Tunnel magnetoresistance in GaMnAs: Going beyond Jullière formula
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The relation between tunnel magnetoresistance (TMR) and spin polarization is explored for GaMnAs/GaAlAs/GaMnAs structures where the carriers experience strong spin–orbit interactions. TMR is calculated using the Landauer approach. The materials are described in the 6 band \( k \cdot p \) model which includes spin–orbit interaction. Ferromagnetism is described in the virtual crystal mean field approximations. Our results indicate that TMR is a function of spin polarization and barrier thickness. As a result of the strong spin–orbit interactions, TMR also depends on the angle between current flow direction and the electrode magnetization. These results compromise the validity of Julliere formula. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1789241]

The relative orientation of the magnetization of two ferromagnetic electrodes can affect dramatically electron transport across a tunneling barrier connecting them. This phenomenon gives rise to the so-called tunnel magnetoresistance (TMR). TMR is calculated using the Landauer approach. The materials are described in the 6 band \( k \cdot p \) model which includes spin–orbit interaction. Ferromagnetism is described in the virtual crystal mean field approximations. Our results indicate that TMR is a function of spin polarization and barrier thickness. As a result of the strong spin–orbit interactions, TMR also depends on the angle between current flow direction and the electrode magnetization. These results compromise the validity of Julliere formula.

\[
TMR' = \frac{2P_L P_R}{1 + P_L P_R}
\]

which relates TMR with \( P_{L,R} \), the polarizations of the left (L) and right (R) electrodes. Assuming Eq. (1) is correct, it permits extraction of the spin polarization of the electrodes from the value of TMR in symmetric tunnel junctions, regardless of the physical properties of the barrier. Equation (1) can be derived using second order perturbation theory in tunneling amplitude.

Diluted magnetic semiconductors like Ga\(_{1-x}\)Mn\(_x\)As are ferromagnetic below \( T_c \approx 150 \) K. This type of material raises much interest because it allows the integration of ferromagnetic and semiconducting functionalities in a single device. Substitutional impurities of Mn in GaAs are acceptors. The holes released by the Mn are responsible of the magnetic ordering and transport properties of Ga\(_{1-x}\)Mn\(_x\)As. Several groups have been able to fabricate tunnel junctions with GaMnAs in the electrodes and they have reported large values of TMR finding that its value depends on the properties of the barrier. Furthermore, spin orbit (SO) interactions for the holes in the valence band of GaAs is very strong (\( \Delta_{SO} \approx 0.34 \) eV) so that the spin of the holes is not a good quantum number. Both the experimental results and the failure of Julliere’s hypothesis on spin conservation, lead us to study TMR in GaMnAs/AIAs/GaMnAs systems using a nonperturbative approach which fully includes spin–orbit interactions. We anticipate our main result: in GaMnAs based heterostructures, TMR depends on both the barrier thickness, \( d_p \), the angle formed by the current flow and the magnetization. All of these features depend on the strength of the SO coupling and are relevant for the use of GaMnAs based heterostructures in magnetoelectronics.

In this letter we calculate vertical transport (\( z \) direction) in epitaxially grown GaMnAs/GaAlAs/GaMnAs tunnel systems. We take the same Mn density at the two electrodes. The materials are described in the 6 bands \( k \cdot p \) model which captures the interplay of SO interaction and the threefold orbital degeneracy on the top of the valence bands. Ferromagnetism is originated by the exchange interaction between the spin of the localized Mn and the itinerant holes. In the case of metallic samples the mean field and virtual crystal (MF-VC) approximation accounts for a number of experimental facts like the dependence of \( T_c \) on both the Mn and hole densities, the magnetic anisotropy and magnetic circular dichroism. In this approach spontaneous magnetization is characterized by effective Zeeman magnetic field \( \mathbf{H} \) which represents the effect of exchange interaction of the Mn magnetization on the spin of the holes. The MF-VC approximation restores the translational invariance in the Hamiltonian, and it is possible to label the eigenvectors and eigenvalues by a band index, \( n \), and a wave vector \( (k_x, k_y) \). Due to the SO interaction, energy bands and Bloch states depend on both the magnitude and the orientation of \( \mathbf{H} \), and spin is not conserved. For a given set of parameters representing GaMnAs, a magnetic orientation \( \Omega \) and a temperature, the above approach yields the carrier spin polarization \( P \). In this model, the electronic structure of GaMnAs is fully characterized by \( P \), the hole density \( \rho \) and \( \Omega \). For a fixed Mn -hole exchange coupling strength, \( p \) and \( \Omega \) there is a one to one correspondence between the Mn concentration and \( P \). We describe the valence bands of the barrier (GaAlAs) with the same parameters used for GaAs, but offset and a potential \( V_p \) of the order of 300 meV.
The conductance is calculated using the Landauer formula:

$$G_{\Omega_L, \Omega_R} = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\hbar} \sum_{n,n',k} T^k_{n,n'}(E_F),$$

where $E_F$ is the Fermi energy, $T^k_{n,n'}(E_F)$ is the transmission probability from a state on the left with band index $n$ to a state on the right with band index $n'$, and $\Omega_{L,R}$ are the magnetization matrix obtained in the transfer matrix method applied to the 6 bands $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian\textsuperscript{17–19} including the exchange field $\\mathcal{H}_{ext}$\textsuperscript{20}.

Conventionally, $G$ depends on the angle formed by $\Omega_L$ and $\Omega_R$. In the presence of SO coupling the conductance depends also on the angle formed by the current with the magnetization of the electrodes. Only the energy and $k$ are conserved in the tunneling process. The transmission matrix is obtained in the transfer matrix method applied to the 6 bands $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian\textsuperscript{17–19} including the exchange field $\\mathcal{H}_{ext}$\textsuperscript{20}.

The tunneling current can change up to 6% for large values of $P$. The Julliere value, independent of $d_b$ is also shown. Due to the strong SO coupling in the system, TMR, and TMR\textsubscript{c} can be quite different, and because of the complicated matching at the interface, its relative magnitude depends strongly on the parameters of the system. In agreement with experiments,\textsuperscript{9} and tight-binding calculations\textsuperscript{1,9} we find that TMR decreases rapidly for thin barriers, in marked contrast with Eq. (1). We also plot the TMR when the magnetization is oriented in the [011] direction, TMR\textsubscript{c}. This quantity turns out to be almost exactly the average of TMR\textsubscript{x} and TMR\textsubscript{z}, indicating that the experimental\textsuperscript{9} difference in the TMR between the [100] and [110] field directions is due to the SO coupling and not to the cubic magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the GaAs crystal structure.

A quantitative comparison between our results and the experiments is difficult, because quantities like the carrier density and band offset are unknown in the heterostructures. Even $d_b$ is not accurately known.\textsuperscript{9} Qualitatively, though, our results account for the decreases of TMR with the barrier thickness,\textsuperscript{9} and also show a strong dependence of the TMR values on the angle formed by the magnetization and the current flow.

Impurity scattering combined with the strong SO coupling produces anisotropy in the dc transport properties of bulk GaMnAs.\textsuperscript{22} We have analyzed the variation of the ballistic tunneling resistance when the current flow is parallel or perpendicular to the magnetization. In Fig. 3 we plot the anisotropy tunneling magnetoresistance (ATMR):

$$\text{ATMR} = \frac{G_{1,1} - G_{\perp,\parallel}}{G_{1,1}}.$$  

The tunneling current can change up to 6% for large values of $P$. This number is comparable with those obtained for bulk.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, in such a heterostructure, the ATMR can add a significant contribution to the bulk anisotropy magnetoresistance of the electrodes.

In conclusion, the presence of strong spin–orbit interaction modifies the conventional relation between TMR and...
spin polarization of the electrodes. As in the conventional case, our results show that TMR is an increasing function of the electrodes polarization $P$, quantitatively different than Eq. (1). Large values of TMR can be reached for sufficiently high $P$ even in the presence of strong spin–orbit interaction. In contrast with Eq. (1), TMR depends on the barrier thickness. Therefore, Eq. (1) is not an appropriated tool for inferring the spin polarization of the electrodes in GaMnAs based heterostructures. Finally, because of the spin–orbit interaction, both the TMR and the conductance depend on the angle between the current and the magnetization orientation. These are qualitatively new physical phenomena that might be exploited to build spin valves with new functionalities.
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