

Acclimatory responses of stomatal conductance and photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ and temperature in wheat crops grown at varying levels of N supply, in a Mediterranean environment

Alejandro Del Pozo^{1,2}, Pilar Pérez¹, Rosa Morcuende¹, Aitor Alonso¹ and Rafael Martínez-Carrasco^{1*}

¹Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Salamanca, CSIC, Apartado 257, 37071 Salamanca, Spain; ²Permanent address: Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 537, Chillán, Chile.

**Corresponding author: Fax: +34923219609; E-mail address: rafaelmc@usal.es*

Abstract

The short and long-term responses of flag leaf stomatal conductance (g_s) and rate of photosynthesis (A_n) to elevated CO_2 ($757 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$), 4 °C warmer temperatures and N supply were investigated in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Alcalá) crops grown in two seasons in field conditions under temperature gradient tunnels, in a Mediterranean environment. Plants grown at elevated CO_2 had lower g_s and A_n measured at $700 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} CO_2$ than ambient CO_2 -grown plants, indicating acclimatory responses to elevated CO_2 . N supply, which was lower in 2003 than 2002, reduced this acclimation in both years. Warmer temperatures at high nitrogen supply also decreased A_n acclimation. Acclimatory responses of A_n and g_s were highly correlated. The analysis of the $g_s - A_n$ relationship indicated that both parameters are probably linked and respond in parallel to elevated CO_2 at ambient temperatures, but non-parallel responses were observed at above-ambient temperatures.

Abbreviations: A_n , net photosynthesis rate; C_a , atmospheric CO_2 concentration; C_i , CO_2 concentration in the intercellular air space of leaves; g_s , leaf stomatal conductance; H_r , air relative humidity; PFD, photosynthetic flux density; Rubisco, Ribulose, 1-5, bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase.

Key-words: acclimation, elevated CO_2 , elevated temperature, gas exchange, nitrogen, photosynthetic capacity, stomatal conductance.

Introduction

Although carbon assimilation is stimulated by CO₂ levels above that in the current atmosphere, plants growing in elevated CO₂ often show an acclimatory down-regulation of the photosynthetic capacity of leaves [1-5], as well as a reduction in stomatal conductance [1, 6-9] and hence transpiration rate [10,11].

In spring wheat growing in field conditions, increase of CO₂ in the air from 370 to 550 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ using FACE (free air CO₂ enrichment), enhanced the rate of leaf photosynthesis (A_n) by 28% and reduced the stomatal conductance (g_s) by 36% [12, 13]. In another study conducted in winter wheat, an increase of the ambient CO₂ from 350 to 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ using open topped chambers reduced g_s and A_n measured at 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, indicating acclimation [14]. Whether stomata acclimate independently to elevated CO₂ or as a consequence of the mesophyll photosynthetic acclimation is still unclear [15]. The constancy of the ratio of CO₂ concentration in the intercellular air space to that around the leaf (C_i/C_a) when growth CO₂ varies [1], is indicative of a close coupling of A_n and g_s. However, studies on stomatal sensitivity to CO₂ changes [7], and on the relationship between A_n and g_s in water-stressed plants [8], suggest that stomatal acclimation is unrelated to the degree of photosynthetic acclimation.

The responses of A_n and g_s to elevated CO₂ appear to be very sensitive to nitrogen supply and environmental factors such as temperature. Downward photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ seems to be more pronounced in nitrogen deficient plants [2, 16, 17], although other studies indicated no acclimation [5, 18] or even the opposite, i.e., greater acclimation at high compared to low N supply [19]. Higher leaf temperatures can stimulate the rate of photosynthesis [20] and the short-term response of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ in cereals [21]. Also, g_s was increased as leaf temperatures increased from 15

to 35 °C in eight herbaceous species [20], but increased less at elevated than at ambient growth CO₂ [22]. Since concomitant increases in temperature and CO₂ level in the atmosphere will likely occur, as a consequence of global climatic change, it is of great interest to evaluate the short (minutes) and long-term (months) responses of g_s and A_n to elevated CO₂ and warming (ambient + 4°C), in a wheat crop growing in field conditions.

In this paper, long-term (acclimatory) and short-term (direct) responses of g_s and rate of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ were examined for two years in spring wheat crops growing in temperature gradient tunnels set at ambient and 4 °C warmer, and at two different levels of nitrogen supply each year. The following questions were addressed: is the response of leaf g_s and A_n to elevated CO₂ modified by temperature and nitrogen? And do g_s and leaf photosynthesis acclimate to elevated CO₂ in parallel or independently?

