Controlled crystallization of Mn\(_{12}\) single-molecule magnets by compressed CO\(_2\) and its influence on the magnetization relaxation†
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Micro- and sub-micro particles of complex [Mn\(_{12}\)O\(_{15}\)(O\(_6\)C\(_6\)H\(_2\))\(_6\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_4\)] (I) with controlled size and polymorphism have been prepared by dense-gas crystallization techniques, showing a remarkable particle size influence on the magnetization relaxation rates.

Introduction

Single-molecule magnets (SMMs) have a large spin ground state with appreciable magnetic anisotropy, resulting in a barrier for the spin reversal.\(^1\) As a consequence, interesting magnetic properties such as out-of-phase ac magnetic susceptibility signals, magnetization hysteresis loops\(^2\) and resonant magnetization tunnelling,\(^3\) have been reported. Although the origin of such properties has been unequivocally attributed to individual molecules rather than to the existence of long range magnetic intermolecular interactions, they have been shown to be very dependent on structural and crystalline restrictions. For instance, previous works have shown the influence of dislocations on the resonant spin tunnelling of a crystal of Mn\(_{12}\) clusters\(^4\) or the existence of at least two different magnetization relaxation processes,\(^5\) which are highly influenced by the network characteristics.\(^6\) For these reasons, the preparation of crystalline samples of SMMs with controlled structural parameters, such as morphology, size and polymorphism, becomes a challenge. If successful, this will give us a unique opportunity to study the influence of such structural parameters on the magnetization relaxation mechanisms.

Crystallization methods from conventional liquid solvents show very limited particle size and polymorphism control over the final particulate material. The reason for this is because these solvent-based processes are driven by temperature or system composition changes (temperature decrease, solvent evaporation, addition of anti-solvents, addition of salts, etc.), which are slowly and non-homogeneously transmitted in liquid media. In contrast, the solvation power of compressed fluids which can be classified into four different groups according to the solvating behaviour of the CF in the process. So, the CF may behave as a solvent,\(^16\) anti-solvent,\(^17\) co-solvent,\(^18\) or a solute.\(^19\) As far as we know, these techniques have never been used before for the controlled crystallization of SMMs.

In this work we have prepared a set of crystalline samples of [Mn\(_{12}\)O\(_{15}\)(O\(_6\)C\(_6\)H\(_2\))\(_6\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_4\)] (I), by the Gas Anti-Solvent crystallization (GAS) and the Aerosol Solvent Extraction System (ASES) techniques, which use compressed CO\(_2\) as an anti-solvent.\(^20,21\) In addition to the added value consisting of the preparation of SMM crystalline samples with control over morphology, size and polymorphism, magnetic characterization of these samples has revealed a significant influence on the magnetization relaxation rates.

Experimental

Materials

Complex I was synthesized as previously described.\(^22\) CHCl\(_3\) (purity 99.99%) was purchased from Romil Chemicals (Cambridge, UK) and used without further purification. Carbon dioxide (Purity > 99%) was kindly supplied by S.E. Carburos Metálicos (Barcelona, Spain).

Methodology for the GAS crystallization

All GAS crystallization experiments of complex I were performed using an experimental set-up already described in...
a previous work. As schematized in Fig. 1, the methodology employed was as follows: a known volume, $V_0$, of a solution of complex 1 in CHCl$_3$ with a supersaturation ratio of $\beta_i = C_i/C_s = 0.9$, where $C$ stands for the actual concentration of complex 1 and $C_s$ stands for the saturation limit at 298 K, was loaded into a 300 mL high pressure autoclave. The autoclave was then pressurized with CO$_2$ to 10 MPa, while keeping the temperature constant at 298 K. Different values of CO$_2$ system content, $X_{CO_2}$, could be attained by the loading of different amounts of $V_0$. Crystallization of complex 1 occurs during this process step. After leaving the system with mechanical agitation under the same conditions for 30 minutes to achieve complete homogenization of the mixture, the microparticles produced were filtered off. During the filtration step, the pressure inside the vessel and the filter was kept constant at 10 MPa by continuous addition of pressurized nitrogen gas from the top of the autoclave, in order to avoid the redisolution of the crystals. After filtration, the N$_2$ flow was stopped, and the autoclave was depressurized to atmospheric pressure in order to withdraw the micronized powders.

