, in due course the oxidation products (sulfoxides) were transferred in the polar solvent. Organic sulfides, thiophenes, benzothiophenes, and dibenzothiophenes are the major sulfur-containing compounds present in liquid hydrocarbon fuels. A series of experiments was conducted with the aim of evaluating the reactivity of several model organic sulfur compounds in the H₂O₂ oxidation system. Under the experimental conditions used in the present work, the oxidation reaction of sulfur compounds by hydrogen peroxide led to the corresponding sulfoxides (1-oxides) and sulfones (1,1-dioxides) as major reaction products (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1: Simplified reactions of sulfur compounds following the ODS process.](image)

Catalyst Characterization

N₂ adsorption data accounting for the textural properties of both solids are compiled in Table 1. Commercial silica sample displays a type IIb isotherm with a hysteresis loop belonging to type H1 of the IUPAC classification. The shape of the isotherm is characteristic of porous materials consisting of agglomerates or nearly uniform and regularly packed aggregates. Titanium grafting, calcination and silylation hardly affect the textural properties, only a small reduction in the BET specific area and pore volume can be observed after each treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET area (m²/g)</th>
<th>V_pore (ml/g)</th>
<th>Pore diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XPO2407</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/SiO₂</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-Ti/SiO₂</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The electronic spectra of the catalysts showed absorption associated with the ligand metal charge transfer (LMCT) from the oxygen to an empty orbital of the Ti(IV) ion: Ti⁴⁺O²⁻ → Ti³⁺O⁻ typical of this type of catalysts. The wavelength at which this transition occurs is highly sensitive to the coordination of titanium sites, and in the literature this has been proposed as a probe to test titanium coordination. As a general rule, LMCT in titanium compounds containing octahedrally coordinated Ti(IV) takes place at higher wavelengths than in compounds in which the titanium ions exhibit only a tetrahedral coordination. The spectrum of the catalyst exhibited a large absorption band which can be separated in two components: The most intensive component is a narrow absorption band placed around 220 nm, typical of isolated Ti(IV) ions in a tetrahedral environment of oxide ions and the second component is a broader band at 250-275 nm due to a tetrahedral species of titanium coordinated with...
Both species are the active sites of Ti/SiO₂ catalysts in oxidation reactions. The absorption peak due to the presence of small Ti-O-Ti oligomers (275-300 nm) or TiO₂ small cluster (370-410 nm) was not detected. UV/Vis spectra of both samples have no significant changes. But, a careful scrutiny of the 250-275 nm energy region, where the adsorption of tetrahedral species of titanium coordinated with water, usually appear. The adsorption of silylated sample is clearly lower, because the reduced hydrophilicity nature of the functionalized sample reduces water sorption.

**Figure 1:** DRS UV–vis spectrum of catalysts.

### Reactivity of Different Sulfur Species

Different S-containing molecules were employed to perform the ODS reaction: benzothiophene (BT), dibenzothiophene (DBT), and 4,6-dimethyl-dibenzothiophene (DMDBT). Since these compounds are present in middle distillates was selected as a representative sulfur compound of fuels. The reaction conditions were as follow: 0.2 wt.% substrate in n-hexadecane, acetonitrile, 333 K, atmospheric pressure, 0.25 g of catalyst, and H₂O₂/S molar ratio of 2.5. Under the above conditions, the oxidation reaction of BT, DBT and DMDBT led directly to the corresponding sulfone, even for low levels of conversion of the substrate. The conversion profiles of the different sulfur substrates are clearly different (Figure 2). While the conversion of BT and DBT was very high, the conversion of DMDBT was much lower. This effect can be related with the steric hindrance of the alkyl groups that difficult the approach of the sulfur atom to catalyst active centre (isolated Ti(IV) species) present on the surface of the silica support, and in consequence its reactivity is inhibited.

**Figure 2:** Effect of the sulfur compound (benzothiophene (BT), dibenzothiophene (DBT), 4,6-dimethyl-dibenzothiophene (DMDBT), in the oxidative desulfurization with hydrogen peroxide 0.2 wt. % of each compound in n-hexadecane, H₂O₂/S ratio 2.5.