Materials and Methods

Site and experimental setup

The experimental site, a clay sand soil, was located at the IRNASA Muñovela Farm at Salamanca (41° N, 800 m.a.s.l.), Spain. Climate in Salamanca corresponds to a Mediterranean type; long term average of the minimum temperatures of the coldest month (January) is 0.0 °C and of the maximum temperatures of the warmest month (July) is 27.2 °C. Mean annual rainfall is 506 mm.

Spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Alcalá) was sown at a rate of 180 kg ha⁻¹ and 0.13 m between rows, on 30 January 2002 and 11 February 2003. In 2002, a mixture of N (52 kg ha⁻¹ as ammonium nitrate), P (60 kg ha⁻¹) and K (60 kg ha⁻¹) was applied to the soil before sowing. In 2003, the crop was sown after turnip and no fertilizer was applied before sowing. The crop was watered weekly through a drip irrigation system and provided 198 mm between February and June, which is the average rainfall in the area during the period of the experiment. Weeds were controlled chemically.

Two temperature gradient tunnels of 9.6 m long, 2.2 m wide and 1.7 m high at the ridge were placed after the emergence of the crop on 2 and 29 April in 2002 and 2003, respectively, on different plots every year. The tunnels were adapted from [23] and have been described in detail elsewhere [24]. One tunnel was kept at ambient (370 µmol mol⁻¹) and the other at elevated (700 µmol mol⁻¹) CO₂ concentration during light hours. Since there appears to be no, or only small positive direct effects of growth CO₂ on leaf dark respiration [25, 26], lack of CO₂ enrichment during the night is probably irrelevant. Temperature in the two extreme modules of the tunnels was set at ambient (T) and 4 °C warmer (T+4). Additionally, two levels of nitrogen supply were established by adding 70 kg ha⁻¹ to one longitudinal half of the tunnels on 2 and 30 April of 2002 and 2003,

respectively, giving a total of 52 and 122 kg ha⁻¹ N in 2002, and none and 70 kg ha⁻¹ N in 2003. Due to delayed sowing and fertilizer application, and to ensure a response to N was observed, lower fertilizer rates were applied in 2003. Figure 1 shows that CO₂ was effectively raised from 376± 34 to 757± 29 μmol mol⁻¹ and the temperature difference between the extreme tunnel modules was kept close to 4 °C (3.7± 0.9 and 4.0 ± 0.4 in ambient and elevated CO₂, respectively, in 2002, and 3.8 ± 0.3 in both tunnels in 2003). Air humidity in the central hours of the day was similar between CO₂ levels and temperature regimes, while at the end of the day and night it was lower with warmer temperatures. Temperature was similar and humidity higher in the lower temperature module of the tunnels than in outside air. Light intensity inside the tunnels was 89 ± 3 % that outside.

Gas exchange measurements

Stomatal conductance (g_s) and rate of photosynthesis (A_n) were determined in attached flag leaves of four replicate plants of each treatment using a portable open system infra-red gas analyser (CIRAS-2, PP Systems, Hitchin, Herts., UK), after the onset of ear emergence (20-22 May in 2002; 21-23 May in 2003) and 8-15 days after the beginning of anthesis (03-06 June in 2002; 04-06 June in 2003). Three to 8 hours after the start of the photoperiod, measurements were performed on 1.7 cm² leaf areas with 300 ml min⁻¹ air flow rate, 370 or 700 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂, a photon flux density (PFD) of 1500 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and leaf temperatures of 25 °C. Vapour pressure deficit was maintained at 1.6 ± 0.23 kPa. Short-term responses to changes in CO₂ were recorded after enough time had passed for the response to be complete [27].

Analysis of results

Stomatal conductance, rate of photosynthesis and the ratio of internal to external CO₂ concentration (C_i/C_a) measured at 700 μmol mol⁻¹ were used to compare long term (acclimatory) responses to growth CO₂. The acclimatory or long-term response and the direct or short-term responses of g_s to elevated CO₂ were quantified as ratios of g_s according to [14], and the net effect as the product of the acclimatory and the direct effects (Net effect = Acclimatory effect x Direct effect):

$$g_s (e,E) / g_s (a,A) = [g_s (e,E) / g_s (a,E)] \times [g_s (a,E) / g_s (a,A)] \quad (1)$$

where a and e refer to ambient (376 μmol mol⁻¹) and elevated (757 μmol mol⁻¹) growth conditions, respectively, and A and E refer to ambient (360 μmol mol⁻¹) and elevated (700 μmol mol⁻¹) measurement conditions. Similar ratios were calculated for rate of photosynthesis.

The Ball et al. model [28] to describe stomatal conductance is based on the observed correlation between stomatal conductance and assimilation, and was used to assess whether A_n and g_s acclimate to elevated CO₂ in parallel or independently:

$$g_s = g_0 + g_1 A_n H_r / C_a \quad (2)$$

where A_n is the rate of photosynthesis (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), H_r is the relative humidity at the leaf surface, C_a is the environmental CO₂ (μmol mol⁻¹), and g₀ and g₁ are the regression parameters. Values for g_s and A_n were those recorded at 360 and 700 μmol mol⁻¹ for ambient and elevated growth conditions, respectively. The C_i/C_a ratio was also used to analyse the coupling between A_n and g_s responses to elevated CO₂ [1].