Methodology for the ASES crystallization

ASES crystallization experiments of complex 1 were performed using an experimental set-up already described in a previous work. As schematized in Fig. 2, a constant flow of CO$_2$ was continuously supplied inside a 300 mL high-pressure autoclave. The pressure inside the autoclave was kept constant at 10 MPa using a back pressure regulator valve. When constant values of temperature and pressure were achieved, pure CHCl$_3$ was fed into the autoclave through an atomization nozzle (100 µm diameter), at a constant flow, for several minutes after a constant $X_{CO_2}$ was reached inside the vessel. The solvent flow was then stopped and a liquid solution of complex 1 in CHCl$_3$ with a supersaturation ratio of $\beta_i = 0.9$ was sprayed inside the autoclave at the same flow rate as the pure solvent.

The solid microparticles, produced through the ASES process during the spraying of the solution, were collected at 10 MPa on stainless steel filter housing and the mother liquid was depressurized after the back pressure valve. When all solution volume had been delivered, the CO$_2$ flow was stopped, and the autoclave was depressurized to atmospheric pressure in order to withdraw the micronized materials.

Sample characterization

Volume particle size distributions of samples were measured using a laser diffraction analyser (LS13.320, Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA), following ISO13320-1:1999. A sample (20 mg) of the micronized powder was suspended in 10 mL of special petroleum ether (Fluka, Germany) for its measurement.

The morphology of the samples was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (HITACHI S-570, Hitachi LTD., Tokyo, Japan); the samples were previously spread on a 9 mm silver film (FEDELCO S.L., Madrid, Spain) and coated with 10 Å of gold using a sputter coater (K550, Emitech, Ashford, UK).

X-Ray powder spectra were obtained by placing the sample into a 300 micron-diameter capillary and recording the spectra from 2θ = 2 to 60° with a diffractometer (INEL CPS-120) working in Debye-Sherrer geometry. Alternating current (ac) magnetic susceptibility experiments were carried out on a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer. Ac magnetic susceptibility curves were studied for samples of complex 1a–i in the 1.8–10 K range with a 3.0 Oe ac oscillating field in the frequency range of 0.01–1400 Hz while the external magnetic field was held at zero. The magnetization relaxation times (τ) were obtained from the relationship $\sigma \tau = 1$ at the maxima of the $\chi''$ vs. temperature curves. The $\chi''$ peak positions were determined by fitting the $\chi''$ vs. temperature data to one or two Lorentzian functions. Then the inverse of each peak position versus $\tau$ was fitted, by least-squares procedures, to the Arrhenius law.

Results and discussion

Crystallization

Several successful crystallizations of complex 1 as a solid crystalline powder have been achieved by using the ASES and GAS anti-solvent techniques. CHCl$_3$ was used as solvent whereas CO$_2$ was used as anti-solvent. The reason for using CHCl$_3$ as organic solvent lies in its miscibility with compressed CO$_2$, and its higher capability to dissolve complex 1 in relation to other common organic solvents such as hexanes or toluene (at 298 K measured solubility in CHCl$_3$ $C_1$ (1) = 3.3 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ g ml$^{-1}$. In addition, we have experimentally observed that compressed CO$_2$ behaves as an anti-solvent over solutions of complex 1 in CHCl$_3$, which is a prerequisite for the performance of both anti-solvent crystallization procedures. Several ASES and GAS crystallization experiments of SMM 1 from CO$_2$ expanded chloroform solvent mixtures were carried
out following the procedures described in the Experimental section. The crystallization operational parameters and the particle size characteristics achieved in these experiments are all summarized in Table 1.

Structural characterization

X-Ray powder diffraction. From the study of the X-ray powder diffractograms of the different samples of complex 1 processed by the GAS and ASES methods, two main conclusions can be extracted: I) both crystallization techniques always produce particulate materials with high crystallinity and a very reproducible crystalline phase and II) such a reproducible crystallographic phase differs from the one obtained for the material crystallized by a conventional diffusion technique (sample 1a). Since in perfect agreement with the structure of 1 already published in the literature.22 As an example, the powder X-ray diffractograms of samples 1b, 1e and sample 1a (for comparison purposes) are shown in Fig. 3.

The obtaining of different crystallographic phases is a common situation for crystalline materials obtained by supercritical fluids. Indeed, in conventional anti-solvent diffusion crystallizations, the increase of the solution supersaturation takes place slowly, favouring the precipitation phenomena near equilibrium conditions, and therefore, the obtaining of the most thermodynamically stable form (whereas a given material shows polymorphism). In contrast, crystallization processes with CFs, driven by abrupt pressure or solvent composition changes, reach high supersaturation values ($\beta_i$) in short periods of time. Therefore, crystallization phenomena take place far from the equilibrium conditions, favouring the obtaining of kinetically (metastable) forms. Unfortunately the small sizes of the resulting single crystals, obtained in GAS and ASES processes, of the new crystallographic phase of complex 1 prevent recording of the X-ray diffracting data with conventional diffraction techniques, preventing therefore the resolution of its structure.