### Influence of the H₂O₂/Substrate Ratio

According to the stoichiometry of the reactions, only 2 mol of H₂O₂ are consumed per mole to form the sulfone (R–SO₂). Thus, it was of interest to explore the influence of the hydrogen peroxide concentration on the kinetics of DBT conversion. To study the influence of the H₂O₂/Substrate the reaction was carried out using DBT as sulfur substrate, 12.5 ml acetonitrile as solvent, 333 K, atmospheric pressure, 0.25 g of catalyst, and H₂O₂:S mol ratio of 2.5 and 8. The effect of H₂O₂/S ratios on the conversion of DBT is displayed in Figure 3.

**Figure 3:** Effect of the sulfur/hydrogen peroxide ratio on the oxidative desulfurization of dibenzothiophene (0.2 wt % of DBT in n-hexadecane) with hydrogen peroxide.
The DBT conversion levels were very high for both ratios, and reached a maximum at reaction times somewhat below 15 min. A careful inspection of the conversion profiles shows some differences in the DBT conversion (Figure 3). The conversion of DBT increased with the increase of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{S} \) ratio. As the amount of solvent employed is constant in both experiments, the concentration of hydrogen peroxide is not the same. This observation clearly indicates that the hydrogen peroxide concentration is an important parameter to be considered in the rate equation describing the oxidation of S-containing compounds with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \).

The hydrogen peroxide concentration was measured in both phases after reaction by iodometric titration. The most interesting data are obtained for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{S} \) ratio 2.5, no \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) have been detected after reaction in both phases. These data indicate an efficiency of 80%.

**Effect of DBT concentration**

In order to examine the influence of the DBT concentration on the kinetics of the removal of S-containing compounds, additional experiments were conducted by raising the DBT concentration. Thus, two DBT concentrations: 0.2 and 1 wt% - that is, 347 and 1737 ppm S, respectively - were selected while maintaining the oxidant-to-substrate ratio constant (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{DBT} \sim 2.5:1 \)). Figure 4 plots DBT conversion as a function of the reaction time for different DBT concentrations. The conversion levels of DBT were very high for both DBT concentrations, although the reaction rate was much higher for initial concentrations of DBT of 0.2 wt%.

This observation indicates that the catalyst/DBT ratio has great influence on DBT reactivity, since the same amount of catalyst was employed for both reactions, and for higher sulfur contents it is necessary a larger amount of catalyst to reach similar level conversion.

**Effect of Solvent amount**

In order to investigate the solvent amount effect, different reactions were carried out by raising the solvent amount from 5 to 25 mL. There was no need to use higher volume of solvent because in an industrial process, high solvent volume implies very high operation costs and higher reactor volume. The reactions conditions were as follow: DBT as sulfur substrate, 333 K, atmospheric pressure, 0.25 g of catalyst, and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{S} \) molar ratio of 2.5. The results obtained are shown in Figure 5. The DBT conversion increases sharply with increasing the amount of acetonitrile. This finding is related with the increase of the solubility of the sulfone, produced in the reaction, with the amount of solvent. In other words, the rate of removal of sulphur compounds depends on the extraction capability of the solvent rather than on the transfer of the oxidant from the aqueous phase to the organic phase. The efficiency of the sulfone extraction is raising parallel to the solvent amount. It seems that is necessary work with a sufficient amount of solvent to avoid the formation of micro-droplets of sulfones, the reaction rate is favoured by removal of reaction products from the apolar phase. For this reason, there is a strong influence in the catalytic behaviour in ODS depending on the solvent, and when a poor solvent for sulfones (water) is employed very low reaction rate is achieved.\(^{15,17}\)
Effect of Silylation of the TiO₂ catalyst

To study the effect of the silylation of the catalyst, the oxidation of BT was carried out over the catalyst non silylated and silylated Ti/SiO₂ catalyst. The reaction conditions were as follow: 0.2 % wt. DBT, acetonitrile as solvent, 333 K, atmospheric pressure, 0.25 g of catalyst, and H₂O₂/S molar ratio of 2.5.

The conversion levels of DBT of the samples are shown in Figure 6. The conversion was very high for both samples, although the DBT conversion was slightly higher for the silylated catalyst. This increase in the catalytic activity was previously observed in the epoxidation of alkenes with hydrogen peroxide

Similar results have been observed previously during the ODS using tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) on Ti-MCM-41 catalysts, where they observe that the catalysts deactivation is much faster for the calcined catalyst than for the silylated counterpart.