Chlorophyll content was determined in flag leaves collected on the same dates as gas exchange measurements. Four samples of four flag leaves each were harvested from each plot (treatment) and immediately transferred to liquid nitrogen. The projected area of a subsample was measured by image analysis, and then weighed and ground in liquid nitrogen. Chlorophyll was extracted with acetone (80%) and determined according to [30].

Differences between treatments were determined through analysis of variance using a nested design according to [31], with temperature and nitrogen as a stratum included in CO₂, and replicates as a stratum included in that for temperature and nitrogen. On each year there were two measurement dates, therefore the date effect was included in the analysis as a further stratum. Additional details on this analysis are described elsewhere [24].

Fittings of the [28] model were performed by linear regression with groups with the GenStat 6.2 statistical package. The regressions for the various treatments were compared by analysis of parallelism [32], which successively fits three models with different degrees of parallelism among the curves for these treatments. Thus, the model is first fitted with the same parameters for all treatments, then different g_0 parameters (see above) are fitted for each of the treatments, and finally separate g_0 and g_1 parameters are fitted to each treatment. With these three models, an accumulated analysis of variance is carried out to assess whether common or separate parameters should be fitted to the regressions for the compared treatments.

Results

The negative effect of elevated growth CO₂ on g_s measured at 700 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ was less pronounced in 2002 than in 2003 (Fig. 2). In the first year, the decrease in g_s with growth in high CO₂ tended to be smaller at high N supply - g_s actually increased at elevated CO₂ with high N after anthesis -, although the CO₂ x N interaction did not reach significance (P > 0.05, Table 1). The N x date and the temperature x N x date interactions were significant (P < 0.05, Table 1), indicating that warm temperatures decreased g_s at high nitrogen after anthesis, but increased it in other cases. In 2003, elevated growth CO₂ severely reduced g_s at both temperatures and N supplies – which were lower than in 2002 - (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Also, the temperature x N interaction was significant (P < 0.05) in 2003, as a result of the increase in g_s with temperature at high but not at low N (Fig. 2).

The long-term or acclimatory response of g_s (measured at 700 μmol mol⁻¹) to elevated CO₂ was greater in 2003 compared to 2002 (Table 2). At high N supply there was no acclimation of g_s in 2002, and there were no differences in the acclimatory response between the two growing temperatures in the two years (Table 2). In plants growing at ambient CO₂, an increase of the measurement CO₂ from 360 to 700 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ (the short-term or direct response) reduced g_s by 0-13% (Table 2). Thus, the net response of g_s to elevated CO₂ was a reduction at low N supply in 2002 and at both N supplies in 2003, at both temperatures (Table 2).

In both years, A_n measured at 700 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ was significantly (P < 0.05) lower at elevated than at ambient growth CO₂. The date effect was also significant (P < 0.05, Table 1), due to the reduction of A_n after anthesis (Fig. 2). In 2003, the effect of growth in elevated CO₂ on A_n varied with nitrogen and temperature (P < 0.05 for CO₂ x temperature x N interaction); the decrease of A_n was lower at ambient + 4 °C and high N. Thus, acclimation

of photosynthesis occurred in both years but it was greater in 2003 compared to 2002 (Table 2). Due to the large stimulation of the photosynthetic rate when the measurement CO_2 increased from 360 to 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{CO}_2$ (the direct effect), the net effect of elevated growth CO_2 on photosynthesis was an increase in A_n , except in plants growing at low N supply and at warmer temperatures in 2003, which experienced the greatest acclimation (Table 2).

The intercellular to around-leaf CO_2 ratio (C_i/C_a) in flag leaves measured at 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ was similar for plants grown at ambient and elevated CO_2 in 2002 (average 0.48 ± 0.03), but in 2003 the mean C_i/C_a ratio was lower in plants grown at elevated CO_2 (0.58 ± 0.02) compared to those grown at ambient CO_2 (0.68 ± 0.02).

Curvilinear relationships were found between C_i/C_a and g_s , all measured at 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{CO}_2$ (Fig. 3). The relationships were similar for plants grown at ambient and elevated CO_2 . No correlation was found between C_i/C_a and A_n (r value was 0.2 in 2002, and 0.35 in 2003). The linear regression with groups analysis showed no significant differences between years and measurement dates within each combination of CO_2 , temperature and nitrogen, and so a single [28] model fitting was used for each treatment (Fig. 4). Moreover, when data of g_s and A_n , both measured at their respective growth CO_2 , were fitted to the [28] model, the linear regression with groups yielded a common relationship for plants grown at both CO_2 levels under ambient temperature, indicating that stomata acclimated to CO_2 in parallel with photosynthesis (Fig. 4). In contrast, there was a significant difference between the relationships for ambient and elevated CO_2 among plants grown at ambient + 4 °C temperatures, and the relationship for plants at ambient temperature significantly departed from that for ambient + 4 °C. Nitrogen supply did not significantly modify the model.