It is important to emphasize here that the GAS and ASES processed crystalline samples are stable for long periods of time at room temperature. Such unusual stability, when compared with the high fragility of the crystals obtained by conventional diffusion techniques, may be explained by the absence of guest solvent molecules within the crystalline framework, as confirmed by elemental analysis and thermal studies.

Particle size distribution. All the crystalline powders obtained by the GAS or ASES procedures exhibit a median particle size smaller than those produced by conventional diffusion crystallization techniques as well as a higher uniformity index. As shown in Table 1, the particle size distribution of crystalline samples resulting from GAS and ASES experiments can be tuned by varying the operational process parameters. Although in both techniques the crystallization driving force is the anti-solvent power of CO$_2$ over the solution of complex 1 in CHCl$_3$, smaller particles with a narrower particle size distribution were achieved by the ASES crystallization procedure. Indeed, whereas in GAS crystallization the diffusion of the CO$_2$ and as a consequence the induction of precipitation, is improved by vigorous stirring, in the ASES technique the promotion of the CO$_2$ diffusion in the solute bearing solution is more effectively enhanced by formation of an aerosol of the solution before the CO$_2$ antisolvent induces precipitation.\textsuperscript{13} By varying only the CO$_2$ content of the system, using both dense gas anti-solvent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Particle size distribution of SMM 1 crystallized by GAS and ASES methods, from CO$_2$ expanded CHCl$_3$ mixtures, and by conventional diffusion crystallization using CH$_2$Cl$_2$ as a solvent and hexane as anti-solvent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>Crystallization method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Conventional diffusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e</td>
<td>ASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>ASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1g</td>
<td>ASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1h</td>
<td>ASES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1i</td>
<td>ASES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Volumetric particle size distributions, measured by light scattering technique, are described by $D_{v[0.1]}$, $D_{v[0.5]}$ and $D_{v[0.9]}$, which are the particle diameters (\(\mu m\)) under which there are 10\%, 50\% and 90\% of the total volume of the system, respectively. $D_{v[0.5]}$ is the volume median particle diameter. Uniformity Index (U.I.), is defined as U.I. = [$D_{v[0.1]}/D_{v[0.9]}$] × 100, and describes the polydispersity of the system.

Fig. 3 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns taken at room temperature for crystalline samples 1a, conventionally crystallized, 1b, produced by the GAS method, and 1e, obtained by the ASES procedure.
crystallization techniques, we were able to obtain samples of SMM 1 with a $D^{v,0.5}$ ranging from 30 to 0.38 μm, and with a U.I. varying from 8 to 77. The higher is $X_{CO2}$, the higher is the maximum supersaturation attained in the solution enhancing nucleation over crystal growth, and therefore resulting in smaller particles with a narrower size distribution.

Scanning electron microscopy. As can be seen in Fig. 4, the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a sample of complex 1 obtained by a standard diffusion of n-hexane into CH₂Cl₂ (sample 1a) reveals a broad distribution of sizes and morphologies, showing the crystals have several defects and imperfections. Such a distribution is even favoured in some cases by the loss of interstitial crystallization solvent molecules, and the subsequent fragmentation of the original crystalline material.

In contrast, the SEM images of SMM 1 processed either by GAS or ASES crystallization methods reveal the formation of crystals with considerably improved size uniformity and a smaller volume median particle size diameter. Representative examples of samples obtained by both GAS (sample 1b) and ASES method (samples 1f and 1h) are shown in Fig. 4. The SEM image of the crystalline sample 1a obtained by a classical diffusion process is also shown for comparison purposes. As can be seen, using the GAS anti-solvent technique, micron-sized particles were produced whereas by the ASES crystallization route sub-micron particle were achieved with a higher uniformity index (U.I. = 8–77) than that achieved by conventional diffusion crystallization (U.I. = 1). Finally, the SEM images shown in Fig. 5 are representative of the potential of these crystallization techniques to tune the particle size of complex 1, by only modifying the $X_{CO2}$ operational parameter in ASES crystallization experiments. Indeed, crystals obtained in experiment 1e performed at $X_{CO2} = 0.8$ are one order of magnitude larger and more polydisperse ($D^{v,0.5} = 12,$
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**Fig. 4** SEM images taken on sample 1a (upper left) obtained by a conventional crystallization, sample 1b (upper right) prepared by a GAS crystallization technique, and samples 1f (lower left) and 1h (lower right) prepared by ASES crystallization.