Catalysts used in reaction were filtered off, and used without any other pretreatment were reused 4 times in reaction. For both catalysts no activity drop were detected. No differences between the calcined and silylated catalysts were found. This observation is different to depicted previously for TBHP, where dissimilar deactivation behaviour was observed. When hydroperoxides (TBHP) are used as oxidants, only one liquid phase is present in the reaction mixture. And then sulfur oxidized species are present in the reaction mixture, and this species are responsible for the deactivation of the catalyst. But, when a two liquid phases system is employed, sulfones are removed continuously from the liquid fuel by the polar solvent as is produced; in consequence the deactivation by sulfones is negligible in both catalysts.

Figure 6: Effect of the silylation of the catalysts on the oxidative desulfurization of dibenzothiophene (0.2 wt % of DBT in n-hexadecane) with hydrogen peroxide, H₂O₂/S ratio 2.5.

Figure 7: Reuse of catalysts on DBT oxidation (0.2 wt % of DBT in n-hexadecane) with hydrogen peroxide, H₂O₂/S ratio 2.5.

ODS of kerosene

The oxidation reaction of the S-containing compounds present in a commercial kerosene fraction (1291 ppm S) was carried out by applying the optimal conditions found in the preceding section of synthetic feeds of DBT. These optimal conditions are: 90 g of fuel, 20 mL of acetonitrile as a polar solvent, reaction temperature of 333 K, H₂O₂/S molar ratios of 2.5/1, approaching the stoichiometric ratio were employed in this study.

After 5 min of reaction, the remaining sulfur concentration in the kerosene was below 250 ppm, and after 15 min was bellow 10 ppm. In previous works, liquid phase extraction of sulfur compounds with acetonitrile solvent following operation conditions similar to these employed here were described, but very low sulfur removal was achieved (< 7 %). Thus, the large sulfur removal yield observed in the present work (> 99 %) is attributed to the catalytic ODS process.

Acetonitrile is a very good solvent for the reaction products, which avoids the formation of micro-droplets of sulfones. The reaction rate is favoured by removal of reaction products from the apolar phase, this effect was previously observed with other catalytic systems, using biphasic and monophasic reaction media. On the other hand, acetonitrile as a solvent exhibits low surface tension, which facilitates the transfer of products and reagents at the polar-apolar interface, increasing notably the mass transfer along the interphase. However, as acetonitrile is a N-containing compound, it is possible to increase the nitrogen concentration in the fuel, even though boiling point of acetonitrile is clearly lower than that of the middle distillate. For this reason, we have tested another solvent which avoid this problem (γ-butyrolactone).

γ-Butyrolactone is an oxygenate solvent that was previously tested in ODS with excellent results. This solvent is more viscous than acetonitrile and therefore a very high stirring
speed is required to facilitate the mass transfer along the interphase. Stirring speed higher than 1000 rpm is necessary to obtain reproducible results in ODS. Under similar reaction conditions depicted previously, the remaining sulfur concentration in the kerosene after 5 min of reaction was below 240 ppm, and after 15 min was below 10 ppm. These results are of great relevance as they offer a simple way to remove the refractory S-containing molecules present in middle distillates under very mild reaction conditions. The ODS process can be considered as no competitor of the traditional HDS one. It appears to be complementary of it since hydrotreatments not only remove S and other heteroatoms from refinery streams but also improve the quality of the fuels. The ODS is specifically designed to be integrated in the last processing steps to decrease remaining sulfur until the levels fixed by environmental legislations. Thus, it seems appropriate to compare the ODS with the revamping or modification of an HDS unit able to perform a deep desulfurization and reach the same low S-levels which can be achieved with the coupled standard HDS and ODS technologies.

According this methodology, the removal of sulfur up to levels of about 10 ppm fulfills the limits established by present legislation limits.

Conclusions

This work shows the possibility of using an ODS process based on Ti/SiO2 catalysts and hydrogen peroxide, working in combination with a hydrotreating unit. This should allow for increasing the throughput of the existing hydrotreating units, while operating under milder conditions.
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