Chlorophyll concentration per unit leaf area was not affected by growth CO₂ ($P > 0.05$), but it was significantly reduced ($P < 0.05$) by low N supply (Table 1). In 2002 the rate of photosynthesis and g_s were not correlated with chlorophyll concentration (Fig. 5a, c), but in 2003 the decrease in photosynthesis and g_s in plants growing in elevated compared with ambient CO₂ was correlated with lower chlorophyll concentration in leaves (Fig. 5b, d).

Discussion

The short-term response to doubling CO₂ was a small reduction of g_s (0-13%) but an increase of A_n by 65-104% (Table 2), showing that the long known [33] decrease in conductance in response to increased CO₂ is more than compensated by the larger substrate for carboxylation. In contrast, the long-term response to elevated CO₂ was a significant reduction of both g_s and A_n (measured at 700 μmol mol⁻¹) indicative of a downward acclimation to CO₂ enrichment. A survey of the literature covering 28 species revealed that the ratio g_s (e,E)/ g_s (a,A), i.e. the net effect, was on average 0.80 [1]. Bunce [14] found values of 0.66 and 0.89, respectively, for wheat and barley grown in field conditions in Maryland, USA. In the present study in a Mediterranean environment, the net effect of elevated CO₂ on g_s was 0.71 and 1.11 at low and high N in 2002 and 0.36 and 0.45 at low and high N supply, respectively, in 2003. The greater reduction in g_s observed in 2003 compared with 2002 could be attributed to the lower N fertilization in 2003, which caused N deficiency symptoms in the low N treatment. Clearly, the acclimatory responses of g_s and A_n to elevated CO₂ were more pronounced in nitrogen deficient plants (Table 2). In other crop species the acclimation of photosynthesis was also enhanced under reduced N supply [2, 16, 17, 34], although N supply had no significant effect on photosynthetic acclimation in 13 grassland species [5], and with N addition in direct proportion to plant growth rate, no acclimation to elevated CO₂ was found in low-N plants [18]. Our finding of increased acclimation of g_s to CO₂ under N deficiency contrasts with results of a meta-analysis of woody species grown for more than 1 year under CO₂ enrichment [8]; we do not know whether the length of the exposure to elevated CO₂, or differences between species and functional groups [8] can account for the contrasting results. So far, it is not clear whether the A_n-leaf nitrogen relationship is affected by growth CO₂ [35], since there are no direct

comparisons over a wide range of N supplies. However, common relationships of A_n and g_s to chlorophyll concentration - which is closely related with N concentration - were found for ambient and elevated CO_2 -grown plants (Fig. 5), suggesting that the relative acclimation of g_s and A_n to elevated CO_2 was due to decreased leaf N content. Because stomata appear to respond to the intercellular CO_2 concentration [36], it is likely that increased mesophyll demand for CO_2 at high N diminished g_s acclimation. Other, direct effects of N on stomatal opening cannot be excluded.

In wheat and barley, the direct response of A_n to, and the net effect on g_s of elevated CO_2 increased with temperature [21, 22], but more information is needed on how temperature affects the long-term or acclimatory response of A_n to elevated CO_2 . In our experiments, the acclimatory response of g_s to elevated CO_2 was not affected by warmer temperatures, while that of A_n only increased with temperature at low N in 2003, but decreased at high N in both years. This is in agreement with our earlier finding that the downward regulation of photosynthesis by elevated CO_2 was decreased by a 4 °C increase in temperature at high N supply [37]. The differential response of g_s and A_n suggests that altered acclimation of A_n to elevated CO_2 at ambient + 4 °C temperatures was not mediated by changes in stomatal opening, but rather in mesophyll reactions. In vitro assays of total Rubisco activity (EC 4.1.1.39, data not shown) showed lower down regulation of this enzyme by elevated CO_2 at ambient + 4 °C temperatures in both years.