![Fig. 5](image)

**Fig. 5** SEM images taken on crystalline SMM 1 obtained by ASES at $X_{CO2} = 0.81$, sample 1e (left), and at $X_{CO2} = 0.99$, sample 1h (right). U.I. = 15) than those collected in experiment 1i ($D^{v,0.5} = 0.38$, U.I. = 77), performed with exactly the same operational parameters but higher $X_{CO2} = 0.99$.

### Magnetic properties

Magnetic characterization of samples 1a (diffusion), 1b (GAS) and 1e (ASES) reveals two peaks in the out-of-phase ac signal ($ZM^*$) of each sample, associated with the presence of at least two different magnetization relaxation mechanisms. The existence of both out-of-phase peaks is not new and has already been described for several other Mn₁₂ single-molecule magnets. However, a detailed study reveals unexpected differences in the resulting effective interconversion energy barriers. In the case of the slowest relaxation process, the effective energy barriers ($U_{eff}$) were 65.1 K, 66.7 K and 65.4 K for samples 1a, 1b and 1e, respectively, whereas for the fastest relaxation mechanism they were 38.4 K, 36.1 K and 37.9 K for samples 1a, 1b and 1e, respectively (Table 2).

Due to the differences previously observed for the energy barrier and to rule out the possible influence of polymorphism, the magnetic properties of samples 1b–1i were measured and analyzed, since all these samples exhibit the same crystallographic phase. First, ac magnetic susceptibility curves for samples 1c–d (GAS samples) were obtained and studied. For each sample, the two peaks in $ZM^*$, associated with the presence of the fast and slow relaxing species, are also observed. However, a deeper analysis and comparison of the $ZM^*$ curves for samples 1b–d reveals variations in the ratio of both species and considerable temperature shifts of the maximum of the ac peaks. Moreover, considerable differences in $U_{eff}$ for the slow relaxing species were observed. These effective energy barriers were found to be 63.7 K and 63.1 K for 1c and 1d, respectively, differing by almost 5 K with respect to 1e.

![Table 2](image)

**Table 2** Effective energy barriers ($U_{eff}$) and pre-exponential factors ($\tau_0$) obtained from the fit of the magnetic data to the Arrhenius law

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>$U_{eff}$</th>
<th>$\tau_0$</th>
<th>$U_{eff}$</th>
<th>$\tau_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>$6.9 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>$5.1 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>$5.1 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1h</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>$6.3 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1i</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>$6.2 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>$9.0 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to that found for sample 1b (66.7 K).25 This fact, together with the apparent changes in the proportions of the fast and slow relaxing species, reflect a clear influence of the particle size on the magnetization relaxation of the Mn12 clusters.

Similar effects were observed for the ac magnetic susceptibilities of the ASES samples 1e, 1f, 1h, and 1i. As an example, a result of nonlinear least-squares fitting is shown in Fig. 6, where all the data were simultaneously used to provide a set of model parameters for sample 1e. Effective energy barriers $U_{\text{eff}}$ appear to be 65.4, 64.2, 60.5, and 58.6 K for slow-relaxing species and 37.9, 37.0, 39.5, and 37.7 K for fast-relaxing species in samples 1e, 1f, 1h, and 1i, respectively. These values reveal a clear tendency in this case for the slow-relaxing magnetic species to show a lower energy barrier in a smaller microcrystal.

Finally, it is also remarkable that the reproducibility of the results obtained with both the GAS and ASES methods indicates that the variation dependence of the energy barrier is due to variations in the particle size rather than accuracy errors in the temperature range studied.

Conclusions

Here we have reported for the first time the use of the Gas Anti-Solvent (GAS) and the Aerosol Solvent Extraction System (ASES) crystallization techniques, which use compressed CO$_2$ as an anti-solvent, for the crystallization of the SMM $[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{O}_{12}(\text{O}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_{16}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]^{4-}$ (1). These studies have allowed us to: i) prepare crystalline samples of complex 1 with polymorphic purity, controlled particle size and narrower size distribution and ii) establish the influence of the particle size on the magnetization relaxation rates. Considering that samples 1b–i obtained with these techniques have been shown to exhibit the same crystallographic phase by XRPD data, the differences in their effective energy barriers most likely arise from: i) changes in the morphology along the miniaturization process, ii) variations in the molecular anisotropy (variations of the $D$ value), iii) modifications in the tunnelling behaviour along the miniaturization process and iv) a combination of these factors. Further work is currently under way to shed more light on the origin of these differences, due to its importance for understanding the magnetization relaxation of SMMs and their potential technological implications. Finally, this experimental approach can be extended to the crystallization of several other functional magnetic molecular materials where control of a given property is highly desired.
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