Previous studies have reported similar C_i/C_a ratios, close to 0.7, in both ambient and elevated growth CO_2 [1, 7, 38, 39], indicative of coupling between stomata and mesophyll ([15]). The C_i/C_a ratio measured at 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ in our experiments was similar for plants grown in ambient and elevated CO_2 in 2002 (average 0.48), but was lower at elevated (0.58) than at ambient CO_2 (0.68) in 2003. The C_i/C_a ratio seems to be highly

dependent on g_s , as indicated by the close relationship between these two parameters (Fig. 3); therefore, the lower values of C_i/C_a observed in 2002 and at elevated CO_2 in 2003 can be explained by the lower values of g_s , which decreased the diffusion of CO_2 into the leaf. It is noteworthy that, the decrease in C_i/C_a at elevated CO_2 in 2003 would argue against parallel acclimation of stomata and mesophyll. However, as indicated by [6], this ratio is not a very sensitive indicator for parallel or independent acclimations.

The acclimatory response of A_n (Table 2) was highly correlated with the acclimatory response of g_s ($r = 0.88$, $N = 8$). To better assess whether g_s and A_n acclimate to elevated CO_2 in parallel or independently, the [28] model has been used [8]. We have found a single relationship between A_n and g_s in the [28] model (Fig. 4) – for two years and two developmental stages - for plants grown at ambient and elevated CO_2 in ambient temperature, showing that stomata and photosynthesis of wheat respond in parallel to elevated CO_2 . Similar responses have been found in several tree species [7, 8]. However, the significant separation of regressions for the two CO_2 levels at ambient + 4 °C in the [28] model indicates that, with warmer temperatures, uncoupled stomata and mesophyll acclimation to CO_2 occurs. In addition, differences in model fit between growth temperatures show this environmental parameter causes differential acclimation of stomatal conductance and carbon assimilation. Some evidence of independent g_s and A_n acclimation to CO_2 has also been obtained under water stress [8], and antisense plants with decreased Rubisco activity show that stomatal conductance is not directly determined by the photosynthetic capacity of guard or mesophyll cells [40].

In conclusion, wheat plants grown at elevated CO_2 had significantly reduced g_s and A_n measured at $700 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ when compared to plants grown at ambient CO_2 , indicating acclimatory responses to elevated CO_2 . Increased nitrogen supply reduced the acclimatory

responses of g_s and A_n to elevated CO_2 , and warm temperatures at high N supply also reduced A_n acclimation. The $g_s - A_n$ relationship and the high correlation between the acclimatory response of g_s and A_n indicated that both parameters are probably linked and respond in parallel to elevated CO_2 at ambient temperatures, but at above-ambient temperatures, non-parallel responses suggest unconnected A_n and g_s acclimations. Warm temperatures also modified the coupling of mesophyll and stomata.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Spanish Programme of Research and Development (grant No. BFI2000-0871). A. Del Pozo was the recipient of a fellowship from the Spanish Ministry of Education for a sabbatical leave. R. Morcuende had a Ramón y Cajal research contract from the Spanish Ministry of Education. The technical cooperation of A.L. Verdejo in gas exchange measurements and chlorophyll determination is acknowledged. We thank the staff of the experimental farm of IRNASA for assistance in crop husbandry.

References

- [1] B.G. Drake, M.A. González-Meler, S.P. Long SP, More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 48 (1997) 609-639.
- [2] H. Nakano, A. Makino, T. Mae, The effect of elevated partial pressures of CO₂ on the relationship between photosynthetic capacity and N content in rice leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 115 (1997) 191-198.
- [3] C. Osborne, J. LaRoche, R. García, B.a. Kimball, G.W. Wall, P.J. Pinter, R.L. LaMorte, G.R. Hendrey, S.P. Long, Does leaf position within a canopy affect acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂?. *Plant Physiol.* 177 (1998) 1037-1045.
- [4] A. Rogers, S. Humphries, A mechanistic evaluation of photosynthetic acclimation at elevated CO₂. *Global Change Biol.* 6 (2000) 1005-1011.
- [5] T.D. Lee, M.G. Tjoelker, D.S. Ellsworth, P.B. Reich, Leaf gas exchange responses of 13 prairie grassland species to elevated CO₂ and increased nitrogen supply. *New Phytol.* 150 (2001) 405-418.
- [6] J. Šantrůček, R.F., Sage, Acclimation of stomatal conductance to a CO₂-enriched atmosphere and elevated temperature in *Chenopodium album*. *Austr. J. Plant Physiol.* 23 (1996) 467-478.
- [7] R.J. Lodge, P. Dijkstra, B.G. Drake, J.I.L. Morison, Stomatal acclimation to increased CO₂ concentration in a Florida scrub oak species *Quercus myrtifolia* Willd. *Plant Cell Environ.* 24 (2001) 77-88.
- [8] B.E. Medlyn, C.V.M. Barton, M.S.J. Broadmeadow, R. Ceulmans, P. De Angelis, M. Forestreuter, M. Freeman, S.B. Jackson, S. Kellomäki, E. Laitat, A. Rey, P. Roberntz, B.D. Sigurdsson, J. Strassemer, K. Wang, P.S. Curtis, P.G. Jarvis,

- Stomatal conductance of forest species after long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ concentration: a synthesis. *New Phytol.* 149 (2001) 247-264.
- [9] W. Tezara, V. Mitchell, S.P. Driscoll, D.W. Lawlor, Effects of water deficit and its interaction with CO₂ supply on the biochemistry and physiology of photosynthesis in sunflower. *J. Exp. Bot.* 53 (2002) 1781-1791.
- [10] R.S. Senock, J.M. Ham, B.A. Loughin, B.A. Kimball, D.J. Hunsaker, P.J. Pinter, G.W. Wall, R.L. García, R.L. LaMorte, Sap flow in wheat under free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Plant Cell Environ.* 19 (1996) 147-158.
- [11] J. Pospisilova, J. Catsky, Development of water stress under increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Biol. Plant.* 42 (1999) 1-24.
- [12] R.L. Garcia, S.P. Long, G.W. Wall, C.P. Osborne, B.A. Kimball, G.Y. Nie, P.J. Pinter Jr., R.L. LaMorte, F. Wechsung F., Photosynthesis and conductance of spring-wheat leaves: field response to continuous free-air atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. *Plant Cell Environ.* 21 (1998) 659-669.
- [13] G. Wall, N.R. Adam, T.J. Brooks, B.A. Kimball, P.J. Pinter, R.L. LaMorte, F.J. Adamsen, D.J. Hunsaker, G. Wechsung, F. Wechsung, S. Gossman-Clarke, S. Leavitt, A.D. Matthias, A.N. Webber, Acclimation response of spring wheat in a free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) atmosphere with variable soil nitrogen regimes. 2. Net assimilation and stomatal conductance of leaves. *Photosynth. Res.* 66 (2000) 79-95.
- [14] J.A. Bunce, Direct and acclimatory responses of stomatal conductance to elevated carbon dioxide in four herbaceous crop species in the field. *Global Change Biol.* 7 (2001) 323-331.
- [15] J.I.L. Morison, Stomatal response to increased CO₂ concentration. *J. Exp. Bot.* 49 (1998) 442-452.

- [16] A. Rogers, B. Fischer, J. Bryant, M. Frehner, H. Blum, C. Raines, S.P. Long, Acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ under low-nitrogen nutrition is affected by the capacity for assimilate utilization. Perennial ryegrass under free air CO₂ enrichment. *Plant Physiol.* 118 (1998) 683-689.
- [17] H. Harmens, C.M. Stirling, C. Marshall, J. Farrar, Does down-regulation of photosynthetic capacity by elevated CO₂ depend on N supply in *Dactylis glomerata*? *Physiol. Plant.* 108 (2000) 43-50.
- [18] P.K. Farage, I.F. McKee, S.P. Long, Does a low-nitrogen supply necessarily lead to acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂? *Plant Physiol.* 18 (1998) 573-580.
- [19] J. Jifon, D. Wolfe, Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. is altered by growth response to nitrogen supply. *Global Change Biol.* 8 (2002) 1018-1027.
- [20] J.A. Bunce, Acclimation of photosynthesis to temperature in eight cool and warm climate herbaceous C₃ species: Temperature dependence of parameters of a biochemical photosynthesis model. *Photosynth. Res.* 63 (2000a) 59-67.
- [21] J.A. Bunce, The temperature dependence of the stimulation of photosynthesis by elevated carbon dioxide in wheat and barley. *J. Exp. Bot.* 49 (1998a) 1555-1561.
- [22] J.A. Bunce, Responses of stomatal conductance to light, humidity and temperature in winter wheat and barley grown at three concentrations of carbon dioxide in the field. *Global Change Biol.* 6 (2000b) 371-382.
- [23] H.M. Rawson, Yield responses of two wheat genotypes to carbon dioxide and temperature in field studies using temperature gradient tunnels. *Austr. J. Plant Physiol.* 22 (1995) 23-32.

- [24] P. Pérez, R. Morcuende, I. Martín del Molino, R. Martínez-Carrasco, Diurnal changes of Rubisco in response to elevated CO₂, temperature and nitrogen in wheat grown under temperature gradient tunnels. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 53 (2005) 13-27.
- [25] S. Jahnke, M. Krewitt, Atmospheric CO₂ concentration may directly affect leaf respiration measurement in tobacco, but not respiration itself. *Plant Cell Environ.* 25 (2002) 641-651.
- [26] P.A. Davey, S. Hunt, G.J. Hymus, E.H. DeLucia, B.G. Drake, D.F. Karnosky, S.P. Long, Respiratory oxygen uptake is not decreased by an instantaneous elevation of [CO₂], but is increased with long-term growth in the field at elevated [CO₂]. *Plant Physiol.* 134 (2004) 1-8.
- [27] J.A. Bunce, Effects of humidity on short-term responses of stomatal conductance to an increase in carbon dioxide concentration. *Plant Cell Environ.* 21 (1998b) 115-120.
- [28] J.T. Ball, I.E. Woodrow, J.A. Berry, A model predicting stomatal conductance and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions, in: J. Biggins (Ed.), *Progress in Photosynthesis Research*, Martinus-Nijhoff Publishers, 1987, pp 221-224.
- [29] G.D. Farquhar, T.D. Sharkey, Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 33 (1982) 317-345.
- [30] D.I. Arnon, Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol.* 73 (1949) 681-868.
- [31] G. Snedecor, W. Cochran, *Statistical Methods*, The Iowa State University Press, 1967, 285-289.

- [32] GenStat 6.2., Statistics. Lawes Agricultural Trust, 2002.
- [33] O.V.S. Heath, Control of stomatal movement by a reduction in the normal carbon dioxide content of the air. *Nature* 161 (1948) 179–81.
- [34] M. Stitt, A. Krapp, The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen: the physiological and molecular background. *Plant Cell Environ.* 22 (1999) 583-621.
- [35] A.G. Peterson, J.T. Ball, Y. Luo, C.B. Field, P.B. Reich, P.S. Curtis, K.L. Griffin, C.A. Gunderson, R.J. Norby, D.T. Tissue, M. Forstreuter, A. Rey, C.S. Vogel, participants CMEAL, The photosynthesis - leaf nitrogen relationship at ambient and elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biol.* 5 (1999) 331-346.
- [36] K.A. Mott, Do stomata respond to CO₂ concentrations other than intercellular? *Plant Physiol.* 86 (1988) 200–3.
- [37] R. Martinez-Carrasco, P. Pérez, R. Morcuende, Interactive effects of elevated CO₂, temperature and nitrogen on photosynthesis of wheat grown under temperature gradient tunnels. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, (2004) 10.1016/j.envexpbot2004.05.004.
- [38] Z. Tuba, K. Szente, J. Koch, Response of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, water use efficiency and production to long-term elevated CO₂ in winter wheat. *J Plant Physiol.* 144 (1994) 661–668.
- [39] E.A. Ainsworth, A. Rogers, H. Blum, J. Nösberger, S.P. Long, Variation in acclimation of photosynthesis in *Trifolium repens* after eight years of exposure to Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE). *J. Exp. Bot.* 54 (2003) 2769-2774.
- [40] S. von Caemmerer, T. Lawson, K. Oxborough, N.R. Baker, T.J. Andrews, C.A. Raines, Stomatal conductance does not correlate with photosynthetic capacity in transgenic tobacco with reduced amounts of Rubisco. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55 (2004) 1157 – 1166.

Table 1. Analysis of variance (F-values) for flag leaf stomatal conductance and rate of photosynthesis measured at 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ CO_2 , and chlorophyll concentration of wheat grown in the field in temperature gradient tunnels either at elevated (757 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) or ambient (376 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) CO_2 , ambient or ambient +4 °C temperature, and low or high nitrogen supply, in 2002 and 2003. Date corresponds to measurements taken at two developmental stages (ear emergence and after anthesis). Numbers in bold type represent significant effects ($P < 0.05$).

	Stomatal conductance		Rate of photosynthesis		Chlorophyll	
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
CO_2 (C)	2.47	11.93	6.61	13.07	0.20	3.23
Temperature (T)	1.59	5.77	2.68	1.16	7.27	0.11
Nitrogen (N)	0.45	4.77	0.00	24.09	31.94	114.87
CT	0.00	2.47	2.15	0.00	0.11	0.20
CN	3.64	0.03	2.27	2.25	1.29	0.08
TN	1.79	7.50	0.00	5.13	2.96	1.42
CTN	0.06	0.84	0.35	5.30	2.12	0.50
Date (D)	3.92	4.18	10.33	46.96	96.40	26.50
CD	6.69	0.01	0.00	0.85	5.95	0.20
TD	7.37	2.12	4.90	3.38	0.29	2.28
ND	17.20	1.33	3.73	0.62	4.21	0.76
CTD	3.06	0.06	4.88	0.03	1.64	0.31
CND	0.34	0.00	1.14	1.56	0.53	0.21
TND	18.88	0.05	5.65	0.13	1.47	0.88
CTND	1.04	0.33	1.70	0.88	1.62	0.39

Table 2. Acclimatory, direct and net responses of stomatal conductance and rate of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ in wheat grown in the field in temperature gradient tunnels either at ambient or at ambient +4 °C temperature, and low or high nitrogen supply, in 2002 and 2003. Values are means of two developmental stages (ear emergence and after anthesis) and four replicate plants. See Materials and Methods for more explanation.

Year	Temperature	Nitrogen	Stomatal responses			Photosynthetic responses		
			Acclimation	Direct	Net effect	Acclimation	Direct	Net effect
			$[g_s(e,E)/g_s(a,E)]$	$[g_s(a,E)/g_s(a,A)]$	$[g_s(e,E)/g_s(a,A)]$	$[An(e,E)/An(a,E)]$	$[An(a,E)/An(a,A)]$	$[An(e,E)/An(a,A)]$
2002	Ambient (T)	Low	0.71	1.01	0.71	0.80	1.92	1.53
		High	1.04	1.07	1.11	0.87	2.04	1.75
	T + 4	Low	0.78	0.89	0.69	0.83	1.77	1.53
		High	1.07	0.94	1.00	1.05	1.83	1.93
2003	Ambient (T)	Low	0.42	0.87	0.36	0.67	1.73	1.16
		High	0.50	0.89	0.45	0.65	1.79	1.17
	T + 4	Low	0.35	0.96	0.35	0.47	1.69	0.79
		High	0.50	0.92	0.46	0.80	1.65	1.32

Figure legends

Figure 1. Mean daily courses of CO₂ concentration (a), temperature (c, d) and humidity (e, f) in 2002 (c, e) and 2003 (d, f) in temperature gradient tunnels set at either ambient (376 μmol mol⁻¹; ○, □) or elevated (757 μmol mol⁻¹; ●, ■) CO₂ and ambient (○, ●) or ambient + 4 °C (□, ■) temperatures. The irradiance outside and inside (○ and ●, respectively) the tunnels is shown in (b) and the temperature and humidity outside (▲) the tunnels are shown in (d) and (f).

Figure 2. Mean values (± S.E.) of stomatal conductance (g_s, a-d) and rate of photosynthesis (A_n, e-h) measured at 700 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ for flag leaves of wheat grown in the field in temperature gradient tunnels at either ambient (white columns, 376 μmol mol⁻¹) or elevated (black columns, 757 μmol mol⁻¹) CO₂, ambient (T) or ambient + 4 °C (T+4) growth temperatures and low (LN) or high (HN) nitrogen supply. Measurements were taken at ear emergence (a, c, e, g) and 8-15 days after anthesis (b, d, f, h) in 2002 (a, b, e, f) and 2003 (c, d, g, h). PFD was 1500 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and leaf temperature was 25 °C.

Figure 3. Relationships of intercellular to ambient CO₂ ratio (C_i/C_a) to stomatal conductance (g_s) of wheat grown in the field in temperature gradient tunnels either at ambient (○) or elevated (●) CO₂, in 2002 (a) and in 2003 (b). Measurements were made at 700 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ at ear emergence and soon after anthesis. Regression lines are: a) C_i/C_a = -0.54 + 0.19 ln(g_s), r² = 0.63; b) C_i/C_a = 0.04 + 0.11 ln(g_s), r² = 0.62. N = 64 in both cases.

Figure 4. Fits of the Ball et al. [28] model for stomatal conductance (g_s) at ear emergence and soon after anthesis of wheat grown in the field in temperature gradient

tunnels at two levels of N supply in 2002 and 2003. Values for g_s and A_n were those recorded at 360 and 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ for ambient and elevated growth conditions, respectively. ○) ambient CO_2 with ambient temperature; ●) elevated CO_2 with ambient temperature; □) ambient CO_2 with ambient +4 °C ; ■) elevated CO_2 with ambient +4 °C. Regression lines: dotted line, ambient CO_2 with ambient+4 °C; broken line, elevated CO_2 with ambient+4 °C; filled line, ambient and elevated CO_2 with ambient temperature. Parameters for the regression $g_s = g_0 + g_1 A_n \text{ Hr}/\text{CO}_2$ are indicated below. D is the percentage of variance explained by the fitted lines using linear regression with groups (GenStat 6.2, 2002).

Temperature	CO_2	g_0	g_1	r^2	D%
T + 4	Ambient	-117.6	20.17	0.80	79.2
	Elevated	12.4	11.279	0.78	
Ambient (T)	both levels	-19.5	11.279	0.61	

Figure 5. Relationships with chlorophyll concentration per unit of leaf area (g m^{-2}) of: rate of photosynthesis (A_n) (a, b), and stomatal conductance (g_s) (c, d), for the flag leaf of wheat grown in the field in temperature gradient tunnels either at ambient (376 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$; ○) or elevated (757 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$; ●) CO_2 , in 2002 (a, c) and 2003 (b, d). Coefficients of determination were a) $r^2 = 0.04$, n.s. ; b) $r^2 = 0.51$, $P < 0.001$; c) $r^2 = 0.09$, n.s.; d) $r^2 = 0.40$, $P < 0.001$. $N = 64$ in all cases.




Fig 1




Fig 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5